DIGITAL SUPPLEMENT E^{\dagger}

Model fit and model selection information.

Table E1. Model fit and selection statistics for non-zero count data in (a) Spring, (b) Summer, (c) Fall, (d) Winter. Maximum likelihood estimates of the best-fitting parameters for each of the top three candidate distributions are shown for each species. Model selection statistics (AICc and log-likelihood values) are also given. For each species, the top three models are shown ranked from lowest to highest AICc. The top-ranked model (lowest AIC) was used for subsequent analyses (see Tables 4 and 5 in main document). Species appear in the same order within each season as in Table 4 of the main document. [Pages 2-10]

Figures E1-E74. Model fit plots. Maximum likelihood model fits (lines) and observed probabilities (black dots) for non-zero count data for all modeled species. Fits are shown for the top four models, ranked in the legend from lowest to highest AICc. Plots are presented grouped by season, with species appearing in the same order within each season as in Table 4 of the main document: [Pages 11-88]

Figures E1-E19. Spring [Pages 12-30]

Figures E20-E37. Summer [Pages 32-49]

Figures E38-E59. Fall [Pages 51-72]

Figures E60-E74. Winter [Pages 74-88]

[†]A digital file supporting OCS Study BOEM 2012-101 / NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS NCCOS 158

Citation for main document:

Kinlan, B.P., E.F. Zipkin, A.F. O'Connell, and C. Caldow. 2012. Statistical analyses to support guidelines for marine avian sampling: final report. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Office of Renewable Energy Programs, Herndon, VA. OCS Study BOEM 2012-101. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS NCCOS 158. xiv+77 pp.

Table E1a. Model fit and selection statistics for non-zero count data in **Spring**. Maximum likelihood estimates of the best-fitting parameters for each of the top three candidate distributions are shown for each species. Model selection statistics (AICc and log-likelihood values) are also given. For each species, the top three models are shown ranked from lowest to highest AICc. The top-ranked model (lowest AIC) was used for subsequent analyses (see Table 4a in main document).

Species	Model	Rank	AICc	Parameter estimate(s)
•				Parameter 1	Parameter 2, if applicable
herg	Discretized lognormal	1	20473.0	0.138	1.857
- 0	Zeta decay	2	20644.9	1.422	0.006
	Yule	3	20699.0	0.711	
noga	Discretized lognormal	1	13042.5	-0.367	1.870
	Yule	2	13114.1	0.835	
	Zeta decay	3	13116.6	1.526	0.008
gbbg	Yule	1	10908.7	0.892	
	Discretized lognormal	2	10912.5	-1.453	2.217
	Zeta decay	3	10951.7	1.667	0.002
			10701.7	1.007	
nofu	Discretized lognormal	1	8987.8	-0.387	2.095
	Yule	2	9047.6	0.722	
	Zeta decay	3	9050.3	1.497	0.003
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1.177	0.005
wisp	Discretized lognormal	1	7004.0	0.009	1.683
-	Yule	2	7067.6	0.836	
	Zeta decay	3	7090.8	1.539	0.006
colo	Discretized lognormal	1	3151.4	0.027	1.104
	Zeta decay	2	3161.3	1.254	0.157
	Logarithmic	3	3166.0	0.803	
ltdu	Discretized lognormal	1	6568.3	2.328	1.639
	Zeta decay	2	6848.9	1.112	0.001
	Logarithmic	3	6869.6	0.998	
sosh	Discretized lognormal	1	2974.3	-3.227	2.683
	Yule	2	2974.5	0.937	
	Zeta decay	3	2976.8	1.705	0.002
susc	Discretized lognormal	1	5152.7	2.099	1.519
	Negative binomial	2	5158.6	14.639	0.236
	Zeta decay	3	5160.3	0.739	0.016
blki	Discretized lognormal	1	2201.0	-0.779	1.716

