U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF OCEAN ENERGY MANAGEMENT

+ + + + +

AND

+ + + + +

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE

+ + + + +

PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING

+ + + + + THURSDAY JUNE 20, 2013

+ + + + +

The meeting was held met in the NOAA Auditorium at 1301 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, Maryland, at 1:00 p.m., Jim Bennett presiding.

PRESENT FROM BOEM AND NOAA:

JIM BENNETT, Chief, Division of
Environmental Assessment, BOEM
BEN LAWS, NMFS/NOAA

JILL LEWANDOWSKI, Chief, Branch of
Environmental Consultation, BOEM

ALSO PRESENT:

BRIAN BLOODWORTH, Fisheries Biologist, NOAA

KATE CALLEN

COLIN CUMMINGS, Oceana

BRYAN FAEHNER, NPS

EMILY FEBLES, AZA

STEVEN FISHBURN, International Association of Geophysical Contractors

TOPHER HOLMES, NEPA Analyst, MNFS

MATTHEW HUELSENBECK, Oceana

CLINTON KOCH, American Geosciences Institute

KRISTINE LYNCH, Science and Regulatory
Policy Specialist, Shell

NICOLETTE ME, National Ocean Industries
Association

ROBERT V. MYERS, National Ocean Industries
Association

STEVE OLSON, AZA

LAURA SIMONDS, National Ocean Industries
Association

SCOTT SLAUGHTER, Center for Regulatory
Effectiveness

HOLLY SMITH, NSF

JIM TOZZI, Center for Regulatory
Effectiveness

TED TUPPER

	Pag	re 3	3
TABLE OF CONTENTS			
Welcome, Opening Remarks, Introductions,			
Jim Bennett	• •	.4	
Presentation, Jill Lewandowski	• •	.9	
Public Comment	• •	22	
Adjourn		48	

## P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

1:08 P.M.

MR. BENNETT: Welcome, everyone.

Again, thank you for your patience. Safety
first. If there's any emergency situation,
we have an emergency exit right here, as
well as the exits, the one that you came in
on the far corner. The rest rooms are out
this door here to the right before you go
out the emergency exit and set off the
alarm.

I want to welcome you all. Thank you all for being here. We're here to discuss the G&G, geological and geophysical activities, programmatic environmental impact statement for the Gulf of Mexico region. We're here to get your input.

This is the last of seven scoping meetings and just introductions first. My name is Jim Bennett. I'm the chief of the Division of Environmental Assessment for the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management. Also on

the panel today is Jill Lewandowski, the chief of the branch of Environmental Consultation, and Ben Laws from the National Marine Fisheries Service.

There are also a number of technical specialists from both of our agencies here in the room: Jolie Harrison from NOAA, and if everyone from BOEM can raise their hands, please, so people know who you are. If you have any questions of air quality, marine mammals, etcetera, some of these folks should be able to help you out.

Like I mentioned, this is the last of seven scoping meetings, gathering input on what the content, what the scope of the environmental impact statement should be that we are working on.

Again, I'm with the Bureau of
Oceanic Management. We are a bureau within
the United States Department of the Interior
and we're responsible for overseeing the

safe and responsible development of oceanous resources.

We are working as a co-lead with National Oceanic and Atmosphere

Administration and National Marine Fisheries

Services on this effort. They're within the Department of Commerce and they are responsible for the stewardship of the nation's living marine resources and their habitat.

We at the Bureau of Oceanic

Management have a mandate for the

expeditious and orderly development subject

to environmental safeguards of these

resources on the outer continental shelf.

And that is what has prompted us to be

pursuing an EIS on permitting activities for

seismic in the Gulf of Mexico.

Just real quickly about NEPA,

National Environmental Policy Act, which

directs us in preparing the EIS. This act

requires all agencies such as us and NMFS to

consider environmental impacts in the activities we are pursuing, in this case, the permitting of seismic activities.

We are required under the act to identify reasonable alternatives to the proposed actions and we are required to ensure that the public is duly informed of the activities that we are pursuing and they are given the opportunity to provide input to that decision-making process. And finally, the NEPA process triggers coordination between us and other federal agencies.

In developing the environmental impact statement, some key things to keep in mind. The EIS identifies the purpose, need, and as I mentioned, alternatives that might be pursued in pursuit of the action. We identify impacting factors and we, in essence, estimate what the potential impacts of the action will be. That includes cumulative impacts.

Based on this analysis, we identify mitigations that can occur to limit the environmental impacts. And again, we are involved in consultation with various federal and state agencies.

The EIS process starts with the Notice of Intent to Prepare which you've seen in the Federal Register; a scoping process which includes public and receipt of public comment and conducting public meetings such as this one to provide input to what should be contained in the EIS. And then the preparation of the draft EIS, comments on that draft, and the preparation of a final EIS before a decision can be made.

Again, we're here to receive comments on what you feel should be covered in our environmental impact statement on G&G activities in the Gulf of Mexico and we are gathering this input from a wide variety of sources: industry, environmental groups,

tribal entities, and of course, the general public.

I've already mentioned consultations. Of course, the Marine Mammal Protection Act and Endangered Species Act are two of the big ones that we are required to consult with federal agencies and with that I'd like to turn the podium over to Jill Lewandowski to talk a little bit more specifically about this particular action and seismic activities.

MS. LEWANDOWSKI: Well, I'm going to take a few moments, go through some slides to talk about the content of the activities that we will be looking at under this EIS. One of the bigger questions, one of the big things that tend to pop our are seismic surveys, so we wanted to just start with that here. This is a very oversimplistic representation of what a seismic survey actually is. We do have and if you did not get them on the way in, you have

handouts at the table here and one of those has a lot more detailed information about seismic surveys and other geophysical as well as geological surveys.

You also have a project website that the region also provided on that document, so it's a good place to go get additional information.

Basically, a seismic survey in the context of how it's imaged here is you have a survey, a source vessel, which you can see there. You have a sound source which is in the red and then you have receivers that have a long trail of receivers there. And basically, the sound is emitted towards the bottom, it bounces back up to the receivers and from that information you can get an image subsurface sometimes even at great depths of what may be under there.

There are different kinds of seismic surveys. Some of them are meant to

have sources that will allow you to

penetrate very deeply and maybe look for

hydrocarbon potential. Others will be more

subsurface so that you can look for any

archeological sites or you can even look for

any sort of shallow paths or areas. And

there are multiple different kinds of down

sources that are associated with seismic.

So why are these G&G activities being conducted? Well, for a variety of reasons. Oil and gas is the -- basically to look at the subsurface and see what is the potential for hydrocarbons. Also, if you have an existing lease and you have a production facility usually you use the seismic surveys to look at your reservoir, over time, to see how that has changed.

Before you can go to the sea floor, you use these sorts of surveys again to look for regular shallow gas pockets or any sort of benzene communities or potentially archeological finds. You

certainly don't want to be putting anything or are allowed to put anything on those sites.

And that's the second bullet we have there why you would use the G&G activities.

Renewable energy also uses them in order to look for placement. You want to have a good idea of what that sediment type is in areas where you might want to have a wind farm. You also want to know before you put a turbine in the water that there's not a shallow hazard or gas pocket or an archeological find.

And regarding minerals, it's also used to look at the sand reserves offshore in order to kind of determine what the depth and breadth of them and how they potentially could be useful for beach refurbishment and activities.

So it's used across all of these areas and of course, it depends on what

you're using it for as to what kind of down source you might use and it does -- in some cases you're going to use something that would be a more intense sound source. In other cases, you would use something that would be a little less intense.

So we divided into -- when we're looking at G&G surveys, and we're saying geological and geophysical surveys, in the context right now of what we're looking at with the EIS is we divide it into three categories, but there's the 2D, the 3D and the 4D seismic and that basically differs according to how close your track lines. 4D is basically preparing seismic over time, so preparing the survey from X years ago to this year to look at maybe how your reservoir has changed since then.

There are control source,
electromagnetic technologies, highresolution seismic is generally used or
geophysical is generally used for things to

look at the surface to directly below the surface. All the rest of these are just kind of different ways to get at different aspects of information.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

From the geological standpoint, you might actually have a small test well. You might take a core sample, a sample of the bottom to look at what the sediment is composed of. And you also want to look at emerging technologies in, marine vibroseis being one of them. If there are alternatives that can be used that could be -- I put in quotes here are quieter, that could be used in certain situations, we do want to have a full analysis of that and determine if that is actually plausible and of course, we would want to know looking at that what effects those new technologies may have, all types of marine life.

Our area of interest is as you can see here this is a programmatic document which means that we are looking at this at a

very broad view. From the BOEM perspective our plan is to take this broad look at cumulatively at all of these G&G activities in the Gulf of Mexico and then we would still take a more site-specific look when we have an actual permit at the end or permit request or an on-lease survey request and take another look and use -- and make sure that there's no updated information since that point in time or anything that we may need to adjust. But the purpose of this document is to take a sort of broad, programmatic view.

And that leads us to the next slide where again we want to look at this view, but we want to also include basically the shoreline out. So we can look at -- this is a joint document between BOEM and National Fisheries Services and although BOEM only has jurisdiction on the federal outer continental shelf or federal waters, NMFS has jurisdiction in state waters. So

you want to look at all of those waters and look at them cumulatively so that this document can be used for both agencies, for purposes of both agencies.

