

Technical Report Number 42



Lower Cook Inlet Petroleum Development Scenarios Economic and Demographic Analysis The United States Department of the Interior was designated by the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Lands Act of 1953 to carry out the majority of the Act's provisions for administering the mineral leasing and development of offshore areas of the United States under federal jurisdiction. Within the Department, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has the responsibility to meet requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) as well as other legislation and regulations dealing with the effects of offshore development. In Alaska, unique cultural differences and climatic conditions create a need for developing additional socioeconomic and environmental information to improve OCS decision making at all governmental levels. In fulfillment of its federal responsibilities and with an awareness of these additional information needs, the BLM has initiated several investigative programs, one of which is the Alaska OCS Socioeconomic Studies Program (SESP).

The Alaska OCS Socioeconomic Studies Program is a multi-year research effort which attempts to predict and evaluate the effects of Alaska OCS Petroleum Development upon the physical, social, and economic environments within the state. The overall methodology is divided into three broad research components. The first component identifies an alternative set of assumptions regarding the location, the nature, and the timing of future petroleum events and related activities. In this component, the program takes into account the particular needs of the petroleum industry and projects the human, technological, economic, and environmental offshore and onshore development requirements of the regional petroleum industry.

The second component focuses on data gathering that identifies those quantifiable and qualifiable facts by which **OCS-induced** changes can be assessed. The critical community and regional components are identified and evaluated. Current endogenous and exogenous sources of change and functional organization among different sectors of community and regional life are analyzed. Susceptible community relationships, values, activities, and processes also are included.

ę.

£.

ŝ.

The third research component focuses on an evaluation of the changes that could occur due to the potential oil and gas development. Impact evaluation concentrates on an analysis of the impacts at the statewide, regional, and local level.

In general, program products are sequentially arranged in accordance with **BLM's** proposed OCS lease sale schedule, so that information is timely to decisionmaking. Reports are available through the National Technical Information Service, and the **BLM** has a limited number of copies available through the Alaska OCS Office. Inquiries for information should be directed to: Program Coordinator (COAR), Socioeconomic Studies Program, Alaska OCS Office, P. O. Box 1159, Anchorage, Alaska 99510.

ALASKA OCS SOCI OECONOMI C STUDI ES PROGRAM

LOWER COOK INLET PETROLEUM DEVELOPMENT SCENARIOS: ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

PREPARED FOR

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT ALASKA OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF OFFICE

DOCUMENT IS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC THROUGH THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE 5285 PORT ROYAL ROAD SPRINGFIELD> VIRGINIA 22161

NOTI CE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Alaska Outer Continental Shelf Office, in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its content or use thereof.

ALASKA OCS SOCI OECONOMIC STUDIES PROGRAM LOWER COOK INLET PETROLEUM DEVELOPMENT SCENARIOS: ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

Prepared by Ted Lane and Barbara Withers Institute of Social and Economic Research University of Alaska

February 1980

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LI ST	OF	TABLES	. .				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	vii	
LIST	Г OF	FI GUR	ES				-	•	•	•	•	•	٠	хі	
I NTI	RODUCT	TION.					•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	
	Backgi The" Study	round. Purpc y Desi	se of gn .	the Stu	dy .	•	•	•	• •	•	• •	• •	•	1 2 3	
THE	ALASKA	AN ECON	OMY, 19	65-1970	6		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	15	
	me	ECONU	on nd Demog Per C api of Stat y Since of the	: 17//.	• •	•	`	`	•	•	•	• • • • • • • • •		15 17 20 35 38 40 43 46	
THE	Durn	oso of	NOMY IN the E sumption Economy e Econor Growth	aso C	250					• • •	• • • •	х х х х	•	97 108	
LOWE	Defi	ni ti on	OCS DEVE and Me Lower Cc	easuren	nent.			•	•	•	•	x x x	•	115 115 116	
THE I N	THE I Stat Stat State	LOWER ewi de l ewi de l ewi de P	CT OF OC COOK IN Employma Populati ersonal e and Ex	NLET. ent Imp ion Imp Income	 pacts pacts Impac	 		•	•	• • • •	•	× × ×	•	129 1 134 138 141	3

Regional Impacts High Scenario Impacts Exploration-Only Scenario Impacts Summary and Conclusion	• • •	• ?45 • 152 • 156 • 158
SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS	•	. 161
State Expenditure Rule. ••••• Labor Force Participation and Seasonality •••	•	. 161 . 163
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	•	. 169
APPENDIX A: HISTORICAL GROWTH, 1965-1976 • •	•	, 171
APPENDIX B: METHODS, STANDARDS, AND ASSUMPTIONS TO BE USED IN THE LOWER COOK INLET OCS STATEWIDE AND REGIONAL ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC IMPACT ANALYSIS • •	•	. 177
APPENDIX C: ASSESSMENT OF RECENT CHANGES IN THE MAP ECONOMETRIC MODEL	•	. 207
APPENDIX D: SELECTED MODEL OUTPUT	•	. 221
REFERENCES	•	. 255

LIST OF TABLES

۱.	Growth of Employment, Population, Per Capita and Personal Income, Alaska, 1965-1977	•	•	16
2.	Population Growth, Alaska, 1965, 1970-1977	•	•	18
3.	Alaska Population, Age-Sex Distribution, 1970 and 1976 .	•	•	19
4.	Alaska Economic Growth by Sector, 1965-1976		•	23
5.	Alaska Fisheries Activity, 1970-1977	•	•	28
6.	The Effect of Structural Change, Alaska, 1965-1976 . •	•	•	29
7.	Distribution of Employment, Alaska, 1965, 1970, 1975, and 1976	•		31
8.	The Economic Structure of Small States	•	•	32
9.	Economic Structure of Small States, 1977	•	•	33
10.	Unemployment, Alaska and United States, 1965-1976 . •			36
11.	Seasonal ity of Employment, Alaska, 1950, 1960, 1965, 1970, 1975, and 1976		-	37
12.	Anchorage Consumer Price Index	•	•	39
13.	State Real Per Capita Operating and Capital Expenditures, 1970-1977.	•	•	42
14.	Population Growth, Anchorage and Alaska, 1950-1977 .	•	•	49
15.	Industrial Composition, Vertical Distribution, Anchorage, Alaska, and United States	•	•	52
16.	Anchorage Industrial Composition, Percent of State . •	•	•	53
17.	Anchorage Basic Sector Growth, 1965, 1970, 1973, 1975, and 1976.	•	•	55
18.	Growth in Personal Income, Anchorage and Alaska, 1965-1977	•	•	56
19.	Employment Growth, Anchorage and Alaska, 1965-1977	•		58
20.	Anchorage and Alaska Unemployment, 1965, 1970-1976	•	•	59

21.	Anchorage Population Growth, 1965, 1970-1977	•	61
22.	Population Growth, Southcentral Alaska, 1965, 1970-1977.	•	63
23.	Growth of Employment, Population, and Personal Income, Southcentral Region, 1965-1976	•	65
24.	Employment by Industry, Southcentral Alaska	•	67
25.	Estimated Fish Harvesting Employment and Value of Catch .	•	69
26.	Basic Sector Growth, Southcentral Alaska, 1965, 1970, 1973, 1975, and 1976	•	71
27.	Employment Distribution by Industry, Southcentral Alaska and Alaska, 1965, 1970, and 1976	•	• 73
28.	Alaska and Southcentral Alaska Unemployment, 1965, 1970-1976.	•	75
29.	Growth of Real Per Capita Income, Southcentral Alaska, 1965, 1970-1976.	٠	77
30.	Aggregate Indicators, Small Economies, 1965, 1970, and 1976.	•	79
31.	Distribution of Intrastate Flows of Freight and Mail from Southcentral Origins, 1973	•	82
32.	Lower Cook Inlet Employment Scenarios	•	93
33.	Beaufort Sea OCS Employment Scenarios	•	94
34.	Northern Gulf OCS Employment Scenarios	•	95
35.	Western Gulf OCS Employment Scenarios	•	96
36.	Aggregate Indicators of Economic Growth, Alaska, 1978-2000	•	98 �
37.	The Components of Population Change, Alaska, 1978-2000	•	100
38.	Changes in Employment by Sector	٠	102
39.	Structure of Employment, Alaska, 1978-2000	•	104
40.	Construction Sector, Alaska, 1978-2000	•	105
41.	State Government Expenditures, Moderate Base Case, Alaska, 1978-2000	•	107
42.	Aggregate Economic Indicators, Moderate Base Case, Anchorage, 1978-2000	•	9

43.	Economic and Demographic Structure, Moderate Base Case, Anchorage, 1978-2000	•	•	•	110
44.	Aggregate Economic Indicators, Moderate Base Case, Southcentral, 1978-2000.	•	•		112
45.	Economic and Demographic Structure, Moderate Base Case, Southcentral	•	٨		113
46.	Direct Employment Requirements, Exploration-Only Scenario, Lower Cook, Sale 60	•	٨		118
47.	Direct Employment Requirements, Medium-Find Scenario, Lower Cook, Sale 60	•	٨		121
48.	Direct Employment Requirements, High-Find Scenario, Lower Cook, Sale 60	•	٨		126
49.	Lower Cook Inlet, OCS Employment Impacts, State of Alaska, Moderate Development Scenario .	•	X		131
50.	Distribution of OCS Employment Impacts, State of Alaska, Moderate Development Scenario .	•	٨		133
51.	Lower Cook Inlet, OCS Population Impacts, State of Alaska, Moderate Development Scenario .	•	Ð		136
52.	Lower Cook Inlet, OCS Real Income Impacts, State of Alaska, Moderate Development Scenario .	•	•		139
53.	Lower Cook Inlet, OCS Fiscal Impacts, State of Alaska, Moderate Development Scenario .	•	•		142
54.	Lower Cook Inlet, OCS Impacts on the Anchorage Region, Moderate Development Scenario	•	•		146
55.	Lower Cook Inlet, OCS Impacts on the Southcentral Region, Moderate Development Scenario •	•	•		149
56.	Differential Growth Impacts of Lower Cook Inlet OCS Development, State of Alaska, High-Find Scenario	•	•	ı	153
57.	Differential Growth Impacts of Lower Cook Inlet OCS Development, State of Alaska, Exploration-Only Scenario	•	•		157
58.	Sensitivity of Forecasts to SEAR Adjustments, State of Alaska.	-	•		164
59.	Sensitivity of Forecasts to Seasonal Adjustments, State of Alaska	•	•		167

Х

LIST OF FIGURES

•

1.	Structure	oft	he	Basi c	MAP	Mod	el	•		•	•	•	6
2.	MAP Reg	ji ons.				•							9
3.	Al aska (Census	Di	vision	S.								48





I. INTRODUCTION

Background

Because of its high potential as a source of **oil** and gas, the U.S. Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) figures significantly in the future energy program of the United States, and Alaska is particularly important to the OCS program.

Alaska historically has played a small:ole in the U.S. energy supply. Through 1974, Alaska's oil output has accounted for only one percent of the total cumulative petroleum production in the United States (U.S. Geological Survey, 1975).

However, Alaska accounts for over one-fourth of the identified oil and gas reserves in the United States. An estimated one-third of all undiscovered recoverable domestic oil reserves are in the state, and it has been projected that by 1985 over 25 percent of total domestic crude oil production could be from Alaska (Federal Energy Administration, 1976).

Over 60 percent of the estimated undiscovered OCS reserves in the United States are in Alaska (U.S. Geological Survey, 1975). The development of Alaska's petroleum reserves is also important to the state economy. Changes produced by past petroleum development in the state have been major. The rapid changes in the Alaska economy associated with developments in Upper Cook Inlet and Prudhoe Bay created strains on the Alaskan society and environment. At the same time, these developments generated the most prosperous economic period in the state's history as well as prospects of continued prosperity through the next decade.

The Purpose of the Study

This study is part of the Bureau of Land Management's Alaska OCS Socioeconomic Studies Program. The objective of this program is to assess the potential impacts of proposed lease sales in the federal offshore areas of Alaska. The study of the impacts of OCS development in the Lower Cook Inlet is one of a series of studies describing lease sale Already completed is a study of the impact of the joint impacts. federal-state sale in the Beaufort Sea (ISER, 1978) and the sales in the Northern Gulf and Western Gulf (ISER, 1979); future studies will be conducted for lease sales in the Bering Sea-Norton Sound. The studies program is concerned with many aspects of OCS impact on many different The major objective of this study is to examine only a portion l evel s. of OCS impact, the statewide and regional economic and demographic impacts.

To achieve this objective, **ISER** will provide a series of economic and population forecasts through 2000 under several alternative scenarios for petroleum development in the Lower Cook **Inlet**. By contrasting these forecasts with a base case forecast, which does not include the proposed

development, it is **possible** to assess the major dimensions of the impacts of OCS development on population, employment, income, and the state's fiscal position.

Study Design

This study consists of three major parts: a baseline study of the economies of the state and its Gulf of Alaska region, a base case projection describing the future economy without Lower Cook development, and an examination of the impact of Lower Cook development. This section describes the relationship of each of these parts to the impact assessment and the methodology chosen to make the necessary projections.

EXAMINATION OF PAST ECONOMIC GROWTH

Examining the past growth of the Alaska economy and the economy of the Gulf of Alaska region provides an understanding of the way the economy works. This type of examination is implicit in the development of economic models. Making this analysis explicit will emphasize those aspects of economic growth which are important. The two aspects of the economy which will be emphasized in such a process are the important causes of growth and the economic relationships which transfer growth between sectors of the economy. An examination of the historical period will provide an indication of the types of response we can expect to QCS petroleum development. In addition, the historical growth and development of these economics provide a point of comparison for future economic growth, both QCS and non-QCS related.

THE BASE CASE

Petroleum development in the Lower Cook Inlet will affect both the structure and size of the Alaska economy. Changes in the economy which result from the development of the OCS resources (or its impacts) can be described as changes from the pattern of economic growth which would have occurred without OCS development. The non-OCS base case is developed to provide a reference point for the analysis of the impacts of OCS development. Comparing a projection of economic activity with OCS development to the base case will isolate the impacts of development.

THE ROLE OF SOCIOECONOMIC PROJECTIONS

Projections serve two important purposes--they serve as a means of determining future demands and needs for services, and they allow policy makers to test the alternative effects of various policies. They increase the information available to decision makers. Many present policy choices have important future imp⁻ ications which must be considered. For example, current policy decis⁻ ons regarding Lower Cook OCS petroleum development will have their major effect in the middle of the next decade. By providing descriptions of the most probable future (or futures) socioeconomic projections serve as a framework for making policy choices.

METHODOLOGY

This section describes the methodology used to make the projections of Alaskan economic growth in both the base case and OCS development cases.

Two econometric models, statewide and regional, are used to make the projection. This section will describe the models used and their strengths and weaknesses.

The Statewide Econometric Model

The basic model to be utilized in the analysis of the OCS development scenarios is the statewide econometric model of the Alaskan economy developed in the Man-in-the-Arctic Program (MAP) presently being conducted by the Institute of Social and Economic Research of the University of Alaska. There are three components of this model: an economic model, a fiscal model, and a demographic model. The basic structure of the model is shown in Figure 1.

The economic model is divided into exogenous or basic sectors and endogenous or nonbasic sectors. The level of output in the exogenous sectors is determined outside the state's economy. The primary reason for the nonbasic sector is to serve local Alaskan markets, so the level of output is determined within the Alaskan economy. The basic industries in the model are mining, agriculture-forestry-fisheries, manufacturing, federal government, and the exogenous component of construction. The nonbasic industries are transportation-communication-util ities, wholesale and retail trade, finance-insurance-real estate, services, and the remainder of construction.



In the model, industrial production determines the demand for labor and employment; employment is that level needed to produce the required output. Employment and the wage rate determine wages and salaries, the most important component of personal income. The Alaskan labor market is an open one with equilibrium achieved through migration of individuals.

Because of this, the most important determinant of Alaskan wage rates is U.S. wage rates; wages are also affected by rapid growth of employment in Alaska. An estimate of **disposable** personal income is made by adding an estimate of nonwage income to wages and salaries and adjusting this by deducting income taxes. The **level** of real disposable income is found by deflating disposable personal income by a relative price index; the major determinants of Alaskan prices are U.S. prices, the size of the economy, and the growth rate of the economy. Incomes determine the demand for local production; incomes and output are simultaneously determined.

Population is determined based upon a projection of each of its components--births, deaths, and migration. The model uses **age-sex-race**specific survival rates and age-race-specific fertility rates to project births and deaths for the civilian population. Total civilian population is found by adding civilian net migration to the natural increase. Net migration is determined by the relative economic opportunities in Alaska. In the model, these are described by employment changes and the

Alaskan real per capita income relative to the real per capita income of the United States. An exogenous estimate of military population is added **to** determine total population.

The fiscal model, which provides important pieces of information for the economic model, also provides a framework for analyzing the effects of alternate fiscal policies. The fiscal model calculates personal tax payments in order to derive disposable personal income. The fiscal model, based on an assumed state spending rule, also calculates personnel expenditures, state government employment, and the amount spent on capital improvements which determines a portion of employment in the construction industry. All three' submodels are linked through their requirement for information produced by the other submodels.

The Regional Econometric Model

The regional model provides an allocation of employment, income, and population in the state to seven regions of the state. These regions are shown in Figure 2. The economic component is similar in each region to that of the state model. The major difference is that some regional economies are influenced by economic activity in other regions; the most notable of these is Anchorage. The demographic component of the regional model is much simpler than that component of the state model. Regional population is estimated as a function of employment. Regional population is estimated in two components--enclave and **nonenclave** population. A



weighted average of the nonenclave population to nonenclave employment ratio for the state and the lagged value in the region is multiplied by the **nonenclave** employment to estimate **nonenclave** population in the The weights are based on the proportion of state populacurrent year. tion in the region. Enclave employment is added to nonenclave population to determine total regional population. Enclave employment includes the military and major construction projects such as the trans-Alaska pipeline. The regional model has no fiscal component and must accept an exogenous pattern of wage and salary payments to state and local govern-Usually the pattern of wage and salary payments used is ment workers. taken from a similar state model projection. Estimates of regional' employment, population, and income in the regional model are constrained to total to equivalent variables from the state model results.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

The models used in this analysis have several strengths and weaknesses ' which must be considered when examining the reported results. The principal strength of these models is that they capture the essence of the Alaska growth process. Export base industries and government create growth directly through hiring and indirectly through the demand generated by their employees for locally produced goods and services. Incomes earned by these export base workers and the workers who supply the goods and services provide the base of the economy. Compared to two alternative forms, the economic base and input-output models, the econometric specification of this type is preferred, since it captures the dynamics of

industry growth. The economic base model is useful for projecting marginal changes but assumes that changes **in** the support sector are proportional to changes in basic sector employment. This misses both the feedback effect of the growth of the support sector incomes and the change in the responsiveness of the support industries over "time. While input-output models more precisely define the interindustry flows of purchases of goods and services, it represents the economy only at a particular point in time. The econometric approach can capture some of the changing relationships over time, and these are described by historic changes or incorporated by the modeler.

The limits on the econometric method define the limits on the acceptance of the resulting projections. No model is able to capture revolutionary changes which violate the assumptions upon which the model is built, unless, structural change has been foreseen and incorporated by the modeler. The limitations of the model increase the more the model is extended into the future and the more vocationally precise the model is expected to be. In other words, more confidence should be placed in the 1985 results than those for 1995, and statewide projections are more likely to be "correct" than regional results.

Another important limitation of this model is that the projections should be considered contingent. The accuracy of the projections depends on the continued relevance of the model's historical structure and the accuracy of the assumptions about the level, timing, and dis-

tribution of the exogenous variables. One result of this centingency is that the projections may not necessarily agree with the actual levels of the projected variables for any given year. Projection:s are based on the average historical relationships between the projected variables and 'important exogenous variables. This leads to two reasons why projections in any year may differ from the actual levels of projected variables. First, estimates of the level of important exogenous variables may differ from the actual levels. Secondly, in any given year, the relation between projected and exogenous variables may differ from the historical average. Cyclical effects may cause yearly divergence from the general trend of economic growth. The relationships described by the model, while they may not predict actual levels in any particular year, describe the general trend of future Alaskan economic growth.

The final limitation of the results concerns the projection of the regional distribution of state growth. These results are merely allocations of the projected statewide totals to the regions. This should not be assumed to be a detailed analysis of the regional economies and should not replace such analysis.

The general approach to be pursued in the projections of the impacts of Lower Cook OCS development will be as follows: A set of scenarios will be developed which contain no Lower Cook OCS development. These scenarios will be run using the MAP model and will serve as points of comparison

for each alternate Lower Cook scenario. Each of the Lower Cook development scenarios will then be run. Each of these runs will then be compared to the appropriate base run to examine the impact of this hypothetical development on the major dimensions of the Alaskan economy.

The effect of alternative Lower Cook development scenarios will be examined. Part II describes the historical growth **in** Alaska and its Gulf of Alaska region. Part 111 presents the projection of economic activity in a base case which contains no offshore activity in the Lower Cook.



II. THE ALASKAN ECONOMY, 1965-1976

Introduction

The methodological framework used in this report is that of economic base theory. This theory explains regional growth and change as the result of growth and change in the state's exports (or exogenous demand). Industries whose inputs are primarily a function of export demand are classified as basic industries. The remaining industries are classified as nonbasic in that their output and employment levels are functionally related to the level of state income. Economic base models are tractable and have relatively modest data requirements. Their use in impact analysis is well-established.

The period 1965-1978 was chosen to provide a long-term look at the changes in the economy. The period contains three significant events: the major Upper Cook Inlet oil development, the Prudhoe Bay lease sale, and the construction of the trans-Alaska oil pipeline. The Prudhoe Bay lease sale in 1969 marked the beginning of Alaska as a major petroleum economy. Comparing the economy before and after this date will illustrate the effects of this change.

Table 1 describes the change in the level of three aggregate measures of economic activity: population, employment, and real personal income. These variables provide an overview of the state's economic growth during the period 1965 through 1978.

TABLE 1. GROWTH OF EMPLOYMENT, POPULATION, PER CAPITA AND PERSONAL INCOME, ALASKA 1965-1977

	<u>Popul ati on</u>	<u>Employment</u>	Real Personal Income³ (\$ 1967 Million)	Real Per <mark>4</mark> Capita Income
1965	265, 192	70, 530	910. 8	3, 435
1970	302, 361	92, 476	1, 288. 3	4, 260
1971	" 312, 930	97, 584	1, 379. 1	4, 407
1972	324, 281	104, 243	1, 465. 1	4, 518
1973	330, 365	109, 851	1 , 662. 3	5, 031
1974	351, 159	28, 178	1, 819. 4	5, 180
1975	404, 634	61, 313	2, 311. 7	5, 713
1976	413, 289	71, 714	2, 551. 2	6, 172
1977	411, 211	64, 100	2, 442. 6	5, 940
Compound Growth				
1965-1977	3.72	4.10	8.57	4.67
1970-1977	4.49	8.54	9.57	4.86

¹All estimates State ^{of} Alaska Department of Labor, Research and Analysis Section, <u>Population Estimates by Census Division</u>, except 1970 which is April 1970 Census of Population.

²Alaska Department of Labor, <u>Statistical Quarterly</u>, various years.

³U.S. Department of Commerce. <u>Bureau of Economic Analysis, Regional</u> Economic Information System, July 1978 printout. (Deflated using Anchorage Consumer Price Index.)

 4 Real personal income d vided by population.

Population and Demographic Change

Population grew at a compound annual growth rate of 3.7 percent from 1965 to 1976. From 1965 to 1970, population grew at a compound annual rate of 2.66 percent. The compound growth rate from 1970 to 1977 was 4.49 percent, a difference of 1.83 percentage points. Three-quarters of the period's total population growth occurred after 1970. The most rapid growth occurred during the Trans-Alaska Pipeline Service (TAPS) construction when the population increased by 15.2 percent between 1974 and 1975.

The size of the population is strongly influenced by levels of economic activity. Migration was a major component of Alaskan population change, especially after 1970. Net migration appeared to be very responsive to employment opportunities in the state. Table 2 details the growth in population from 1965 to 1977.

A small region experiencing rapid economic growth would be expected to experience net migration as a response to excess demand in local labor markets. This was the Alaskan experience. Migration accounted for 55 percent of the total change in population between 1970 and 1976, after which out-migration occurred. In 1975, it accounted for 89 percent of the increase in population.

Table 3 describes the age-sex distribution for the years 1970 and 1976. This comparison reveals two observable trends. First, the proportion of males in the population declined. Secondly, the working-age population

	Number of Births	Number of Deaths	Natural Increase	Estimated Net Migration	Popul ati on as of July 1	% Increase over <u>Previous Year</u>
1965	7,063	1, 400	5, 663	4, 538	265, 192	3.84
1970	7, 560	1, 431	6, 129	1, 672	302, 361	2. 66 ¹
1971	7,312	1, 455	5, 857	4, 712	312, 930	3. 50
1972	6, 948	1, 467	5, 481	5,870	324, 281	3.60
1973	6, 611	1,464	5, 147	937	330, 365	1.88 .
1974	7,006	1,468	5, 538	15, 256	351, 159	6. 29
1975	7,470	1, 522	5,948	47, 527	404, 634	15. 23
1976	7, 912	1, 617	6, 295	2, 360	413, 289	2.14
1977	8, 378	1,606	6, 772	- 8,850	411, 211	50

POPULATION GROWTH, ALASKA

1965, 1970-1977

TABLE 2.

¹ Average annual compound growth rate between 1965 and 1970.

SOURCE : Alaska Department of Labor and the Division of Economic Enterprise, Department of Commerce and Economic Development, as reported in <u>The Alaskan Economy</u>, Year-end Performance Report, 1977, exc²ept 1970 population from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, <u>1970 Census of Population</u>.

TABLE 3. ALASKA POPULATION AGE-SEX DI STRI BUTION 1970, 1976

		1976				
	<u>Mal es</u>	Femal es	<u>Total</u>	Males	Femal es	<u>Total</u>
Age						
ALL ages	54.2	45.7		51.6	48.4	
0-13	16. 5	15.7	32.2	14.1	13.2	27.3
14-19	5.7	5.2	10.9	6. 6	6.0	12.6
20-29	12.4	8.7	21. 1	11.2	10.4	21.6
30-39	7.7	6.5	14. 2	7.8	7.8	15.6
40-54	8. 1	6.6	14.7	7.7	7.2	14. 9
55-64	2.5	2.0	4.5	3. 1	2.6	5.7
64 +	1.3	1.0	2.3	1.1	1.2	2.3

SOURCES: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, <u>1970 Census</u> of Population.

U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, 1976 Survey of Income and Education Microdata Tape.

(14-64) increased relative to the total population. In spite of the rapid post-1970 population growth, the age-sex distribution has remained relatively stable. Evidently, by 1976, the transitory employment components associated with the pipeline construction had essentially vanished, and the remainder was demographically "normal" with respect to their age-sex characteristics.

The dependency ratio (population/employment) fell from 3.76 in 1965 to 2.4'l in 1976. As previously explained, the TAPS construction project and its isolated, enclave nature attracted workers but few dependents.

Employment

Total nonagricultural wage and salary employment grew by 132 percent from 1965 **to 1977** (see Table 1). Again, the **pre-** and post-1970 rates show great disparity. From 1965 to 1970, employment increased at a 5.6 percent compound annual rate. After 1970, the compound annual rate was almost 8.6 percent, or about 52 percent higher than the pre-1970 rate. As a result, more than 77 percent of the **total** growth in employment occurred after 1970.

The relationship between growth in employment and growth in total population indicates that employment growth was accompanied by relatively few dependents. In 1973, the ratio of total population-to-employment was 3.01. Between 1973 and 1975, the marginal ratio or the ratio between the change in population to the change in employment was only 1.44, considerably less than one dependent per worker. As a result,

the overall ratio had declined to 2.51 by 1975; this ratio remained at this level through 1977.

The different rates of growth in population and employment were related to the peculiar nature of employment during the 1973-1975 period. The expansion of the mining sector and the trans-Alaska pipeline construction were characterized by enclave-type work camps. Their relative isolation and the harshness of camp life encouraged employment of a transitory work force. This work force embodied "atypical" dependent/worker relations. Overall, the aggregate indicators indicate a rapidly growing economy. The major growth in the period occurred after 1970.