	Zeta decay	2	2202.0	1.579	0.029
	Yule	3	2208.2	1.086	
coei	Discretized lognormal	1	4984.9	1.924	2.461
	Zeta decay	2	5031.7	1.149	0.000
	Logarithmic	3	5066.2	0.999	
grsh	Discretized lognormal	1	2665.4	0.552	1.554
81511	Zeta decay	2	2688.5	1.267	0.020
	Logarithmic	3	2706.5	0.962	0.020
	Discretized lognormal	1	2645.7	0.762	1.589
wwsc		2	2643.7	1.186	0.019
	Zeta decay Logarithmic	3	2603.0	0.970	0.019
razo	Negative binomial	1	2506.8	3.586	0.324
	Zeta decay	2	2507.1	0.621	0.089
	Discretized lognormal	3	2511.6	1.171	1.178
lagu	Discretized lognormal	1	1100.6	-0.460	1.199
0	Zeta decay	2	1103.5	1.626	0.119
	Yule	3	1104.0	1.687	
cote	Discretized lognormal	1	1610.8	0.307	1.392
	Zeta decay	2	1621.6	1.295	0.043
	Logarithmic	3	1629.8	0.925	
reph	Discretized lognormal	1	3629.3	2.580	2.324
Teph	Zeta decay	2	3681.9	1.092	0.000
	Yule	3	3755.1	0.307	0.000
				1.605	0.070
rtlo	Zeta decay		926.5	1.695	0.078
	Discretized lognormal Yule	2 3	<u>927.2</u> 927.3	-0.846	1.419
	Y ule	3	921.5	1.348	
blsc	Discretized lognormal	1	1541.1	1.141	1.619
	Logarithmic	2	1542.3	0.979	
	Zeta decay	3	1544.2	1.030	0.020

Table E1b. Model fit and selection statistics for non-zero count data in **Summer**. Maximum likelihood estimates of the best-fitting parameters for each of the top three candidate distributions are shown for each species. Model selection statistics (AICc and log-likelihood values) are also given. For each species, the top three models are shown ranked from lowest to highest AICc. The top-ranked model (lowest AIC) was used for subsequent analyses (see Table 4b in main document).

Species	Model	Rank	AICc	Parameter estimate(s	s)
•				Parameter 1	Parameter 2, if applicable
wisp	Discretized lognormal	1	31045.0	0.304	1.875
1	Zeta decay	2	31332.9	1.392	0.005
	Yule	3	31436.8	0.667	
grsh	Discretized lognormal	1	22485.0	0.292	1.929
0	Yule	2	22760.5	0.650	
	Zeta decay	3	22859.8	1.488	0.001
gbbg	Discretized lognormal	1	8336.0	-0.150	1.368
00	Yule	2	8401.2	1.164	
	Zeta decay	3	8451.4	1.670	0.021
herg	Yule	1	6930.4	1.217	
- 0	Discretized lognormal	2	6934.8	-0.938	1.670
	Zeta decay	3	6977.0	1.816	0.008
		-			
cosh	Discretized lognormal	1	6353.0	-0.921	1.848
	Yule	2	6362.0	1.021	
	Zeta decay	3	6383.3	1.683	0.008
sosh	Yule	1	4852.5	0.958	
	Zeta	2	4857.8	0.783	
	Zeta decay	3	4859.6	1.781	0.000
lesp	Discretized lognormal	1	3283.8	-0.648	1.642
	Yule	2	3289.8	1.114	
	Zeta decay	3	3308.3	1.720	0.011
cote	Discretized lognormal	1	3021.2	-0.626	1.784
	Yule	2	3029.3	0.974	
	Zeta decay	3	3035.5	1.607	0.012
				1.007	
noga	Yule	1	1653.3	1.879	
- D -	Zeta decay	2	1653.9	1.997	0.055
	Discretized lognormal	3	1654.6	-1.702	1.565
			100		
lagu	Discretized lognormal	1	2075.7	-0.074	1.334