Also, we are going to use this document as one of many different things to support rulemaking under the Environmental Protection Act as well as the Endangered Species Act consultation and Jim had a slide there a few minutes ago that showed lots of other support of consultations and coordination that we do and so this EIS will be used to help those efforts, too.

And I mentioned a second ago,
this is a dual document, both NMFS and BOEM
are serving as co-leads on this. For BOEM
we have permitting responsibilities under
the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act. In
some cases, that comes in the form of a
permit if it's off lease. And other cases,
it can be in the form of an approval that's
on lease. And NMFS has authority under the

Marine Mammal Protection Act as well as other components of NMFS that has authority under the Endangered Species Act as well as the Magnuson-Stevens Fisheries Conservation and Management Act and a few others.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

These are the potential resources that we are thinking at this point to analyze. So the scoping period is really meant for you all to give us input. there is something we're missing? Is there a particular one of these that you think we should emphasize at a greater amount? you have information about a particular one of these that you can provide, you think we may not have? So you can see it covers sort of quite of breadth of things from sociological impacts to biological, physical. It covers the entire spectrum then.

And then we also in our EIS we take all of those resources and we think about all the impacting factors, what could

impact these resources? So again, here's another list where we would be looking for input either on something that may be missing or again if you think there's something that warrants additional attention or you have information on something that you want to make sure that we have available to us.

And then what we'll do is we'll look at each of those resource areas and we'll look at each of these impacting factors and we'll try to determine and do an analysis using the best available information on what we think the effect could be to those resources.

Scoping is a way that helps us develop alternatives. Generally those EISs have a no action alternative and then a proposed action alternative and then a suite of other alternatives. They can be a range of whatever we think is something that we can analyze and that could represent an

effective look at, a different way of
looking at this particular action. So we
are also soliciting input on what sorts of
alternatives should we analyze? How should
they be based? And in many cases,
particularly when we're dealing with noiseproducing activities, we do tend to base a
lot of our alternatives on mitigation,
different ways to mitigate that particular
activity.

Here's an example again of ideas.

And these are just ideas. If something is not up here, it doesn't mean it's not an idea, but we will look to you all to also point out if there's something missing, something you have information on, something you think is really important that you would want us to focus on.

And from this scoping period and from our internal agency discussions, we will ultimately come up with a list of alternatives that we will analyze in the

draft EIS and then that's what you'll see when that document comes out and you'll be able to comment on that, too.

Our tentative schedules is shown here. Right now for the EIS, we're looking mid-late 2015. We will have some concurrent processes happening with that, particularly related with the Marine Mammal Protection Act and the Endangered Species Act and some others.

In red there are the areas where we identify to you where there are additional opportunities to provide input on the document.

Again, all of this is stuff will remain up on the project website and you can check that regularly, see what's been updated and see what's coming up.

So with that, I'm going to turn it back to Jim Bennett and Jim is going to give an explanation on how to proceed now with the commenting aspect and again, once

the comments have been done, the formal
comments for the record, you have folks from
NMFS and from BOEM. If you want to
informally have discussions or ask
questions, we're also here to do that.
Thank you.

MR. BENNETT: Thank you, Jill.

And thanks, everyone, for your patience. I

hope you have a better picture now of what

it is we're doing and why. And with that,

we'll open the floor up for comments. I

believe everybody has signed. I have a list
to call.

either side. Just the one on your left-hand side where Jill is right now. The way we proceed is when you're called, you're given three minutes to speak. I don't think that there's so much demand that we won't be able to give a little liberty on that. But if we do have more extensive comments after everyone has had the opportunity to speak,

you can come back and speak again.

You can also submit written comments and you can leave them here, up at the desk, or you can submit them by mail to our regional office in the Gulf of Mexico. The address is there on the screen. I'll leave it up there for you. It's also published in the Federal Register.

And with that, I'd like to say thank you again for being here and thank you for providing us input and we'll start with Steven Fishburn. When you come to speak, state your name and who you're affiliated with so our court reporter can get this in the record. I appreciate it.

MR. FISHBURN: Thank you very much. My name is Steven Fishburn. I appear today on behalf of the International Association of Geophysical Contractors, also known as the IAGC. On behalf of the IAGC and the geophysical industry, I'd like to express our appreciation for this

opportunity to make the following comments.

I'll also be submitting this in written form as well regarding the development of the PEIS for changing activities in the Gulf of Mexico.

The IAGC is an international trade association representing the industry that provides the geophysical acquisition that you've already shortly covered, as well as processing other services in the energy industry including both the conventional and renewable energy sectors.

integral role in the successful exploration of development of offshore oil, natural gas, and alternative energy sites through the acquisition and processing of geophysical data. Geophysical surveys are key tools used in these processes in the sitings of renewable energy facilities. Our surveys are critical to the development of hydrocarbon resources and are one of the

very first tools used in the exploration process aiding E&P companies in their analysis and identification of the most prospective areas for future oil and gas exploration.

Geophysical data is critical to the development of renewable energy as well through the use of high resolution geophysical surveys, geotechnical borings, aid in siting and designing renewal energy facilities.

Geophysical data is also valuable to the Federal Government and even the state governments as BOEM utilizes this data to assess the potential resources of the OCS and to ensure Federal Government receives fair market value and of course, the U.S. public, for those resources. Having modern geophysical data prior to a lease sale allows industry to make more informed bids, resulting in more bids, higher bids, and promoting greater promotion and greater

1 accessibility of the exploration market.

reduces risk, both economic of exploration and production, but also associated with safety and environmental. It reduces the number of wells that need to be drilled in a given area, thus reducing the overall exploration, development, and production footprint. Also, modern geophysical imaging of today is being used more and more to predict drilling risks that can then be better managed or even eliminated as a result.

The geophysical industry has 50 years of experience in the United States
Gulf of Mexico OCS in planning, acquiring,
and processing geophysical data in an
environmentally-responsible manner. During
that time there has been no scientific
evidence that our surveys have resulted in
auditory or physical injury to any marine
mammal or that adversely impact marine

mammal populations. Nevertheless, the industry employs a number of robust mitigation measures to reduce the negligible risk of harm to marine mammals.

It is important to remember that seismic surveys are temporary and transitory and use low frequency and short duration source signals.

Although additional information is needed in some areas, there is a significant amount of scientific information available, many of it funded by government agencies, regarding the potential effects of E&P activities on the marine environment. This information and data from the scientific literature, and not speculation, should be used when assessing potential impacts of G&G activities on the environment.

The alternatives considered in the PEIS should be based only on science and/or observed effects and therefore not

include overly restrictive mitigation
measures such as shutdowns for dolphins in
the exclusion zone, seasonal closures and
large arbitrary and impractical separation
distances between surveys. These are
cautionary mitigation measures that are not
necessary to protect marine mammals.

In the past, BOEM has relied on models and methodology that estimate the number of marine mammal incidental takes resulting from highly exaggerated activity estimates, especially considering the lack of observable injuries, mortalities or population level behavioral effects.

Compounding this problem, the agency's previous take number estimates are only achievable by using acoustic threshold criteria based on obsolete data that does not meet NEPA's requirement to use the best available science. We strongly believe that the DPEIS must be based on the best available science, make use of the

appropriate models and methodologies to estimate incidental takes and fully consider the environmental context when making any determination of environmental consequences.

The IAGC values the stakeholder process and we are committed to participating in a dialogue with all stakeholders to explain what we do, why we do it, and the measures we take to protect the environment.

For any of you who are interested after this, today, I have several educational items from our industry that further explain in greater detail some of the things that Jill presented earlier. And it will show you how our geophysical industry implements these mitigation measures to minimize our impact on the environment.

IAGC wishes to again express our appreciation for this opportunity to voice our support and commitment to work with BOEM

and all other stakeholders in the

development of this PEIS. Thank you very

much.

MR. BENNETT: Thank you, Mr. Fishburn. Appreciate it. Scott Slaughter.

MR. SLAUGHTER: Good afternoon.

My name is Scott Slaughter and I am commenting on behalf of the Center for Regulatory Effectiveness. CRE will file written comments on a number of issues relating to seismic in the Gulf of Mexico. However, we will limit our oral comments today to one issue, sperm whale. The agency's review of seismic in the Gulf of Mexico will have to consider sperm whales because they live in the Gulf and because they are listed under the Endangered Species Act.

In addition, NMFS has decided to consider Gulf sperm whales for listing as a distinct population segment or DPS under the Endangered Species Act. CRE has already

filed comments with NMFS which explained
that the current record does not support a
DPS listing for sperm whales. Consequently,
any DPS listing on the current record would
be arbitrary and would violate the agency's
Information Quality Act guidelines.

NMFS' final recovery plan for the sperm whale provides a detailed discussion of the actions that NMFS is taking to develop a larger record on Gulf sperm whales. These actions will not be completed until Fiscal Year 2016 at the earliest.

During its multi-year study of Gulf sperm whales, NMFS should consider whether sperm whales should continue to be listed as endangered under the Endangered Species Act.

While delisting is not currently on NMFS' agenda for the Gulf, it should be.

The International Union for

Conservation of Nature explained with regard

to the sperm whale and I'm quoting: "The

cause of the population reduction in this

species (commercial whaling) is reversible,
understood, and is not currently in
operation. A peer-reviewed publication
(Whitehead 2002) provides a model-based
estimate of global trend that can be used to
evaluate the population. The results
suggest little chance that the population
would meet the criteria for Endangered or
for Least Concern."