BASIC SECTOR GROWTH

The growth of the export base was a major force determining the growth of the Alaskan economy during this period. This section will examine the growth of the various industries which make up the Alaskan basic sector. By examining the growth in each industry, we can see its relative importance to Alaska's economic growth.

In this section, we will determine the basic sector by definition. Those industries where the level of activity is affected primarily by external factors will be considered basic industries. Mining, agricul ture-forestry-fisheries, manufacturing, federal government, and construction are basic industries. The demand for the products of both mining and agricul ture-forestry-fisheries is determined in national and international markets, not within the Alaskan economy. Manufacturing

is largely a part of these two industries since food processing and petrochemicals are its major components. The level of federal government activity in Alaska is determined by decisions made outside the state, Construction has both basic and nonbasic components; however, major changes in construction activity are determined by exogenous influences, for example, the construction of the trans-Alaska pipeline.

Table 4 presents data on the growth rates of employment and wages and salaries. The growth rate of wages and salaries differed considerably by sector over the historical period. By taking the ratio of growth rates of wages and salaries to employment by sector, one can derive a measure of relative income behavior over the period 1965-1976. Over the whole period, relative incomes increased more rapidly in the basic sector than in the support sector. The ratio of relative increase is 3.16 (this is the ratio of growth in wages and salaries to growth in employment in the basic sector divided by the same ratio in the support sector). All incomes were increasing, but the distribution of income resulting from the growth process was favoring the basic sector.

The growth in wages and salaries can differ from employment growth for three reasons.

First, the growth of wage rates can differ between industries. Secondly, the hours worked in different industries can differ. Finally, the composition of industrial employment growth may not be proportional.

	19	65 - 1976		19	70 - 1976		1973 - 1975			
Emp	(1) Dicyment	(2) Wages & Salaries	(2/1)_	(3) Employment	(4) Wages & Salaries	(4/3)	(5) e <u>mployment</u>	(6) Wages & Sal ari es	(6/5)	
Basic Sector¹ Mining Construction Manufacturing Federal Civilian Federal Military	2.9 12.5 15.2 4.6 .3 - 2.7	16. 7 23. 1 29. 1 11. 1 7. 6 5. 7	5. 76	4.7 4.9 27.9 4.7 .8 - 4.1	23.6 16,3 50.6 13.0 8.0 4.3	5. 02	13.8 37.8 82.2 1.1 3.5 - 4.1	54.2 68.8 15?.8 15.5 12.7 2.5	3. 93	
Support Sector Transportation- CommUtilities Trade Finance-Insurance- Real Estate Services	10. 2 7. 4 9. 7 11. 2 12. 6	18.6 16.9 16.4 18.5 24.3	1.82	12.3 9.6 10.2 14.8 16.0	24. 1 22, 8 19. 3 24. 4 30. 9	1.96	23.7 26.0 19.7 18.1 28.5	52. 5 58. 7 38. 9 30. 3 68. 1	2. 22	
Other State Government Local Government	5 6.6 10.1	15. 7 18. 8	2.38 1.86	5.4 11.1	15. 8 21. 7	2. 93 1. 95	6.0 11.9	23. 0 20. 5	3. 83 1. 72	
Total Nonagricultura Wages and Salaries	1 2 _{6.0}	17.5	2.92	7.8	23. 4	3.0	16.5	47.5	2. 88	

TABLE4. ALASKA ECONOMIC GROWTH BY SECTOR 1965-1976

'Agricul ture-forestry-fisheries is left out of this table. During the period, changes in the coverage of fisheries employment distorts the real growth in this industry.

²Includes military wages and salaries from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Regional Economic Information System, July 1978 printout.

SOURCES: Alaska Department of Labor, <u>Alaska Labor Force Estimates</u>, <u>Estimates of Total Population</u>, various years.

Alaska Department of Commerce and Economic Development, <u>The Alaska Economy: Year End</u> 'Performance Report 1977. Overall employment in the basic sector grew at a much slower rate than the remainder of the economy in all but the pipeline years, 1973-1975. Between 1965 and 1976, basic sector employment increased at an average annual rate of only 2.9 percent per year, compared to 6 percent for the entire economy and 10.2 percent for the support sector. After 1970, industrial growth rates were much closer--basic sector employment grew at a rate of 4.7 percent, compared to 7.8 percent for the entire economy.

The growth rates are much closer when wages and salaries are considered. Between 1965 and 1976, the wages and salaries earned in the basic sector grew only .8 of a percentage point less than the economy-wide average of 17.5 percent. After 1970, basic sector wages and salaries grew slightly faster than the economy as a whole.

The effect of pipeline construction on the growth of the economy can be seen in the period 1973 to 1975. Employment in the basic sector grew at 13.8 percent annually, while the economy grew at 16.5 percent. Wages and salaries increased more rapidly, increasing at a rate of 54.2 percent annually in the basic sector, compared to 47.5 percent for the economy as a whole.

Within the basic sector, the federal government was declining in importance relative to other industries. The military was declining in an absolute and relative, sense, and federal civilian employment was virtually' stable.
The most rapidly growing basic industry was construction. Employment grew at an average annual rate of more than 15 percent throughout the period; this was more than twice the growth rate of the economy. The obvious reason for this growth was the construction of the **trans-Alaska** pipeline which began in 1974. The most rapid increase came in the period between 1973 and 1975 when construction employment increased at a rate of 82.2 percent per year. The state estimated that in 1976 construction employment connected with the Alyeska project was approximately 15,000, or 50 percent of the total state construction employment (Alaska Department of Labor, 1977). Wages and salaries mirrored the growth in employment, increasing at an average annual rate of 50.6 percent after 1970.

Mining employment also increased at a rapid rate throughout the period; its average annual rate was 12.5 percent. Unlike construction, mining experienced cyclical growth. Mining employment increased between 1965 and 1970 to 3,000, then fell to 2,000 in 1973 before increasing to 4,000 in 1976.

The early growth in mining resulted from discovery, development, and production of oil and gas from the Kenai Peninsula and Cook Inlet fields. Oil was discovered in 1957 at the Swanson River; and production increased from one million barrels per month in 1966 to a peak in 1970 of 7.5 million barrels per month. Employment associated with these fields grew at an annual rate of approximately 40 percent in the late sixties, causing mining employment to triple between 1965 and 1969 in

the Cook Inlet Region (Anchorage, Kenai, Matanuska-Susitna, Seward) (Scott, 1978) Mining employment dropped after this peak. During the 1970s, the development of the Prudhoe Bay fields resulted in the expansion of the mining industry. This development led to growth in both exploration and production employment and headquarters employment in Anchorage. The most rapid expansion of the mining industry came between 1973 and 1975 when both employment and wages and salaries increased at rates more than three times as great as the economy.

Manufacturing in Alaska has traditionally been associated with the fishing industry. Over the period, food manufacturing, because of its relation to the fishing industry, showed cyclical growth; employment fell between 1973 and 1974 and did not rise again until 1976. The fastest growing sector of manufacturing was "other" manufacturing which consists principally of petroleum refining, petrochemical, and printing and publishing. Between 1965 and 1976, employment in "other" manufacturing increased at an average annual rate of 6.5 percent, which meant that this sector was increasing its share of manufacturing employment.

Agricul ture-fisheries-forestry depend on the development of the state's renewable natural resources, and independent estimates of employment in these industries suggest little growth. Forestry employs only about 22 people statewide; most of the logging employment is accounted for in lumber and wood products manufacturing (Scott, 1979). One indicator of agricultural activity is employment reported in a yearly agricultural survey. This survey reports a decline in total agricultural employment from 900 in 1965 to 750 in 1975 (USDA).

The fishing industry has traditionally been important to Alaska. Based on estimates from Fish and Game fish ticket data, employment was estimated to have increased from about 4,340 in 1970 to about 5,720 in 1976. This is an annual growth rate of 1.3 percent (Rogers and Listowski, 1978). Table 5 shows some additional indicators of the growth of the fisheries industry. The catch and value statistics shown in this table illustrate the cyclical nature of the fishing industry. The **real** value of fisheries catch peaked in 1973 at \$117,842,000 (in 1967 dollars). After this peak, real value fell until 1975, after which it began to grow again.

In summary, employment in the basic industries grew rapidly but not so rapidly as the total economy. The major growth in the basic sector was in mining and construction. The traditionally important fishing industry did not keep up with growth in other basic sectors. Federal government employment, while providing a stable base for the economy, actually declined.

The ratio of total-to-basic employment in Alaska has steadily increased from the early fifties (Goldsmith and Huskey, 1978B). This growth in the nonbasic or support sector of the Alaskan economy means that equivalent increases in basic employment will lead to greater growth. Table 6 illustrates the effect of structural change on growth. The last two columns show what growth would have been with the given basic sector growth and the maintenance of 1965 and 1970 total-to-basic ratios. In all cases, these ratios underestimate the economy's real growth.

TABLE 5. ALASKA FISHERIES ACTIVITY1970-1977

	<u>1970</u>	1971	1 <u>972</u>	1973	-1974	1975 —	1976	1977-
Catch (million lbs)	533.6	471.0	422.5	513. 1	454.2	442.4	615. 7	674.5
Value (\$000)	97, 497	85, 585	92, 431	142, 353	144, 809	129, 402	240, 858	350, 889
Real Value (\$000)	88, 957	75, 735	79, 751	117, 842	108, 147	84, 965	141, 266	193, 328
Real Value Per Pound	\$0.17	\$0.16	\$0.13	\$0.23	\$0.24	\$ 0.19	\$0.23	\$0.29

SOURCE: Alaska Department of Commerce and Economic Development, 1977.

•

TABLE 6. THE EFFECT OF STRUCTURAL CHANGE., ALASKA, 1965-1976⁻

Year	Total Non- Agricultural Employment	Civilian Total Basic Employment	Ratio of Total/ Basic	Total Employment When Using 1965 Ratio	Total Employment When Using <u>1970 Ratio</u>	Change in Total Employment/ Basic Employment (from previous year)
1965	70, 530	31, 393	2.25		82, 879	
1970	92, 476	35, 028	2.64	78, 697		
1971	97, 584	35, 447	2.75	79, 638	93, 582	12.19
1972	104, 243	36, 137	2.88	81, 188	95, 404	9. 65
1973	109, 851	35, 849	3.06	80, 541	94, 643	-19.47
1974	128, 178	45, 698	2.80	102, 668	120, 645	1.86
1975	161, 313	58, 592	2.75	131, 637	154, 686	2. 57
1 976	171, 714	63, 732	2.69	143, 185	168, 256	2.02

Basic Employment includes: Mining, Contract Construction, Manufacturing, Agriculture-Forestry-Fisheries, Federal Government, and Military.

SOURCE : Alaska Department of Labor, <u>Statistical Quarterly</u>, various quarters (primarily third), 1966-1977.

×

An analysis of the marginal change of total employment to basic employment reveals that it has been declining since 1970. While employment in the support sector has continued to grow in response to employment activity in the basic sector, this growth has been at a decreasing rate. This decreasing growth rate began after 1972 when the marginal rate first fell below the seven-year average of the total-to-basic employment ratios.

Table 7 provides a detailed description of the structure of Alaska industry in 1965, 1970, and two pipeline years--1975 and 1976. The support industries as a group expanded. Trade and transportation-communicationutilities remained constant after 1970. The service industry grew significantly in this period, increasing from 10.7 percent to 16.1 percent of total employment. Business services increased from 1.97 percent to 5.04 percent and were the major component of service sector change. Finance-insurance-real estate also increased as a proportion of total employment.

POSSIBLE LONG-TERM TRENDS IN STRUCTURAL CHANGE

Since 1965, the support sector employment has exhibited relative growth. There are reasons to expect this trend to continue. The process of economic growth will expand local market opportunities for reasons already cited (import substitution, scale economies, etc.).

Tables 8 and 9 give some insight into the likely limits to the growth of the support sector. Table 8 compares the Alaskan distribution of

TABLE 7	DISTRIBUTI ON OF EMPLOYMENT, ALASKA
	1965, 1970, 1975, and 1976

<u>lndustry</u> "	1965 % of Total Employment	1970 % of Total Employment	1975 % of Total Employment	. 1976 % of Total Employment
Total Wage and Salary Employment	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Nining	1.54	3. 24	2.35	2.31
Contract Construction	9.15	7.45	16.04	17.61
Manufacturing Food Logging Lumber and Pulp Other Manufacturing	8.90 4.26 3.27 1.36	8.48 4:04 2.98 1.45	5.98 2.68 2.09 1.20	6.02' 2.98 1.89 1.14
Transportation, Communication and Public Utilities Trucking and Warehousing WaterTransportation Air Transportation Other Transportation Communications and	10.30 1.72 1.47 2.72 .76	9.85 1.79 .90 3.32 .95	10.21 2.45 .86 2.96 1.13	9. 18 1. 89 . 78 2. 70 1.08
Public Utilities	3.63	2.89	2.69	2.73
Trade Wholesale Retail General Mdse. and Apparel Food Stores Automotive & Service Stati Eating/Drinking Establish Other Retail -	1.65 ons NA	16.61 3.51 13.10 3.63- 1.85 1.81 3.02 2.78	16. 25 3. 66 12. 58 2. 55 1. 62 1. 77 3. 88 2. 76	16.05 3.55 12.50 2.48 1.74 1.68 3.76 2.84
Finance, Insurance, and" Real Estate	3.08	3.35	3.74	4.14
Services Hotels, Hotels, and Lodge Personal Business Medical Other	10.65 1.46 .96 1.97 2.03 4.22	12. 37 1. 57 . 92 2. 16 2. 35 5. 37	15.58 1.96 .57 4.54 2.68 5.83	16.11 1.87 54 5.04 2.92 5.75
Government Federal State Local	42.06 24.72 9.87 7.47	38.45 18.50 11.21 8.73	29.22 11.34 9.59 8.30	27.89 10.45 8.22 9.21
Agri cul ture, Forestry, and Fi sheri es	. 20	. 21	. 63	. 70

SOURCE: <u>Statistical Quarterly</u>, Alaska Department of Labor, various issues.

•

4	Total • Employment (thousands)	Percent in	Percent in Trade	Percent in Finance- Insurance- Real Estate	Percent in Transportation- Communication- Public Utilities	Percent in Government
	151.7	15.2	17.5	5.1	0. 6	34.5
	168.7 179.5 227.8	13.9 23.4 19.3	21.9 20.7 29.0	4 6 3 4 0 4	7.8 4.7 6.1	22.7 18.2 26.8
ч.	227.0 234.3 263.7	- 21.1 16.9 18.4	27 .5 . 22.0 25.2	4.4 4.5 4.4	5.4 32 8	24•9 17•8 27•8
Idaho Nevada New Hampshi re	305.5 323.7 348.1	17.5 40.8 18.3	25.1 19.8	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	6.0 3.6 6	21.8 16.1 16.1
Island .	362.2 383.0 384.3	24.0 18.8 17.0	25.4 19.9 21.1	ຄ.ອ.ດ ອີກີຕີ	7.8 3.5 4.5	24.2 15.7 21.3
	430.9 500.2 583.6	19.5 17.4 17.4	22.9 24.0 26.5	4,4 6,6 6	6.0 6.1 7.2	26.9 23.8 22.2
Virginia 1525 .ssippi	549.2 714.5. 778.1	15.8 14.0 14.3	222. 	9 4 9 9 4 9	6.6 5.4 4.7	20.9 19.0 21.2
	829.8 878.5 962.7	18.2 17.5 17.5	24.4 23.8 23.7	5,6 6,2 6,2	5.52	23.2 20.9 20.3
	000 000 н S-i4-	16.6 19.4 18.4	23.4 23.4 23.7	ດ. ດ. ເດັ່ນ	6.0 5.7	22.4 22.2 20.7
Average (excludir U.S. Average	Average (excluding Alaska) U.S. Average	19. e 13. ô	23.3 22.1	4.8 5.1	ເບັ ເຊັ ເຊັ	21.5 15.9
			•			

•

Table 8. THE ECONOMIC STRUCTURE OF SMALL STATES

32

•

souce: U.S. Depurtment of LOOR, Bureau d Labor Statictics, Employent and Earnings, June 1970.

•

•

	Total Employment. Support Industry (Thousands)	Personal Income <u>(Million \$)</u>	Support/ Personal Income	Regional Index of costs (U.S.=1)	Support Employment/ Regionally Deflated Personal Income
Alaska	71. 100	4, 311	16. 5	1.42	23. 4
Wyoming	79, 100	3, 073	25. 7	.90	23. 1
Vermont	94, 700	2, 814	33. 7	1.02	34. 4
North Dakota	136, 600	4, 044	33. 8	.92	31. 1
South Dakota	132, 700	4, 104	32. 3	.92	29. 7
Delaware	114.700	4, 477	25.6	1.02	26. 1
Montana	147,300	4, 661	31.6	.90	28. 4
Idaho	164,600	5, 128	32.1	.90	28. 9
Nevada	228,800	5, 059	45.2	.99	44. 7
New Hampshire	168,400	5, 547	30.4	1.02	31.0
Hawaii	234, 600	6, 773	34.6	1. 21	41. 8
Rhode Island	181, 000	6, 332	28.6	1. 02	29. 2
Maine	178, 300	6, 221	28.7	1. 02	29. 3
New Mexico	227, 400	6, 970	32.6	. 88	28. 7
Utah	256, 300	7,51C	34.1	. 98	33. 4
Nebraska	336, 500	10, 491	32. 1	. 93	29.9
West Virginia	264, 000	11,129	, 23. 7	. 85	20.1
Arkansas	321, 100	11, 878	27. 0	. 89	24.0
Mississippi	331, 800	12. 019	27. 0	. 89	24.0
Arizona	446, 600	14,943	29. 9	. 99	29.6
Kansas	464, 700	19,802	23.5	. 93	21. 9
Oregon	511, 500	16,651	30.7	. 998	30. 6
Oklahoma	510, 400	17,839	28.6	. 98	28. 0
Colorada	558, 900	18,752	29.8	. 98	29. 2
Washington	755, 900	27,534	27.5	. 98	27. 4

TABLE 9.ECONOMIC STRUCTURE OF SMALL STATES1977

'Support sector includes: Services, Trade, Finance-Insurance-Real Estate, and Transportation-Communication-Public Utilities.

SOURCES: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, <u>Employment</u> <u>and Earnings</u>, June 1978.

> U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, <u>Monthly</u> <u>Labor Review</u>, April **1978**.

employment to the United States and other small and western states. only in finance-insurance-real estate and transportation does Alaska come close to the employment shares of other states. The shares of trade and services are well below those of other states, The government and transportation-communications-public utilities sectors are substantially above the U.S. average, reflecting both the uniqueness and the geographical extent of the Alaskan economy.

Table 9 further details the differences in structure among states and relates the differences to personal income. When personal income is adjusted to reflect cost differences among regions, the differences among the states converge. The ratio of support per one million dollars in personal income is close to 30.00 for all states, independent of size. Alaska's ratio is less than 40 percent of the average.

There are a number of reasons -ForAlaska's underrepresentation of the support sector. First, high costs increase the threshold size before economies of scale can be realized. Second, mining and petroleum-related construction occur in isolated, enclave environments that are largely self-supporting. This reduces demand for support sector services. Finally, the geographical extent of the state and its lack of economic integration (except through the state government sector) make it more profitable for some parts of western and southeast Alaska to exchange directly with the Lower 48 rather than to rely on the Alaska support sector.

Unempl oyment

Chronic high unemployment has been endemic to the Alaskan economy. Table 10 reveals that the rate has remained near 10 percent every year since 1970. This was substantially above the national average. Only in 1975 did the state rate fall below 10 percent, but the number of unemployed remained high.

The increased demand for skilled labor was largely met by in-migration. The increase in the labor force participation rate may also explain the high unemployment rate, but this reason must be viewed cautiously. The low dependency ratio associated with the migrants and the economic motivation for their migration would, in itself, raise the labor force participation rate. The increases in the labor force participation rates appear to coincide with the peak years of in-migration.

Another factor associated with chronic unemployment is the seasonality of employment. One measure of seasonality is defined by the ratio of the fourth quarter employment to the third quarter employment. The closer this index is to one, the less seasonal is the industry. Table 11 shows the seasonality of Alaska industries. Seasonality has decreased in importance throughout the historical period. In 1960, the overall seasonality index was .8313. In 1975, it was .9402; the increase in seasonality in 1976 was due to the pipeline construction employment in the summer of 1976.

TABLE	10.	UNEMPLOYMENT, ALASKA
		AND UNITED STATES
		1965-1976

	Al aska Total <u>Unempl oyed</u>	Alaska Unemployment Rate (%)	United States Unemployment _ <u>Rate (%)</u>	Alaska Labor Force Participation Rate (%)
1965	7, 700	8.6	4.5	38.16
1970	9, 700	9.0	4.9	39.94
1971	12, 100	10. 4	5.9	40. 97
1972	12, 900	10. 5	5.6	41. 27
1973	13, 900	10.8	4.9	42. 78
1974	14, 900	10.0	5.6	46.00
1975	14, 900	8.3	8.5	47.40
1976	21, 000	10.5	7.7	52.65

SOURCES: Alaska Department of Labor, <u>Labor Force Estimates</u>, various years. Alaska Department of Labor, <u>Estimates of Total Resident Population</u>.

TABLE 11. SEASONALITY OF EMPLOYMENT, ALASKA 1950, 1960, 1965, 1970, 1975, and 1976

	1950	1960	1965	1970	1975	1976
Mi ni ng	. 6267	. 7143	. 7949	. 8556	. 9009	. 9690
Constructi on	. 7900	. 5862	. 6460	. 7279	. 8374	. 6906
Manufacturi ng	. 2440	. 5137	. 6531	. 5457	. 6886	. 6714
Transportation, Communication, and Public Utilities	. 8248	. 9683	. 9125	. 8851	. 9887	. 8871
Trade	. 9226	. 9718	. 9905	. 9733	1.0048	. 9120
Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate	1. 0000	1.0000	. 9706	. 8942	1.0000	. 9270
Services .	. 9583	. 9123	. 9664	. 9716	. 9812	. 9387
Government	. 9632	. 9815	. 9617	. 9810	1.0049	. 9689
Total	. 7505	. 8313	. 8 ⁷ 18	. 8800	. 9402	. 8733

Note: Figures for 1977 are not available.

SOURCE: State of Alaska, Alaska Labor Force Estimates, various years.

The decrease in seasonality since 1960 has been the result of a number of factors. Even though seasonal, incomes in petroleum-related construction and construction in general were high enough so that workers in these sectors could sustain their consumption all year-round. Consequently, the demand for support sector services was less variable than it might have been. The growth of deposits from \$535.5 million in 1973 to \$848.8 million in 1976 represents a 17 percent increase when converted to real terms. Savings of. this magnitude probably served to stabilize employment both by reducing demand during peaks and increasing it during slack periods. Finally, construction technology partially adapted to winter construction conditions.

Real Income Per Capita

The statistics **in** Table 1 **reveal** an impressive real growth rate n per capita income of 4.86 percent per year primarily as a **result** of [<] **ncreases** in employment. If this **"real"** rate were to continue, per capita real income **would** double approximately every 14.6 years.

Table 12 displays the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for Anchorage over the historical period (a statewide index is unavailable).

A comparison of the Anchorage index to the United States index gives relative movement in price levels. Prior to 1974, the Anchorage CPI was increasing at a slower rate than the U.S. CPI. This indicates that the price differential between Alaska and the United States was falling. With the trans-Alaska pipeline construction boom, this trend was reversed.

Year	Anchorage Index	% Change Over Previ ous Years	Uni ted States Index	% Change Over Previous Years
1965	94. 2		94.5	
1970	109.6	3.077	116. 3	4. 23 ¹
1971	112.9	3.01	121.3	4.30
1972	115.9	2.66	125.3	3.30
1973	120.8	4.23	133. 1	6. 23
1974	133. 9	10.84	147.7	10. 97
1975	152. 3	13.74	161.2	9.14
1976	164.1	7.74	170.5	5.77
1977	175.0	6.64	181.5	6.45

TABLE 12. ANCHORAGE CONSUMER PRICE INDEX (1967 = 100)

'Average annual rate of price increase 1965-1970

SOURCE : U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Washington, D.C.

Prices rose relatively faster in Alaska after 1975. Bottlenecks resulted when the rapid increase in demand was met by a relatively fixed supply. Persons whose income grew at rates less than the CPI experienced declining real incomes.

The Growth of State Government

An important nonexport sector contributing to the growth of Alaska between 1965 and 1976 was the state government. First, state government experienced rapid growth in the early 1970s. Secondly, this growth was largely funded by revenues exogenous to the state's economy (i.e., the \$968 million in lease bonus monies from the Prudhoe Bay lease sale).

The growth of state government expenditures, when derived from exogenous sources, can influence the level of economic activity through two channels. First, increased state expenditures will lead to increased employment in state government. Secondly, capital expenditures will increase employment in the construction industry. The behavior of state expenditures since 1970 provides some insight into the state government's role in the growth process.

Since statehood, total state expenditures increased at an average annual rate of 21 percent (Goldsmith, 1977). There are three distinct periods of expenditure growth: 1) prior to the 1969 Prudhoe Bay lease sale, 2) between 1970 and 1972 when the initial adjustment to these revenues occurred, and 3) after 1972. In examining expenditures in the period after the state received the lease bonus in 1969, Scott (1978)" found:

- The constant dollar increase was 62 percent of the nominal dollar increase.
- 2. The rate of increase was more rapid between 1970 and 1972 than between 1972 and 1977.
- 3. Operating expenditures have grown more rapidly over the whole period, while capital expenditures grew more rapidly between 1970 and 1972. These suggest that each type of expenditure may be sensitive to different factors with "operating expenditures responding to increases in demand and capital expenditures responding more to available revenues.

An examination of Table 13 reveals that real per capita operating and capital expenditures increased between 1970 and 1972. Real per capita operating expenses increased at an average rate of 19.9 percent, while capital expenditures increased at a rate of 32.3 percent per year. After 1972, operating expenditures increased at a rate of 3.4 percent; and capital expenditures actually decreased at a rate of -6 percent.

Petroleum revenues and federal government transfers have historically provided the major portion of state revenues. In 1973, theses **sources** accounted for 62 percent of the state government's income (Kresge, 1977). These clearly represent exogenous sources of income and, as such, contribute to the growth process.

TABLE 13. STATE REAL PER CAPITA OPERATING AND CAPITAL EXPENDITURES' 1970-1977

(Constant 1967 Dollars)

Fi scal Year	Resident , Population	Operating Expenditures Per Capita	Capi tal Expendi tures Per Capi ta	Total Expendi tures Per Capi ta
1977	413, 289	\$1, 224. 88	\$409.17	\$1, 634. 05
1976	404, 635	1, 156. 97	486.57	1, 634. 54
1975	351, 159	1, 199. 92	548.54	1, 748. 46
1974	330, 600	1, 168. 14	475, 66	1, 643. 80
1973	324, 800	1, 108. 15	497, 07	1, 605. 22
1972	312, 930	1, 038. 74	555.11	1, 593. 85
1971	302, 36′ 1	990.64	374.77	1, 365. 41
1970	294, 560	722. 20	317.02	1,039.22
Compound Growth				
1970-1977	5.(M	7.8%	3.7%	6.7%
1972-1977	5.7%	3.4%	- 6.0%	0.5%
1970-1972	3.1%	19.9%	32. 3%	23.8%

⁷State's estimate from Research and Analysis Section, Employment Security Division, <u>Alaska Department of Labor-, State of Alaska Current</u> <u>Population Estimates by Census Divisions</u>, July 1 (year). The population as of the beginning of the fiscal year was used.

The Economy Since 1977

A review of some events and characteristics of the Alaskan economy since 1976 provides some useful insights into the economy during the first half of the seventies. While post-1977 data for most of the baseline socioeconomic indicators are not available at this time, data for a number of other available aggregate indicators will be discussed.