	Zeta decay	2	2087.4	1.447	0.060
	Yule	3	2097.3	1.151	
nofu	Discretized lognormal	1	2047.6	-0.848	1.852
	Yule	2	2047.8	0.996	
	Zeta decay	3	2060.3	1.693	0.005
ltdu	Discretized lognormal	1	3559.4	1.771	1.545
1144	Negative binomial	2	3580.9	7.265	0.112
	Zeta decay	3	3582.4	0.879	0.015
susc	Negative binomial	1	3128.7	8.166	0.187
susc	Zeta decay	2	3128.9	0.785	0.023
	Discretized lognormal	3	3139.9	1.710	1.530
coei	Discretized lognormal	1	3101.1	1.642	2.525
	Zeta decay	2	3102.4	1.115	0.001
	Logarithmic	3	3111.5	0.998	
colo	Geometric	1	734.4	0.621	
	Discretized lognormal	2	735.3	0.103	0.686
	Zeta decay	3	736.1	0.219	0.871
aush	Yule	1	987.3	1.442	
	Discretized lognormal	2	990.8	-1.330	1.653
	Zeta decay	3	992.8	1.887	0.020
wwsc	Logarithmic	1	1551.5	0.964	
	Zeta decay	2	1551.8	1.109	0.029
	Discretized lognormal	3	1552.0	0.717	1.584
r070	Discretized lognormal	1	1398.6	1.023	1.326
razo	Logarithmic	2	1404.2	0.959	1.520
	Negative binomial	3	1404.2	1.688	0.088
	negative unionilal	ر ا	1403.4	1.000	0.000

Table E1c. Model fit and selection statistics for non-zero count data in **Fall**. Maximum likelihood estimates of the best-fitting parameters for each of the top three candidate distributions are shown for each species. Model selection statistics (AICc and log-likelihood values) are also given. For each species, the top three models are shown ranked from lowest to highest AICc. The top-ranked model (lowest AIC) was used for subsequent analyses (see Table 4c in main document).

Species	Model	Rank	AICc	Parameter estimate(s	\$)
•				Parameter 1	Parameter 2, if applicable
herg	Discretized lognormal	1	25829.0	0.298	1.655
	Zeta decay	2	26099.0	1.403	0.011
	Yule	3	26194.8	0.762	
grsh	Discretized lognormal	1	26166.9	1.168	1.623
0	Zeta decay	2	26509.0	1.145	0.011
	Logarithmic	3	26584.9	0.984	
gbbg	Discretized lognormal	1	17027.0	-0.315	1.819
00	Yule	2	17124.4	0.851	
	Zeta decay	3	17144.0	1.541	0.007
noga	Discretized lognormal	1	10575.7	-0.143	1.481
8	Zeta decay	2	10648.1	1.515	0.030
	Yule	3	10667.2	1.041	
blki	Discretized lognormal	1	7584.7	0.036	1.583
	Zeta decay	2	7654.8	1.481	0.016
	Yule	3	7663.0	0.888	
cosh	Discretized lognormal	1	5178.4	-0.449	1.727
	Zeta decay	2	5203.1	1.560	0.015
	Yule	3	5203.6	0.956	
nofu	Discretized lognormal	1	4855.0	-0.347	1.657
	Yule	2	4885.8	0.974	
	Zeta decay	3	4905.2	1.631	0.009
wisp	Yule	1	3312.4	1.034	
	Discretized lognormal	2	3316.1	-1.051	1.888
	Zeta decay	3	3330.9	1.719	0.005
		-			
colo	Discretized lognormal	1	2130.0	-0.081	1.018
	Zeta decay	2	2133.5	1.249	0.232
	Logarithmic	3	2134.7	0.736	
		-			
ltdu	Discretized lognormal	1	5898.6	2.021	1.556