In sum, the current record does not support either a DPS listing or an Endangered Species Act listing for Gulf sperm whales and they seem to be doing fine. Delisting under the Endangered Species Act might be an appropriate action, and the agency should consider delisting as part of its sperm whale studies over the next several years.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment today.

MR. FISHBURN: Thank you, Mr. 22 Slaughter.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Matthew Huelsenbeck.

2 MR. HUELSENBECK: Thank you for 3 holding the public comments. My name is Matt Huelsenbeck. I'm a marine scientist at 4 5 Oceana is the largest international Oceana. nonprofit working solely on ocean 6 7 conservation. Our climate energy team focuses on transitioning the U.S. economy to 8 9 clean energy sources like off-shore wind and 10 stopping the expansion of dangerous offshore 11 oil and gas drilling.

We are concerned that the proposed seismic survey, particularly the use of air guns to search for oil and gas will harm marine resources and it could expand the practice of offshore oil and gas drilling into new areas of the Gulf of Mexico. The noise from air guns is essentially the loudest man-made sounds in the ocean next to dynamite. The blasts from an air gun add over 250 decibels or 100,000 times more intense than a jet engine and

their continuous use every 10 seconds sometimes for days and weeks at a time causes massive acoustic footprints.

Marine life impacts can range from temporary and permanent hearing loss to abandonment of habitat and disruption of vital behaviors like mating and feeding.

Seismic surveys could expand deep water and ultra deep water drilling, the same risky practice that led to the Deepwater Horizon oil spill which killed 11 rig workers, spilled over 2 million gallons of oil, fouled the coastlines, endangered public health and killed thousands of birds, dolphins, and fish.

Unfortunately, accidents and spills are still common on offshore drilling rigs in the Gulf of Mexico. Oceana does not believe that the new safety regulations or fines are robust enough to prevent the next major drilling disaster.

To quote the former director of

BOEM, Michael Bromwich, "the fines are patently inadequate to deterring violations." It should be a top priority for BOEM to protect marine resources and the communities that are still suffering from this massive spill and not repeat the same mistakes that led to the largest accident oil spill in history.

The use of air guns for offshore drilling impacts large areas of oceans and will cause thousands of injuries and distances in protecting marine mammals, including endangered species such as sperm whales which have populations that may sill be struggling from the Deepwater Horizon oil spill.

The Natural Resources Damage

Assessment, NRDA, has made crucial

scientific information inaccessible to BOEM

and the public about how the Deepwater

Horizon oil spill is impacting marine

mammals and other marine life. Without this

vital information, the draft EIS will contain significant gaps as to how the ecological baseline with the Gulf of Mexico has changed following a spill.

We are in the midst of an unprecedented, unusual mortality event for marine mammals in the Gulf, northern Gulf of Mexico. Nine-hundred five citations have been stranded since the initial Deepwater Horizon spill response began. This included many premature and stillborn bottlenose dolphins. This number is likely a fraction of the total amount of marine mammals killed and historical cetacean rates in the region are as low as one to two percent of the total that die in the ocean.

Marine mammal stock assessments in the Gulf of Mexico are outdated and the most recently updated assessment is from 2004. Therefore the impacts of cetacean populations from proposed seismic surveys will be nearly impossible to assess. One

third of all stock assessments in the Gulf of Mexico lack human cause mortality estimates and potential biological removal.

Over half of the stocks are non-conducted stock assessments since before 2009, before the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. So BOEM must fill the gaps in information about marine mammal stocks and how their populations were impacted by the Deepwater Horizon.

The mitigation measures commonly employed by BOEM during seismic surveys are inadequate to avoid repeated disturbance of marine life at great distances. The most common mitigation measures as you mentioned, ramp up procedures, small time area closures, and onboard observers can only limit impacts within very short ranges. These mitigation measures have been referred to as woefully inadequate.

The sound from air guns can travel hundreds to thousands of miles under

water and it is detected across entire ocean basins. BOEM should go beyond these mitigation measures and ban surveys from certain areas, especially areas where no drilling lease sales are scheduled. important alternative, this should be included in the draft EIS should be an exclusion of all seismic air gun surveys in the eastern Gulf of Mexico planning area. And all areas that lack a schedule resale under the Obama administration's five-year plan for outer continental shelf drilling or that lie within the congressional moratorium for drilling.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

This alternative would allow policy makers and residents in Florida more fully engaged in this EIS process and decide whether they want to expand seismic surveys for potential future drilling. If the alternative is not included, BOEM should separate the western central and eastern Gulf of Mexico planning areas into different

1 EIS processes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

BOEM should also include an alternative that requires the least harmful technologies for survey efforts with a concrete pathway to phase out air guns in three to five years. Marine vibroseis, as you mentioned, is an alternative technology that is being commercially tested in 2013 and research for marine vibroseis has been going on for decades. Marine vibroseis is not a panacea, but it will reduce peak sounds by 30 decibels and will eliminate high-frequency sounds above 100 Hertz which will likely have benefits for cetaceans in reducing the number of predicted injuries and disturbances.

BOEM should use this EIS process to establish a regulatory pathway that moves the Gulf of Mexico and all U.S. waters away from harmful air guns to safer alternative technologies for seismic surveying. BOEM should also include an alternative that

requires passive acoustic monitoring, PAM, to detect sounds made by marine mammals prior to and during surveys to supplement visual observations. PAM is a mature technology that's already being applied during offshore activities to avoid marine life impacts. Newer PAM models cover a broad range of species and are more reliable, automatic, and accessible for users.

One field test showed that PAM scored 127 marine mammal detections compared to visual observations that counted 18 marine mammals during the same time period over the same area. Eighty-six percent of the observations were heard, but never seen. This shows that the ineffectiveness of visual observations are a necessity to require PAM technology during surveys. Under this alternative, BOEM should require that surveys should also not be allowed at night or in poor visibility without PAM

technology employed as visual observers are unsuccessful during these times.

In summary, we believe BOEM and NOAA should not move forward with permitting five to ten more years of seismic air gun surveys for oil and gas or expand to offshore drilling to new areas in the Gulf of Mexico which is still recovering from the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. We support the permitting and development of offshore wind, another renewable energy in order to transition the U.S. away from dirty fossil fuels.

If this EIS process does move forward, we believe there's still significant gaps about marine mammal stock assessments and how the ecological baseline of the Gulf of Mexico has changed since the Deepwater Horizon. The alternatives I mentioned are exclusion of the eastern Gulf of Mexico planning area or requirements used, less harmful technologies for seismic

surveying including a phaseout of air guns in three to five years, passive acoustic monitoring before and during surveys, and lastly, no nighttime surveys or surveys conducted in poor visibility.

I want to thank you for your time and on behalf of Oceana and me, we appreciate the opportunity to provide input.

Thanks.

MR. BENNETT: Thank you, Mr.

Huelsenbeck.

Robert Myers.

MR. MYERS: Good afternoon. My name is Robert Myers and I'm the director of Public Affairs with the National Ocean Industries Association or NOIA. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today about the scoping of this draft programmatic environmental impact statement which will support the issuance of permits to conduct geological and geophysical steady activities in the Gulf of Mexico.

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

NOIA is the only national

of the ocean offshore energy industry. We

association which advocates solely on behalf

4 represent about 300 member companies who are

5 dedicated to the safe development of

6 traditional and renewable offshore energy

7 for the continued growth and security of the

8 United States.

Geological and geophysical activities are integral to the development of both traditional and renewable offshore energy sources. Our industry stands ready to invest in additional exploration of the Gulf of Mexico. This DPEIS is a needed first step to begin the process of generating the data that will allow for additional production in the central and western Gulf and the potential for future discoveries in the eastern Gulf should that area be made available for leasing and development in the future.

Offshore energy is a jobs creater

Page 43

and a revenue generator. Currently, the Gulf accounts for over 25 percent of all U.S. domestic oil production. The Bureau of Ocean Energy Management has determined that over a 40-year period the leasing, drilling, and production resulting from the 2012 to 2017, five-year OCS leasing plan will create an additional 20,000 to 52,000 jobs and between \$1.1 and \$2.2 billion in additional income annually.

geological and geophysical surveys, mainly in the form of seismic surveying, will be necessary. Modern offshore, oil and natural gas exploration requires the use of seismic surveys to feasibly and accurately prospect for oil and natural gas reserves offshore. The technology has been used for decades to assess the location and size of potential oil and natural gas deposits which often lay several miles beneath the ocean floor.

Seismic surveys also make offshore energy

production safer and more efficient by greatly reducing the drilling of dry holes where no oil or gas is found to be present.

Seismic surveys allow industry and government to make an informed science-based decisions regarding our oceans.

Safety is always our top priority. We feel BOEM's methodology has highly exaggerated the estimated number of incidental takes.

There is simply no corroborating, observable injuries, mortalities or effects on population to support the conclusions.

Industry has repeatedly
highlighted flaws in the agency's
methodology and acoustic propagation models
use a frequency weighting and acoustic
thresholds that result in take estimates
that vary by several orders of magnitude.
BOEM is simply not using the best available
science. As a result, the potential impacts
are being overstated.

Based on the absence of observed

effects and supporting scientific knowledge
the alternative study in the DPEIS should
not consider overly restrictive mitigation
measures that will inhibit industry from
performing seismic surveys and BOEM from
meeting its goals set out in OCS Lands Act.