At the state level, post-1976 data indicate that aggregate levels of economic activity have receded from their 1976 levels and reveal that statewide employment grew at a compound annual average rate of 4.10 percent between 1965 and 1977. For the period 1970 through 1977, the compound growth rate was 8.54 percent per year. This higher rate was a result of the 71,624 workers added to the workforce between 1970 and 1977, an increase of over 77 percent. Most of this growth (75.7 percent) actually occurred after 1973, reflecting the influence of the TAPS project. By 1977, however, the average statewide employment level was 7,164 below its 1976 level, an indication that the economy was entering a postboom period.

Employment data recently published (Alaska Department of Commerce and Economic Development, 1979) provide further substantiation of this interpretation. The downward trend in state employment levels continues through 1978 with employment almost 6 percent below the 1976 level. In addition, preliminary data for 1979 suggest little change from 1978. Between 1977 and 1978, the statewide unemployment rate increased from 9.2 percent to 11.1 percent, lending further credence to the interpretation of an economic slowdown.

It is important to look beyond the total employment figures when evaluating recent economic conditions. A closer examination of these 1978 and preliminary 1979 data reveals that employment has remained relatively stable or grown slightly in most sectors. Contract construction employment declined from a peak of 30,233 in 1976 to 12,240 in 1978, a decrease of 17,993 (Alaska Department of Commerce and Economic Development, 1979). The data also reveal, however, that total employment only declined by 10,155, so other sectors of the economy actually expanded by 7,838 employees.

Statewide income statistics are consistent with the employment data. Total nominal personal income increased from \$4.187 billion in 1976 to \$4.370 billion in 1978. Although this is a 4.37 percent increase, it is more than vitiated by the rate of inflat on for the same period. As an indication of the general inflation rate the Anchorage CPI increased by 14.26 percent from 1976 to 1978. Thus, f the income growth is adjusted for inflation, the two-year performance represents a decline of over 9 percent in real terms.

During the 1976-1978 period, **statew** de per capita income increased from \$10,254 to \$10,851 in **nomina**⁻ terms (Alaska Department **of** Commerce and Economic Development, Division of **Economic** Enterprise, 1979). This 5.82 percent increase represents a significant decline in real terms.

The Anchorage economy serves as a locus for approximately 50 percent of the income and employment generated within the state. Data indicate

that the statewide slowdown in economic activity is being felt in Anchorage. In 1977, the average level of employment in Anchorage was 77,858. By 1978, Anchorage employment had declined to 74,888 (Alaska Department of Labor, 1979). Almost half of this decline was in the contract construction sector where employment fell from 7,795 in 1977 to 6,431 in 1978. Preliminary data for 1979 suggest a further decline in this sector (Alaska Department of Labor, 1979). During this same period, the Anchorage unemployment rate increased from 6.9 percent to 8.3 percent (Alaska Department of Commerce and Economic Development, 1979).

Income statistics for Anchorage are consistent with the view that the area is experiencing a modest economic slowdown. Aggregate real personal income grew from \$579.3 million in 1970 to \$1,185 billion in 1977 (Table 18). The 1978 real personal income is \$1.1607 billion (Alaska Department of Commerce and Economic Development, 1979). This represents a decline of 2.05 percent in real terms. Nominal per capita income in Anchorage increased from \$11,430 in 1977 to \$12,152 in 1978 (Alaska Department of Commerce and Economic Development, 1979). When these figures are deflated by the CPI, they become \$6,528 and \$6,481, respectively. While this is a decline in real terms, the decrease is generally smaller than that experienced elsewhere in the state.

The various subregions within the **Southcentral** region have generally experienced significantly different growth patterns from Anchorage in the period 1975 through 1978 (Yakutat is excluded from this discussion

since the Census district data include Skagway). Nominal per capita incomes increased in all of the Census districts except Valdez-Chitina-Whittier. In this one, district nominal per capita income declined by 54.79 percent. Among the other Census districts, Kodiak showed the greatest per capita increase--44.96 percent. However, only Kodiak and Cordova-McCarthy measured increases in nominal per capita income in excess of the Anchorage CPI (Kodiak, 44.96 percent; Cordova-McCarthy, 32.20 percent; Anchorage CPI, 23.05 percent) over the three-year period.

a

e

The Economies of the Gulf of Alaska Region, 1965-1976

OVERVI EW

The major impacts from OCS development in the Lower Cook Inlet are projected to occur in the Gulf of Alaska region. The Gulf of Alaska region is the most populous region of the state. It contains almost 60 percent of the state's population. Many of the events which have influenced the growth of the state occurred in the Gulf of Alaska region. The Cook Inlet oil and gas fields are located in that region, and the terminus of the trans-Alaska pipeline is also in the Gulf of Alaska region at Valdez. This region also contains one of the major fishing ports in the state at Kodiak. Anchorage, the state's major metropolitan center, is in the region. The region and its subregional economies experienced rapid growth between 1965 and 1970. The Gulf of Alaska region grew faster than the state and increased its share of state employment from 53.6 percent to 56.5 percent.

The Gulf of Alaska region contains two major subregions, Anchorage and Southcentral. The Anchorage region consists of the Anchorage Census Division. Southcentral includes six Census Divisions: Kenai, Seward, Matanuska-Susitna, Valdez-Chitina-Whittier, and Cordova-McCarthy. It also includes the Yakutat portion of the Skagway-Yakutat Division. (Figure 3 shows the Alaska Census Divisions.) The character of each of these subregions differs. Anchorage is the urban center of the state. The Southcentral region consists of a series of small, rural economies. This section will examine the growth of the Gulf of Alaska's two subregions during the 7965-1976 period.

ANCHORAGE

Overview

The development of Alaska as a major **oil** province with the Cook Inlet discovery and the subsequent Prudhoe Bay discovery played a major **role** in the development of Anchorage. The construction activity associated with the development of TAPS provided an additional stimulus to Anchorage that had important effects on the size and structure of the **local** economy. Population, employment, and income showed rapid growth from 1965, with the pace of growth increasing after **1973**.

The data presented in Table 14 indicate that population growth in Anchorage was responsive to the growth in economic activity. From 1965 to 1976, population grew at a compound annual growth rate of 5.56 percent. Between 1974 and 1976, the population of Anchorage increased by an



•

TABLE 14.POPULATION GROWTH, ANCHORAGEAND ALASKA, 1950-1977

	Anchorage <u>Populati</u> on	Al aska Popul ati on	Percent of State Population in Anchorage
1950	30, 060	128, 643	. 23
1960	82, 833	226, 167	. 37
1965	102, 337	265, 192	. 39
1970	126, 333	302, 361	. 42
1971	135, 777	312, 930	. 43
1972	144, 215	324, 281	. 44
1973	149, 440	. 330, 365	. 45
1974	153, 112	351, 159	. 44
1975	177, 817	404, 634	. 44
1976	185, 179	413,289	. 45
1977	195, 826	411, 211	. 48
npound Ann	ual		

Compound Annua Growth Rate

Growin Rate		
1965-1977	5.56	3. 72
1970-1977	6.46	4.49
1973-1976	7.41	7.75

SOURCE: Alaska Department of Labor.

estimated 32,067 persons. It is estimated that 27,681 persons, or 86 percent of the population change, was the result of in-migration. The dependency ratio (total population/labor force) fell from 3.01 to 2.53 between 1970 and 1976.

Anchorage employment increased from 30,678 in 1965 to 73,133 in 1976. Between the years 1973 and 1975, employment grew from 50,627 to 69,645, or an increase of 38 percent (State of Alaska, Department of Labor, 1979).

Other measures of economic activity and growth showed similar patterns of behavior. For example, freight tonnage passing through the port increased from approximately 2 million tons in 1973 to almost 2.8 million tons in 1975 (Municipality of Anchorage, 1979). The number of dwelling units authorized by the city increased from 1,035 in 1973 to 2,505, a 142 percent increase in two years.

Anchorage, the major metropolitan area in the **state**, has since 1970 contained more than 42 percent of the state's population (State of Alaska, Department of Labor, various years). Anchorage functions as the major administrative, distributive, and financial center for the state's private sector. This means that economic growth in Anchorage is affected by changes in the **level** of economic activity throughout the state. Major pipeline construction (TAPS) occurred hundreds of miles from Anchorage but profoundly affected the Anchorage economy.

Structural Characteristics and Economic Change 1965-1976

Trade, services, finance-insurance-real estate, and transportation also have substantial basic functions in the Anchorage economy since these sectors serve the rest of the state.

State government is also a basic sector from the city's viewpoint. Employment and expenditures by state government are determined by factors largely exogenous to Anchorage's economy. On the other hand, the manufacturing sector in Anchorage, bereft of food processing, is tailored to the local economy (supplying specialty products to the Anchorage stores) and is, therefore, nonbasic.

Table 15 presents the structural composition of Anchorage, Alaska, and the United States for the years 1965, **1970**, 1975, and 1977.¹ Anchorage has a structure much closer to the United States than does the state. The trade and services sectors in Anchorage appear to have roughly the same relative importance as in the United States. Substantial **differ**ences still remain, however.

The diversification and growth of the Anchorage economy is further documented in Table 16. Several sectors demonstrate significant growth relative to the state. Manufacturing, services, and state government each grew relatively by over 30 percent between 1970 and 1978. Only

¹The state statistics are somewhat misleading in that Anchorage is included and significantly affects the distribution of state employment.

TABLE 15. INDUSTRIAL COMPOSITION VERTICAL DISTRIBUTION (PERCENT] ANCHORAGE, ALASKA, **AND** UNITED STATES

		ANCH	ORAGE			ALAS	SKA		UNI	TED	STATES
INDUSTRY	1965	1970	1975	1977	<u>1965</u>	1970	1975	1977	<u>1970</u>	1975	1977
Nonagricultural Wage and Salary	100. 0	100. 0	100. 0	100. 0	100. 0	100. 0	100. 0	100. 1	100. 0	100. 0	100. 0
Mi ni ng	1.2	2.3	1.9	1.8	1.5	3.2	2.4	2.8	0.9	1.0	1.0
Constructi on	10. 2	8.4	10. 1	10. 0	9. 2	7.4	16. 1	12.4	5.0	4.6	4.7
Manufacturi ng	2.6	2.4	2.3	2.3	8.9	8.4	6.0	6.3	27.3	23.8	23.8
Transportati on	8.5	9.3	10.5	9.8	10. 3	9.8	10. 2	9.4	6.4	5.8	5.6
Trade	17.2	20. 5	21.4	21.3	14.1	16.5	16.2	17.1	21.2	22.1	22. 3
Whol esal e Retai l	4.0 13.2	5.3 15.2	5.9 15.6	5.4 15.9	2.6 11.5	3.4 13.1	2.5 12.6	3.6 13.5	5.4 15.8	5.4 16.6	5.3 16.9
Finance-Insurance- Real Estate	4.2	4.7	5.2	6.0	3. 1	3.3	3*7	4.7	5.2	5.5	5.5
Service and Misc.	12.3	15.4	19.5	21.7	10. 7	13.2	16. 2	16.6	16.4	18. 2	18. 7
Government	43.0	37.0	29. 1	27.2	42. 1	38. 2	29.3	30. 7	17.7	19. 1	18.5
Federal State Local	30.6 5.4 7.6	22.6 5.8 8.6	14.7 5.8 8.6	13. 2 5. 9 8. 1	24.7 9.9 7.5	18.4 11.1″ 8.7	11.3 9.6 8.3	11.0 8.7 11.0	3. 9 13.9	3.6 15.5	3. 3 15. 2

SOURCE: Anchorage Annual Planning Information FY 1979, State of Alaska, Department of Labor, Research and Analysis Section.

•

n

"

'е

TABLE 16.	ANCHORAGE	I NDUSTRI A	L COMPOSITION
	PI	ERCENT OF	STATE

INDUSTRY.	<u>1970</u>	1974	<u>1975</u>	<u>1976</u>	<u>1977'</u>	Apri 1 1978
Nonagricultural Wage and Salary	45.1	45.8	43.2	42. 7	48.4	50. 6
Mi ni ng	31.9	34.5	34. 2	35.2	28.9	28.6
Constructi on	50.9	41.7	27.2	25. 1	47.3	63.2
Manufacturing	13. 1	14.4	16.4	15.8	16.5	18.0
Transportati on	42.9	45.0	44.5	46.9	49.7	47.3
Trade	56.0	58.3	57.0	57.8	57.2	55.5
Whol esal e Retai l	69.4 52.4	71. 5 55. 2	69. 1 53. 5	69. 5 54. 5	70. 7 53. 6	71. 9 51. 2
Finance-Insurance- Real Estate	63. 9	64.3	60. 3	60. 0	63.6	63.5
Service and Misc.	52.5	52.9	52.0	53.9	60, 7	70.0
Government	43.7	43.8	42.9	40.8	42.9	43.0
Federal State Local	55.6 23.5 44.6	55. 1 28. 1 51. 3	55.9 26.1 44.6	54.8 28.6 35.6	57.6 31.0 37.7	58.8 31.0 38.0

¹Preliminary estimate

SOURCE: Anchorage Annual Planning Information FY 1979, State of Alaska, Department of Labor, Research and Analysis Section. mining and local government declined by a significant amount relative to the state.

Implicitly, all of the sectors where the employment shares are over 50 percent of the state can be viewed as having basic (or export) components. By this criteria, construction, trade, finance-insurancereal estate, and services were all basic sectors in 1978, exporting to the rest of the state. Note the dramatic decrease in the share of construction employment beginning in 1574 and continuing through 1976. This coincides with the peak years of TAPS construction.

Table 17 further documents the increased diversification accompanying Anchorage's economic growth.² The ratio of civilian basic to civilian total employment declined from .5683 to .4680 between 1965 and 1976, an increase in the ratio of total employment to total basic employment of 1.76 to 2.14.

Growth in Aggregate Economic Indicators

Tab"le 18 reveals the growth in aggregate real income as well as real per **capi**ta income. Aggregate real income increased by over 200 percent in the twelve-year period; and per capita real income increased by 60.0 percent over the same period. The compound annual growth rates for several time periods are shown on the **table**. The **table** reveals that the peak pipeline years account for the greatest growth rates.

²One caution is necessary in interpreting the table. The manufacturing and agricul ture-forestry-fisheries sectors are probably locally oriented rather than export oriented.

TABLE 17. ANCHORAGE BASIC SECTOR GROWTH 1965, 1970, 1973, 1975. and 1976

Industry	1965	1970	<u>1973</u>	<u>1975</u>	<u>1976</u>
Agri cul ture, Forestry, and Fi sheri es	33	52	82	110	100
Mi ni ng	371	958	769	1, 301	1, 409
Contract Construction	3, 127	3, 514	4, 178	7,054	7, 587
Manufacturi ng	791	1, 018	1, 286	1, 573	1, 629
Transportation, Communicati and Public Utilities	on, - o -	- 0 -	- 0 -	230	697
Trade	1, 195	1, 642	2, 239	3, 611	4, 195
Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate	350	573	825	1, 010	1,229
Servi ces	500	1. 208	1, 323	2,612	3, 510
Federal Government State Government	9,395 1,672	9,509 2,421	9, 558 3 <u>, 667</u>	10, 222 4, 056	9, 813 4, 053
Total Civilian Basic Employment	17, 434	20, 895	23, 927	31, 779	34, 222
Total Military Employment	<u>15, 190</u>	12, 884	14,049	12,642	12, 179
Total Basic Employment	32, 624	33, 779	37, 976	44, 421	46, 401
Total Basic/ Total Employment	.7113	. 6155	. 5872	. 5398	. 5440
Civilian Basic/Total Civilian Employment	. 5683	. 4975	. 4726	. 4563	. 4680

SOURCE: Alaska Department of Labor, <u>Statistical Quarterly</u>, various issues.

TABLE 18.GROWTH IN PERSONAL INCOMEANCHORAGE AND ALASKA, 1965-1977

(Millions of 1967 Dollars)

	Anchorage Personal Income	<u>Per Capita</u>	Alaska Personal Income	<u>Per Capita</u>	Percent of State Personal Income <u>in</u> Anchorage
1965	393. 8	3, 849	910. 8	3, 435	. 43
1970	579.3	4, 585	1, 288. 3	4, 260	. 45
1971	649.2	4, 781	1, 379. 1	4,407	. 47
1972	690.4	4, 788	1, 465. 1	4, 518	•47
1973	731.0	4, 892	1, 662. 3	5, 031	. 44
1974	830. 2	5,422	1, 817. 4	5, 180	. 46
1975	1, 060. 0	5, 961	2, 311. 7	5, 713	. 46
1976	1, 147. 2	6, 195	2, 551. 2	6, 172	. 45
1977	1, 185. 0	6, 141	2,442.6	5,940	. 49
Compound Annua Growth Rate	l				
1965-1977	9.62	3.97	8.57	4.67	
1970-1977	10. 76	4.26	9.57	4.86	
1973-1975	19.03	9.12	17.81	9.12	

SOURCES: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Regional Economic Information System, July 1979 printouts.

Alaska Department of Labor, Estimates of Total Resident Population.

Real personal income increased from \$393.4 million (1967 dollars) in 1965 to over \$1.1 billion in 1976 (U.S. Department of Commerce, 1978). This represents a real rate of growth of almost 10 percent annually. More dramatically, the annual growth rate surged to 19.03 percent during the peak of TAPS activity in 1973-1975. When these figures are converted to a real per capita basis, the growth rate is 4.04 percent per year from 1965 through 1976 with a high of 9.12 percent per year from 1973 to 1975 (U.S. Department of Commerce, 1978)

Table 19 indicates that employment in the Anchorage economy increased at a compound annual growth rate of 8.22 percent from 1965 to 1976, and at a compound **annual** rate of 9.68 percent from 1970 to 1976. Statewide, employment grew even more rapidly. As a result, the city's share of total state employment fell from 45 percent in 1970 to 43 percent in 1976.

Anchorage's unemployment rates remained high by U.S. standards, and absolute levels of unemployment increased in every year but 1975 (see Table 20). The six-year period (1970-1976) witnessed a 126 percent increase in the number of unemployed in the Anchorage labor market. The statewide unemployment/employment relationship was behaving in a similar manner falling below 10 percent in one year (1975) after 1970.

Both in-migration and changing labor force participation were important factors influencing Anchorage's unemployment. Between 1973 and 1974, Anchorage employment increased by a little more than 8,000 workers.

57

•

	Anchorage Employment	Alaska Employment	Percent of State Employment in Anchorage
1965	30, 678	70, 530	.43
1970	41, 995	92, 476	. 45
1971	45, 452	97, 584	. 47
1972	48, 252	104, 243	. 46
1973	50, 627	109, 351	. 46
1974	58, 713	128, 178	. 46
1975	69, 645	161, 313	. 43
1976 ·	73, 113	171, 714	. 43
1977	77, 858	164, 071	. 47
Compound Annual Growth Rate			
1965- 976	8.22	8.43	

10.87

TABLE 19.EMPLOYMENT GROWTH, ANCHORAGE
AND ALASKA, 1965-1977

SOURCE: Alaska Department of Labor, Labor Force Estimates, various years.

1970-* 976

9.68

	Anchorage Total <u>Unemployment</u>	Anchorage Unemployment <u>Rate (%)</u>	Anchorage Labor Force Participation Rate (%)	Alaska Unemployment _ <u>Rate (%)</u>	Alaska Labor Force Participation Rate (%)
1965	2, 249	6.2	41.44	8.6	38.16
1970	3, 267	6.7	43. 21	9.0	39.94
1971	4, 418	8.2	44.43	10.4	40.97
1972	5, 140	8.9	44.68	10. 5	41. 27
1973	5, 818	9.7	44.40	10. 8	42.78
1974	5, 980	8.6	49.66	10.0	46.00
1975	5, 279	6.7	47.85	8.3	47.40
1976	7,372	6. 9	50. 56	10.5	52.65

TABLE 20.ANCHORAGE AND ALASKA UNEMPLOYMENT1965,1970-1976

SOURCE: Alaska Department of Labor, Alaska, Labor Force Estimates.

In-migration plus natural increase accounted for, at most, 3,672 of these workers. The remaining 55 percent, or 4,414 workers, must be the result of increased labor force participation. Inspection of Table 20 lends support to this conclusion.

The economic "boom" associated with the TAPS project undoubtedly encouraged increased labor force participation. Then, as the information **about labor** market conditions filtered to the Lower 48, the in-migration response was triggered. The response was dramatic. The data in Table 21 **reveal** an estimated net migration of 22,222 for 1975. But reported employment increased by only 10,932 (Table 19). Concurrently, the labor force participation rate fell to 47.85, and the unemployment rate declined.

A possible reconciliation of these data is achieved by assuming that a significant proportion of the in-migrants were employed elsewhere in the state even though they resided in Anchorage. During this period (1974-1975), statewide employment increased by 33,135, and Anchorage's share of total state employment fell from 46 to 43 percent (Table 19).

SOUTHCENTRAL ALASKA

Historically, the **Southcentral** region's economy has been based on the exploitation and development of natural resources. The fisheries of **Southcentral** are among the most important in the state, accounting for approximately half of the industry's statewide catch. The Upper Cook Inlet region was the site of the state's first major hydrocarbon devel-opment and remains the center of the state's petrochemical industry.
TABLE 21.ANCHORAGE POPULATION GROWTH1965,1970.1977

	Number of Birthṣ	Number of Deaths	Natural Increase	Estimated Net <u>Migration</u>	Popul ati on as of Jul y 1_	% i ncrease over Previ ous Year
1965					102, 337.	
1970	3, 285	489	2, 796		126, 333	4.30 ¹
1971	3, 192	473	2, 719	6, 725	135, 777	7.48
1972	3, 119	490	2, 629	5,809	144, 215	6. 21
1973	4, 247	424	3, 823	1, 402	149, 440	3. 62
1974	3, 123	481	2,642	1,030	153, 112	2.46
1975	2,990	507	2, 483	22, 222	177, 814	16.14
1976	3, 472	519	2, 953	4, 412	185, 179	4.14
1977	4, 108	777	3, 331	4,447	192, 957	

¹Percent average annual increase.

SOURCE: Alaska Department of Labor, <u>Estimates of Total Resident Population</u> and <u>Estimates of Civilian Population</u>.

Alaska Department of Health and Social Statistics, as reported by the Municipality of Anchorage.

During the time period under 'investigation, 1965-1976, an oil port was built at Valdez to serve as the terminus of the trans-Alaska pipeline. The construction of this facility and the pipeline leading to it were important factors in the growth of the Southcentral region during the mid-1970s.

Population

Population in the Southcentral region increased by over 28,000 between 1965 and 1976. Over half of this increase came after 1973 as a result of the construction of the trans-Alaska pipeline. Such rapid growth in a relatively small region indicates that migration was the major component of growth. Between 1973 and 1976, migration accounted for over 90 percent of the increase in population. Table 22 shows the components of population growth in Southcentral.

From 1973 through 1976, the historic relationships between population and employment seem inoperative, In 1965, the ratio of employment to population was 4.2, implying approximately 3.2 dependents per employee. If one analyzes the data from 1973 to 1976, a different pattern emerges. During that period, employment increased by 10,899 workers, but the population only expanded by 19,715 people. The marginal ratio of population-to-employment fell to 1.81, or less than one dependent per worker.

This departure from the traditional **economic/demographic** relationship can be partially explained by the sectors responsible for the rapid

TABLE 22.POPULATI ON GROWTH, SOUTHCENTRAL
ALASKA, 1965, 1970-1977

	Number <u>of Births</u>	Number of Deaths	Natural Increase	Estimated Net Migration	Popul ati on as of Jul y 1	% Increase over <u>Previous Year-</u>
1965					30, 235	
1970	8631	215 ¹	648 ¹		37, 540 ²	4. 4 ³
1971	505	39	366	926	38, 832	3.4
1972	505	38	367	-406	38, 739	-0.2
1973	718	73	545	- 31	39, 253	1.3
1974	768 ⁴	2314	537 ⁴	1, 667	41, 457	5.6
1975	634	244	390	9, 828	51, 675	24.6
1976	993	227	766	6, 436	58, 877	13.9
1977⁵						

¹Data is from State of Alaska, Department of Health and Social Services, Office of Information Systems.

²Data is from April Census.

³Annual average increase from 1965 to 1970.

⁴Data is from <u>1974 Vital Statistics Provisional Figures</u>, State of Alaska, Department of Health and Social Services, Health Information System Section.

⁵Figures for 1977 are not available.

growth: mining and construction. Employment in these sectors is more transient than employment in other sectors where there is greater likelihood of employees' taking up permanent residence. The employment rotation patterns and enclave nature of mining activity encourage a nonresident workforce, further reducing the demographic impact of mining development on a particular area. The TAPS construction project was imbued with all of the above characteristics; hence, it had minimal demographic impacts. Had 'historic relationships (pre-1973) held, there would have been 31,607a additional dependents [(10,899 x 3.9-10,899)] rather than 8,816.

Aggregate Measures of Economic Activity in Southcentral Alaska

Table 23 reveals the importance of the TAPS-related construction activity as an **economic** stimulus to the region. The pace of activity, as measured by income and employment, quickened after **1973**. Between 1965 and 1976, total employment more than tripled. Over two-thirds of the measured change in employment occurred between 1973 and **1976**. The growth in regional real income exhibited similar behavior, increasing by over 250 percent -From 1965 to 197(1, but 67 percent of this increase occurred in the **last** three **years** of the period.

<u>Sources of Growth, 1965-1976.</u> A major source of growth in the **South**central region during this period was the expansion of the traditional. basic industries: mining, construction, and fisheries (including fish processing). The major mining development occurred early in the period with the development of the **Kenai-Upper** Cook Inlet fields. Petroleum

TABLE 23. GROWTH OF EMPLOYMENT, POPULATION, AND PERSONAL INCOME, SOUTHCENTRAL REGION 1965-1976

	Popul ati on	Employment_	Real Personal Income 1 <u>\$1967 Million)</u>
1965	30, 235	7, 124	95.6
1970	37, 809	9, 582	143. 5
1971	39, 227	10, 127	146. 2
1972	39, 148	10, 735	149. 2
1973	39, 716	12, 131	174.0
1974	41, 986	13,645	197. 5
1975	51, 923	18, 300	271.8
1976	59, 431	23, 030	336.0
Compound Annual Growth Rate			
1965-1976	6.34	11.26	12.11
1970-1976	7.83	15. 74	15. 23
Total Percent Change	96. 56	223. 22	251.50

 SOURCES: All estimates State of Alaska Department of Labor, Research and Analysis Section, <u>Population Estimates by Census Divis</u>ion, except 1970 which is <u>Census of Population</u>.
Alaska Department of Labor, Statistical Quarterly, various years.

U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, July 1978.

activity in the Kenai fields can be described in two periods. Field development occurred in the first period (between 1961 and 1968) which included the development of both onshore and offshore fields. During this phase, mining employment increased by over 600 percent. Major construction of petrochemical facilities also took place during this period. Three petrochemical plants and seven pipelines were completed between 1961 and 1968.

The second major phase was production. By 1970, all the major components of the petroleum Industry were in operation (Mathematical Sciences, Northwest, 1976). Since 1970, the industry has exhibited a cyclical pattern of employment, first declining, then increasing after 1973. Recent growth in the industry is related **to** increased exploratory and petrochemical activity (Kenai Borough, 1977).

Regional construction employment prior to 1970 was influenced importantly by petrochemical development in Kenai. Construction of five petrochemical facilities and seven pipelines increased Kenai's construction employment to a peak of 1,209 in 1968 (Mathematical Sciences, Northwest, 1976). By 1970, construction employment had decreased until its regional total was 583.

Table 24 reveals that construction employment was increasing throughout the period at an annual average rate of over 20 percent. The construction of TAPS and the transshipment facility at Valdez resulted in growth of construction employment at an annual average rate in excess of 131 percent between 1973 and 1975. The activity in Valdez alone accounted for

EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY SOUTHCENTRAL ALASKA TABLE 24.