	Negative binomial	2	5904.9	12.954	0.195
	Zeta decay	3	5907.7	0.785	0.015
lagu	Discretized lognormal	1	2814.7	0.097	1.375
	Zeta decay	2	2825.6	1.340	0.056
	Logarithmic	3	2843.7	0.900	
susc	Negative binomial	1	5942.7	23.612	0.263
	Zeta decay	2	5943.8	0.713	0.011
	Discretized lognormal	3	5961.7	2.412	1.620
coei	Zeta decay	1	5037.9	1.075	0.001
	Discretized lognormal	2	5042.3	1.838	2.471
	Logarithmic	3	5043.0	0.998	
wwsc	Discretized lognormal	1	3502.5	1.240	1.647
	Logarithmic	2	3513.8	0.983	
	Zeta decay	3	3515.2	1.037	0.016
poja	Logarithmic	1	878.8	0.467	
	Zeta decay	2	880.8	0.983	0.770
	Discretized lognormal	3	883.1	-0.280	0.758
cote	Discretized lognormal	1	2250.0	-0.044	1.898
	Zeta decay	2	2251.5	1.368	0.012
	Yule	3	2267.8	0.736	
razo	Discretized lognormal	1	1931.5	1.322	1.216
	Negative binomial	2	1946.8	4.062	0.232
	Zeta decay	3	1948.2	0.737	0.057
	¥				
blsc	Discretized lognormal	1	2108.4	1.278	1.679
	Logarithmic	2	2120.1	0.985	
	Zeta decay	3	2120.9	1.065	0.012
rtlo	Zeta decay	1	978.5	1.692	0.033
	Discretized lognormal	2	981.7	-2.144	2.039
	Yule	3	983.0	1.238	
dove	Discretized lognormal	1	1412.0	1.142	1.532
	Logarithmic	2	1416.5	0.976	
	Zeta decay	3	1418.5	1.018	0.023
lesp	Discretized lognormal	1	655.4	-0.644	1.334

	Yule	2	655.4	1.560	
	Zeta decay	3	656.2	1.671	0.084
aush	Yule	1	747.7	1.193	
	Discretized lognormal	2	747.9	-0.855	1.653
	Zeta decay	3	751.9	1.732	0.018

Table E1d. Model fit and selection statistics for non-zero count data in **Winter**. Maximum likelihood estimates of the best-fitting parameters for each of the top three candidate distributions are shown for each species. Model selection statistics (AICc and log-likelihood values) are also given. For each species, the top three models are shown ranked from lowest to highest AICc. The top-ranked model (lowest AIC) was used for subsequent analyses (see Table 4d in main document).


Species	Model	Rank	AICc	Parameter estimate(s))
-				Parameter 1	Parameter 2, if applicable
herg	Discretized lognormal	1	13107.2	-0.455	1.874
	Yule	2	13166.1	0.857	
_	Zeta decay	3	13206.0	1.582	0.005
blki	Discretized lognormal	1	14747.3	0.595	1.591
	Zeta decay	2	14964.2	1.346	0.010
	Yule	3	15045.1	0.705	
_					
gbbg	Yule	1	11283.1	0.866	
	Discretized lognormal	2	11303.4	-1.815	2.386
	Zeta decay	3	11330.8	1.665	0.001
noga	Discretized lognormal	1	8098.9	-0.738	1.844
- 8	Yule	2	8113.8	0.966	
	Zeta decay	3	8163.5	1.691	0.003
		-			
nofu	Discretized lognormal	1	7452.8	0.659	1.742
	Zeta decay	2	7546.9	1.322	0.007
	Yule	3	7596.3	0.635	
		-			
ltdu	Discretized lognormal	1	11186.1	2.559	1.492
	Negative binomial	2	11485.2	26.140	0.155
	Zeta decay	3	11495.9	0.842	0.006
susc	Discretized lognormal	1	9014.7	2.647	1.497
	Negative binomial	2	9078.6	30.537	0.309
	Zeta decay	3	9084.8	0.669	0.010
coei	Discretized lognormal	1	8136.6	1.847	2.648
	Zeta decay	2	8182.3	1.143	0.000
	Yule	3	8315.5	0.336	NA
razo	Discretized lognormal	1	5013.1	1.310	1.254
	Negative binomial	2	5023.7	4.188	0.244
	Zeta decay	3	5025.4	0.716	0.058
wwsc	Discretized lognormal	1	5489.1	1.334	1.626