In the face of no observable injury or mortality data, no population level behavioral effect, the DPEIS should resist the imposition of more and more unreasonable mitigation measures that require operations to be shut down. Adding dolphins to the list that would shut down operations would present such an unreasonable measure as dolphins will at times intentionally approach seismic vessels to bow-ride in a seemingly normal behavior pattern.

In summary, we feel the DPEIS
must explicitly address the OCS Lands Act
programmatic goal of ensuring the expedited
exploration and development of the outer

continental shelf. And that DPEIS fully addresses and quantify the potential interference with the achievement of that goal posed by any alternative or mitigation measure being considered. For example, if the DPEIS addresses the potential for extending shutdown requirements to mammals other than whales and manatees, or expanding the shutdown zone from the current 500 meters, BOEM needs to qualify the number of hours or shutdown that would result and the implications for the efficacy and timeliness of this seismic survey.

I'd like to reiterate that the oil and natural gas industry has a long history of working with DOI to develop this country's natural resources to the benefit of the U.S. economy and all Americans. Once again, seismic surveys allow industry and government to make an informed, sciencebased decisions regarding our oceans. NOIA appreciates the opportunity to provide this

public statement on behalf of our member companies. And we will be submitting additional written comments prior to the comment deadline.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Thank you for your time.

Thank you, Mr.

Myers. That actually is all of the speakers that have been registered. Is there anyone here who has not spoken yet who would like the opportunity to address the panel?

(No response.)

MR. BENNETT:

Is there anybody here who has spoken who would like to speak again.

(No response.)

If not, I want to remind
everybody that until July 9th the record
will be open for comments on the scope of
this EIS and I want to thank everyone for
coming here and I appreciate the input you
have provided for us and we will be
addressing the comments that you have
provided in the draft EIS that we will

	Page 48
1	prepare soon.
2	And if there are no other
3	comments to be made, the public meeting is
4	concluded and adjourned. Thank you very
5	much.
6	(Whereupon, at 1:49 p.m., the
7	public meeting was concluded.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

$\mathbf{A}$	Adjourn 3:20	Analyst 2:6	authority 16:22	<b>bids</b> 24:20,21,21
abandonment 33:6	adjourned 48:4	<b>analyze</b> 17:8 18:22	17:2	<b>big</b> 9:6,17
able 5:12 20:3	adjust 15:11	19:4,22	automatic 39:9	bigger 9:16
21:19	<b>Administration</b> 1:8	and/or 26:22	available 18:7,13	billion 43:9
absence 44:22	6:5	annually 43:10	26:12 27:20,22	biological 17:17
accessibility 25:1	administration's	<b>anybody</b> 47:12	42:20 44:19	36:3
accessible 39:9	37:11	appear 22:17	avoid 36:13 39:6	Biologist 2:2
accident 34:7	adversely 25:22	applied 39:5	<b>AZA</b> 2:4,11	<b>birds</b> 33:14
accidents 33:16	advocates 42:2	appreciate 22:15		<b>bit</b> 9:9
accounts 43:2	Affairs 41:15	29:5 41:8 47:19	<u>B</u>	<b>blasts</b> 32:20
accurately 43:16	affiliated 22:13	appreciates 46:22	back 10:17 20:20	BLOODWORTH
achievable 27:17	afternoon 29:6	appreciation 22:22	22:1	2:2
achievement 46:3	41:13	28:21	ban 37:3	<b>BOEM</b> 1:19,21,23
acoustic 27:17 33:3	<b>agencies</b> 5:7 6:22	approach 45:16	<b>base</b> 19:7	5:8 15:1,18,20
39:1 41:2 44:15	7:13 8:5 9:7 16:3	appropriate 28:1	based 8:1 19:5	16:15,16 21:3
44:16	16:4 26:13	31:15	26:21 27:18,21	24:14 27:8 28:22
acquiring 25:16	agency 19:20 31:16	approval 16:21	44:6,22 46:21	34:1,4,19 36:6,12
acquisition 23:8,17	<b>agency's</b> 27:16	<b>arbitrary</b> 27:4 30:5	<b>baseline</b> 35:3 40:17	37:2,20 38:2,17
act 6:20,21 7:4 9:5	29:14 30:5 44:14	archeological 11:5	basically 10:9,15	38:21 39:20 40:3
9:5 16:8,9,18 17:1	<b>agenda</b> 30:18	11:22 12:14	11:11 13:13,15	44:19 45:5 46:10
17:3,5 20:9,9	<b>ago</b> 13:16 16:10,14	area 14:20 25:7	15:16	<b>BOEM's</b> 44:8
29:18,22 30:6,16	<b>aid</b> 24:10	36:16 37:9 39:15	basins 37:2	borings 24:9
31:12,14 45:6,20	aiding 24:2	40:21 42:20	beach 12:19	bottlenose 35:11
action 7:18,21 9:10	air 5:11 32:14,18	areas 11:6 12:10,22	began 35:10	<b>bottom</b> 10:16 14:8
18:18,19 19:2	32:21 34:9 36:21	18:10 20:11 24:4	behalf 22:18,20	bounces 10:16
31:15	37:8 38:5,20 40:5	26:10 32:17 34:10	29:8 41:7 42:2	<b>bow-ride</b> 45:17
actions 7:6 30:9,11	41:1	37:4,4,10,22 40:7	47:1	<b>branch</b> 1:22 5:2
<b>activities</b> 4:15 6:17	<b>alarm</b> 4:11	aspect 20:22	behavior 45:17	breadth 12:18
7:2,3,8 8:20 9:11	<b>allow</b> 11:1 37:15	aspects 14:4	behavioral 27:14	17:16
9:15 11:9 12:6,20	42:16 44:4 46:19	assess 24:15 35:22	45:9	<b>BRIAN</b> 2:2
15:3 19:7 23:4	<b>allowed</b> 12:2 39:21	43:19	behaviors 33:7	<b>broad</b> 15:1,2,12
26:14,18 39:6	<b>allows</b> 24:20	assessing 26:17	believe 21:12 27:20	39:8
41:21 42:10	alternative 18:18	assessment 1:21	33:19 40:3,15	<b>Bromwich</b> 34:1
activity 19:10	18:19 23:16 37:6	4:21 34:18 35:19	Ben 1:22 5:3	BRYAN 2:4
27:11	37:15,20 38:3,7	assessments 35:17	beneath 43:21	bullet 12:4
actual 15:6	38:20,22 39:20	36:1,5 40:17	<b>benefit</b> 46:17	<b>bureau</b> 1:2 4:22
add 32:21	45:2 46:4	associated 11:8	benefits 38:14	5:19,20 6:11 43:3
<b>Adding</b> 45:12	alternatives 7:5,17	25:4	43:11	
addition 29:19	14:12 18:17,20	association 2:5,9	<b>Bennett</b> 1:18,21	-
additional 10:8	19:4,8,22 26:20	2:10,12 22:19	3:13 4:3,20 20:20	call 21:13
18:5 20:13 26:9	40:19	23:7 41:16 42:2	21:7 29:4 41:10	called 21:17
42:13,17 43:8,9	American 2:7	Atmosphere 6:4	47:6	<b>CALLEN</b> 2:2
47:3	Americans 46:18	ATMOSPHERIC	benzene 11:21	case 7:2
address 22:6 45:20	amount 17:12	1:8	best 18:13 27:19,21	cases 13:3,5 16:19
47:10	26:11 35:13	attention 18:5	44:19	16:20 19:5
addresses 46:2,6	analysis 8:1 14:15	Auditorium 1:17	better 21:9 25:12	<b>categories</b> 13:12
addressing 47:21	18:13 24:3	auditory 25:21	beyond 37:2	cause 30:22 34:11
_	Ī		Ī	