	Annual	Average Percent Incr	ease
Industry	1965 - 1976	1970 - 1976	1973 - 1975
Agri cul ture, Forestry, and Fi sheri es	38.44	37.87	5. 16
Mi ni ng	8. 27	1.37	18. 59
Contract Construction	20. 71	85.19	131.70
Manufacturing Food	9.53 6.30	11. 90 8. 65	. 55 . 20
Transportation, Communicatio and Public Utilities Transportation Communications Public Utilities	n, 9.51 9.15 22.71 5.90	2.09 34.50 19.69 8.38	32. 62 49. 33" 2. 86 12. 66
Trade Whol esal e Retai 1	10. 88 11. 95 10. 47	11. 22 10. 59 11. 46	31. 72 60. 82 23. 95
Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate	10. 57	14.68	25.86
Servi ces Hotel Personal Busi ness Medi cal Other	12. 12 11. 61 3. 37 18. 49 11. 60 9. 64	16.72 20.09 4.28 37.07 9.15 11.54	21.56 24.77 -1.01 78.12 -6.89 24.90
Government Federal State and Local	-3.80 8.49	-4.28 7.50	5.65 6.33
Total	11.26	15.74	22.82

SOURCES: Estimated from Alaska Department of Labor, Research and Analysis Secti on worksheets. Alaska State Housi ng Authori ty, Alaska, Yakutat, Comprehensi ve <u>Devel opment Plan</u>, Anchorage 1971. Al aska Consultants, Inc., Anchorage, Alaska, Yakutat, Comprehensive <u>Devel opment Plan</u>, December 1976.

70 percent of regional construction employment in 1975 and 78 percent in 1976.

The other major basic industry in the Southcentral region is the fisheries industry. This industry is composed of fish harvesting and fish processing employment. The employment data must be interpreted with caution. Employment recorded in nonagricultural wage and salary employment excludes selfemployed workers, traditionally a major component of fishery employment. The nexus between emp"loyment and income is also weaker than in other industries since catch and prices are subject to substantial annual variation.

The estimates of employment presented in Table 25 are based on catch and gear statistics for three regions: Prince William Sound, Cook Inlet, and Southwest. These regions include more than the Southcentral region but provide a rough estimate of industry behavior in the **Southcentral** region. Employment for the period averaged 2,107 workers with peak employment (2,388 in 1976) only 13.3 percent above and the low employment (1,853 in 1972) 12 percent less than the average figure. The real value of the catch appears to vary considerably from year-to-year, suggesting that the industry was characterized by wide fluctuations in income per worker. Since 1970, the catch has ranged from 233.8 million pounds (1972) to 363.6 million pounds ("1973), and the real value from \$32.47 million in 197[°]1 to \$63.5 millionin 1977. Given relative prices for various species, the value of the catch is obviously affected by its composition as well as its volume. Some of the annual fluctuation in value illustrated in Table 25 is probably related to annual variations in catch composition.

TABLE 25.ESTIMATED FISH HARVESTING EMPLOYMENTAND VALUE OF CATCH

	1970	1971	<u>1972</u>	<u>1973</u>	1974	<u>1975</u>	<u>1976</u>	<u>1977</u>
Employment	2, 193	2, 052	1, 853	2, 235	1, 998	2, 031	2, 388	
Catch ^z (million lbs.)	269. 3	256. 6	233. 8	362. 6	254. 5	256. 8	245. 4	215. 6
Val ue ² (thousand \$)	40, 681	36, 658	44, 773	73, 496	65, 912	60, 971	93, 668	115, 377
Real Value (thousand \$)	37, 117	32, 469	38,631	60, 841	49, 225	40, 033	54, 937	63, 568

¹Rogers and Listowski, 1978.

'Alaska Department of Commerce and Economic Development, 1977. Value is deflated by the Ancherage CPI.

The manufacturing sector of **the Southcentral** region is primarily composed of fish processing and petrochemicals. Since 1965, manufacturing employment has grown at an annual average rate of 9.5 percent (see Table 24). **Although** the manufacturing sector has experienced some cyclical instability associated with food processing (primarily due to variations in the fish harvest), the petrochemical component of the sector has given it relative stability.

The final basic sector is the federal government. Federal government employment actually fell from 975 in 1965 to 637 in 1976. The lowest point was in 1974 when employment was 595. Military employment in the region also followed the same pattern. Military employment in 1976 was 1,660 ress than in 1965. The primary reason for this was the closure of the Kodiak Naval Station.

Tab'le 26 summarizes the growth in the basic sector for the time period 1965-1976. Basic sector employment more than doubled from 1965 to 1976. The decline in federal government (military and nonmilitary) employment between 1965. and 1973 was offset by the growth in civilian basic sector employment. This offset was in the mining, manufacturing, and fisheries sectors and represents the occurrence of a modest degree of diversification in civilian sectoral employment over the 1965-73 time period.

The data for 1975 and 1976 show a very rapid growth in basic employment. Basic employment increased by 7,267 workers from 1973 to 1976. The growth in contract construction during this period is largely responsible

70:

TABLE 26.	BASIC SECTOR GROWTH,	SOUTHCENTRAL ALASKA
	1965, 1970, 1973,	1975, and 1976

Industry	<u>1965</u>	1970	<u>1973</u>	1975	<u>1976</u>
Agri cul ture, Forestry, and Fi sheri es	19	99	491	543	680
Mi ni ng	345	762	640	900	827
Contract Construction	880	583	681	3, 656	6, 978
Manufacturing	1,188	1,647	2, 627	2, 656	3, 234
Federal Government	975	828	602	672	637
Total Civilian Basic Employment	3, 407	3,919	5, 041	8, 427	12, 356
Total Military Employment	2,651	2,110	<u>1,039</u>	747	_ 9 <u>91</u>
Total Basic Employment	6,058	6,029	6,080	9, 174	13, 347
Total Basic/ Total Employment	. 6197	.5157	. 4617	. 4817	. 5556
Civilian Basic/Total Civilian Employment	. 4782	. 4090	. 4155	. 4605	. 5365

SOURCES: Estimated from Alaska Department of Labor, Research and Analysis Section worksheets.

Alaska Department of Labor, Estimates of the Population.

- Alaska State Housing Authority, Alaska, <u>Yakutat</u>, <u>Comprehensive</u> <u>Development Plan</u>, Anchorage, 1971.
- Alaska Consultants, Inc., Yakutat, <u>Comprehensive Development Plan</u>, Anchorage, Alaska, 1971.

for the expansion in the basic sector. Construction employment grew by 6,297 workers, comprising almost **87** percent of the total employment growth in the basic sector.

Structural Change, 1955-1976

Tables 26 and 27 illustrate the effects on the **Southcentral** region of growth **eminating** from the construction industry. From 1965 to 1973, the basic-to-total employment ratio fell, implying an increased employment multiplier as the economy became more diversified. It is normally expected that, as a regional economy grows, import substitution and scale economies work **to** reduce (relatively) import leakages, and the basic-to-total employment ratio would reflect this structural change by declining. But, beginning with 1973, the ratio **began** to increase and increased rapidly in 1975 and 1976.

With the construction of TAPS, the support sector did not expand as rapidly as the basic sector. The enclave nature of pipeline employment meant that the support services were provided primarily within the enclave construction sector. This limited the necessary expansion of the support sector to accommodate pipeline employment and reversed the trend of the decrease in basic sector importance. This tendency was strengthened by the transient nature of employment in the construction and mining sectors. Thus, income earned in the Southcentral region was being spent elsewhere.

Table 27 illustrates the structure of the **Southcentral** economy. The non-TAPS trend can be seen by examining the change between 1965 and 1970.

TABLE 27.EMPLOYMENT DI STRI BUTI ON BY I NDUSTRY
SOUTHCENTRAL ALASKA (ALASKA)
1965, 1970, AND 1976

Percent of Total Employment

Industry	1965	1970	1976
Agri cul ture, Forestry, and Fi sheri es	. 27 (. 20)	1.03 (.21)	2.95 (.70)
Mi ni ng	4.84 (1.54)	7.95 (3.24)	3.59 (2.31)
Contract Construction	12.35 (9.15)	6.08 (7.45)	30.30 (17.61)
Manufacturing Food	16.68 (8.90) 15.24 (4.26)	17.19 (8.48) 13.49 (4.04)	14.04 (6.02) 9.24 (2.98)
Transportation, Communication, and Public Utilities Transportation Communication Public Utilities	7.61 (10.30) 5.24 .36 1.85	7.93 (9.85) 5.44 .89 1.61	6.39 (9.18) 4.24 1.07 1.08
Trade Whol esal e Retai 1	11. 41 (14. 11) 1. 43 (2. 63) 9. 99 (11. 48)	13.96 (16.61) 2.01 (3.51) 11.95 (13.10)	11.00 (16.05) 1.53 (3.66} 9.47 (12.58}
Finance, Insurance, ar Real Estate	nd 2.23 (3.08)	2.20 (3.35)	2.08 (4.14)
Servi ces Hotel Personal Busi ness Medi cal Other	10.36 (10.65) 1.94 .35 1.64 1.95 4.48	10.72 (12.37) 1.61 .29 1.19 2.87 4.76	11.28 (16.11) 2.01 .16 3.28 2.02 3.81
Federal Government	13.69 (24.72)	8.64 (18.50)	2.77 (10.45)
State and Local Government	20.56 (17.34)	24.29 (19.94)	15.60 (17.43)

- SOURCES: Estimated from Alaska Department of Labor, Research and Analysis Section worksheets. "
 - Alaska State Housing Authority, Yakutat Alaska, Comprehensive Development Plan, Anchorage 1971.
 - Al aska Consultants Inc., Anchorage, Al aska, <u>Yakutat Comprehensive</u> <u>Development Plan</u>, December 1976.

Between these periods, the support sectors either increased their share of employment or remained constant; the overall change was not so great as in the state or Anchorage. Only trade expanded its share significantly from 11.4 percent to 14 percent.

<u>Unemployment</u>

Unemployment rates remained high throughout the 1965-1976 period. The data presented in Table 28 indicate a peak unemployment rate of over 15 percent in 1972, falling to 12.42 percent in 1975 and rising to over 13.8 percent by 1976. Regional unemployment rates remained significantly higher than the statewide average throughout the period even though, as Table 24 indicated, the region experienced rapid economic growth.³

Personal Income

The income statistics in Table 23 probably overstate the income effect of development on the **Southcentral** region and the subregions within it. Because of the transient and enclave nature of the basic sectors (construction, mining), much of the income earned in the region accrued and was spent where the workers reside. In addition, the subregions are relatively **small** economies, and a substantial portion of income spent · resulted in increased imports and reduced the regional response to increased demand.

³Employment grew at an annual average rate of 15.74 percent between 1970 and 1976.

	Southcentral Total <u>Unemployment</u>	Southcentral Unemployment Rate (%)	Southcentral Labor Force Participation Rate' (%)	Alaska Unemployment Rate (%)	Alaska Labor Force Participation Rate (%)
1965	1, 172	10.30	41.38	8.6	38.16
1970	1, 835	13.44	38. 24	9.0	39. 94
1971	2, 135	14.66	38.90	10.4	40. 97
1972	2, 257	15.03	39.17	10. 5	41. 27
1973	2, 336	14.07	42.94	10.8	42. 78
1974	2, 744	14.80	45.09	10.0	46.00
1975	3, 094	12.42	48.68	8.3	47.40
1976	4, 502	13.83	54.78	10.5	52.65

TABLE 28.ALASKA AND SOUTHCENTRAL ALASKA UNEMPLOYMENT1965,1970-1976

SOURCES: Alaska Department of Labor, Labor Force Estimates, various years.

Alaska Department of Labor, Estimates of the Population.

Alaska State Housing Authority, <u>Yakutat, Alaska Comprehensive Development Plan</u>, Anchorage, 1971.

Alaska Consultants Inc., Anchorage, Alaska, <u>Yakutat Comprehensive Development Plan</u>, December 1976.

Table 29 provides statistics concerning income on a regional and statewide basis. The statistics reflect very rapid' growth on both a nominal and real basis. The region was clearly growing very rapidly throughout the 1970-to-1976 time period, especially after 1973 when TAPS-related influences dominated.

The per capita figures also show rapid growth in real income. If the 1973-to-1976 growth rates were sustained, per capita real incomes would double approximately every lightyears.

Summary

The Southcentral region's growth can be divided into two distinct phases. Prior to 1973, it was experiencing a stable growth pattern much the same as the state's. Beginning with the pipeline construction in 1973, the Southcentral economy experienced rapid growth. Its basic sector (mining, pipeline construction) expanded rapidly; and regional employment, income> and population correspondingly advanced. Structurally, the basic sector grew relative to the support sector of the economy. Much of this structural shift may prove to be temporary as the region's economy (absent of significant changes in the level of mining activity) reverts to its pre-TAPS growth path.

A DISAGGREGATED VIEW OF THE SOUTHCENTRAL REGION

The **Southcentral** region is a **composit** of a number of **local** economies, ranging in size from **Yakutat** (employment 241 in 1976) to **Valdez** (1976 employment of 7,818). In addition to differences in size and structure,

Year	Personal Income (Thousands \$)	Real Personal Income (Thousands \$)	Real Per Capita Personal Income (\$)	State Real Per Capita Personal Income (\$)				
1965	90, 128	95, 677	3, 164	3, 435				
1970	157, 316	146, 234	3, 796	4, 260				
1971	165, 099	143, 536	3, 728	4, 407				
1972	172, 916	149, 194	3, 811	4, 518				
1973	210, 235	174, 036	4, 382	5, 031				
1974	264, 428	197, 482	4, 704	5, 180				
1975	414, 045	271, 861	5, 236	5,701				
1976	548, 661	335, 983	5, 653	6, 124				
Compound Annual Growth Rate								
1965 - 79	076 17.85	12.10	5.42	5.40				
1970 - 19	076 23. 15	14.87	6.86	6.23				
1973 - 19	975 37.68	24.52	8.86	6. 77				

TABLE 29.GROWTH OF REAL PER CAPITA INCOME
SOUTHCENTRAL ALASKA
1965, 1970-1976

SOURCES: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Regional Economic Information System, July 1978 printouts.

> Alaska Department of Labor, <u>Labor Force Estimates</u>, various years. Alaska Consultants, Inc., <u>City of Yakutat</u>, <u>Comprehensive</u> <u>Development Plan</u>, December 1976,

U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Al aska State Housing Authority, Al aska, <u>Yakutat</u>, <u>Comprehensive</u> <u>Development Plan</u>, Anchorage, 1971. different factors influence their growth patterns. These local economies will first be discussed and then the question of regional integration will be addressed. Because of data limitations, the level of analysis will be the census division.

Table 30 provides a summary of economic and demographic information relating to the growth in the local economies. Growth in the region has been centered in three subregions: the Kenai Census Division, Matanuska-Susitna Census Division, and Valdez. But the growth occurred over different time periods. In the 1965-70 period, growth was centered in the Kenai region and was based on mining and petrochemical development. Employment in this region grew at an annual rate in excess of 15 percent.

After 1970, Valdez replaces Kenai as the fastest growing local economy in the region. Between 1970 and 1976, Valdez' employment grew by over 319 percent. Much of this growth was undoubtedly post-1973 and related to the construction of TAPS with its associated port facilities. The regional boom associated with TAPS radiated out and influenced the growth of all the local economies, with the possible exceptions of Kodiak and Yakutat.

Growth in the Matanuska-Susitna (Mat-Su) economy was TAPS-related but eminated from Anchorage. During the 1970-76 period, the Mat-Su economy was responding to population growth as it became a suburban center tied to Anchorage's economy. As a suburban center, the statistics on income

TABLE 30. AGGREGATE INDICATORS, SMALL ECONOMIES 1965, 1970, and 1976

	Population	Employment	Personal Income (Million \$)	Per Capita Income <u>(Dollars)</u>
Cordova-McCarthy 1965 1970 1 9 7 6	1, 991 1, 857 2, 353	604 702 1, 041	7.5 9.8 17.7	3, 767 5, 277 7, 522
Val dez-Chi ti na-Whi 1965 1970 1976	tti er 2, 396 3, 098 13, 000	452 ^{83′} 1 7, 818	6.1 9.7 163.0	2, 546 3, 131 12, 538
Matanuska-Susitna 1965 1970 1976	6, 125 6, 509 14, 010	1,083 1,145 2,269	13. 4 24. 3 108. 9	2, 188 3, 744 7, 773
Seward 1965 1970 1976	2, 213 2, 336 3, 395	620 692 1, 136	5.7 8.4 25.9	2, 576 3, 596 7, 629
Kenai 1965 1970 1976	8, 446 14, 250 16, 753	1,753 3,576 6,465	26. 7 57. 2 156. 0	3, 162 4, 014 9, 312
Kodi ak 1965 1970 1976	9,064 9,409 9,366	2, 310 2, 469 4, 153	30. 6 45. 0 72. 9	3, 376 4, 783 7, 783
Yakutat 1965 1970 1976	 350 550	 193 241	3. 0 4. 2	8, 571 7, 636

 ${}^{\boldsymbol{l}}$ Civilian nonagricultural wage and salary employment.

and employment are misleading. The majority of the employed popu ation works in Anchorage, and Mat-Su has primarily a trade and services base. As a result, the dependency ratio during the 1965-76 period was h gh (5.66 in 1965 and 6.17 in 1976) and labor force participation rates appear low. In fact, the economy's economic base was geographically separate from the rest of the region.

۲

The **Southcentra** Region as a Regional Economy

The preceding discussion, with its accompanying data, has given a rough indication of the size and diversity of the local economies The question remains as to whether or not the region can be treated as a regional economy for analytical and modeling purposes. One perspective by which areas can be classified as regions is based on functional integration. Areas may be functionally integrated in the sense that activities are tied to some central node or locus. This approach has been institutionalized by the Bureau of Census in their Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas. This classification recognizes the economic relationship between the metropolitan area and the surrounding countryside. The radius of influence is obviously affected by many factors, among which Economies can be functionally the most important is transportation cost. integrated even though geographically separate if they are open and ' permit the exchange of goods and productive factors. The degree of integration reflects the importance of this exchange process.

The **Southcentral** region, relative to the rest of the state, has highly developed transportation links. Most larger communities in the region

are linked by roads and/or ferry and by a highly developed communications system. There are numerous deepwater ports and commercial marine freight services. The communities of Kenai, Seward, Mat-Su, as well as Anchorage, are linked by the Seward, Sterling, and Glenn Highways. Valdez is linked through the Richardson Highway. Ferry service connects Cordova, Valdez, Kodiak, Seward, Whittier, Homer, and Seldovia. Van container service is available in Cordova, Valdez, Kodiak, and Seward (ISER, 1976).

The trade flows among these areas were previously described in a census of transportation conducted by the Institute of Social and Economic Research (ISER, 1976). Table 31 shows the distribution of intrastate freight from Southcentral points of origin. This is not a pure measure of trade flows since it includes transshipments of goods, but it does provide an indication of the trade links between the economies of the Freight and mail measure the flow of goods (final goods and regi on. material inputs) between communities. It is not a perfect measure of integration since it does not indicate the flow of labor and capital Of all the census divisions, Skagway-Yakutat is between communities. the least tied to the Southcentral region; only 30 percent of the freight leaving Skagway is shipped to other areas of Southcentral Alaska. For a number of the divisions--Valdez, Kodiak, Kenai, and Cordova--Anchorage is the destination for major portions of their flows; however, this relationship does not occur in reverse: less than 30 percent of Anchorage goods flow to other regions of Southcentral. The existing transportation links and the flows of freight show that the economies of Southcentral Alaska, when Anchorage is included, appear to exhibit a degree of functional integration.

TABLE 31.DI STRI BUTI ON OF I NTRASTATE FLOW OF FREIGHT
AND MAIL FROM SOUTHCENTRAL ORIGINS, 1973

(Percent of flows from **Southcentral** origins)

DESTINATION						Matanuska-		Skagway-	Valdez-Chitina-	
	ORIGIN	Anchorage	Cordova	Kenai	Kodiak	Susitna	Seward	Yakutat	Whittier	Total
	Anchorage	5.84	. 86	6. 04	4.14	1. 32	1.03	. 07	2.63	21.93
	Cordova	63.88	13.54	. 38	7.17	. 48	0	. 65	1. 17	87.27
ŝ	Kenai	39.90	. 62	15.50	2.64	. 17	. 15	. 15	23. 20	82.33
	Kodi ak	76.96	. 02	11.87	6. 73	0	. 01	0	. 26	95.85
	Matanuska- Susitna	10. 59	0	32.46	0	. 50	25. 91	0	5.71	75. 17
	Seward	12.36	. 08	5.53	0	0	0	0	68.60	86. 57
	Skagway - Yakutat	.14	. 02	28.80	0	0"	0	. 67	0	29. 63
	Valdez-Chitina Whittier	- 41. 14	7.77	15.05	5.46	. 73	7.97	2. 93	. 60	81.65

SOURCE: ISER., Census of Alaska Transportation, September 1976.

III. THE ALASKAN ECONOMY IN THE BASE CASE

This chapter presents a growth path for the Alaskan economy that excludes the proposed hydrocarbon development in the Lower Cook Inlet OCS.

Purpose of the Base Case

Petroleum development in the Lower Cook Inlet will affect both the size and structure of the Alaskan economy. These impacts can be described as a deviation from a pattern of growth that would have occurred in the absence of the Lower Cook development: the "base case." Comparing the divergence between the base case and the OCS impact case yields a measure of the impact of OCS development.

The base case scenario employed in this study is a consistent, plausible pattern of development; however, **it** should not be interpreted as **fore**casts of the likely future. The actual development **likely** to occur is subject **to** a considerable amount of uncertainty influenced by technological change, market prices, size of actual hydrocarbon discoveries, political vagaries, and many other uncertain events.

The base. case projection is generated to measure (estimate) the influence of OCS activities on the Alaskan economy. The base case satisfies a number of criteria including consistency, plausibility of assumptions, continuity with the economy's historical growth, and the overall structural stability of economic relations.

The Western Gulf scenarios project direct employment impacts of's lesser magnitude than the Northern Gulf scenarios. The high case scenario has a projected peak direct employment impact of 1,136 workers in 1989 with a sustained level of permanent employment of 976 for the remainder of the projection period. The mean scenario generates a peak employment of only 270 workers in 1984 and a permanent labor force of 86 workers. The low case projects exploration only with all activity ceasing after 1983.

Base Case Assumptions

Overall, the most important assumption underlying the base case is implicit: that the relationships identified in the recent past will continue to hold in the future. In other words, the major implicit assumption is structural stability throughout the projection period.

Utilization of the MAP model for projecting economic growth requires the development of a set of assumptions. In some cases, these assumptions take the form of specified relationships among variables. In other cases, they are a projected numerical series designed to reflect a particular sequence or **level** of activities. For the base case, these assumptions reflect levels of economic activity expected to occur independent of the proposed OCS development.

*1

Four categories of assumptions circumscribe the base case. The first involves the level of employment in exogenous industries where employment levels are determined by factors outside of the Alaskan economy. These industries include manufacturing, agricul ture-forestry-fisheries,

federal government, mining, and a segment of the construction industry. Secondly, the state receives royalties, production taxes, property taxes, and corporate income taxes from the petroleum industry. The sales of this industry are almost totally exogenous; hence, the revenues to the state can be regarded as **exogenously** determined, <u>given a tax</u> <u>structure.</u> Thirdly, state government spending plays such a major role in the level of economic activity that a rule or assumption must be defined to project a state spending pattern. Finally, the state economy is influenced by U.S. economic variables such as the behavior of consumer prices, real per capita income, and the growth **in** wage compensation. Specific assumptions are made about each of these variables.

The uncertainties surrounding the future petroleum and world energy markets, as well as state economic decisions which influence economic growth, mean that any assumption about the appropriate base case scenarios is subject to criticism. An extensive development of a base case scenario which required considerable time and research would, because of these uncertainties, be subject to the same type of criticism. These uncertainties involve such major factors as the construction and timing of the ALCAN gasline and future state spending policy. Therefore, an extensive development of the base case scenario was not undertaken; instead, a reasonable set of assumptions was developed which emphasizes consistency and reasonableness of approach.

NON-OCS ASSUMPTIONS

Industry Assumptions

There are two sets of industry assumptions. The first relates to employment directly associated with special projects, primarily oil and gas development projects, Secondly, assumptions concerning the growth of the other major exogenous industries are needed (manufacturing, federal government, agricul ture-forestry-fisheries). Special projects include petroleum projects, major construction projects, and the operations and maintenance of these projects. Petroleum activity is assumed to continue at **Prudhoe** Bay with further exploration and development of the Kuparak and **Lisburne** formations. Mining employment peaks in this region at 1,783 in 1980. The Upper Cook **Inlet fields** are the other major region of petroleum activity. Employment is assumed to increase from its present level until 1985 or 1990 as the oil fields are shut down. Gas production continues after 1990 but with a reduced work force. There is little other new mining activity in the state with other mining maintaining current levels throughout the projection period.

Major construction projects in the state during the projection period include the Trans-Alaska Pipeline Service (TAPS) and the ALCAN gasline. TAPS is completed in 1977, after which the line's capacity is assumed to be increased by the addition of four pump stations between 1979 and 1982. The ALCAN gasline is assumed to be built between 1981 and 1984 with peak employment of 4,800 in 1982. The only other special construction project in the state during the projection period is the construction of the

Pacific LNG plant between 1980 and 1983; this project employment peaks in 1982 with 1,300 employees.

TAPS is assumed to require 850 workers per year for long-term operations. ALCAN operations employment is assumed to be 96 commencing in 1985. The difference in pipeline employment can be explained by the inclusion of Valdez port employment as part of TAPS as well as the longer length of the TAPS within the state. Finally, operations employment for the Pacific LNG plant is 60 beginning in 1984.

The level of employment in federal government and agriculture-forestryfisheries and output in manufacturing is set exogenously. Federal government employment is assumed to follow its general historical trend and remain constant at the 1976 level throughout the forecast period. The trend in the historical period reflected increases in civilian employment offsetting decreasing military employment. Employment in agriculture-forestry-fi sheries is assumed to be dominated by increases in fisheries. Given favorable conditions, employment in Alaska fisheries has been projected to increase fourfold between 1975 and 2000. This would result from the establishment of an American trawl fishery which completely replaces foreign fishing off Alaska (ISER, 1979). The opposite extreme would be an assumption of no employment growth without **bottomfish** development. In this study, an average rate of growth of 3 percent per year is assumed. This is consistent with moderate replacement of the foreign fishery by Alaskans (Scott, 1979).

Output in manufacturing is assumed to increase at an average annual rate of 4 percent, which is consistent with both the historical trend and the assumed growth in **the** fisheries industry.

National Variables

As part of the U.S. economy, Alaska is influenced by the level of economic Specific variables exert a significant activity in the United States. effect on the Alaskan economy and assumptions about these variables must These assumptions are based upon the longbe included in the base case. term projections of the consumer price index by Data Resources, Inc. Assumed U.S. rates were those from DRI's TRENDCONG0678 forecast (DRI, This assumption assumes the continuation of long-term trends in 1978). important exogenous variables. The average annual rate over the period of the forecast was used as our assumption. The U S. consumer price index was assumed to grow at 5.5 percent per year. The U.S. real per capita disposable income, adjusted to reflect consistent tax assumptions, was assumed to grow at 2.2 percent per year. Finally, DRI does U.S. weekly comnot provide a projection of U.S. weekly compensation. pensation was assumed to increase at a rate of 6.8 percent per year, this chosen to be consistent with both the assumed growth in prices and real disposable income.

Petroleum Revenues

The petroleum revenues received by the state consist of royalties, production taxes, property taxes, and the corporate income tax. The major source of these revenues in the projection period is the Prudhoe

88

field. The revenues are determined by the assumed rate of production of oil and gas and its wellhead value. Prudhoe oil production is assumed to peak in 1985 at 641.5 million barrels, while gas production is assumed to maintain its peak production of 912 billion cubic feet per year once this is reached in 1987. The wellhead value of Prudhoe oil is determined by the following assumptions: constant real West Coast market price of \$12 per barrel, constant real vessel and processing costs of \$1.75 per barrel, and' a TAPS tariff of .\$5.25 in 1978. The nominal TAPS tariff is assumed to remain constant unil 1990 when increasing operating costs are assumed to dominate decreasing capital costs; after 1990, the real tariff is assumed to remain constant. The wellhead value of gas was assumed to equal \$1.00 per MCF in 1978; this assumes the producers pay a \$.45 per MCF processing cost. (These base case assumptions were selected prior to the passage of the 1978 Energy Bill which sets a ceiling of \$1.68 per MCF on Prudhoe gas.) These wellhead values are only part of an array of many possible wellhead values. The range of wellhead values is a function of the uncertainty about the future levels of those factors influencing these values. Revenues are determined by existing state laws describing royalites, production taxes, property taxes, and corporate income taxes.