	Zeta decay	2	5545.7	1.070	0.012
	Logarithmic	3	5547.4	0.986	
1.	Discusting the second	1	22(1.0	0.024	0.000
colo	Discretized lognormal	1	2261.8	-0.024	0.990
	Zeta decay	2	2278.3	1.315	0.206
	Logarithmic	3	2282.0	0.742	
dove	Discretized lognormal	1	2067.4	0.207	1.627
	Zeta decay	2	2076.7	1.346	0.021
	Yule	3	2093.1	0.802	
blsc	Zeta decay	1	2579.0	1.145	0.011
	Logarithmic	2	2584.3	0.983	
	Negative binomial	3	2587.7	0.602	0.010
bogu	Yule	1	1627.6	0.854	
	Discretized lognormal	2	1627.8	-2.297	2.547
	Zeta decay	3	1628.1	1.614	0.004
rtlo	Yule	1	855.1	1.928	
	Zeta decay	2	855.6	1.854	0.101
	Discretized lognormal	3	856.1	-1.109	1.360

Figures E1 to E74. Model fit plots. Maximum likelihood model fits (lines) and observed probabilities (black dots) for non-zero count data for all modeled species. Fits are shown for the top four models, ranked in the legend from lowest to highest AICc.


Figures E1 to E19. Model fit plots for SPRING season:

herg




Page 12 of 88

noga




Page 13 of 88

gbbg




nofu




Page 15 of 88

wisp




Page 16 of 88

colo




Page 17 of 88

ltdu




Page 18 of 88

sosh




Page 19 of 88




Page 20 of 88

blki




Page 21 of 88

coei





grsh




Page 23 of 88


WWSC






lagu







Page 27 of 88

reph



Page 28 of 88






Page 30 of 88

Figures E1 to E74. Model fit plots. Maximum likelihood model fits (lines) and observed probabilities (black dots) for non-zero count data for all modeled species. Fits are shown for the top four models, ranked in the legend from lowest to highest AICc.


Figures E20 to E37. Model fit plots for SUMMER season:

wisp




Page 32 of 88

grsh




Page 33 of 88

gbbg




Page 34 of 88


herg



cosh




sosh




Page 37 of 88


lesp




cote



noga





lagu




Page 41 of 88

nofu






Page 43 of 88




Page 44 of 88

coei




Page 45 of 88

colo




aush




Page 47 of 88

WWSC



Page 48 of 88




Page 49 of 88


Figures E1 to E74. Model fit plots. Maximum likelihood model fits (lines) and observed probabilities (black dots) for non-zero count data for all modeled species. Fits are shown for the top four models, ranked in the legend from lowest to highest AICc.

Figures E38 to E59. Model fit plots for FALL season:

herg




grsh




Page 52 of 88

gbbg




Page 53 of 88


noga



blki




cosh




Page 56 of 88

nofu




Page 57 of 88

wisp




Page 58 of 88

colo




ltdu




Page 60 of 88

lagu




Page 61 of 88




Page 62 of 88

coei





WWSC




Page 64 of 88


poja







Page 66 of 88








dove




Page 70 of 88

lesp



aush




Page 72 of 88


Figures E1 to E74. Model fit plots. Maximum likelihood model fits (lines) and observed probabilities (black dots) for non-zero count data for all modeled species. Fits are shown for the top four models, ranked in the legend from lowest to highest AICc.

Figures E60 to E74. Model fit plots for WINTER season:


herg




blki



gbbg




noga




Page 77 of 88


nofu



Page 78 of 88





Page 79 of 88



Page 80 of 88


coei






Page 82 of 88

WWSC




Page 83 of 88


colo



Page 84 of 88

dove





bogu