36:2	34:5	continuous 33:1	43:18	different 10:21
causes 33:3	companies 23:13	Contractors 2:5	decibels 32:21	11:7 14:3,3 16:6
	24:2 42:4 47:2	22:19	38:12	19:1,9 37:22
cautionary 27:6			decide 37:17	differs 13:13
Center 2:13,16	compared 39:12	control 13:19		
29:8	completed 30:11	conventional 23:11	decided 29:19	directly 14:1
central 37:21 42:17	components 17:2	coordination 7:12	decision 8:15	director 33:22
certain 14:14 37:4	composed 14:9	16:12	decisions 44:6	41:14
certainly 12:1	Compounding	<b>core</b> 14:7	46:21	directs 6:21
cetacean 35:14,20	27:15	corner 4:8	decision-making	dirty 40:12
cetaceans 38:14	Concern 31:9	corroborating	7:10	disaster 33:21
chance 31:7	concerned 32:12	44:10	dedicated 42:5	discoveries 42:19
changed 11:17	concluded 48:4,7	counted 39:13	<b>deep</b> 33:8,9	discuss 4:14
13:18 35:4 40:18	conclusions 44:12	country's 46:17	deeply 11:2	discussion 30:8
changing 23:4	concrete 38:5	<b>course</b> 9:1,4 12:22	Deepwater 33:10	discussions 19:20
<b>check</b> 20:17	concurrent 20:6	14:17 24:17	34:15,20 35:9	21:4
<b>chief</b> 1:21,22 4:20	conduct 41:20	<b>court</b> 22:14	36:6,9 40:9,19	disruption 33:6
5:2	conducted 11:10	cover 39:7	delisting 30:17	distances 27:5
citations 35:8	41:5	<b>covered</b> 8:18 23:9	31:14,16	34:12 36:14
<b>clean</b> 32:9	conducting 8:10	<b>covers</b> 17:15,18	<b>demand</b> 21:19	distinct 29:21
climate 32:7	congressional	co-lead 6:3	<b>Department</b> 1:1,6	disturbance 36:13
CLINTON 2:7	37:13	<b>co-leads</b> 16:16	5:21 6:7	disturbances 38:16
<b>close</b> 13:14	consequences 28:4	<b>CRE</b> 29:9,22	depends 12:22	<b>divide</b> 13:11
<b>closures</b> 27:3 36:17	Consequently 30:3	create 43:7	deposits 43:20	divided 13:7
coastlines 33:13	conservation 17:4	creater 42:22	<b>depth</b> 12:17	<b>Division</b> 1:21 4:21
COLIN 2:3	30:20 32:7	<b>criteria</b> 27:18 31:8	<b>depths</b> 10:19	document 10:7
come 19:21 22:1,12	<b>consider</b> 7:1 28:2	<b>critical</b> 23:21 24:6	designing 24:10	14:21 15:12,18
comes 16:19 20:2	29:15,20 30:14	crucial 34:18	desk 22:4	16:3,6,15 20:2,14
<b>coming</b> 20:18	31:16 45:3	CUMMINGS 2:3	<b>detail</b> 28:14	<b>DOI</b> 46:16
47:19	considered 26:20	cumulative 7:22	<b>detailed</b> 10:2 30:8	<b>doing</b> 21:10 31:13
<b>comment</b> 3:18 8:10	46:5	cumulatively 15:3	detect 39:2	dolphins 27:2
20:3 31:20 47:4	considering 27:12	16:2	detected 37:1	33:15 35:12 45:13
commenting 20:22	consult 9:7	current 30:2,4	detections 39:12	45:15
29:8	consultation 1:23	31:10 46:9	determination 28:4	domestic 43:3
<b>comments</b> 8:14,18	5:3 8:4 16:9	currently 30:17	determine 12:17	<b>door</b> 4:9
21:1,2,11,21 22:3	consultations 9:4	31:2 43:1	14:16 18:12	<b>DPEIS</b> 27:21 42:14
23:1 29:10,12	16:11		determined 43:4	45:2,9,19 46:1,6
30:1 32:3 47:3,17	contain 35:2	D	deterring 34:2	<b>DPS</b> 29:21 30:3,4
47:21 48:3	contained 8:12	<b>Damage</b> 34:17	develop 18:17	31:11
<b>Commerce</b> 1:6 6:7	<b>content</b> 5:16 9:14	dangerous 32:10	30:10 46:16	draft 8:13,14 20:1
commercial 31:1	CONTENTS 3:10	data 23:18 24:6,12	developing 7:14	35:1 37:7 41:18
commercially 38:8	context 10:10	24:14,19 25:17	development 6:1	47:22
commitment 28:22	13:10 28:3	26:15 27:18 42:16	6:13 23:3,15,21	drilled 25:6
committed 28:6	continental 6:15	45:8	24:7 25:8 29:2	drilling 25:11
<b>common</b> 33:17	15:21 16:18 37:12	days 33:2	40:10 42:5,10,21	32:11,17 33:9,17
36:15	46:1	deadline 47:4	45:22	33:21 34:10 37:5
commonly 36:11	continue 30:15	dealing 19:6	dialogue 28:7	37:12,14,19 40:7
communities 11:21	continued 42:7	decades 38:10	die 35:16	43:5 44:2
	I	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

duy 44.0	amphasiza 17.12	avaggavated 27.11	<b>file</b> 29:9	46:1
dry 44:2	emphasize 17:12	exaggerated 27:11	filed 30:1	funded 26:12
dual 16:15	<b>employed</b> 36:12			
duly 7:7	40:1	<b>example</b> 19:11 46:5	fill 36:7	further 28:14
duration 26:7	employs 26:2	exclusion 27:3 37:8	final 8:15 30:7	<b>future</b> 24:4 37:19
dynamite 32:20	endangered 9:5	40:20	<b>finally</b> 7:11	42:18,21
	16:8 17:3 20:9	existing 11:14	find 12:14	$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$
earlier 28:15	29:17,22 30:16,16	exit 4:6,10	finds 11:22	gallons 33:12
earliest 30:12	31:8,12,14 33:13	exits 4:7	fine 31:13	gaps 35:2 36:7
eastern 37:9,21	34:13	<b>expand</b> 32:16 33:8	fines 33:20 34:1	40:16
40:20 42:19	energy 1:2 4:22	37:18 40:6	first 4:5,19 24:1	gas 11:11,20 12:13
East-West 1:17	12:7 23:10,12,16	expanding 46:8	42:15	23:15 24:4 32:11
ecological 35:3	23:20 24:7,10	expansion 32:10	Fiscal 30:12	32:14,16 40:6
40:17	32:7,9 40:11 42:3	expedited 45:21	fish 33:15	43:15,17,20 44:3
economic 25:3	42:6,12,22 43:4	expeditious 6:13	Fishburn 2:5 22:12	46:15
economy 32:8	43:22	experience 25:15	22:16,17 29:5	
46:18	engaged 37:17	explain 28:8,14	31:21	gathering 5:15 8:21
educational 28:13	engine 32:22	explained 30:1,20	<b>Fisheries</b> 1:9 2:2	
effect 18:14 45:9	ensure 7:7 24:16	explanation 20:21	5:4 6:5 15:19	general 9:1
	ensuring 45:21	explicitly 45:20	17:4	<b>generally</b> 13:21,22 18:17
effective 19:1	entire 17:18 37:1	exploration 23:14	five 35:8 38:6 40:5	
Effectiveness 2:14	entities 9:1	24:1,5 25:1,3,8	41:2	generating 42:16
2:17 29:9	environment 26:14	42:13 43:15 45:22	five-year 37:11	generator 43:1
effects 14:18 26:13	26:19 28:10,19	express 22:22	43:7	geological 4:14
26:22 27:14 44:11	environmental	28:20	flaws 44:14	10:4 13:9 14:5
45:1	1:21,23 4:15,21	extending 46:7	floor 11:19 21:11	41:21 42:9 43:12
efficacy 46:12	5:2,17 6:14,20 7:1	extensive 21:21	43:21	geophysical 2:5
efficient 44:1	7:14 8:3,19,22	<b>E&amp;P</b> 24:2 26:14	<b>Florida</b> 37:16	4:14 10:3 13:9,22
effort 6:6	16:7 25:5 28:3,4	<b>F</b>	focus 19:18	22:19,21 23:8,17
efforts 16:13 38:4	41:19		focuses 32:8	23:18 24:6,9,12
Eighty-six 39:15	environmentally	face 45:7	folks 5:12 21:2	24:19 25:2,9,14
<b>EIS</b> 6:17,21 7:16	25:18	facilities 23:20	<b>following</b> 23:1 35:4	25:17 28:16 41:21
8:6,12,13,15 9:16	especially 27:12	24:11	footprint 25:9	42:9 43:12
13:11 16:12 17:20	37:4	<b>facility</b> 11:15	footprints 33:3	Geosciences 2:7
20:1,5 35:1 37:7	essence 7:20	factors 7:19 17:22	<b>form</b> 16:19,21 23:2	geotechnical 24:9
37:17 38:1,17	essentially 32:19	18:12	43:13	give 17:9 20:21
40:14 47:18,22	establish 38:18	FAEHNER 2:4	formal 21:1	21:20
EISs 18:17	<b>estimate</b> 7:20 27:9	<b>fair</b> 24:17	<b>former</b> 33:22	given 7:9 21:17
either 18:3 21:15	28:2 31:5	far 4:8	<b>forward</b> 40:4,15	25:7
31:11	estimated 44:9	<b>farm</b> 12:11	<b>fossil</b> 40:12	global 31:5
electromagnetic	<b>estimates</b> 27:12,16	feasibly 43:16	<b>fouled</b> 33:13	<b>go</b> 4:9 9:13 10:7
13:20	36:3 44:17	<b>FEBLES</b> 2:4	<b>found</b> 44:3	11:18 37:2
eliminate 38:12	etcetera 5:11	federal 7:12 8:5,8	fraction 35:12	goal 45:21 46:4
eliminated 25:12	evaluate 31:6	9:7 15:20,21 22:8	frequency 26:7	goals 45:6
<b>emergency</b> 4:5,6,10	event 35:6	24:13,16	44:16	going 9:12 13:3
emerging 14:10	everybody 21:12	<b>feeding</b> 33:7	<b>fuels</b> 40:13	16:5 20:19,20
EMILY 2:4	47:16	feel 8:18 44:7 45:19	<b>full</b> 14:15	38:10
emitted 10:16	evidence 25:20	<b>field</b> 39:11	<b>fully</b> 28:2 37:17	<b>good</b> 10:7 12:9 29:6