THE STATE EXPENDITURE RULE

The important role of state and local governments in the Alaskan economy requires that the treatment of governmental expenditures be a major component of the base case scenarios as well as the subsequent impact analysis. Over the projection period, the state government is assumed

to receive revenues from oil production far exceeding current levels of expenditures. The future level and composition of state government expenditures not only determine direct employment in the government sector but will influence all industries endogenously tied to the state economy.

Two important factors influence the framework in which state expenditure policy will be expressed. First, revenues to the state have increased substantially since the completion of the trans-Alaskan oil pipeline and will continue to do so into the future. These revenues will closely follow the pattern of production from Prudhoe Bay and possibly from other North Slope discoveries. Secondly, the establishment of the Permanent Fund places new constraints on the use of certain petroleum revenues. The Permanent Fund was adopted in 1976 as a constitutional amendment. It established that a minimum of 25 percent of all mineral lease rentals, royalties, royalty sale proceeds, federal mineral revenue sharing payments, and bonuses received by the state would be placed in the fund. This forced savings is only a portion of the revenues available to the state. Revenues accumulating in the General Fund will be greater than in the Permanent Fund for most of the period.

These changes in the structure of state spending limit the usefulness of past spending policies in determining the spending rules to be used. The rate of state expenditures, because it is a matter of policy choice to be made within a framework different from past experience, cannot ^{be} modeled simply from past experience. However, past experience can

provide a guide for developing the hypothetical spending rule used in the simulation. Scott, in his paper, "Behavioral Aspects of the State of Alaska's Operating Budget FY 1970-FY 1977," found two major factors responsible for the growth of state expenditures. First, real per capita state expenditures increased in response to real per capita income growth--a demand effect. Secondly, expenditures increased in relation to the available funds for state expenditures--a supply effect. The pattern between capital and operating expenditures differed.

Capital expenditures increased strongly in response to available fund growth, but the higher levels were not maintained. The higher levels of operating expenditures were maintained. Adjustments to available funds seemed to provide a new base for the growth of these expenditures.

Based on this analysis, the following pattern of state expenditures is assumed. Expenditures are assumed to increase in response to increases in personal income. The income elasticity of both capital and operating expenditures is less than one. The major difference is that the real level of state operating expenditures is assumed to be maintained, while the level of capital expenditures could fall.

The response to fund availability is composed of two parts. Expenditures respond to changes in the general fund balance. The response is weighted depending on the existing surplus; the weight equals the previous year's fund balance divided by general fund expenditures. In other words, the response to a change in the general fund is weighted by

the number of years of existing expenditures which could be financed by the general fund. The response of capital expenditures is greater than the operating expenditure response.

ALTERNATIVE OCS SCENARIOS

Four scenarios describing OCS activities prior to the Lower Cook Inlet lease sale are included in the base case. The four scenarios present potential low, moderate, and high development in the lease sale areas. These OCS scenarios are described in Tables 32, 33, 34, and 35. These tables present different levels of potential development in the Beaufort Sea, Gulf of Alaska, and the Lower Cook Inlet (1977 sale). These scenarios differ in timing and magnitude. The Lower Cook scenarios range from an exploration-only case to a high case with peak employment of almost 2,500. The timing differs significantly between the moderate and high scenarios with the moderate scenario reaching peak employment three years prior to the high scenario. The high Lower Cook scenario also contains the development of an LNG plant with 60 employees during its operation.

All three Beaufort scenarios contain production of oil and gas. In all cases, peak employment occurs in 1989; it ranges from 740 in the low scenario to 1,344 in the high scenario. Since the Beaufort sale is a joint state-federal lease sale, it also provides increased revenues to the state. These include bonus, royalty, severance tax, property tax, and corporate income tax revenues.

TABLE 32. LOWER COOK INLET EMPLOYMENT SCENARIOS

	Low ¹ Moderate ²			High					
	Mining	<u>Mi ni ng</u>	<u>Construction</u>	Mining	Construction	Manufacturing			
1978 1979 1980	84 126 252	70 321 664	0 1: ;	84 126 252	0 0 0	0 0 0			
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985	210 126 84 42 42	804 572 523 622 604	108 38 0 0 0	486 776 1,285 1,590 1,548	213 213 543 858 317	0 0 0 0			
1986 1987 198 8 1989 1990	0 0 0 0	545 411 417 417 417	0 0 0 0 0	1,347 1,139 1,139 1,139 1,139 1,139	0 0 0 0 0	60 60 60 60 60			
1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	0 0 0 0	417 417 417 417 417	0 0 0 0	1 , 1 3 9 1,139 1,139 1,139 1,139 1,139	0 0 0 0	60 60 60 60 60			
1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	0 0 0 0	417 417 417 417 417 417	0 0 0 0	1, 139 1, 139 1, 139 1, 139 1, 139 1, 139	0 0 0 0	60 60 60 60 60			

¹Based on scenarios in Lower Cook Inlet, Final Environmental Impact Statement, 1976.

²Based on Lower Cook Inlet. scenario in <u>Beaufort Sea Petroleum Develop-</u> <u>ment Scenarios. Economic and Demographic Impacts</u>, Technical Report No. 18, Alaska OCS Socioeconomic Studies Program, 1978. Distribution between offshore/onshore and industry was based-on the distribution in the Lower Cook EIS.

TABLE 33. BEAUFORT SEA OCS EMPLOYMENT SCENARIOS

		Low	Mo	derate	High		
	Mining Construction		Mining	<u>Construction</u>	Mining	<u>Construction</u>	
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985	67 198 198 232 67	49 198 247 247 99	1;; 198 232 67	1:; 247 247 99	67 198 198 232 67	1: : 247 247 99	
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990	70 123 228 345 387	281 331 395 395 132	112 276 479 616 595	304 333 466 466 155	70 148 321 583 710	403 642 810 761 254	
1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	434 388 355 333 334	32 66 32 32 59	524 503 432 535 438	155 1 & 155 77 ,	758 748 681 647 616	254 127 254 254 127	
1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	333 332 330 327 325	18 0 0 0 0	440 417 393 393 393 394	22 0 0 0 0	572 551 547 548 542	36 0 0 0 0	

SOURCE: BLM-Alaska OCS Office.

	Low		Moderate Scenario			High Scenario				
	<u>Construction</u>	<u>Construction -Transportation</u>		<u>Construction</u> Minin		<u>Transportation</u>	<u>Construction</u>	Mining	<u>Transportation</u>	
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985	38 6	38 75 83 75 38	9 17 26 17 9	38 12	45 90 90 83 38	17 35 35 26 9	38 12	53 1: : 53 46		26 41 48 26 17
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990	181	59 119 225 215 196	46 46 55 59	86 218 181	90 168 320 305 315	0 86 86 100 107	92 225 181	3 108 192 390 397		9 127 127 146 156
1991 1992 1993 1994 1995		196 215 217 217 217	59 59 59 59 59		264 277 279 281 2\$2	107 42 42 42 42 42		397 334 317 396 354		156 117 98 98 98
1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	2	217 217 217 217 217 217	59 59 59 59 59		282 282 282 282 282 282	42 42 42 42 42		354 354 354 354 354		98 98 98 98 98

TABLE 34.NORTHERN GULF OCS EMPLOYMENT SCENARIOS(SEAR ADJUSTED)

SOURCE: BLM-Alaska OCS Office, 1979.

TABLE 35. WESTERN GULF OCS EMPLOYMENTSC ENARIOS(SEAR ADJUSTED)

	Low Scenario		Moderate Scenario			High Scenario				
	<u>Mi ni ng</u>	Transportati on	<u>Construction</u>	<u>Mi ni ng</u>	<u>Transportati on</u>	<u>Construction</u>	Mining	<u>Manufacturi ng</u>	Transportation	
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985	120 120 41 0 0	62 62 21 0 0	260 49	92 93 42 10 50	41 41 21 0 33	0 0 364 587 647	91 171 161 345 395		38 82 82 260 373	
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	32	118 81 80 41 39	29 10 22 22 22	315 530 205 98 54	313 314 634 797 880	50 50 50 50 50 50	276 226 200 191 185	
1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0		64 64 64 64	22 22 22 22 22 22	0 0 0 0 0	812 729 658 685 710	50 50 50 50 50	184 191 191 191 191	
1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0		64 64 64 52 0	22 22 22 22 22 0	0 0 0 0 0	735 735 735 735 735 735	50 50 50 50 50	191 191 191 191 191 191	

SOURCE: Western Gulf of Alaska Statewide and Regional Population and Economic Systems Impact Analysis.

W O
The Northern Gulf scenarios" generate direct resident employment ranging from 276 for long-run operations in the low scenario to 452 in the high. Given that this is a federal sale and the area is relatively remote, the economic effects of this sale on the base case are less than in the Beaufort and Lower Cook. The Mestern Gulf scenarios also provide economic effects which are less than either the Beaufort or the Lower Cook sale.

The Alaskan Economy: Moderate Base Case Growth .

The base case describes the pattern of Alaskan economic growth projected to occur in the absence of hydrocarbon development in the Lower Cook Inlet.

Table 36 presents statewide projections for three measures of aggregate economic activity: employment, real personal income, and population. Projected growth appears modest by recent historical standards. Employment is projected to grow at an annual rate of 2.1 percent over the 22-year period. Employment actually declines from 197, 185 in 1978 to 193, 510 in 1979, the nadir of the post-pipeline dip. After 1979, employment increases to 227, 878 by 1983. This is a growth rate of approximately 4.2 percent. After 1983, employment growth slows to approximately 1.9 percent annually.

These growth rates are modest when compared to the years, 1965-1976. Over this period, employment grew at an annual average rate of approximately 8.4 percent. Even in the early years of the period, 1965-1970, employment increased at a 5.57 percent annual rate.

97

TABLE 36. AGGREGATE INDICATORS OF ECONOMIC GROWTH
ALASKA, 1978-2000

	Real Personal Income (\$ 1977 Million)	Employment	Popul ati on
1978	3, 592	197, 185	404, 436
1979	3, 412	193, 510	403, 256
1980	3, 926	196, 419	407, 511
1981	4, 301	204, 746	419, 562
1982	5, 000	218, 508	440, 274
" ′ 1983	5, 285	227, 878	457 ,932
1984	5, 016	227, 330	462, 438
1985	4, 983	227, 557	465, 280
1986	5, 151	229, 760	469, 501
1987	5, 379	234, 561	477136
1988	5, 647	241, 309	487,542
1989	5, 891	248, 002	498, 194
1990	6, 091	253, 644	507, 570
1991	6, 267	257, 783	514, 843
1992	6, 465	261, 698	521, 645
1993	6, 695	26 6 ,319	529, 306
1994	6, 941	271, 437	537, 641
1995	7, 197	276, 995	546, 636
1996	7,502	283, 627	557, 134
1997	7,794	290, 334	567, 907
1998	8,110	297, 495	579, 924
1999	8,453	305, 107	591, 673
2000	8,810	31 3, 030	604, 521

B

۲

٠

SOURCE : MAP Model.

3

Projected population growth follows a pattern similar to employment, growing at an average annual rate of 1.84 percent. Population declines slightly between 1978 and 1979, falling from 404,436 to 403,256. It then rapidly recovers to 457,932 by 1983. This represents a gain of almost 13.6 percent. These rates of increase represent a substantial departure from the historical period (1965-1976) when population grew at an annual rate of **4.12** percent.

As Table 36 indicates, the growth in aggregate real income will be 4.16 percent annually. Again, some cyclical behavior **is** projected. Real income declines at a 5 percent rate from 1978 to 1979. Between 1980 and 1983, it grows **at a** 10.42 annual rate. After 1983, it grows at an average annual rate of about 3 percent. These rates compare to real income growth of 9.8 percent between 1965 and 1976 and over 15 percent per year during the pipeline years of 1973 to 1976.

Using the data in Table 36 as a basis, real per capita income (expressed in 1977 dollars) increases from **\$8,882** in 1978 to \$14,574 by 2000. This 64 percent increase represents a growth rate of only 2.3 percent per year over the projection period. During the historical period, real per capita income grew at an average rate of 5.4 percent. At this rate, real per capita incomes would double approximately every 13.2 years.

Population Growth

Table 37 reveals the components of population change over the projectionperiod.As in the historical period, the major component of short-run

TABLE 37.THE COMPONENTS OF POPULATION CHANGE
ALASKA, 1978-2000

	<u>Net Migration</u>	<u>Natural Increase</u>	<u>Net Change</u>
1978	- 5,000	7, 394	2, 394
1979	-13,289	7, 088	-6, 210
1980	- 2,203	6, 431	4, 228
1981	5, 783	6, 258	12,041
1982	14, 314	6, 400	20,714
1983	10, 797	6, 877	17,674
1984	- 2, 669	7, 186	4,517
1985	- 4,118	6, 948	2,830
1986	- 2, 482	6,688	4, 206
1987	1, 108	6,514	7, 622
1988	3, 900	6,498	10, 398
1989	4, 048	6,601	10, 649
1990	2, 663	6,711	9, 374
1991	498	6, 769	7, 267
1992	48	6, 748	6, 796
1993	931	6,719	7, 650
1994	1, 592	6, 734	8, 326
1995	2, 207	6, 779	8, 986
1996	3, 637	6,852	10, 489
1997	3, 785	6,982	10, 767
1998	4, 396	7,115	11,511
1999	4, 974	7,269	12, 243
2000	5, 400	7,442	12, 842

Ť

SOURCE: MAP Model.

change is net migration. The migratory response to changing economic opportunities in the state is readily apparent. The post-TAPS employment contraction results in a net out-migration of 15,492 persons between 1978 and 1980. The ALCAN project reverses the trend and from 1981 to 1983, net migration is 30,894 persons. The post-ALCAN employment reductions lead to a net out-migration of 9,269 persons after 1983. The increase in in-migration from 1987 through 1989 is related to 0CS activities in the Western and Northern Gulf of Alaska.

Employment Growth and Structural Change

Table 38 displays the annual changes in the level of employment. These changes are distributed among three sectors: basic, support, and state and local government. Fluctuations in employment **levels** in the basic sector in the period before 1985 are largely explained by changes in pipeline activity, the impacts induced by termination of TAPS, and construction of ALCAN. After 1985, much of the growth in the basic sector is related to growth in manufacturing (seafood processing) and the fisheries (bottomfish).

The support sector responds to changes in the level of basic sector employment as well as the real income growth. Income effects appear to dominate the later projection years. Between the years 1980 and 1982, the ratio is $1.5.^{1}$ Between 1986 and 1987, 1,437 employees are added to

¹This low ratio can be partially explained by the ALCAN construction's inflating basic sector employment, but the employment is largely of an enclave nature. This enclave basic employment reduces the income and expenditure effects that would induce greater support sector employment.

TABLE 38. CHANGES IN EMPLOYMENT BY SECTOR

a

	Support Sector	<u>Basic Sector</u>	State and Local Government	<u>Total</u>
1978	- 7,801 ·	- 5, 999	6, 533	- 7, 266
1979 ·	- 4,450	756	- 49	- 3, 743
1980	949	3, 175	- 1, 215	2, 909
1981	4, 844	3, 651	- 168	8, 327
1982	8, 022	6, 053	- 313	13, 762
1983	6, 400	589	2, 372	9, 361
1984	659	- 3, 903	2, 629	- 615
1985	- 223	349	101	227
1986	994	7,794	- 585	2, 203
1987	3, 127	1,437	236	4, 800
1988	4, 109	1,968	672	6, 749
1989	4, 312	1,431	950	6, 693
1990	3, 870	929	843	5, 642
1991	3, 441	301	397	. 4, 139
1992	3,073	882	- 40	3, 879
1993	3, 552	1, 113	- 46	4, 619
1 9 9 4	3, 813	1, 228	24	5, 065
1995	4, 139	1,357	62	5, 558
1996	4, 645	1, 932	58	6, 635
1997	4, 861	1, 583	263	6, 707
1998	5, 153	1, 789	218	7, 160
1999	5, 402	2, 007	204	7, 613
2000	5, 721	1, 942	260	7, 923

SOURCE : MAP Model.

.

the basic sector, while the support sector added 3,127 workers. This means that 2.18 support workers found employment for every additional worker in the basic sector. Even if state and local government is added, the ratio of support to basic sector between the two years is 1.87. The same calculation (excluding state and local government) for the change in employment between 1992 and 1993 yields a ratio of 3.19.

The projection also has the basic sector's share of total employment gradually declining after 1984. This relative decline can be seen in **Table 39**. The basic sector's share of **total** employment declines from its 1982 peak to 38.1 percent in 2000. This is a **21** percent decline in the relative share of total employment allocated to the basic sector (46.2 / 38.1 = 1.21). Over the period, the basic sector grows at less than half the rate of the support sector.

1

One industry's growth merits special consideration. The construction industry has a major exogenous component associated with special construction projects such as pipelines, shore facilities, and liquifaction plants. Table 40 presents the derivation of that component. In so doing, it displays the impacts of special construction projects. Most of construction's cyclical behavior projected between 1980 and 2000 is related to special projects. Local construction shows very little change from one year to the next. Special project construction employment declines after TAPS is completed. Between 1980 and 1982, it adds 5,834 workers (almost a 30 percent increase in total employment). After 1983, special project construction declines throughout the projection period.

	Support Sector Employment	Percent of Total <u>Employment</u>	Basic Sector ¹ _Employment	Percent of Total Employment
1978	71, 168	36.1	86, 775	44.0
1980	67, 735	34′.5	90, 206	46.2
1985	87, 437	38.4	92, 454	42.8
1990	103, 849	' 40. 9	105, 013	41.4
1995	121, 921	44.0	109, 894	39. 7
2000	147, 203	47.2	119, 147	38.1
A			1	
average	Annual Percent	unange		

TABLE 39.STRUCTURE OF EMPLOYMENT
ALASKA, 1978-2000

3. 37

1.45

е

¹Includes federal government. SOURCE : MAP Model.

• •

104

-

TABLE 40.CONSTRUCTI ON SECTOR
ALASKA, 1978-2000

	Total Construction	Local Construction	Exogenous Construction
197 8	11, 565	11,438	127
1979	11, 685	11,380	305
1980	13, 862	13,157	705
1981	16, 450	13,807	2, 643
1982	21, 809	15,270	6, 539
1983	21, 831	16,374	5, 457
1984	17, 293	16,356	937
1985	17, 236	16,949	287
1986	18, 309	17, 760	549
1987	18, 907	18, 229	678
1988	19, 661	18, 887	774
1989	19, 981	19, 427	554
1990	20, 035	19, 833	202
1991-	20, 075	19, 827	248
1992	20, 181	20, 055	126
1993	20, 642	20, 437	205
1994	21, 093	20, 887	206
1995	21, 483	21, 354	129
1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	22, 397 22, 965 23, 803 24,712 25, 662	22, 124 22, 912 23, 748 24, 656 25, 604	273 1:: 56 58

SOURCE: MAP Model.

State Expenditures

The moderate base case as outlined in Table 41 essentially extrapolates the post-1972 behavior of state expenditures. Growth in real expenditures proceeds at a 6.6 percent rate until 1986 and then declines to 2.2 percent until the end of the projection period.² Real per capita expenditures grow at less than 2 percent per year.

The importance of the Prudhoe Bay revenues is underscored by the MAP projections of the total fund balance (Permanent plus General Fund). In constant dollars, the Fund grows from \$744.04 million in 1977 to \$4,965.38 million in 1988, the last peak year of Prudhoe Bay production. This represents a real rate of growth of almost 21 percent annually. Thereafter, the Fund grows to \$5,547.2 million by 1991 and then declines to \$2,811.46 million by the year 2000. The post-1991 period represents an **annual** decline rate of about -7.3 percent.

Total revenue growth does not keep pace with the projected real growth in state expenditures (Table 41). This Fund projection should be interpreted with caution. It is the result of many assumptions concerning state spending behavior, oil prices, and rules constraining use of and additions to the Permanent Fund. At this time, long-run rules constraining the Permanent Fund must be regarded as extremely conjectural;

²This results from the decline in production at Prudhoe Bay. Oil revenues are so important to the state's fiscal position that the decline in Prudhoe production is only partially offset by general economic growth.

TABLE 41.STATE GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURES
MODERATE BASE CASE, ALASKA
1978-2000

	Total State	Real	Per Capita
	Expenditures	Expenditures	Expenditures
	(\$ Million)	(\$ 1977 Million)	(\$ 1977)
1978	1, 270. 12	1,147	1,121
1979	1, 371. 84	1,221	1,146
1980	1, 626. 58	1,393	1,274
1981	1, 756. 73	1,429	1, 272
1982	1, 986. 13	1,534	1, 305
1983	2, 304. 70	1,691	1,394
1984	2, 543. 04	1,772	1, 453
1985	2, 759. 60	1,826	1, 487
1986	3, 036. 35	1,907	1,540
1987	3, 301. 34	1,970	1,568
1988	3, 613. 38	2,047	1,600
1989	3, 936. 02	2,118	1,627
1990	4, 262. 87	2,178	1,650
1991	4, 524. 18	2, 195	1,645
1992	4, 803. 10	2, 214	1,641
1993	5, 119. 25	2, 240	1,641
1994	5, 465. 71	2, 272	1,642
1995	5, 826. 12	2, 300	1,640
1996	6, 271. 57	2,351	1, 650
1997	6, 768. 68	2,410	1, 665
1998	7, 301. 40	2,468	1,677
1999	7, 870. 26	2,527	1,687
2000	8, 493. 80	2,590	1,69 8

Average Annual Percent Change

3.7

SOURCE : MAP Model.

hence, Fund behavior projected by the MAP model is only one among many possible outcomes within a given **base** case scenario.

The Anchorage Economy, 1978-2000

Table42 summarizes the growth in population and employment over the projection period. Employment grows at an annual rate of 2.5 percent, while population increases at an annual rate of 2.0 percent. Comparable rates over the historical period were 8.22 percent and 5.56 percent, respectively.

These growth rates are still in excess of those projected for the state (1.73, 1.87) and represent a gradual shifting of state economic activity to the Anchorage area. This trend was apparent in the historical data and continues throughout the projection period. Table 43 reveals the result of this process. Anchorage's share of state employment increases from 45 percent in 1978 to 49 percent by 2000.

Table 43 also reveals that the support sector grows in relative importance over the projection period. This sector experiences a 22.7 percent relative increase from 1978 to 2000.

In part, this results from the fact that the support sector in Anchorage serves more than the Anchorage economy. Services, transportation, finance-insurance-real estate, and communications all have substantial basic components growing out of Anchorage's role as the major trade and

TABLE 42.	AGGREGATE ECONOMIC INDICATORS				
	MODERATE BASE CASE, ANCHORAGE				
	1978-2000				

	Popul ati on	Employment
1978	191, 871	88, 515
1979	186, 555	8 6 , 6 5 6
1980	186, 047	88, 067
1981	190, 653	91, 905
1982	201, 016	98, 236
1983	210, 524	103, 861
1984	211, 796	104, 643
1985	212, 656	104, 914
1986	215.219	106, 358
1987	219,367	108,992
1988	224,793	112, 502
1989	230,401	116,086
1990	235,413	119, 213
1991	240, 336	1 21, 892
1992	244, 878	1 24, 405
1993	249, 792	1 27, 239
1994	255, 067	1 30, 232
1995	260, 682	133, 631
1996	267, 068	137, 483
1997	273, 659	141, 416
1998	280, 757	145, 627
1999	288, 230	150, 067
2000	293, 554	153, 368

SOURCE : MAP Model.

TABLE 43.ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC STRUCTURE
MODERATE BASE CASE, ANCHORAGE
1978-2000

	Support Sector Employment	Percent of Total Employment	Basic Sector Employment <u>(incl.</u> Fed. Govt.)	Percent of Total Employment	Employment/ Population	Population/ Employment	Anchorage Employment, State Employment
1978	50, 627	57.2	37, 888	42.8	. 461	2.17	. 449
198.0	49, 766	56.5	38, 301	43*4	. 473	2. 11	. 448
1985	64, 348	61.3	40, 566	38.7	. 494	2.02	. 461
1990	76, 509	64.2	42, 704	35.8	. 506	1. 98	. 470
1995	89, 150	66.7	44, 481	33.3	. 513	1. 95	. 482
2000	107, 636	70. 2	45, 732	29.8	. 522	1.9′2	. 490

SOURCE: MAP Model.

1

distribution center for the state. Thus, state growth translates to increased demands on Anchorage's "support sector."

The data in Table 43 reveal that family size declines and labor force participation rates increase over the projection period. This is the result of employment growth rates exceeding population growth rates. These changes subsume a host of economic and demographic factors operating not only on the Anchorage economy but on the United States as a whole since the mid-1960s.

Southcentral Growth and Development, 1978-2000

During the historical period, the **Southcentral** region **experienced** rapid growth rates in employment and population. The future base"line projects a more modest expansion of economic activity.

The figures in Table 44 indicate that employment is projected to grow at 2.1 percent annually over the entire 22-year period. Population is projected to increase at a 1.5 percent annual rate. The growth path is smooth, like that of employment.

The difference in growth rates between population and employment again implies that labor force participation rates and family size are gradually changing over the projection period. Table 45 confirms this inference.

TABLE 44. AGGREGATE ECONOMIC INDICATORS MODERATE BASE CASE, SOUTHCENTRAL 1978-2000

	Popul ati on	<u>Employment</u>
1978	5 3 , 7 3 9	23, 764
1979	54, 701	23, 761
1980	56, 801	24, 942
1981	59, 116	26, 547
1982	60, 037	27, 473
1983	60, 200	27, 358
1984	62, 339	28, 456
1985	62, 398	28, 438
1986	62, 616	28, 706
1987	63, 326	29, 320
1988	64, 471	30, 130
1989	65, 616	30, 880
1990	66, 762	31, 711
1991	66, 117	31, 605
1992	66, 301	31, 880
1993	66, 924	32, 388
1994	67, 710	32, 985
1995	68, 525	33, 606
1996	69, 561	34, 365
1997	70, 559	35., 088
1998	71, 642	35, 858
1999	72, 835	36, 683
2000	74, 596	37, 822

SOURCE: MAP Model.

TABLE 45. ECONOMI C AND DEMOGRAPHI C STRUCTURE MODERATE BASE CASE SOUTHCENTRAL

	Support Sector Employment	Percent of Total Employment	Basic Sector Employment <u>(incl.</u> Fed. Govt.)	Percent of Total Employment	Employment/ Population	Population/ Employment	S. Central Employment/ State Employment
1978	12, 106	50.9	11, 658	49.1	. 442	2.26	. 121
980	12, 078	48.4	12, 864	51.6	. 439	2.28	. 127
985	14, 489	50.9	13, 949	49.1	. 456	2.19	.125
990	16, 401	51.7	15, 310	48.3	. 475	2. 11	. 125
1995	17,800	53.0	15, 806	47.0	. 490	2.04	. 121
2000	20, 736	54.8	17, 086	45.2	. 507	1. 97	.121

SOURCE : MAP Model.

The fluctuation **in Southcentral's** regional **share** of state employment reflects the vicissitudes of state economic growth rather than regional fluctuations. Structurally, the region experiences less change than Anchorage with the support sector increasing its relative share of employment by about 7.7 percent over the whole period.

.

IV. LOWER COOK INLET OCS DEVELOPMENT SCENARIOS

Definition and Measurement.

This study is part of the Socioeconomic Studies Program of the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Alaska Outer Continental Shelf (OCS). Dames and Moore (March 1979) provided a description of three petroleum development scenarios providing a reasonable range of technological, economic, and geographic options, such that both minimum and maximum development impacts can be discerned. These petroleum development scenarios are for the proposed Lower Cook Inlet and Shelikof Strait OCS Lease Sale No. 60, currently scheduled for Fall 1981. This would be the second-generation lease sale for the area, following the earlier Lower Cook Inlet Lease Sale No. CI which was held in October 1977.

The Dames and Moore study details three development scenarios: (1) a highfind scenario, (2) a medium-find scenario, and (3) an exploration-only or low scenario. These scenarios will affect the Alaska economy differently as a result of different direct employment levels associated with each scenario as well as by the generation of additional revenues (and the **incurring** of additional costs) by the state. The purpose of this report is to describe those differential impacts through use of the econometric model developed by ISER as part of the Man in the Arctic Program (MAP).¹

¹For a description of the MAP econometric model, see Appendix B.

The effect of direct OCS employment on the Alaska economy will depend upon the extent to which incomes earned in OCS employment are spent within the state of Alaska. Two factors limit this impact. First, the openness of Alaska's economy leads to relatively low multiplier effects and weak **intraindustry** linkages. Secondly, the international character of many offshore petroleum firms means that they have regular, experienced crews which are dispatched to jobs around the world (Dames and Moore, 1978). The international character of the crews may mean that when they are not working, they will reside outside of Alaska. Consequently, their employment will have less than a "normal" 'impact on Alaska's economy through indirect, consumer-linked effects. The direct employment impacts provided by Dames and Moore (Dames and Moore, 1979) were therefore adjusted to reflect the employment of Alaska residents, where an Alaska resident is defined as any employee of a petroleum firm who resides in Alaska and interacts with the economy for the duration of the Lower Cook Inlet Exploration and Development Program.^z

Alternative Lower Cook Inlet Scenarios

EXPLORATION-ONLY SCENARIO

The exploration-only scenario assumes that noncommercial oil and/or gas resources are discovered in the Lower Cook Inlet and Shelikof Strait OCS areas. It assumes an initial high level of exploratory activity, but only

²The method used for making these adjustments is contained in the "Western Gulf of Alaska Statewide and Regional Population and Economic Systems Impact Analysis," (ISER, May 1979), pp. 172-175, inclusive.

small noncommercial hydrocarbon deposits are found. Exploration terminates in the third year after the lease sale with a total of nineteen wells drilled--eleven in the Shelikof Strait and eight in Lower Cook Inlet.

This scenario assumes that exploration commences in the first year after the lease sale, peaks in the second year, and terminates in the third year as a result of discouraging exploratory findings.

The principal exploration support base for Lower Cook Inlet is assumed to be Nikiski. Homer will serve as a terminal for air transportation of personnel, light supplies, and water. The Shelikof Strait exploration is also assumed to be supported by Nikiski facilities, although Seward and Kodiak become more viable alternatives as the distance from Nikiski increases.

Table 46 reports the direct employment requirements for the explorationonly scenario. It reports the total direct employment estimates by IDames and Moore (Dames and Moore, March 1979) as well as the adjusted emp^rloyment estimates used in this analysis. ³ This scenario peaks in 1983 with total direct employment reaching 726. Given the international nature of the work force and the large number of exploratory workers who are expected to be nonresidents of Alaska, this implies the equivalent of 236 persons employed year-round and residing in Alaska. As shown in Table 46, almost

 $^{^3 \, {\}rm The}$ adjustments in the table are for the share of employment going to Alaska residents.

TABLE 46.DI RECT EMPLOYMENT REQUI REMENTS
EXPLORATI ON-ONLY SCENARI O
LOWER COOK, SALE 60

	CONSTRUCTION MINING		ING	TRANSPORTATI ON		HEADQUARTERS TOTAL EMPLOYMEN		LOYMENT	
	Total Direct Employment	Adjusted Employment*	Total Direct Employment	Adjusted Employment*	Total Di rect E <u>mpl oyment</u>	Adjusted Employment*	Total Direct Employment	Total Di rect Empl oyment	Adjusted Employment*
1982	0	0	376	95	147	62	19	542	176
1983	0	0	503	127	196	82	27	726	236
1984	0	0	105	27	41	17	5	151	49
1985	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

*Adjusted to reflect the share of direct employment going to Alaska residents (SEAR).

I

70 percent of the total employment generated at the peak occurs among exploration workers, who are classified as part of the mining industry. Adjusted for Alaska residents, however, these workers constitute approximately 54 percent of the adjusted total employment during the peak year. Transportation workers are assumed to represent about 17 percent of the peak direct employment; but when adjusted for local residents, they make up an estimated 35 percent of the total peak work force. Finally, both the **total** direct employment and the adjusted employment estimates for headquarters workers (who are assumed to locate in Anchorage) are assumed to equal approximately 11 percent of peak employment.

MEDIUM-FIND SCENARIO

The medium-find scenario assumes a modest commercial discovery of 198 MMBL of oil in the Lower Cook area and 500 MMBL of oil in the Shelikof Strait area. It is assumed that a single oil field comprises the total resources of each area, with the Shelikof Strait field located in the Northern part of the state and connected through a short pipeline to a new terminal constructed on the west coast of Afognak Island. The Lower Cook Inlet field is assumed to be northwest of English Bay and connected through a short spur to a trunk pipeline that carries the oil from a field located in OCS Lease Sale No. Cl. This pipeline makes a land fall on the Kenai Peninsula near Anchor Point and continues north to Nikiski where the crude is either shipped to the Lower 48 via tanker or used in Nikiski refineries.

Under this **scenario**, exploration commences in the first year after the **lease** sale, peaks in the third with a total of thirteen wells, and terminates

in the fourth year with a total of forty wells drilled. Field development commences in the fourth year, and the production platforms for both fields are installed in the sixth year. Oil production from both fields begins in the eighth year after the lease sale and continues through the year 2000.

The medium-find scenario assumes that a crude terminal designed to process the estimated peak production of nearly 200,000 bpd completes crude stabilization, covers lpg, treats tanker ballast water, and provides storage for approximately two million barrels of crude on the west coast of Afognak Island. Due to distance from Upper Cook Inlet support facilities, a temporary construction base and permanent operation base are assumed to be constructed adjacent to the terminal site on Afognak Island. The Lower Cook Inlet field has its support provided through shore-side facilities at Nikiski and a forward support base in Homer which is used for ferrying workers and light supplies. Exploration activities in both Shelikof and the Lower Cook Inlet are supported by a main base at Nikiski and a forward base at Homer. Additional support may be provided by Kodiak.

Table 47 presents the direct employment requirements and adjusted (for the share of employment going to Alaska residents) employment requirements for the medium-find scenario. Exploration begins in 1982, peaks in 1984, and is completed by 1985. While the exploratory activities reach a peak of 509 workers in 1984, the international character of this work force causes it to be the equivalent of only 149 workers who are year-round Alaska residents. Between 1985 and 1'988, construction of facilities,

TABLE 47. DI RECT EMPLOYMENT REQUI REMENTS MEDI UM-FI ND SCENARI O LOWER COOK, SALE 60

	CONSTRUCTI ON		MI NI NG		TRANSPORTATI ON		HEADQUARTERS	TOTAL EMPLOYMENT	
	Total Direct Employment	Adjusted <u>Employment</u> *	Total Direct Employment	Adjusted Employment*	Total Di rect Empl oyment		Total Di rect Empl oyment	Total Di rect Empl oyment	Adjusted Employment*
1982 1983 1984 1985	0 0 0 198	0 0 0 104	380 457 509 254	96 119 129 67	147 196 196 98	62 82 82 43	24 32 55 16	551 685 140 . 566	182 233 246 230
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990	62 572 565 0 0	33 92 166 0	0 61 451 616 749	0 61 177 390 435	0 · 150 87 21 56	12: 74 19 55	16 34 51 65 67	78 817 1, 154 702 872	49 315 468 474 557
1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	749 336 277 353 353	449 298 277 353 353	56 56 56 56 56	55 55 55 55 55 55	55 53 53 53 53 53	860 445 386 462 462	559 406 385 461 461
1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	353 353 353 353 353 353	353 353 353 353 353 353	56 56 56 56 56	55 55 55 55 55 55	53 53 53 53 53	462 462 462 462 462	461 461 461 461 461

'Adjusted to reflect the share of direct employment going to Alaska residents (SEAR).

including the terminal, are completed. The peak construction work force occurs in 1987 with 572 persons employed. Due to the changing composition of the construction work force, however, employment adjusted for yearround Alaska worker equivalents peaks in 1988 with adjusted construction employment reaching a level of 166 persons. Peak employment in the production of Lower Cook Inlet crude oil reaches 749 workers for both 1990 and 1991. When adjusted for Alaska residency, the economic impact of these workers is only about 60 percent of the numerical total, or 449 workers.

Transportation employment is assumed to peak with 196 workers (82 workers when adjusted for Alaska residency), to fall to zero in 1986, and then to rise to 150 workers (128 adjusted for Alaska residency) during the construction period. After 1990, transportation employment is assumed constant at 56 workers, with virtually all of these persons being fulltime Alaska residents. It is interesting to note how the changing composition of transportation employment between the exploration and construction phases alters the ratio of total direct employment and employment adjusted for Alaska residency. During the exploration phase, the number of Alaska resident equivalent workers equals only 42 percent of the total direct employment. During the construction phase, it equals 85 percent, while it equals approximately 98 percent during the production phase of the field. The level of year-round resident worker equivalents will be much more stable than the pattern of total direct employment shown in the scenario, as well as the indirect, consumer-linked impacts of OCS exploration, development, and production which are dependent on the number of year-round-resident worker equivalents.

Headquarters employment is again assumed to take place in Anchorage and reaches a peak of 67 workers in 1990. As before, it is assumed that headquarters employment is entirely composed of full-time equivalent Alaska workers.

Overall, in the medium-find scenario, total direct employment rises to a level of 740 workers during the exploration phase (1984) and reaches a total peak of 1,154 workers during peak construction in 1980. The number of year-round resident worker equivalents, however, is much lower with an exploration peak of 246 workers in 1984 and a peak employment impact of 559 workers in 1991. From 1993 onward, it is assumed that over 99 percent of the total direct employment resulting from the Lower Cook Inlet and Shelikof Strait OCS Lease Sale No. 60 will be Alaska residents.

HIGH-FIND SCENARIO

The high-find scenario assumes significant commercial discoveries of 400 MMBBL of oil and 363 BCF of gas in the Lower Cook Inlet area, and 1,000 MMBL of oil and 1,000 BCF of gas are found in the Shelikof Strait.

The major portion of the oil and gas resources under this scenario are assumed to be discovered in the Shelikof Strait area west of Afognak Island, while the Lower Cook Inlet discoveries are made immediately to the north of Lease Sale CI. This scenario assumes that the fields in the Lower Cook Inlet do not share infrastructure (in particular pipeline) with sale CI fields but rather support their own pipeline. The scenario consequently assumes that a partial processing facility may

have to be constructed on shore. The development of Shelikof gas can only be justified, however, if it can share infrastructure (in particular pipeline) with other fields. Consequently, in this scenario, the gas from the Shelikof field is assumed to be piped to Lower Cook Inlet where it feeds into a trunk pipeline to the Lower Cook Inlet gas field.

This scenario assumes that exploration commences the first year after the lease sale, peaks in the second and fourth years (with 14 wells drilled each year), and terminates in the seventh year with a total of 57 wells Four commercial oil discoveries and two gas discoveries are drilled. Development of the field is assumed to made in the four-year period. commence in the fourth year following the decision to develop the first di scovery. The first two production **platforms** are assumed to be installed in the sixth year and the last two, in the eighth year. **0il** production for the Lower Cook Inlet commences in the eighth year after the lease sale, at the same time as oil production begins from the Shelikof Strait field. Gas production from both the Lower Cook Inlet and the Shelikof Strait fields starts in the fourth year.

The high-find scenario assumes that a major facility is constructed as a crude **oil** terminal on the west coast of Afognak Island. The terminal is designed to process an estimated production of nearly 400,000 bpd and to provide storage for crude. It is further assumed that there will be two loading jetties for tankers at the terminal. It is also assumed that there will be a forward service base supporting construction and operation of the Shelikof field, constructed adjacent to the Afognak

terminal, and that exploration in the Shelikof Strait is supported principally out of Nikiski with aerial support and light supply shipment provided by Homer. Field and terminal construction support bases are assumed to be located at Nikiski. The two Lower Cook Inlet oil fields assumed discovered north of OCS Lease Sale No. CI will share a pipeline to the Drift River terminal, although a partial processing/treatment facility may be required near the pipeline land fall at Harriet Point. The small Lower Cook Inlet gas field is connected onshore through a spur that links up with the onshore trunk line transporting gas from other Lower Cook Inlet and Shelikof fields to Nikiski.

The direct employment requirements and the adjusted employment equivalents for the high-find scenario are presented in Table 48.

Construction employment begins in 1986 under this scenario, reaching a peak of 1,465 workers in 1989. This only represents an employment of 351 resident equivalent workers (or 24 percent) due to a specialized and transient nature of the construction workers.

Mining employment has two phases, as before. The first phase involves oil exploration and reaches a peak of 677 workers in 1984. These workers, however, have the impact on the Alaskan economy of only 166 full-time equivalent workers--again, reflecting the specialization and transiency of the exploratory work force. With the beginning of production, employment begins to climb from its 1987 low of 61 workers to reach a peak **level** of 1,828 employees in 1991. It then declines somewhat and stabilizes in

TABLE 48.DI RECT EMPLOYMENT REQUI REMENTSHI GH-FI ND SCENARI OLOWER COOK, SALE 60

	CONSTRUCTI ON		MI NI NG		TRANSPORTATI ON		HEADQUARTERS	TOTAL EMPLOYMENT	
	Total Direct Employment	Adjusted Employment*	Total Direct <u>Employment</u>	Adjusted Employment*	Total Di rect E <u>mployment</u>	Adjusted Employment*	Total Direct Employment	Total Direct Employment	Adjusted Employment*
1982	0	0	378	96	147″	62	21	546	179
1983	0	0	632	160	245	103	37	914	300
1984	0	0	677	169	245	103	32	954	304
1985	0	0	632	166	245	108	37	914	311
1986	260	136	500	132	196	87	24	980	379
1987	533	72	61	61	150	128	24	768	285
1988	1,156	309	501	226	214	182	37	1, 908	754
1989	1,465	351	994	898	281	251	77	2, 817	1, 577
1990	461	57	1, 691	, 224	201	196	134	2, 487	, 611
1991	0	0	1, 828	, 239	147	144	153	2, 128	, 536
1992	0	0	1, 455	,103	168	165	141	1, 774	, 419
1993	0	0	1, 072	963	168	165	135	1, 381	, 269
1994	0	0	941	923	168	165	133	1, 244	, 223
1995	0	0	936	936	168	165	133	1, 237	, 234
1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	0 0 0 0	O O O O	974 974 913 860 825	974 974 913 860 825	168 168 154 140 138	165 165 151 137 135	133 133 133 133 133	1. <u>2</u> 75 1 ,275 1, 200 1, 133 1, 096	1, <u>2</u> 52 1 ,272 1, 197 1, 130 1, 093

*Adjusted to reflect the share of direct employment going to Alaska residents (SEAR).

the range of 825 to 975 workers through the year 2000. At the peak of production employment, the number of Alaska resident-worker equivalents equals about two-thirds of the total direct employment. By 1995, however, it is assumed that all of the direct employees are Alaska residents.

Transportation employment rises rapidly to hit an exploration peak of 245 workers in 1985; it then declines for several years before reaching its highest level of 281 workers in 1989. During the exploration phase, the number of resident-worker equivalents equals approximately 43 percent of the total direct employment. After 1990, however, it is assumed that virtually all (98 percent) of the total direct workers are Alaska residents. As was true under the other scenarios, it is assumed that head-quarters employment is located in Anchorage and that all of these workers are year-round Alaska residents. Headquarters employment rises slowly through 1988, when it shows a sharp three-year increase to reach a peak of 153 workers. It declines slowly thereafter and stabilizes at 133 workers in 1995 to the year 2000.

Overall, total direct employment under the high-find scenario rises to a peak of 2,817 workers in 1989--the peak year for construction activity. Thereafter, it declines sharply over a four-year period to reach a level of 1,244 in 1994, after which it remains fairly stable (with a slight downward trend) in the range of 1,100 to 1,250 workers. In terms of resident-worker equivalents, the peak year is 1990 when 1,611 residentworker equivalents are employed. At the peak, consequently, the impact worker population (defined as the number of resident-worker equivalents)

equals about 65 percent of the total direct employment; and over the entire period, it averaged just over 70 percent. From 1993 to the year 2000, however, the long-term stability of employment under the high-find scenario causes resident worker equivalents to equal better than 98 percent of the total direct employment.

V. THE PROBABLE IMPACT OF OCS DEVELOPMENT IN THE LOWER COOK INLET

This section describes the probable economic impact of OCS developments in the Lower Cook Inlet. Chapter III, above, described the expected growth of employment, population, and other economic variables in the state of Alaska, the Anchorage region, and the Southcentral region under the assumption that no OCS developments occur in the Lower Cook Inlet (Sale No. 60). This chapter projects the growth of the same economic variables under the assumption that there is OCS development. Each of the three development scenarios discussed in Chapter IV (the exploration-only, medium-find, and high-find scenarios) are analyzed.

All three scenarios assume that exploration occurs between 1982 and 1984. Under the medium-find scenario, construction is assumed to occur during the years 1985 through 1988, inclusive. Under the high-find scenario, construction occurs during the years 1986 through 1990, inclusive.

Primary emphasis in this chapter is placed upon the medium-find scenario since it represents the most probable case. However, the exploration-only scenario and the high-find scenario are also discussed, although in lesser detail, at the end of the chapter.

The growth scenarios analyzed in this chapter are similar to those contained in the Western Gulf of Alaska report (ISER, 1979). Production employment associated with the lease area is relatively small, averaging about 400 workers. In fact, total basic employment--including mining,

manufacturing, and transportation--peaks at less than 800 workers and averages only slightly over 500 workers per year during the twenty-year period, 1980 through 2000, inclusive. By way of comparison, total employment in Alaska is expected to increase by almost 120,000 workers over the same period of time.

Statewide Employment Impacts

Both the long-term and short-term employment impacts of the Lower Cook Inlet OCS development are insignificant for the state of Alaska. Table 49 reports the employment levels which are expected in Alaska under the assumption that the moderate-development scenario occurs. The second column of Table 49 shows the difference between the employment levels expected under this development scenario and those that would have occurred without it. The final column of the table presents the percent difference.

Throughout the forecast period, total employment (direct, indirect, and secondary) resulting from OCS development in Lower Cook Inlet never exceeds 2,500 persons. It hits a peak of 2.2 thousand in 1991, declines for three years, and then starts to grow slowly, reaching a year 2000 peak of 2.4 thousand. This is a statewide employment impact of less than one percent in any year during the forecast period.

Without OCS development in the Lower Cook Inlet, the average annual compound 'rate of growth in Alaska over the twenty-year period is 2.358 percent per year. With OCS development, the growth rate is

	Employment	Employment	Percent
	Levels	Changes	@W
1980	196, 419	0	0
1981	204, 746	0	0
1982	218, 824	316	0. 1444
1983	228, 459	581	0. 25431
1984	228, 052	722	0. 31659
1985	228, 316	759	0. 33243
1986	230, 304	544	0. 2362
1987	235, 444	883	0. 37503
1988	242, 739	1,430	0. 58911
1989	249, 867	1,865	0. 74639
1990	255, 783	2,139	0. 83625
1991	260, 005	2, 222	0. 85459
1992	263, 687	1, 989	0. 7543
1993	268, 134	1, 815	0. 6769
1994	273, 362	1, 925	0. 70419
1995	279, 039	2, 044	0. 73251
1996	285, 746	2,119	0. 74156
1997	292, 520	2,186	0. 74729
1998	299, 751	2,256	0. 75262
1999	307, 431	2,325	0. 75626
2000	315, 424	2,394	0. 75897

TABLE 49.LOWER COOK INLET, OCS EMPLOYMENT IMPACTS
STATE OF ALASKA
MODERATE DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO

2.397 percent per year. The difference between OCS and non-OCS growth rates in total employment for the state of Alaska, consequently, is
.039 percent per year over the twenty-year period.

The interaction of OCS-generated employment impacts with the rest of the state's economy is shown in Table 50. The table presents the level of total state employment, assuming the moderate Lower Cook Inlet development scenario and the changes in the level of employment from the baseline for the support sector, for the government sector, and for the basic sector. The support sector **includes** the transportation, communications, public utilities, wholesale and retail trade, finance, and service industries. Government employment includes state, **local**, and federal government. The basic sector includes mining, manufacturing, agriculture, forestry, fisheries, and construction industries.

As can be seen from the table, has" c employment averages around one-third of the total change in statewide employment. Government employment accounts for another 15 percent, while support employment regularly accounts for over half of the total change. The largest employment impacts of the Lower Cook Inlet OCS development on Alaska statewide employment, therefore, occur either (a) because of the need to provide services in support of the OCS-worker population or (b) because of income effects operating through the wages and salaries received by basic workers. It should be remembered, however, that the analysis takes place in terms of full-time equivalent workers--not in terms of actual workers employed. As discussed above (Chapter IV), this analysis takes as given the
TABLE 50. DI STRI BUTI ON OF OCS EMPLOYMENT I MPACTS STATE OF ALASKA MODERATE DEVELOPMENT SCENARI O

	Support	Empl oyment	Government	Employment	Basic E	mployment
	Level	Change	Level	Change	Level	Change
1980	67,735	0	80, 899		47, 785	0
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985	72, 579 80, 735 87, 287 88, 025 87, 837	13: 286 365 400	80, 731 80, 441 82, 866 85, 595 85, 672	24 ;;; 86	51, 436 57, 648 58, 306 54, 431 54, 806	0 159 219 247 273
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990	88, 784 92, 059 96, 395 100, 895 104, 878	353 501 728 916 1,029	85, 082 85, 329 86, 043 87, 121 88, 046	80 90 134 261 343	56, 438 58, 056 60, 301 61, 850 62, 859	110 292 569 688 767
1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	108, 375 111, 412 114, 863 118, 743 122, 965	1,084 1,049 947 961 1,045	88, 459 88, 408 88, 330 88, 355 88, 437	359 347 314 315 335	63, 172 63, 867 64, 942 66, 265 67, 637	779 592 554 649 664
1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	127, 667 132, 576 137, 780 143, 233 149, 005	1,101 1,148 1,200 1,251 1,302	88, 500 88, 770 88, 996 89, 206 89, 472	344 351 359 365 317	69, 579 71, 174 72, 974 74, 993 76, 946	674 686 697 709 720

international character of the exploration and construction work forces usually employed by oil and gas development companies In interpreting the results, it should still be kept in mind that dec sions to hire a greater number of Alaskan workers (as opposed to the . nternational, traveling workers who are usually employed) could affect the forecast results.¹

The growth caused by Lower Cook Inlet OCS development does not significantly change the structure of employment in the Alaskan economy. As is true in the base case, the support sector increases in importance throughout the projection period. The response of the support sector and government regularly accounts for more than half of the total employment gains in the economy. The smallness of the Lower Cook Inlet impacts, furthermore, precludes any major structural alteration in the manner in which the support sector responds to basic changes in employment and income.

Statewide Population Impacts

Population changes in Alaska primarily result from changes in employment opportunities. Increased employment customarily leads to an in-migration of workers. Some of these workers travel as individuals, and others bring their families. In either case, statewide population changes in a manner proportionate to the change in employment.

 $[\]mathbf{\hat{h}}_{This}$ point is discussed in greater detail below in Chapter VI, Sensitivity Analysis.

Table 51 presents the population changes expected in the state of Alaska as a result of employment changes (both direct and indirect) generated by the Lower Cook Inlet's OCS development. As with employment, the net impact of OCS developments in the Lower Cook Inlet on Alaska's population is very small. By the year 2000, Alaska's population is expected to be greater than it would have been otherwise by approximately 5.1 thousand persons. This represents a net increase in the state's population of approximately 0.8 percent, vis-á-vis the baseline projection. Most of this population growth results from the increase in secondary employment.

Over the twenty-year forecast period, total net in-migration to the state of Alaska induced by Lower Cook Inlet's OCS developments equals approximately 3.8 thousand persons (an average annual net in-migration of less than 190 persons per year). Most migrants to the state of Alaska are younger and of child-bearing age. Once they migrate to the state, these persons are assumed to form families and have children at the same rate as other persons in their own age/sex grouping. Consequently, some of the in-migrants attracted to Alaska during the early 1980s will begin having children by the late 1980s and early 1990s. This produces an increase in the state's natural population increase and causes population to grow both by the number of in-migrants and by the increased number of children born to in-migrants after they have become Alaska residents.

In the case of the Lower Cook Inlet OCS developments, the approximately 3.8 thousand additional persons in-migrating to the state over the

TABLE 51. LOWER COOK INLET, OCS POPULATION IMPACTS STATE OF ALASKA MODERATE DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO

	Popul ati on	Popul ati on	Percent
	Level s	Changes	Change
1980	407.511	0	0
1981	419.562	0	0
1982	440.684	0.41	0. 09303
1983	458.741	0.81	0. 17657
1984	463.506	1.068	0. 23041
1985	466.467	1.187	0. 25446
1986	470. 497	0. 996	0. 21169
1987	478. 644	1. 508	0. 31505
1988	489. 921	2. 38	0. 48579
1989	501 •349	3. 156	0. 6295
1990	571. 283	3. 713	0. 72621
1991	518. 841	3. 998	0. 77056
1992	525. 492	3. 847	0. 73207
1993	533. 032	3. 727	0. 6992
1994	541. 62	3. 979	0. 73464
1995	550. 871	4. 234	0. 7686
1996	561.531	4. 397	0.78303
1997	572.504	4. 597	0.80296
1998	584.204	4. 781	0.81837
1999	596.637	4. 964	0.83199
2000	609.668	5. 148	0.84439

forecast period are expected to form families and cause an additional 1.4 thousand persons to be added to the state's total population through natural increase. Consequently, the total increase in population resulting from the Lower Cook Inlet's OCS development equals about 5.1 thousand persons by the year 2000--of which, just under three-quarters of the total will be added through migration and one-quarter through additions to the state's natural population increase.

During the early 1980s, when construction activity is high, the Lower Cook Inlet's OCS impacts do make a slight difference, by reversing the migration pattern for two years from a net out-migration to a net **in**migration. Over the entire forecast period, nonetheless, the impacts on population are insignificant, causing Alaska's total population growth to change from an average annual compound rate of 1.99 percent to 2.03 percent, which is a change of 0.04 percent per year, compounded.

The major trends in the structure of Alaska's population observed in the base case also dominate the impact case. The ratio of total population-to-work force continues to fall as a result of increases in the labor force participation of the working-age population, particularly among younger females. Reinforcing this trend is the increase in the proportion of working-age persons in the population--a result of the aging of the "baby boom" observed to impact the schools in the 1960s and the labor market in the 1970s and thereafter.

Statewide Personal Income Impacts

As with the other economic indicators discussed, real income in the state of Alaska is only slightly affected by OCS developments in the Lower Cook Inlet. Real personal disposable income in the state grows to \$2.8 billion by the year 2000, an increase of only \$25 million (or 0.9 percent) greater than the base (non-OCS) case. This represents a change in the state's average annual compound rate of growth of real personal disposable income from 4.21 percent per year to 4.25 percent per year.²

Another measure of real income impacts of Lower Cook Inlet OCS developments is the state's change in real per capita personal income. The difference between this measure of income impacts and the real personal disposable **income** measure is twofold: (a) disposable income and personal income. differ by the amount of taxes--federal, state, and local--paid; and (b) the per capita measure divides the **state's** total personal income by its total population. Many economists consider the per capita measure a better indicator of economic welfare **s** nce it describes both the growth of income in the state and the amount of **'ncome** available to each state resident. (See Table 52.)

Because the growth of real income induced by OCS impacts in the Lower Cook Inlet is matched by the growth of population, Alaska's statewide real per

 $^{^{2}}$ The MAP model used to generate these projections a" so assumes a long-term, twenty-year inflation rate of approximately 5 5 percent per year. Consequently, the average annual growth of Alaska s real personal disposable income in nominal dollar terms is expected to be approximately 9.25 percent per year with OCS impacts and 9.21 percent per year without OCS impacts.