41:13	<b>Hertz</b> 38:13	8:3 17:17 26:18	<b>input</b> 4:17 5:16 7:9	35:13
	high 13:20 24:8	33:4 34:10 35:20	8:11,21 17:9 18:3	kind 12:17 13:1
<b>government</b> 24:13 24:16 26:12 44:5	U	36:18 39:7 44:20	19:3 20:13 22:11	14:3
	higher 24:21			
46:20	highlighted 44:14	implements 28:17	41:8 47:19	kinds 10:21 11:7
governments 24:14	highly 27:11 44:8	implications 46:12	Institute 2:7	know 5:9 12:11
great 10:19 36:14	Highway 1:17	important 19:17	integral 23:14	14:17
greater 17:12	high-frequency	26:5 37:6	42:10	knowledge 45:1
24:22,22 28:14	38:13	imposition 45:10	<b>intense</b> 13:4,6	known 22:20
greatly 44:2	historical 35:14	impossible 35:22	32:22	<b>KOCH</b> 2:7
groups 8:22	history 34:8 46:16	impractical 27:4	Intent 8:7	KRISTINE 2:8
growth 42:7	holding 32:3	inaccessible 34:19	intentionally 45:16	
guidelines 30:6	holes 44:2	inadequate 34:2	interest 14:20	
<b>Gulf</b> 4:16 6:18 8:20	<b>HOLLY</b> 2:15	36:13,20	interested 28:11	lack 27:12 36:2
15:4 22:5 23:4	HOLMES 2:6	incidental 27:10	interference 46:3	37:10
25:16 29:11,14,16	<b>hope</b> 21:9	28:2 44:9	<b>Interior</b> 1:1 5:21	Lands 16:18 45:6
29:20 30:10,13,18	Horizon 33:10	<b>include</b> 15:16 27:1	internal 19:20	45:20
31:12 32:17 33:18	34:15,21 35:10	38:2,22	international 2:5	large 27:4 34:10
35:3,7,7,18 36:1	36:6,10 40:9,19	included 35:10	22:18 23:6 30:19	<b>larger</b> 30:10
37:9,22 38:19	hours 46:11	37:7,20	32:5	largest 32:5 34:7
40:7,18,20 41:22	Huelsenbeck 2:6	<b>includes</b> 7:21 8:9	introductions 3:12	lastly 41:4
42:14,18,19 43:2	32:1,2,4 41:11	including 23:11	4:19	<b>LAURA</b> 2:12
gun 32:21 37:8	<b>human</b> 36:2	34:13 41:1	<b>invest</b> 42:13	Laws 1:22 5:3
40:5	hundreds 36:22	<b>income</b> 43:10	involved 8:4	lay 43:20
guns 32:14,18 34:9	hydrocarbon 11:3	<b>Industries</b> 2:9,10	issuance 41:20	leads 15:14
36:21 38:5,20	23:22	2:12 41:16	issue 29:13	lease 11:14 16:20
41:1	hydrocarbons	industry 8:22	issues 29:10	16:22 24:19 37:5
<b>G&amp;G</b> 4:14 8:19	11:13	22:21 23:7,11	items 28:13	leasing 42:20 43:5
11:9 12:5 13:8		24:20 25:14 26:2		43:7
15:3 26:18	I	28:13,17 42:3,12	J	leave 22:3,7
	IAGC 22:20,20	44:4,13 45:4	jet 32:22	led 33:10 34:7
Н	23:6,13 28:5,20	46:15,19	<b>Jill</b> 1:22 3:16 5:1	left-hand 21:15
habitat 6:10 33:6	idea 12:9 19:14	ineffectiveness	9:9 21:7,16 28:15	level 27:14 45:9
half 36:4	ideas 19:11,12	39:17	<b>Jim</b> 1:18,21 2:16	Lewandowski 1:22
handouts 10:1	identification 24:3	informally 21:4	3:13 4:20 16:9	3:16 5:1 9:9,12
hands 5:9	identifies 7:16	information 10:2,8	20:20,20	liberty 21:20
happening 20:7	identify 7:5,19 8:2	10:18 14:4 15:9	jobs 42:22 43:8	lie 37:13
harm 26:4 32:15	20:12	17:13 18:6,14	joint 15:18	life 14:19 33:4
harmful 38:3,20	image 10:18	19:16 26:9,11,15	Jolie 5:7	34:22 36:14 39:7
40:22	image 10:10	30:6 34:19 35:1	July 47:16	limit 8:2 29:12
Harrison 5:7	imaging 25:2,9	36:7	JUNE 1:14	36:18
hazard 12:13	impact 4:16 5:17	informed 7:7 24:20	jurisdiction 15:20	lines 13:14
health 33:14	7:15 8:19 18:1	44:5 46:20	15:22	list 18:2 19:21
heard 39:16	25:22 28:18 41:19			21:12 45:13
hearing 33:5	impacted 36:9	inhibit 45:4	K	listed 29:17 30:15
held 1:17	impacting 7:19	initial 35:9	<b>KATE</b> 2:2	listing 29:20 30:3,4
help 5:12 16:13	17:22 18:11 34:21	<b>injuries</b> 27:13	keep 7:15	31:11,12
helps 18:16	impacts 7:1,20,22	34:11 38:15 44:11	key 7:15 23:18	literature 26:16
10.10	mpacts /.1,20,22	<b>injury</b> 25:21 45:8	<b>killed</b> 33:11,14	111C1 atul C 20.10
			Milea 33.11,14	

<b>little</b> 9:9 13:6 21:20	6:5,9 9:4 14:10,19	42:14	6:20 15:19 41:15	number 5:5 25:6
31:7	17:1 20:8 25:21	Michael 34:1	42:1	26:2 27:10,16
live 29:16	25:22 26:4,14	microphones 21:14	nation's 6:9	29:10 35:12 38:15
living 6:9	27:7,10 32:4,15	midst 35:5	natural 23:15	44:9 46:10
location 43:19	33:4 34:4,12,21	mid-late 20:6	34:17 43:14,17,20	77.7 70.10
long 10:14 46:15	34:22 35:7,13,17	miles 36:22 43:21	46:15,17	0
look 11:2,4,5,12,16	36:8,14 38:6,9,10	million 33:12	Nature 30:20	<b>Obama</b> 37:11
11:20 12:8,16	39:2,6,12,14	mind 7:16	nearly 35:22	observable 27:13
13:17 14:1,8,9	40:16	minerals 12:15	necessary 27:7	44:10 45:7
15:2,5,8,15,17	market 24:17 25:1	minimize 28:18	43:14	observations 39:4
16:1,2 18:10,11	Maryland 1:18	minutes 16:10	necessity 39:18	39:13,16,18
19:1,14	massive 33:3 34:6	21:18	need 7:16 15:11	observed 26:22
looking 9:15 13:8	mating 33:7	missing 17:10 18:4	25:6	44:22
13:10 14:17,22	Matt 32:4	19:15	needed 26:10 42:14	observers 36:17
18:2 19:2 20:5	<b>Matthew</b> 2:6 32:1	mistakes 34:7	needs 46:10	40:1
loss 33:5	mature 39:4	mitigate 19:9	negligible 26:3	obsolete 27:18
lot 10:2 19:8	mean 19:13	mitigation 19:8	NEPA 2:6 6:19	occur 8:2
lots 16:10	means 14:22	26:3 27:1,6 28:17	7:11	ocean 1:2 2:9,10,12
loudest 32:19	meant 10:22 17:9	36:11,15,19 37:3	NEPA's 27:19	4:22 32:6,20
low 26:7 35:15	measure 45:15	45:3,11 46:4	never 39:16	35:16 37:1 41:15
LYNCH 2:8	46:5	mitigations 8:2	Nevertheless 26:1	42:3 43:4,21
	measures 26:3 27:2	MNFS 2:6	new 14:18 32:17	Oceana 2:3,6 32:5
M	27:6 28:9,18	models 27:9 28:1	33:19 40:7	32:5 33:18 41:7
magnitude 44:18	36:11,15,19 37:3	39:7 44:15	Newer 39:7	Oceanic 1:8 5:20
Magnuson-Stevens	45:4,11	model-based 31:4	NICOLETTE 2:9	6:4,11
17:4	meet 27:19 31:8	modern 24:18 25:2	night 39:22	oceanous 6:1
mail 22:4	meeting 1:12,17	25:9 43:14	nighttime 41:4	oceans 34:10 44:6
<b>major</b> 33:21	45:6 48:3,7	moments 9:13	Nine-hundred 35:8	46:21
makers 37:16	meetings 4:19 5:15	monitoring 39:1	NMFS 6:22 15:22	OCS 24:15 25:16
making 28:3	8:11	41:3	16:15,22 17:2	43:7 45:6,20
mammal 9:4 17:1	member 23:13 42:4	moratorium 37:13	21:3 29:19 30:1,7	office 22:5
20:8 25:22 26:1	47:1	mortalities 27:13	30:9,14,17	offshore 12:16
27:10 35:17 36:8	mentioned 5:14	44:11	NMFS/NOAA 1:22	23:15 32:10,16
39:12 40:16	7:17 9:3 16:14	mortality 35:6 36:2	NOAA 1:17,19 2:2	33:17 34:9 39:6
mammals 5:11	36:15 38:7 40:20	45:8	5:8 40:4	40:7,10 42:3,6,11
26:4 27:7 34:12	met 1:17	move 40:4,14	<b>NOIA</b> 41:16 42:1	42:22 43:14,17,22
34:22 35:7,13	meters 46:10	moves 38:18	46:21	off-shore 32:9
39:2,14 46:7	methodologies 28:1	multiple 11:7	noise 19:6 32:18	oil 11:11 23:15 24:4
managed 25:12	methodology 27:9	multi-year 30:13	nonprofit 32:6	32:11,14,16 33:11
Management 1:2	44:8,15	Myers 2:10 41:12	non-conducted	33:12 34:8,15,21
4:22 5:20 6:12	<b>Mexico</b> 4:16 6:18	41:13,14 47:7	36:4	36:6 40:6,9 43:3
17:5 43:4	8:20 15:4 22:5		normal 45:17	43:14,17,20 44:3
manatees 46:8	23:5 25:16 29:11	N	northern 35:7	46:15
mandate 6:12	29:15 32:18 33:18	name 4:20 22:13,17	Notice 8:7	<b>OLSON</b> 2:11
manner 25:18	35:3,8,18 36:2	29:7 32:3 41:14	NPS 2:4	onboard 36:17
<b>man-made</b> 32:19	37:9,22 38:19	national 1:8,9 2:9	NRDA 34:18	once 20:22 46:18
marine 1:9 5:4,11	40:8,18,21 41:22	2:10,12 5:3 6:4,5	NSF 2:15	<b>ones</b> 9:6
	,,			
	I	I	I	I