TABLE 52. LOWER COOK INLET, OCS REAL INCOME IMPACTS STATE OF ALASKA MODERATE DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO

(Millions of Dollars)

	Real Person	al Disposab	le Income	Real Per Cap	oita Person	al Income
	Level	Change %	Change	Level	Change %	Change
1980	\$1, 227. 41	\$ O	0	\$3, 590. 62	\$ 0 .	0
1981	1, 342. 86	0	0	3, 829, . 81	0	0
1982	1, 560. 70	2. 905	0. 18613	4, 255. 76	3.605	0. 0847
1983	1, 660. 68	4. 869	0. 29319	4, 363. 72	5.324	0. 122
1984	1, 582. 87	5. 787	0. 3656	4, 119. 78	6.074	0. 14743
1985	1, 570. 39	7. 746	0. 49325	4, 067. 23	9.997	0. 24579
1986	1, 617. 71	5. 267	0. 32558	4, 162. 66	5. 055	0. 12143
1987	1, 692. 76	9. 148	0. 54041	4, 291. 99	10. 207	0 23781
1988	1, 785. 30	16. 254	0. 91043	4, 434. 88	20. 328	0. 45836
1989	1, 867. 26	18. 088	0. 96869	4, 542. 00	16. 328	0. 35948
1990	1, 935. 09	19. 277	0. 99618	4, 626. 51	13. 609	0. 29415
1991	1, 994. 50	20. 348	0. 0202	4, 707. 42	12. 723	0. 27027
1992	2, 054. 98	17. 750	0. 86375	4, 799. 55	7. 07	0. 1473
1993	2, 127. 33	16. 687	0. 78441	4, 907. 49	4. 973	0. 10133
1994	2, 208. 18	18. 670	0. 84549	5, 025. 00	6. 676	0. 13285
1995	2, 292. 48	20, 068	0. 87538	5, 139. 06	6. 219	0. 12101
7996	2, 391. 66	20. 998	0.87796	5, 271. 55	5.66	0. 10736
1997	2, 489. 14	22. 146	0.8897	5, 391. 72	5.797	0. 10751
1998	2, 592. 68	23. 242	0.89644	5, 516. 00	5.094	0. 09234
1999	2, 706. 43	24. 364	0.90022	5, 648. 69	4.746	0. 08401
2000	2, 824. 09	25. 504	0.90308	5, 781. 32	4.363	0. 07546

capita personal income is hardly affected over the forecast period. In the year 2000, the difference is slightly over \$4 of real personal income per person in the population, which causes real per capita income to rise from \$5,777 to \$5,781. This represents a difference of less than onetenth of one percent.

The greatest impact on state levels of real per capita personal income occurs during the late 1980s when OCS impacts account for a difference of slightly more than \$20 of real personal income per capita in the state. This causes it to increase from \$4,415 to \$4,435, an increase of 0.5 percent. From the peak impact year of 1988, both the change in real per capita personal income and the percentage of change fall steadily through the remainder of the forecast period. Over the twenty-year forecast period, the average annual compound rate of change in real per capita per sonal income 2.406 percent per year without OCS impacts to 2.410 percent per year with Lower Cook Inlet OCS impacts, a difference of .004 percent per year.

It should be remembered that after 1984 total OCS emp"loyment levels remain constant. Consequently, all increases in real per capita personal income result from assumed increases in productivity and real wages among workers in the state economy.

State Revenue and Expenditure Impacts

OCS development in the Lower Cook Inlet will affect Alaska's fiscal position in two ways: changes in state government expenditures and changes in state government revenues. In turn, the interaction between state revenues and expenditures will affect the state's current account surplus and, thereby, its fund balances.

Alaska will not receive substantial direct revenues from OCS activity in the Lower Cook Inlet. However, the increase in state levels of employment and income will generate additional state revenues. At the same time, **the** increase in the state's population levels will require additional services and result in additional state expenditures.

Table 53 presents the change in state government expenditures, the change in state government revenues, and the difference between them (the net cost to the State of Alaska of Lower Cook Inlet's OCS development].

In Table 53, the first column shows the change in state expenditures resulting from the increased demand for services produced by OCS developments in the Lower Cook Inlet. The second column shows the changes in state revenues, also resulting from Lower Cook Inlet OCS developments. The third column represents the difference between them--the net fiscal impact on the State of Alaska.

TABLE 53. LOWER COOK INLET, OCS FISCAL IMPACTS STATE OF ALASKA MODERATE DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO

(roil lions of nominal dollars)

	Change in State Expenditures	Change in <u>State Revenues</u>	Net <u>Fiscal Impact</u>	Real Net Fiscal Impact (1979 ⁻ 100.0)
1980	\$ O	\$ O	\$0	
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985	0 2. 504 4. 541 5. 891 6. 828	0 0 .276 1. 272 1. 957 2. 393	0 - 2.228 - 3.269 - 3.934 - 4.435	-\$1.913 - 2.688 - 3.086 - 3.301
1986	6. 387	2. 674	- 3.713	- 2.625
1987	10. 671	2. 392	- 8.279	- 5.570
1988	17. 363	4. 271	-13.092	- 8.396
1989	23. 763	16. 949	- 5.814	- 4.169
1990	29. 023	18. 734	-10.289	- 6.000
1991	32. 383	19.805	-12.578	- 6.995
1992	32. 719	20.340	-12.379	- 6.554
1993	34. 016	19.227	-14.789	- 7.454
1994	38. 828	18.809	-20.019	- 9.607
1995	43. 328	20.027	-23.301	-10.651
1996	47. 473	20. 793	-26.680	-11. 618
1997	52. 668	21. 277	-31.391	-13. 028
1998	58. 113	21. 926	-36.187	-14. 308
1999	63. 906	22. 406	-41.500	-15. 634
2000	70. 281	2 2 . 8 2 8	-47.451	-17. 034

Table 53 shows that the Lower Cook Inlet's OCS development produces a **negati**ve fiscal impact on the state of Alaska beginning in 1982 at a level Of \$2.2 million and increasing steadily to the year 2000, where the net fiscal impact is a negative \$47.5 million. When measured against Alaska's projected total expenditures, these are small amounts. Even at the year 2000 level of a negative \$70.3 million, this only represents an increase in total state government expenditures of about eight-tenths of one percent.

An alternative way to view the Lower Cook Inlet's OCS fiscal impacts is to estimate the costs of those impacts in terms of their drain on the state's ability to expend funds for goods or services. This is done in the fourth column of Table 53. The column presents the annual difference between state revenues and state expenditures resulting from OCS impacts in terms of constant value 1979 purchasing power dollars. The sum of this column equals approximately \$150.6 million; and this amount represents the net fiscal cost to the state of Alaska of the Lower Cook Inlet's OCS impacts during the period 1980 through the year 2000, inclusive. It is an amount equal to approximately 11.0 percent of the state's 1979 total expenditures.

The adjustment for inflation overstates the costs to the state. Even in constant purchasing-power dollars, \$17 million in the year 2000 is different from \$17 million today. With no changes in purchasing power through time, there is still a preference for current value over future value. Discounting the real net fiscal impacts shown in the fourth column of Table 53 by an additional 4 percent per year, compounded to reflect the real time preference of the state, produces an estimated real current

cost of OCS impacts to the fiscal status of the state of Alaska. This amount equals approximately \$88.6 million; or about 6.5 percent of the state's 1979 budget.

These costs to the state result from structure of state revenues and expenditures produced by the OCS development in the Lower Cook Inlet. The OCS development in the Lower Cook Inlet produces no substantial direct revenues for the state. The **major** sources of state revenues are those generated through state income taxes, business taxes, or the growth of the state fund revenues. Expenditures, on the other hand, increase with population growth. Assuming that the level of services currently provided by state government to Alaska residents is maintained at base case levels, the cost of providing services to the additional population is greater than the additional revenues collected as a **result** of their incomes and purchases within the state.

Two additional assumptions underlie this forecast. First, by holding the **level** of real per capita expenditures constant (under the assumption of constant levels of service), the forecast is probably overstating expenditures since it takes no account of economies of scale. That is, the costs of administering and operating a 100-person police force are not twice as high as those for a 50-person police force. On the other hand, the forecasted change in state revenues and expenditures is based on the estimated number of full-time equivalent workers. The income impact of a full-time equivalent worker is the same as that for four workers being employed three months each. However, the social dislocation produced

on communities by having four new residents per year is substantially greater than that of having one resident per year. To the degree that such social dislocations require additional expenditures for education, public safety, and other state-supported services, the forecast has a tendency to underestimate the increase in state expenditures and the real net fiscal impact.

Regional Impacts

THE ANCHORAGE REGION

Table 54 shows the impact on the Anchorage region of OCS developments in the Lower Cook Inlet. The table shows changes in population, employment, and real disposable personal income that will be produced. Population is expected to reach approximately 295,800 persons by the year 2000, an increase of approximately 2,300 persons over the projected baseline. This represents a population growth resulting from OCS impacts of less than one percent. Over the forecast period, 1980 through 2000, inclusive, OCS impacts contribute approximately 2 percent to the Anchorage region's total population growth.

The fourth column in Table 54 presents the OCS impacts expected to occur in the Anchorage region as a percentage of the total OCS impacts in the State of Alaska. It shows that the Anchorage region will account for 39 percent of the total population growth induced by Lower Cook Inlet OCS impacts in 1985. This percentage grows to approximately 44 percent by the year 2000.

TABLE 54.LOWER COOK INLET, OCS IMPACTS
ON THE ANCHORAGE REGION
MODERATE DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO

		Level	Change	Percent Change	Percent of Total State Change			
Popul ati or	Popul ati on							
	1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	186, 047 213, 119 236, 831 262, 482 295, 847	0 463 1,418 1,800 2,292	0. 0 0. 2 0. 6 0. 7 0. 8	0.0 39.0 38.0 42.5 44.5			
Empl oymen	t							
	1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	88, 067 105, 193 120, 070 134, 496 154, 425	0 278 857 865 1,057	0. 0 0. 3 0. 7 0. 6 0. 7	0.0 36.6 40.1 42.3 44.2			
Real Disposable, Personal Income								
	1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	\$ 555.9 721.1 888: 3 1,069.0 1,325.0	\$ 0 2.4 6.4 6.9 9.2	0. 0 0. 3 0. 7 0. 6 0. 7	0.0 31.2 33.2 34.3 36.1			

 $\mathbf{l}_{\mathsf{Millions}}$ of Constant Value Dollars

The reason for the growth of statewide impacts occurring in the Anchorage region is explained by the nature of those impacts. First, all of the headquarters employment related to the Lower Cook Inlet OCS developments is assumed to occur in the Anchorage region, and the population impacts produced by those employment changes are assumed to reside there. Second, most of the total population impacts occurring in the state of Alaska are induced through secondary and indirect employment impacts. Given the current structure of Alaska's economy (as embodied in the MAP econometric model), this directs a substantial share of all economic impacts in the state into the Anchorage region. Finally, the state expenditures required by increasing population also are heavily directed In consequence, the OCS impacts on the toward the Anchorage region. Anchorage region rise to slightly under half of the total statewide impacts by the year 2000 but still constitute only about 2 percent of the region's total growth. As such, these impacts should cause no significant population pressures, or problems, on the region.

The same general pattern observed for population also holds true for employment and real disposable personal income as shown in Table 54. Again, the Anchorage region accounts for approximately 44 percent of the total statewide employment impacts; but these impacts account for slightly more than 1.5 percent of the total employment growth expected to occur in the region over the forecast period. In the case of real disposable personal income, the Anchorage region will capture approximately one-third of the additional income generated in the state (in real terms). This represents approximately 1.2 percent of the total change in real disposable personal income which the region is expected to experience.

Overall, the Anchorage region is expected to capture substantial amounts of population, employment, and real disposable personal income produced in Alaska as a **result** of the Lower Cook Inlet's OCS developments. Despite a substantial location of these impacts in the region, however, the large size of the Anchorage region **will** allow it to accommodate to these impacts with little difficulty. In fact, these impacts only represent between 1 percent and 2 percent of the total change expected to occur in the region under the baseline forecast.

THE SOUTHCENTRAL REGION

Table 55 presents the expected impact of Lower Cook Inlet's OCS developments on the Southcentral region of Alaska. The top third of the table is expected population impacts; the middle third, expected employment impacts; and the bottom third, the Lower Cook Inlet OCS impacts on the Southcentral region's real disposable personal income.

By 1985, the region will experience an OCS-induced growth of population of approximately 600 persons; and throughout the 1990s, it will experience OCS population impacts of approximately 1,600 to 1,700 persons. This represents an increase of about 1 percent in the region's population in 1985 and between 2.2 percent and 2.6 percent through the 1990s. Induced population growth in excess of 2 percent in any given year are not excessive but do represent an important component of regional growth. In the case of the **Southcentral** region, the OCS-induced impacts over the forecast period, 1980 to 2000, inclusive, represent slightly under 9 percent of the total net increase in population expected to occur.

TABLE 55.LOWER COOK INLET, OCS IMPACTS
ON THE SOUTHCENTRAL REGION
MODERATE DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO

		Level	Change	Percent Change	Percent of Total State Change
Popul ati on					
	1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	56, 801 62, 999 68, 552 70, 135 76, 311	602 1,790 1,610 1,715	0. 0 1. 0 2. 6 2. 3 2. 2	0.0 50.7 48.2 38.0 33.3
Employment					
	1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	24, 942 28, 794 32, 609 34, 393 38, 663	0 356 897 787 842	0. 0 1. 2 2. 8 2. 3 2. 2	0.0 46.9 41.9 38.5 35.2
Real Disposable, Personal Income					
	1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	\$147.4 187.9 238.8 273.7 337.8	\$0 3.748 9.816 9.593 11.372	0.0 2.0 4.1 3.5 3.4	0. 0 48. 4 50. 9 47. 8 44. 6

 $\mathbf{l}_{\mathsf{Millions}}$ of Constant Value Dollars

While these impacts are moderate when measured against regional totals, they represent a significant share of total OCS impacts occurring in the state of Alaska. During the early periods, when exploration and construction activities are substantial, the **Southcentral** region will probably experience about half of **the** total population growth seen in the state. After 1990, when an increasing part of the population impacts are produced by secondary and indirect OCS impacts, the **Southcentral** region's share of statewide impacts falls off to about one-third.

A similar pattern emerges with respect to employment. Net employment impacts rise from a 1985 level of approximately 360 workers to a year 2000 level of approximately 840 workers. This represents an increase in the region's total employment ranging between 2.2 and 2.8 percent through the 1990s. It **also** represents between 35 and 42 percent of the total employment impacts produced in the state of Alaska by Lower Cook Inlet **OCS** development. With respect to its significance for the region, the employment impacts generated by OCS represent slightly over 6 percent of the region's total employment growth during the forecast period.

The real disposable personal income impacts evidence a similar pattern. The region's real disposable personal income **level** is increased by approximately \$3.7 million in 1985. This represents a 2 percent increase over the baseline regional income **level**, although it represents the occurring of approximately 48 percent of the total increase in real disposable personal income within Alaska as a result of Lower Cook Inlet OCS devel opment. By the year 2000, **OCS-induced** impacts generate approximately

11.4 million additional dollars of real disposable personal income in the Southcentral region. This is an increase of approximately three-andone-half percent over the income level that would have occurred if no OCS impacts had occurred. It also represents approximately 45 percent of all real disposable personal income impacts occurring statewide in Alaska. These income impacts represent approximately 6 percent of the total real disposable personal income growth expected to occur in the Southcentral region over the forecast period.

The overall picture that emerges for the Southcentral region is one of moderate impacts. Population, employment, and real disposable personal income will all be higher than they would have been by 2-to-3 percent; and OCS impacts will account for between 6 and 9 percent of the total growth expected in the region.

The region, however, is not homogeneous. Unlike the Anchorage region, it does not represent an integrated trading area or a single labor market. The impacts will not be evenly distributed throughout the region but will occur in the specific labor markets, housing markets, and trading areas associated with the communities at Nikiski, Homer, and Kodiak. These are all small communities. Taken together, they probably represent less than a quarter of the regional totals--implying that the magnitude of the impacts would be four times as great.

The MAP econometric model is not designed to analyze small area impacts, A comparison of the Anchorage and **Southcentral** impacts, however, shows

how the magnitude of impacts increase as their location shifts from more or less densely populated areas. For Anchorage, the impacts are not significant. In the Southcentral region, similar magnitudes produce moderate impacts. Given the nonhomogeneity of the region, there is a reasonable expectation that the specific small communities affected by OCS would experience significant impacts.

High Scenario Impacts

The high-find scenario, discussed above in Section IV, assumes significant commercial discoveries of oil and gas are found in both the Lower Cook Inlet and Shelikof Strait areas. As a result, this scenario generates peak direct employment at just under three times the level projected under the most probable (moderate-find) scenario. This section describes the differential impacts produced in the State of Alaska by the most probable level of development and the high-find level of development.

Table 56 presents the projected differential growth impacts of the highfind scenario in comparison **to** the most probable (moderate-find) impacts. These comparisons are made for population, employment, **real** per capita personal income, and state net fiscal impacts.³ Column one of the table presents the moderate-find impacts, while the high-find scenario impacts are presented in column two. The difference between the high- and moderatefind scenario. impacts is presented in column three (in absolute terms) and column four (in percentage terms).

³State net fiscal impacts are the **difference** between state revenues and state expenditures.

TABLE 56.DIFFERENTIAL GROWTH IMPACTS OF LOWER
COOK INLET OCS DEVELOPMENT
STATE OF ALASKA
HIGH-FIND SCENARIO

	Moderate-Find Impacts	High-Find Impacts	<u>Difference</u>	Difference as Percent of Moderate Impacts
Popul ati on				
1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	0 1, 187 3, 713 4, 234 5, 148	0 1, 502 9, 505 11, 208 12, 930	0 315 5, 792 6, 974 7, 782	0 26.5 156.0 164.7 151.2
Empl oyment				
1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	0 759 2, 139 2. 044 2, 394	0 968 5, 953 5, 558 5, 885	0 209 3, 814 3, 514 3, 491	0 27.5 178.3 171.9 145.8
Real Per Capita Personal Income				
1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	\$ 0 9.99 13.61 6.22 4.36	\$ O 7. 11 54. 91 18. 55 6. 75	\$ 0 - 2.89 41.30 12.32 2.39	0 - 28.9 303.5 198.2 54.7
State Net Fis (Revenues-Expendi	scal ¹ tures)			
1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	\$ 0 - 4. 435 -10. 289 -23. 301 -47. 453	\$ 0 - 5.637 -27.566 -59.121 -117.414	. \$0 - 1. 202 -17. 277 -35. 820 -69. 961	0 27.1 167.9 153.7 147.4

¹Millions of Nominal Dollars

.

State population impacts are approximately 25 percent higher under the high-find scenario than they are under the more probable moderate-find scenario in 1985. During the 1990s, the high-find scenario increases population by about two-and-one-half times the level that would occur under the more probable moderate-find scenario assumptions.

The high-find scenario's Lower Cook Inlet OCS impacts increase the state's population by approximately 2 percent over the level it would otherwise have been after **1990.** In **large** part, these population gains are produced by the employment-induced in-migration associated with both the higher level of direct employment and the larger magnitude of the support sector's response.

The same general picture emerges with respect to employment. Particularly during the late 1980s and early 1990s, employment impacts in the state of Alaska under the high-find assumptions are almost two-and-three-quarters times higher than they are under the more probable moderate-find assumptions. By the year 2000, the difference has fallen to approximately two-and-one-half times. Employment under the high-find scenario assumptions averages approximately two percentage points higher than it would have been under the baseline assumptions of no OCS development from the late 1980s onward.

Real per capita personal income shows large increases as a result of the much higher level of direct employment assumed under the high-find scenario. In 1990 and 1995, the additional real per capita personal income generated

by the high-find scenario's assumptions are four times and three times as great, respectively, as they are under the more probable moderatefind assumptions. However, the real per capita personal income impacts of Lower Cook Inlet's OCS development are very small under all conditions. Even during the peak year of activity under the high-find scenario, the OCS impacts on real per capita personal income are only slightly above one percent of what they would have been under the baseline (non-OCS) assumptions.

The impact on the state's net fiscal condition (the difference between revenues and expenditures) is related to the population and real per capita income growth. It increases by approximately one-quarter in 1985 and fluctuates around two-and-one-half times the more probable moderate-find scenario's impacts during the late 1980s and 1990s. The figures reported in Table 56 are in nominal dollar values. The difference between the year 2000 net fiscal impacts is an increase in the size of the revenue-expenditure shortfall from \$17 million to \$25 million in 1979 purchasing power.

Overall, therefore, the assumptions underlying the high-find scenario increase the level of Lower Cook Inlet OCS developments on the Alaskan economy; increases in employment and population after 1990 go from less than one percent to approximately two percent when compared with the baseline (no OCS) forecasts. Particularly during the late 1980s and early 1990s, it appears that the high-find scenario produces low-tomoderate population and employment impacts compared to the more probable moderate-find scenario which produces no significant impacts.

The impacts on real per **capita** personal income and state net fiscal status are reasonably **large but** still" represent impacts of 1 percent or less, **com**-pared with baseline, **non-OCS** assumptions.

Exploration-Only Scenario Impacts

The exploration-only scenario assumes that no commercial oil or gas resources are discovered in the Lower Cook Inlet and Shelikof Strait OCS areas. It assumes that exploration begins in the first year after the lease sale, peaks in the second year, and terminates in the third year as a result of discouraging exploratory findings. Consequently, all of the direct employment impacts occur over a three-year period and are associated with exploration activity. Since the three years of exploration activity are 1982 through 1984, inclusive, there are no 1980 impacts. Furthermore, the impacts on the state's economy from persons who migrated to Alaska as a result of exploration activity and remained in the state as permanent residents. These impacts are given in Table 57.

By the middle of the 1980s, approximately three-quarters of the population and employment impacts have been eliminated from the Alaskan economy. From the late 1980s through the end of the forecast period, the impacts of Lower Cook Inlet OCS development under the exploration-only scenario are not significantly different from zero.

The real per capita personal income impacts of the exploration-only scenario disappear even more rapidly. By 1985, they have been reduced to zero and

TABLE 57.DIFFERENTIAL GROWTH IMPACTS OF LOWER
COOK INLET OCS DEVELOPMENT
STATE OF ALASKA
EXPLORATION-ONLY SCENARIO

	Moderate-Find Impacts	Exploration- Only Impacts	Difference	Difference as Percent of Moderate Impacts
Popul ati on				
1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	0 1.187 3, 713 4, 234 5, 148	31: 40 118 146	0. - 872 -3,573 -4,116 -5,002	0 - 73.5 - 96.2 - 97.2 - 97.2
Employment				
1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	0 759 2, 139 2, 044 2, 394	15; 24 17 2 8	0 605 -2, 115 -2, 027 -2, 366	0 - 79.7 - 98.9 -99.2 - 98.5
Real Per Capita Personal Income				
1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	\$ 0 9.99 13.61 6.22 4.36	\$ O 15 65 63 62	\$ 0 -10. 14 -14.26 - 6. 85 - 4. 98	0 -101.5 -104.8 -110.1 -114.1
State Net Fis (Revenues-Expendi				
1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	\$ 0 - 4.435 -10.289 -23.301 -47.453	\$ 0 - 2. 161 - 4. 387 - 6. 512 -10. 570	\$ 0 2.274 5.902 16.789 36.883	0 - 51.3 - 57.4 - 72.1 - 77.7

'Millions of Nominal Dollars

are not significantly different thereafter. The state net fiscal impacts persist over a longer period of time because the persons who migrated to Alaska and remained there continue to require services from the state. They represent a very small impact, however; and three-quarters of **them** have been eliminated by the year 2000.

Overall, therefore, the exploration-only scenario produces statewide population, employment, and real per capita personal income impacts that are not significantly different from zero except for the three years in which direct activity occurs. Even during these years, this scenario produces changes in the state's major economic indicators of less than one **percent**.

Summary and Conclusion

If OCS development occurs in the Lower Cook Inlet, its probable statewide impact will be negligible in terms of employment, population, and personal income. The changes that do occur are minor and generally represent less than a one percent alteration in the state's non-OCS development projections. There is a small impact on state revenue and expenditure patterns and a net cost to the state (in terms of a shortfall between revenues and expenditures produced by OCS impacts) of about six-and-one-half percent of the state's 1979 budget, calculated in real terms and discounted for the community's time preference.

If the high-find scenario should occur, however, the state would probably experience moderate impacts in employment, population, and personal income.

These impacts would be in the range of a two-to-five percent increase in the level of activity projected to occur without OCS developments.

The exploration-only scenario, on the other hand, has very small impacts (less than l percent per year), and these last for a very short period of time. Effectively, the exploration-only scenario produces no significant alteration in the state's overall growth path.

With respect to regional impacts, the Anchorage region experiences small changes in employment, population, and personal income, even though onethird to one-half of the total OCS impacts in the state are expected to occur there. Since the region represents an integrated economy, these impacts should not provide any strong pressures on the economy's ability to generate jobs, housing, and required services.

In the Southcentral region, moderate impacts are expected in the growth of population, employment, and personal income. However, this region is not homogeneous and does not have an integrated economy. The impacts will occur in specific communities, most of them quite small in size. Although the MAP econometric model does not have the capability of forecasting small area impacts, it appears probable that the small communities of Nikiski, Homer, and Kodiak would experience large economic impacts under the most probable OCS impact scenario. Under the high-find scenario, the impacts would probably be substantial. While the substantial impacts (particularly under the high-find scenario) are analytically discernible, they cannot be quantified and no estimates of their magnitude are currently available.



VI. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

Ň

This section examines the sensitivity of the forecasts with respect to certain key assumptions contained in the analysis. These assumptions fall **into** two categories: first, those which relate to the structure of the model; and second, those which relate to the input data used with the model. Essentially, the first set of assumptions relates to the state expenditure rule contained in the MAP econometric model. The second set of assumptions relates to the participation and **seasonality** of the OCS labor force. Each is discussed in turn.

State Expenditure Rule

The MAP econometric model contains an expenditure rule which specifies the essential features of state fiscal policy. The rule generally assumes that state real per capita expenditures grow at a rate proportional to the growth of real per capita income and available general fund balances. This general rule was developed from an analysis of historical state expenditure patterns (Scott, 1978). Alternative formulations of the basic expenditure rule have been tested, as has the implicit assumption that the state of Alaska will respond to OCS development impacts in the same manner that it has responded to population and employment growth in the past (Western Gulf of Alaska Statewide and Regional Population and Economic Systems Impact Analysis, Huskey and Nebesky, May 1, 1979). The specific assumptions used in the expenditure rule for this report are contained in Appendix %, "Base Case Assumptions."

Of analytical importance for this report is the assumption that state capital expenditures as well as revenue expenditures will increase proportionately to the growth of the state's economy. This assumption applies equally to short-term and long-term changes. Consequently, state capital investments are assumed to be as great for population changes expected **to** last **only** one year as they are **for** long-term population growth. This is probably an unrealistic assumption. Short-term impacts such as those produced by OCS construction and exploration activity are usually provided exclusively through operating budgets. Additional policemen, firemen, school teachers, and agency employees will be added to deal with short-term impacts. Seldom, however, are new courthouses, schools, and other capital fat'**ilities** built unless there is a probability of their longer-term **utilization**.

In addition to the sensitivity of the forecast results to varying expenditure rules such as those discussed in the Western Gulf study, there would 'appear to be a tendency on the part of the MAP econometric model to systematically overestimate government expenditure responses to short-term impacts. Since the capital budget accounts for approximately twenty-fiveto-thirty percent of the state's total annual expenditures during the forecast period, the expenditure forecasts could be overestimated by as much as fifteen-to-twenty percent during the peak years of construction and exploration activity.

Labor Force Participation and Seasonality

The analysis used in this report contains a procedure to reflect its resident/nonresident composition. A full description of this procedure is provided in the Western Gulf of Alaska report (Huskey and Nebesky, 1979). This procedure is known essentially as SEAR (Share of OCS Employment to Alaska Residents), and it has the following essential characteristics. For onshore OCS activity, the impact of approximately five exploration workers is assumed equal to that of one full-time Alaska During the development phase, it takes two workers to have the resident. same impact as a full-time Alaska resident; while during the production phase, all workers are assumed to be the same as full-time Alaska residents. The same relationships hold for offshore OCS activity, except that the impact of workers during the development stage is reduced from two-to-one to five-to-one.