potentially 11:22 32:13 35:21 raise 5:9 on-lease 15:7 people 5:9 open 21:11 47:17 percent 35:15 12:18 prospect 43:16 **ramp** 36:16 practice 32:16 Opening 3:12 39:15 43:2 prospective 24:4 range 18:20 33:4 33:10 39:8 operation 31:3 performing 45:5 **protect** 27:7 28:9 operations 45:12 **period** 17:8 19:19 predict 25:11 34:4 **ranges** 36:18 45:14 39:14 43:5 predicted 38:15 protecting 34:12 rates 35:14 opportunities permanent 33:5 premature 35:11 **Protection** 9:5 16:8 ready 42:12 20:13 **permit** 15:6,6 preparation 8:13 17:1 20:8 **real** 6:19 opportunity 7:9 16:20 8:14 **provide** 7:9 8:11 realize 43:11 21:22 23:1 28:21 **permits** 41:20 **prepare** 8:7 48:1 17:14 20:13 41:8 really 17:8 19:17 31:19 41:8.17 **permitting** 6:17 7:3 preparing 6:21 46:22 reasonable 7:5 16:17 40:4,10 13:15.16 reasons 11:11 46:22 47:10 provided 10:6 oral 29:12 perspective 15:1 present 1:19 2:1 47:20.22 receipt 8:9 **provides** 23:8 30:8 order 12:8.17 **phase** 38:5 44:3 45:14 receive 8:17 40:11 phaseout 41:1 **Presentation** 3:16 31:4 receivers 10:14,15 orderly 6:13 physical 17:18 presented 28:15 providing 22:11 10:17 receives 24:16 **orders** 44:18 25:21 presiding 1:18 **public** 1:12 3:18 outdated 35:18 picture 21:9 prevent 33:20 7:7 8:9.10.10 9:2 record 21:2 22:15 outer 6:15 15:21 **place** 10:7 previous 27:16 24:18 32:3 33:13 30:2,4,10 31:10 16:18 37:12 45:22 placement 12:8 **prior** 24:19 39:3 34:20 41:15 47:1 47:16 overall 25:7 **plan** 15:2 30:7 47:3 48:3.7 recovering 40:8 overly 27:1 45:3 37:12 43:7 **priority** 34:3 44:7 **publication** 31:3 recovery 30:7 overseeing 5:22 planning 25:16 **problem** 27:15 published 22:8 **red** 10:13 20:11 overstated 44:21 37:9.22 40:21 procedures 36:16 **purpose** 7:16 15:11 **reduce** 26:3 38:11 plausible 14:16 **proceed** 20:21 purposes 16:4 **reduces** 25:3,5 P **play** 23:13 21:17 pursued 7:18 reducing 25:7 **PAM** 39:1.4.7.11 please 5:9 **process** 7:10,11 8:6 **pursuing** 6:17 7:2,8 38:15 44:2 39:19.22 reduction 30:22 **pocket** 12:13 8:9 24:2 28:6 pursuit 7:18 panacea 38:11 **referred** 36:19 pockets 11:20 37:17 38:17 40:14 **put** 12:2.12 14:13 panel 5:1 47:10 podium 9:8 42:15 putting 12:1 refurbishment **part** 31:16 **point** 15:10 17:7 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-... processes 20:7 12:19 participating 28:7 19:15 23:19 38:1 4:1 **regard** 30:20 particular 9:10 **policy** 2:8 6:20 processing 23:10 **p.m** 1:18 4:2 48:6 regarding 12:15 17:11.13 19:2.9 23:17 25:17 23:3 26:13 44:6 37:16 0 particularly 19:6 producing 19:7 poor 39:22 41:5 46:21 20:7 32:13 **qualify** 46:10 production 11:15 **region** 4:17 10:6 **pop** 9:17 **passive** 39:1 41:2 quality 5:11 30:6 35:14 population 27:14 25:4,8 42:17 43:3 patently 34:2 quantify 46:2 regional 22:5 29:21 30:22 31:6 43:6 44:1 questions 5:10 9:16 **paths** 11:6 31:7 44:12 45:8 programmatic **Register** 8:8 22:8 pathway 38:5,18 21:5 populations 26:1 4:15 14:21 15:13 registered 47:8 **patience** 4:4 21:8 quickly 6:19 34:14 35:21 36:9 41:18 45:21 regular 11:20 **pattern** 45:18 quieter 14:13 **posed** 46:4 **project** 10:5 20:16 regularly 20:17 **peak** 38:11 **quite** 17:16 **potential** 7:20 11:3 promoting 24:22 regulations 33:19 peer-reviewed 31:3 **quote** 33:22 11:13 17:6 24:15 promotion 24:22 regulatory 2:8,13 **PEIS** 23:4 26:21 **quotes** 14:13 26:13,17 36:3 prompted 6:16 2:16 29:9 38:18 29:2 quoting 30:21 37:19 42:18 43:19 reiterate 46:14 propagation 44:15 penetrate 11:2 **proposed** 7:6 18:19 related 20:8 44:20 46:2,6 R

relating 29:11	47:11,14	27:20,22 44:5,20	shelf 6:15 15:21	13:4 36:21
reliable 39:9	responsibilities	46:20	16:18 37:12 46:1	sounds 32:19 38:12
relied 27:8	16:17	scientific 25:19	Shell 2:8	38:13 39:2
remain 20:16	responsible 5:22	26:11,16 34:19	shoreline 15:17	source 10:11,12
Remarks 3:12	6:1,8	45:1	<b>short</b> 26:7 36:18	13:2,4,19 26:8
remember 26:5	rest 4:8 14:2	scientist 32:4	shortly 23:9	sources 8:22 11:1,8
<b>remind</b> 47:15	restrictive 27:1	scope 5:16 47:17	show 28:16	32:9 42:12
removal 36:3	45:3	scoping 1:12 4:18	<b>showed</b> 16:10	speak 21:18,22
renewable 12:7	result 25:13 44:17	5:15 8:8 17:8	39:11	22:1,12 41:17
23:12,20 24:7	44:20 46:11	18:16 19:19 41:18	shown 20:4	47:13
40:11 42:6,11	resulted 25:20	scored 39:12	shows 39:17	speakers 47:7
renewal 24:10	resulting 24:21	Scott 2:13 29:5,7	<b>shut</b> 45:12,13	Specialist 2:8
repeat 34:6	27:11 43:6	screen 22:6	shutdown 46:7,9	specialists 5:6
repeated 36:13	results 31:6	sea 11:18	46:11	<b>species</b> 9:5 16:9
repeatedly 44:13	revenue 43:1	<b>search</b> 32:14	shutdowns 27:2	17:3 20:9 29:17
reporter 22:14	reversible 31:1	seasonal 27:3	side 21:15,16	29:22 30:16 31:1
represent 18:22	<b>review</b> 29:14	second 12:4 16:14	signals 26:8	31:12,14 34:13
42:4	<b>rig</b> 33:11	seconds 33:1	signed 21:12	39:8
representation	<b>right</b> 4:6,9 13:10	sectors 23:12	significant 26:11	specifically 9:10
9:20	20:5 21:16	security 42:7	35:2 40:16	spectrum 17:18
representing 23:7	<b>rigs</b> 33:18	sediment 12:9 14:8	<b>sill</b> 34:14	speculation 26:16
request 15:7,7	risk 25:3 26:4	see 10:12 11:12,17	Silver 1:18	<b>sperm</b> 29:13,15,20
require 39:19,20	risks 25:11	14:21 17:15 20:1	SIMONDS 2:12	30:3,8,10,13,14
45:12	<b>risky</b> 33:9	20:17,18	simplistic 9:20	30:21 31:13,17
<b>required</b> 7:4,6 9:6	<b>Robert</b> 2:10 41:12	seemingly 45:17	<b>simply</b> 44:10,19	34:13
requirement 27:19	41:14	seen 8:8 39:16	sites 11:5 12:3	<b>spill</b> 33:11 34:6,8
requirements	<b>robust</b> 26:2 33:20	segment 29:21	23:16	34:16,21 35:4,10
40:21 46:7	role 23:14	<b>seismic</b> 6:18 7:3	site-specific 15:5	36:6 40:9
requires 6:22 38:3	<b>room</b> 5:7	9:11,18,20 10:3,9	<b>siting</b> 24:10	spilled 33:12
39:1 43:15	rooms 4:8	10:22 11:8,16	sitings 23:19	<b>spills</b> 33:17
resale 37:10	rulemaking 16:7	13:13,15,21 26:6	situation 4:5	<b>spoken</b> 47:9,13
research 38:9	<u> </u>	29:11,14 32:13	situations 14:14	Spring 1:18
reserves 12:16		33:8 35:21 36:12	size 43:19	stakeholder 28:5
43:17	safe 6:1 42:5	37:8,18 38:21	<b>Slaughter</b> 2:13	stakeholders 28:8
reservoir 11:16	<b>safeguards</b> 6:14 <b>safer</b> 38:20 44:1	40:5,22 43:13,15	29:5,6,7 31:22	29:1
13:18	safety 4:4 25:5	43:22 44:4 45:5	<b>slide</b> 15:15 16:9	standpoint 14:5
residents 37:16	33:19 44:7	45:16 46:13,19	<b>slides</b> 9:14	stands 42:12
resist 45:10	sale 24:19	separate 37:21	small 14:6 36:16	start 9:18 22:11
resolution 13:21	sales 37:5	separation 27:4	<b>SMITH</b> 2:15	starts 8:6
24:8	sample 14:7,7	<b>Service</b> 1:9 5:4	sociological 17:17	state 8:5 15:22
resource 18:10	sample 14.7,7	services 6:6 15:19	solely 32:6 42:2	22:13 24:13
resources 6:2,9,15	salu 12.10 saying 13:8	23:10	soliciting 19:3 soon 48:1	statement 4:16
17:6,21 18:1,15	schedule 37:10	serving 16:16		5:17 7:15 8:19
23:22 24:15,18	scheduled 37:5	set 4:10 21:14 45:6 seven 4:18 5:15	sort 11:6,21 15:12 17:15	41:19 47:1
32:15 34:4,17 46:17	schedules 20:4	seven 4:18 5:15 shallow 11:6,20	sorts 11:19 19:3	States 5:21 25:15 42:8
response 35:10	science 2:8 26:21	12:13	sorts 11:19 19:3 sound 10:12,15	steady 41:21
response 33.10	50101100 2.0 20.21	12.13	Suana 10.12,13	steauy 41.21
	l	l	l	l

step 42:15	41:3,4,4 43:12,16	thresholds 44:17	unreasonable	37:18 41:6 47:15
STEVE 2:11	43:22 44:4 45:5	THURSDAY 1:14	45:11,15	47:18
Steven 2:5 22:12,17	46:19	time 11:17 13:15	unsuccessful 40:2	wanted 9:18
stewardship 6:8	40.19	15:10 25:19 33:2	unusual 35:6	warrants 18:5
stillborn 35:11		36:16 39:14 41:6	unusual 35.0 updated 15:9 20:18	water 12:12 33:8,9
stock 35:17 36:1,5	table 3:10 10:1	47:5	35:19	37:1
40:16	take 9:13 14:7 15:2	timeliness 46:12	use 11:15,19 12:5	waters 15:21,22
stocks 36:4,8	15:5,8,12 17:21	times 32:22 40:2	13:2,3,5 15:8 16:5	16:1 38:19
stocks 30.4,8 stopping 32:10	27:16 28:9 44:17	45:16	24:8 26:7 27:19	way 9:22 18:16
stopping 32.10 stranded 35:9	takes 27:10 28:2	today 5:1 22:18	27:22 32:14 33:1	19:1 21:16
strongly 27:20	44:9	25:10 28:12 29:13	34:9 38:17 43:15	ways 14:3 19:9
struggling 34:15	talk 9:9,14	31:20 41:17	44:16	website 10:5 20:16
studies 31:17	team 32:7	tools 23:18 24:1	useful 12:19	weeks 33:2
studies 31.17 study 30:13 45:2	technical 5:6	top 34:3 44:7	users 39:10	weeks 55.2 weighting 44:16
stuff 20:15	technologies 13:20	<b>TOPHER</b> 2:6	uses 12:7	<b>welcome</b> 3:12 4:3
<b>subject</b> 6:13	14:10,18 38:4,21	total 35:13,16	usually 11:15	4:12
submit 22:2,4	40:22	TOZZI 2:16	utilizes 24:14	wells 25:6
submitting 23:2	technology 38:7	track 13:14	U.S 1:1,6 24:17	wens 25.0 western 37:21
47:2	39:5,19 40:1	trade 23:7	32:8 38:19 40:12	42:18
subsurface 10:18	43:18	traditional 42:6,11	43:3 46:18	we'll 18:9,9,11,12
11:4,12	<b>TED</b> 2:18	trail 10:14	43.3 40.10	21:11 22:11
successful 23:14	temporary 26:6	transition 40:12	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	we're 4:13,17 5:22
suffering 34:5	33:5	transition 40.12	V 2:10	8:17 13:7,8,10
suggest 31:7	ten 40:5	transitory 26:6	valuable 24:12	17:10 19:6 20:5
suite 18:19	tend 9:17 19:7	travel 36:22	value 24:17	21:5,10
sum 31:10	tentative 20:4	trend 31:5	values 28:5	whale 29:13 30:8
summary 40:3	test 14:6 39:11	tribal 9:1	variety 8:21 11:10	30:21 31:17
45:19	tested 38:8	triggers 7:11	various 8:4	whales 29:15,20
supplement 39:3	thank 4:4,12 21:6,7	try 18:12	vary 44:18	30:3,11,14,15
support 16:7,11	22:10,10,16 29:2	<b>TUPPER</b> 2:18	vessel 10:11	31:13 34:14 46:8
28:22 30:2 31:11	29:4 31:19,21	turbine 12:12	vessels 45:16	whaling 31:1
40:9 41:20 44:12	32:2 41:6,10,16	turn 9:8 20:19	vibroseis 14:10	Whitehead 31:4
supporting 45:1	47:5,6,18 48:4	two 9:6 35:15	38:6,9,10	wide 8:21
sure 15:8 18:7	thanks 21:8 41:9	type 12:9	view 15:1,13,16	wind 12:11 32:9
surface 14:1,2	things 7:15 9:17	types 14:19	violate 30:5	40:10
survey 9:21 10:9,11	13:22 16:6 17:16	types 14.17	violations 34:3	wishes 28:20
13:16 15:7 32:13	28:15	U	visibility 39:22	woefully 36:20
38:4 46:13	think 17:11,14,21	ultimately 19:21	41:5	work 28:22
surveying 38:21	18:4,14,21 19:17	ultra 33:9	visual 39:4,13,18	work 28.22 workers 33:11
41:1 43:13	21:18	understood 31:2	40:1	working 5:18 6:3
surveys 9:18 10:3,4	thinking 17:7	Unfortunately	vital 33:7 35:1	32:6 46:16
10:22 11:16,19	third 36:1	33:16	voice 28:21	written 22:2 23:2
13:8,9 23:18,20	thousands 33:14	<b>Union</b> 30:19		29:10 47:3
24:9 25:20 26:6	34:11 36:22	United 5:21 25:15	W	
27:5 33:8 35:21	three 13:11 21:18	42:8	want 4:12 12:1,8,10	X
36:12 37:3,8,18	38:6 41:2	unprecedented	12:11 14:9,15,17	<b>X</b> 13:16
39:3,19,21 40:6	threshold 27:17	35:6	15:15,16 16:1	
37.3,17,21 70.0			18:7 19:18 21:3	Y
	<u> </u>	l	l	

				Page 37
	I	I	I	1
year 13:17 30:12	<b>4D</b> 13:13,14			
years 13:16 25:15	<b>40-year</b> 43:5			
31:18 38:6 40:5	<b>48</b> 3:20			
41:2				
11.2	5			
$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	<b>50</b> 25:14			
zone 27:3 46:9	<b>500</b> 46:9			
Zone 27.3 40.9				
\$	<b>52,000</b> 43:8			
	9			
<b>\$1.1</b> 43:9				
<b>\$2.2</b> 43:9	<b>9</b> 3:16			
	<b>9th</b> 47:16			
1				
<b>1:00</b> 1:18				
<b>1:08</b> 4:2				
<b>1:49</b> 48:6				
<b>10</b> 33:1				
<b>100</b> 38:13				
<b>100,000</b> 32:21				
<b>11</b> 33:11				
<b>127</b> 39:12				
<b>1301</b> 1:17				
<b>18</b> 39:13				
2				
<b>2</b> 33:12				
<b>2D</b> 13:12				
<b>20</b> 1:14				
<b>20,000</b> 43:8				
<b>2002</b> 31:4				
<b>2004</b> 35:20				
<b>2009</b> 36:5				
<b>2012</b> 43:6				
<b>2013</b> 1:14 38:8				
<b>2015</b> 20:6				
<b>2016</b> 30:12				
<b>2017</b> 43:7				
<b>22</b> 3:18				
<b>25</b> 43:2				
<b>250</b> 32:21				
<b>250</b> 52,21				
3				
<b>3D</b> 13:12				
<b>30</b> 38:12				
<b>300</b> 42:4				
4				
<b>4</b> 3:13				
	1	1	ı	1

## <u>CERTIFICATE</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Scoping Meeting

Before: NOAA

Date: 06-20-13

Place: Silver Spring, MD

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac 1 ans 8