During the peak employment years of OCS exploration and development, the SEAR adjustments significantly reduce the estimated direct employment impacts used as inputs to the MAP econometric model. Table 58 reports the results of using actual workers instead of SEAR workers for the impact analysis. The first column of Table 58 presents the Alaska non-OCS (baseline) forecast. The second column presents the most probable impacts used in the body of the report and contains SEAR-adjusted direct employment. The third column contains the most probable impacts when unadjusted employment is used. Columns 4 and 5 show the percent change from the baseline forecast produced by Lower Cook Inlet OCS impacts using SEAR-adjusted employment (column 4) and unadjusted employment (column 5).

TABLE 58.SENSITIVITY OF FORECASTS TO SEAR ADJUSTMENTS
STATE OF ALASKA

	Non-OCS Forecast	Most Probable Impacts (With SEAR)	Most Probable Impacts (Wi thout SEAR)	Percent Change With SEAR [(2÷1) 100]	Percent Change Without SEAR [(3÷1) 100]	Without SEAR as Percent of With SEAR [(3+2) 100]
Popul ati on						
1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	407.51 465.28 507.57 546.64 604.52	0.00 1.19 3.71 4.23 5.15	0.00 3.36 7.75 6.02 6.49	0. 00 0. 26 0. 73 0. 77 0. 85	0. 00 0. 72 1. 53 1. 10 1 .07	0.00 282.35 208.89 142.32 126.02
Employment						
1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	196. 42 227. 56 253. 64 276. 99 313. 03	0.00 0.76 2.14 2.04 2.39	0.00 2.09 4.09 2.34 2.60	0.00 0.33 0.94 0.74 0.76	0.00 0.92 1.80 0.84 0.83	0.00 275.00 191.12 114.71 108.79
State Expend	li tures ^z					
1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	\$1,626.58 2,766.43 4,291.89 5,869.45 8,564.09	\$0.00 6.83 29.02 43.33 70.28	\$0.00 19.60 62.97 70.26 101.57	0.00 0s25 0.68 0.74 0.82	0. 00 0. 71 1. 47 1. 20 1. 19	0.00 286.97 216.99 162.15 144.52

¹Thousands of persons (workers)

 $^2\ensuremath{\mathsf{Millions}}$ of nominal dollars

The final column of the table shows how much larger OCS impacts are when the non-SEAR-adjusted employment estimate is used.

The biggest difference between the SEAR and non-SEAR-adjusted impact estimates occurs during the peak years of exploration and development. Statewide population impacts in 1990 are approximately twice as large when total direct employment is used for the model as they are when SEARadjusted employment is used. The significance of the impacts as measured against the non-OCS forecast is also doubled, rising from 0.73 percent to 1.53 percent. Employment impacts are approximately twice as high in 1990 when the non-SEAR-adjusted employment estimates are used.

Overall, the use of SEAR adjustments reduces the magnitude of estimated Lower Cook Inlet OCS impacts by about one-half during the peak exploration and development years. Because the SEAR adjustments become less important through time and are identical with the direct employment estimates for the production years, the effect of using SEAR adjustments becomes less important as the forecast period gets longer. By the year 2000, they produce relatively small differences.

Statewide, even the unadjusted SEAR estimates are still small. They range in the order of 1.5-to-2.0 percent of the baseline conditions, compared with the SEAR-adjusted impacts which fall in the range of 0.7 to 0.9 percent during the peak exploration and development years. These are still smallto-moderate impacts on the statewide aggregate indicators. Assuming the Southcentral region maintains its same percentage of the state's total

OCS impacts implies increases **in** population and employment in excess of 5 percent and an increase in real disposable personal income of approximately 8 percent during the peak years of exploration and development. While the MAP econometric model does not provide estimates for small area Impacts, this could imply increases in employment and population in the affected communities of Nikiski, Homer, and Kodiak in the order of 20 percent during the peak years.

The second labor market adjustment tested for sensitivity was the use of annual average data for estimating impacts. The procedure for making this test was to apply the seasonal peak direct employment estimated by Dames & Moore (March 1979, page 119) and to use it in the MAP econometric model as if the seasonal peak were the annual average. The peak employment estimated impacts were then subtracted from the annual average estimated impacts as a measure of **seasonality**.¹

The results of the seasonal adjustment process are reported in Table 59. Using **1990** as a reference year, it appears that peak seasonal impacts on employment and population could be in the range of two-and-one-half-tothree times those estimated from annual averages. Because the seasonal variations only occur during the exploration and development phases, the difference between the seasonally adjusted estimates and the annual

¹This procedure probably overestimates seasonal impacts since the model incorporates secondary and indirect responses which would not occur for seasonal peaks. This is particularly true for capital budget expenditures and other related fiscal measures. For this reason, only seasonal adjustments to population and employment were made.

	Non-OCS Forecast	Most Probable Impacts <u>(Annual Average)</u>	Most Probable Impacts (Seasonal Peak)	Percent Change With Annual Average [(2÷1) 100]	Peak as Percent of Average [(3÷2) 100]
Popul ati on					
1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	407.51 465.28 507.57 546.64 604.52	0.00 1.19 3.71 4.23 5.15	0.00 4.16 11.85 7.90 7.90	0.00 0.26 0.73 0.77 0.85	0.00 349.58 319.41 186.76 153.39
Employment					
1980 1985 1990 1995 2000	196. 42 227. 56 253. 64 276. 99 313. 03	0.00 0.76 2.14 2.04 2.39	0. 00 2. 63 5. 85 2. 62 2. 80	0.00 0.33 0.94 0.74 0.76	0.00 346.05 273.36 128.43 117.15

TABLE 59.SENSITIVITY OF FORECASTS TO SEASONAL ADJUSTMENTSSTATE OF ALASKA

'Thousands of persons (workers)

averages becomes increasingly **less** important toward the end **of the** forecast period.

Since the state's total economy exhibits a large amount of seasonality, the seasonal peak impacts still remain a very small part of the state's total levels of employment and population in 1990. Assuming the Southcentral region continues to attract its share of total OCS impacts resulting from Lower Cook Inlet development, the analysis implies that the region's total population could increase by as many as 5,000 add tional persons during the peak years of production and exploration, If all of these persons were to be located in the small communities primar ly affected by Lower Cook Inlet OCS developments, the impacts would appear to be substantial.
VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The probable impact of Outer Continental Shelf developments in the Lower Cook Inlet vary significantly with the area and period of time analyzed. The larger the area and the longer the period of time, the less significant. are the impacts. The smaller the area and the shorter the period of time, the more significant the impacts become.

For the state of Alaska as a whole, ali of the impacts are very small in size--generally accounting for less than a change of one percent in the non-OCS baseline forecast. Even when using non-SEAR-adjusted total direct employment estimates and allowing for seasonal variations in employment, the impacts remain quite small. By extending the time period for estimating impacts and discounting future values to arrive at current value estimates, it appears that the net fiscal impact on the state of Alaska will be moderate, equaling between 6 and 7 percent of the state's 1979 budget. Some of this impact, however, appears to be produced by a tendency of the MAP econometric model to overestimate state expenditures in response to short-term changes in population and employment.

The smallest level of analysis for which the MAP econometric model produces estimates is the Southcentral region. Using non-SEAR-adjusted total direct employment estimates and estimating impacts at the seasonal peaks during the exploration and construction phases of development, OCS developments in the Lower Cook Inlet could produce impacts as great as 10,000 additional persons and 4,500 workers. This would mean increases in

population and employment in the range of 15 percent for the region. For the individual communities of Nikiski, Homer, and Kodiak where most of the direct OCS impacts will occur, the seasonal peaks occurring during the construction and exploration phases of the development would probably be large and significant.

e

APPENDIX A

Historical Growth, 1965-1976

TABLE AI. GROWTH IN EMPLOYMENT, ALASKA, 1965-1976

	Average Monthly Employment							
Industry	1965	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976
Mining	1,100	З, соо	2, 400	2,100	2,000	3,000	3,800	4, 000
Contract Construction	6,400	6,900	7,400	7,900	7, 800	14, 100	25,900	30,200
Manufacturing Food Processing	6,300 3,000	7, 800 3, 700	7, 800 3, 600	8,100 3 , 8 0 0	9, 400 4, 600	9,600 4,300	9,600 4, 300	10,300 5,100
Logging-Lumber and Pulp Other Manufacturing	2, 300 1, 000	2,800 1,300	2,800 1,400	2,800 1,500	3, 200 1, 500	3,600 1 ,700	3, 400 1, 900	3,200 2,000
Transportation, Communication and Public Utilities Trucking and Warehousing Water Transportation Air Transportation Other Transportation Communications and	7,200 1,200 1,000 1,900 500	9, 100 1, 700 800 3, 000 900	9,800 1,500 800 2,800 1,000	10,000 1,6(?0 800 3,000 1,000	10, 400 1,500 900 3, 300 1, 100	12,400 2,200 1,000 4,000 1,300	16, 500 4, 000 1, 400 4,800 1, 800	15,800 3,200 1,300 4,700 1,900
Public Utilities	2, 600	2,700	3,700	3, 600	3, 600	3,900	4,500	4,700
Trade Whol esal e Retai I	10,000 1,900 8,100	15,400 3,200 12,200	16, 200 3, 200 12, 900	17,100 3,300 13,'300	18,300 3,400 14',900	21,100 4,000 17,100	26, 200 5,500 20,300	27,500 6,100 21,500
Finance, Insurance and Real Estate	2, 200	3, 100	3, 200	3, 700	4, 300	4, 900	6, 000	7,100
Servi ces Hotel s, Hotel s, etc. Persenal Busi ness Medi cal Other	7,500 1,000 700 1,400 1,400 3,000	11,400 1,400 2,000 2,200 5,000	12,600 1,600 900 2,100 2,600 5,400	14,000 1,800 900 2,100 3,000 6,200	15, 200 1, 909 900 2, 100 3, 300 7, 000	18, 300 2, 500 800 3, 000 3, 800 8, 200	25, 1(?0 3, 200 900 7, 300 4, 300 9, 400	27,700 3,209 900 8,700 5,000 9,900

-65

TABLE A.1. (continued)

	Average Konthly Employment							
Industry	1965	<u>?970</u>	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976
Government Federal State Local	29,000 17,400 7,000 5,300	35, 600 17, 100 10, 300 8, 100	38,000 17,300 11,700 9,000	40,500 17,200 13,300 10,000	41,600 17,100 13,800 10,700	43,800 18,000 14,200 11,600	47,200 18,300 15,500 13,400	47,200 17,900 14,100 15,200
Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries	100	800	900	900	<u>1,0004</u>	1,000	1,000	1,200
Total Civilian Non-Agricultural Wage and Salary Employment	70, 500	93, 100	98, 300	104, 2C0	110, 000	128, 200	161, 3(?5	171,100
Total Civilian Basic Military	31, 300 33, 000	35, 600 <u>3 1 , 4 0 0</u>	35,800 39,100	36,200 26,500	37,300 27, 500	45,700 27,500	58,600 25,300	63,6 00 24,500
Total Basic	64, 300	67,000	65,900	62,700	64, 800	73,200	83,900	88,100
Total Support Sector	26, 900	39, 000	41, 000	44,800	48, 200	56, 700	73,800	78,200
"Total Employment	114,000	129, 900	133, 900	136,500	143, 200	161, 500	190,200	203,200

Basic Employment Includes: Mining; Construction; Manufacturing; Federal Government; Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, and Military.

•

Support Sector Includes: Transportation, Communication and Public Utilities; Trade; Finance, Insurance and Real, Estate; and the Services.

SOURCE : "Alaska Department of Labor, <u>Alaska Labor Force Estimates</u>, various years. Alaska Department of Labor, <u>Estimates of Total Resident Population and Estimates of Total Civilian Population'</u>.

TABLE A.2. ANCHORAGE CIVILIAN EMPLOYMENT GROWTH , ALASKA, 1965-1976

Industry	1965	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976
Total	30, 678	41, 995	45,452	48, 252	50, 627	58, 713	69, 645	73, 113
Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries	33	52	63	76	8 2	100	110	1 00
Mining	371	958	916	806	769	1,036	1,301	1,409 '
Contract Construction	3, 127	3, 514	3, 924	4, 272	4,178 "	5,882	7,054	7, 587
Manufacturing	791	1,018	1. , 117	1,215	1, 286	1, 379	1, 571	1,629
Transportation, Communication and Public Utilities Transportation Air Other Communication Public Utilities	2, 618 1,694 773 921 674 250	3, 907 2, 800 1, 482 1, 318 764 343	4, 591 2, 805 1, 455 1, 350 1, 411 374	4, 522 2, 821 1, 629 1, 192 1, 289 . 411	4, 625 3, 129 1, 835 1, 294 1, 046 451	5, 383 3, 938 2,123 1, 814 1, 163 423	7, 343 5, 419 2, 610 2, 809 1,425 499	7,409 5,172 2,668 2,504 1,670 558
Trade Whol esal e Retail	5, 280 1, 226 4 , 053	8, 617 2, 220 6, 397	9,334 2,292 7,042	9, 948 2, 423 7, 525	10, 663 2, 475 8,188	12, 298 2, 860 9, 438	14,928 4,077 10,852	15,958 4,240 11,718
Finance, Insurance and Real Estate	1, 295	1, 980	2,087	2, 415	2, 803	3,151	3, 615	4, 257
Services Hotels Personal Business Medical Other	3, 767 460 402 789 681 1, 444	6, 403 755 535 1, 188 1, 200 2, 725	7,027 709 556 1,194 1,480 3,038	7, 725 732 556 1, 120 1,759 3, 459	8, 319 811 567 1,190 1,993 3,758	-10, 119 1, 114 572 1, 680 2, 283 4, 471	13, 465 1,345 624 -3,795 2,286 5, 410	15,450 1,4<4 6C7 4,914 2,657 5,s23
Federal Government	9,395	9, 509	9,530	9,435	9,558	9,925	10, 222	9,813
State Government	1,672	2, 421	3, 020	' 3, 500	3, 667	3, 985	4, 056	, 4, 053
Local Government	2, 329	3, 615	3, 845	4, 349	4,677	5, 257	5, 979	5, 413

)

SOURCE: Department of Labor, <u>Statistical Quarterly</u>, various issues.

T"ABLE A.3. EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY, SOUTHCENTRAL ALASKA 1965, 1970-1976

Industry	1965	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976
Agriculture, Forestries and Fisheries	19	99	85	356	491	492	543	680
Mining	345	762	633	611	640	580	900	827 .
Contract Construction	880	583	895	768	681	1, 239	3,656	6,978 ·
Manufacturing Food	1, 188″ 1, 086	1, 647 1, 293	1, 627 1, 229	1,818 1,456	2,627 1,995	2, 522 2, 013	2,656 2,003	3,234 2,127
Transportation, Communication and Public Utilities Transportation Communications Public Utilities	542 373 26 132	760 521 85 154	796 502 132, 153	793 442 175 176	896 497 209 189	1, 329 708 218 03	1, 575 1, 106 239 231	1,472 977 247 248
Trade Wholesale Retai1	813 102 711	1,338 153 1,145	1, 319 . 275 1, 134	1,383 162 1,221	1,460 133 7,327	1, 611 202 1, 459	2,327 344 1,983	2,533 353 2,180
Finance, Insurance and Real Estate	159	211	204	220	239	308	377	420
Services Hotel Personal Business Medical Other	738 138 1?; 1 39 319	1,027 154 28 114 275 456	1,099 230 29 94 286 450	1, 228 297 39 87 315 490	1,440 300 1;: 451 5C0	1, 709 427 40 178 400 564	2, 123 467 49 441 391 780	2,597 462 35 755 465 878
Government Federal State and Local	975 1, 465	828 2, 327	742 2, 72S	626 2,932	602 3,056	595 3,180	572 3,45 5	637 3,592
Total	7, 17. 4	9,582	10, 127	10, 735	12,131	13, 645	18, 300	23,030

SOURCE: Estimated from Alaska Department of Labor, Research and Analysis Section Worksheets. Alaska Stats Housing Authority, Alaska, <u>Yakutat</u>, <u>Comprehensive Development Plan</u>, Anchorage 1971. Alaska Consultants, Inc., Anchorage, Alaska, <u>Yakutat, Comprehensive Development Plan</u>, December 1976.





APPENDIX B

Methods, Standards, and Assumptions to be Used in the Lower Cook Inlet (ICS Statewide and Regional Economic and Demographic Impact Analysis

This paper describes the methodology and assumptions to be used in analyzing the social and economic impacts of oil and gas developments in the Lower Cook Inlet. The major steps of this impact analysis are: (1) a historical baseline study of the economies of the state of Alaska and the Cook Inlet region, (2) development of base case (i.e., without Lower Cook Inlet oil and gas development) assumptions, (3) generation of base case projections, (4) generation of Lower Cook Inlet projections, and (5) a comparison of the impact projections with the baseline projections to analyze net impacts. This appendix will discuss the assumptions used in this analysis,

BaseCase Assumptions

A set of assumptions about the level of exogenous variables determines a development scenario; this section describes the assumptions in the **non-OCS** base case scenario. There are four major types of assumptions required for a scenario. First, there are assumptions about the growth of **exogenously** determined employment in both the petroleum and **nonpetroleum** sectors. Secondly, assumptions about **exogenously** determined petroleum revenues received by the state are needed. Thirdly, there are assumptions about national variables (see Chapter III, page 88). Finally, an assumption about the way the state spends its money is needed. Once these assumptions are set, the set of projections is determined by the model.

EMPLOYMENT ASSUMPTI ONS

Employment assumptions, include those associated with special projects and those associated with industry growth in manufacturing, agricultureforestry-fisheries, and federal government.

Special Projects

Special projects include three basic types--petroleum projects, major construction projects, and operations **of** the major projects. **Tables B.1** and B.2 show the project employment assumptions, The methods used to determine these **levels** are described below.

- Prudhoe Bay, Lisburne, and Kuparak mining employment was estimated from two sources of information. Employment scenarios were based on the scenarios described in the Alaska Department of Natural Resources, <u>Alternatives for the Future: Petroleum Development Study</u>, <u>North Slope of Alaska (1977)</u>. The employment schedules were adjusted based on the estimated reserves, productivity, and the production schedules in <u>Beaufort Sea Region Petroleum</u> <u>Development Scenarios</u> (Technical Report No. 6, Alaska OCS Socioeconomic Studies Program, 1978).
- Northern Gulf OCS employment is an estimate of 1977 exploration employment. This was based on information in <u>Monitoring Petroleum Activities in the Gulf of Alaska</u> (Technical Report No. 17, Alaska OCS Socioeconomic Studies Program, 1978). Total employment associated with exploration was divided by the total wells drilled to obtain a man-years-per-well figure of approximately 90. Approximately 9.6 wells were drilled in 1977. Total exploration employment was adjusted by the percentage of Alaskan resident employment assumed in the report. There is no activity assumed after 1977.
- Upper Cook employment was an estimate of current employment made by the author. Employment was assumed to increase slightly between 1985 and 1990 as the oil fields are shut down. Gas production is assumed to continue after 1990.

Year	Prudhoe, Lisburne and Kuparak	N. Gulf² and Lower _Cook OCS	Upper ³ Cook	Other⁴ <u>Mining</u>
1977 1978 1979 1980	1, 586 1, 624 1, 585 1, 783	271 0 0 0	575 575 575 575	2, 082 2, 082 2, 082 2, 082 2, 082
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985	1, 402 1, 149 897 904 987	0 0 0 0 0	575 575 575 575 575 575	2, 082 2, 082 2, 082 2, 082 2, 082 2, 082
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990	963 985 985 1,009 1,009	0 0 0 0	610 645 680 715 750	2,082 2 ,082 2,082 2,082 2,082 2,082
1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	1,020 1,020 940 886 886	0 0 0 0 0	300 300 300 300 300 300	2, 082 2, 082 2, 082 2, 082 2, 082 2, 082
1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	886 886 886 886 886	0 0 0 0	300 300 300 300 300	2, 082 2, 082 2, 082 2, 082 2, 082 2, 082

¹Based on employment scenarios from <u>Alternatives for the</u> <u>Future: Petroleum Development Study, North Slope of Alaska</u> (Department of Natural Resources, 1977). Scenarios for 1 and 5 billion barrel reserves were adjusted to reflect reserves and production schedules of these fields.

²Exploration activity drilled 9.6 wells; assumed employment per well equaled 90 man-years from OCS Technical Report No. 17 (Dames and Moore, 1978).

³Estimate by the author based on current employment.

⁴Net employment in mining.

TABLE B.2. CONSTRUCTION EMPLOYMENT

		ECONX 1		ECONX 2
Year	TAPS	ALCAN ³	Total	Pacific ⁴ LNG
1977	5, 3001	0	5, 300	0
1978	0	0	0	0
1979	90 ²	0	90	0
1980	90	0	90	146
1981	90	1, 425	1 , 5 1 5	844
1982	90	4, 763	4,853	1, 323
1983	0	4,663	4, 663	420
1984	0	265	265	0
1985	0	0	0	0

³Northwest Energy Company manpower estimate, July 17, 1978.

¹Based on estimate of TAPS construction employment by the Alaska State Labor Department.

²Assumed construction of four pump stations to increase capacity by 1982. Pump Station construction employment estimate from The Beaufort OCS Petroleum Development Scenarios, Dames and Moore, 1978.

⁴Based on letter to the Department of Natural Resources from S. California Gas, March 17, 1978, estimating peak construction employment of 1,500. Four-year construction period from E.I.S. for Pacific Alaska LNG Project, November 1974.

• Other mining was assumed to maintain its 1976 level, except in Anchorage and Fairbanks which were adjusted to an estimate of the 1977 mining employment.

Table B.2 shows special project construction employment.

- ECONX1 are highly paid construction workers associated with major projects, long hours, and extreme working conditions. Two projects are assumed in this category, the trans-Alaska pipeline and the ALCAN gasline. TAPS is completed in 1977. The 1977 employment is based on an actual estimate made by the Alaska Labor Department. After 1977 the line's capacity is assumed to be increased by the addition of four pump sta-Pump station construction employment estimates made tions. in <u>Technical Report No</u>. 6 (Alaska OCS, 1978) were used to estimate employment. With completion of the TAPS construction in 1977, the line's capacity is assumed to be 1.2 million barrels per day. The capacity must be expanded to deliver the assumed base case North Slope production, which is 1.73 million Four additional pump stations were barrels per day by 1983. assumed to be needed to deliver this production. This was based on the ratio of capacity to pump stations (.15 million barrels per pump station) with eight pump stations. With this ratio, twelve pump stations would be needed to deliver 1.73 million barrels per day. These additions would also allow the line some additional capacity. The ALCAN gasline is assumed to be built between 1981 and 1984. The estimates are based on the most recent construction manpower estimates made by Northwest Energy Company in a letter to the state (July 1, 1978).
- ECONX2 employment is associated with special construction projects which are assumed to have regular employment schedules and be able to draw on local labor markets. One project of this type is assumed to be built, the Pacific LNG project. Pacific LNG is scheduled to begin construction in 1980 and operations in 1984 (Anchorage Daily News, September 23, 1978). The construction schedule is based on an estimated peak construction employment of 1,500 (letter from S. California Gas to Alaska Department of Natural Resources, May 17, 1978) and the four-year construction period from the 1974 E.I.S. for the Pacific LNG project.

Operations employment for these projects is transportation employment for the pipelines and manufacturing for the petrochemical projects. Alyeska estimated an operations employment of 300 for startup in 1977 and 850 per year for the long-term operations (Alaska Construction and Oil, October 1976). ALCAN operations employment is assumed to be 96 beginning in 1985. This estimate was, based on ALCAN's 1976 application to the Federal Power Commission. The difference in operations employment is accounted for because Trans-Alaska Pipeline Service (TAPS) has more pipeline in Alaska, the Valdez port employment is part of the TAPS employment, and TAPS has substantial Alaska headquarters employment. Operations employment for the Pacific LNG plant is 60 beginning in 1984.

Employment for these special projects is allocated to MAP Regions as follows:

- 1. Prudhoe, Lisburne, Kuparak employment to Region 1
- 2. Upper Cook N. Gulf OCS, Pacific LNG employment in Region 4
- 3. Other mining at its appropriate regional level
- 4. ALCAN and TAPS construction based on miles of pipe in region plus 300 TAPS headquarters in Anchorage in 1977
- 5. ALCAN operations is allocated by the miles of pipeline in each region
- 6. TAPS operations employment will be allocated as follows: 300 in Anchorage, 200 in Valdez, and the remainder based on the regional distribution of the pipeline.

<u>Industry</u> Growth

The level of employment in federal government and agriculture-forestryfisheries is set exogenously. Federal government employment is assumed to follow its general historical trend and remain constant at the 1976 level throughout the forecast period. The trend in the historical period reflects increases in civilian employment offsetting decreasing military employment. The regional allocation will also remain constant. Employment in agriculture-forestry-fisheries will be assumed to increase at a rate of 3 percent per year. This reflects an assumption of little growth in agriculture and a modest increase in fisheries. The Southcentral Water Study estimated approximately a 5 percent annual increase with maximum fisheries development. Employment will be assumed to increase at this rate in each region.

Output in manufacturing must be determined exogenously. It is assumed to increase at an average annual rate of 4 percent which is consistent with both the historical trend and the assumed growth in the fisheries industry. Regional growth will be determined by the mix of industries with food manufacturing growing at the same rate as fisheries, 3 percent; lumber growing at 4 percent; paper growing at 2.5 percent; and other manufactur-ing bringing the growth rate into line with the overall 4 percent per year.

PETROLEUM REVENUE ASSUMPTIONS

Petroleum revenues to the state consist of royalties, production taxes, property taxes, and the corporate income tax. This section will examine the revenue assumptions chosen for the base case. Where it was possible

and did not conflict with other assumptions made in this study, we used revenue estimates made by the state; in other cases, revenues were estimated based on assumptions about the wellhead value and production.

COOK INLET REVENUES

Table 6.3 details the royalty and severance revenues from oil and gas production in Upper Cook Inlet. The **overal** assumption is that **oil** production would be over in 1995, while gas production will continue throughout the projection period. The specific assumptions are:

- e Oil royalties and production tax are from a Legislative Affairs Agency memo of July 14, 1977. Revenues were estimated through 1985; after that a 15 percent decline was assumed in the value of oil produced. The average production of the well was assumed to decline below the taxable rate in 1989, and production was assumed to stop in 1995.
- Gas royalties and production tax are based on estimates of production through 1985 made by the Revenue Department in <u>Revenue Journal</u>, Vol. 1, No. 2, October 1976. Decline after 1985 was assumed by the author to be at a rate of 10 percent per year. The 1977 ratio of royalties and production taxes to production was assumed to hold throughout the projection period.

TABLE B. 3. COOK INLET REVENUES

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	0i 1	0i1	Gas	Gas
	Royal ti es	Production Tax	Royalties	Production Tax
	<u>(Millions)</u>	(Millions)	<u>(Millions</u>)	(Millions)
197 8	33.1	16. 3	4.4	2. 3
1979	31.3	14.4	5.4	2. 8
1980	29.5	12. 7	6.9	3. 6
1981	27. 9	10. 9	8.3	4.4
1982	26. 4	9. 1	9.0	4.6
1983	24. 6	7. 3	9.1	4.7
1984	22. 9	5. 5	9.3	4.8
1985	21. 2	3. 7	9.4	4.9
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990	20. 1 19.1 18. 2 17. 3 16. 4	3.0 2.0 1.0 0	9.4 9.4 9.4 8.5 7.7	4.9 4.9 4.9 4.4 3.9
1991	0	0	6.9	3.5
1992	0	0	6.2	3.2
1993	0	0	5.6	2.9
1994	0	0	5.0	2.6
1995	0	0	4.5	2.3
1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	4. 1 3. 7 3. 3 3. 0 2. 6	2.1 1.9 1.7 1.5 1.4

⁷Same as <u>The Permanent Fund and the Alaskan Economy</u> (Goldsmith, 1977) study except oil royalties which are the same until 1985, then decline at 15 percent to be eliminated in 1996.

PRUDHOE BAY REVENUES

Prudhoe Bay will produce the major petroleum revenues for the state in the projection period. To arrive at revenue estimates, estimates of production and the wellhead value are needed. These estimates are shown in Table B.4 and Table B.5.

- Production of oil was assumed to equal estimates made in <u>Technical Report No. 6</u> (Alaska OCS Socioeconomic Studies Program, 1978).
- The wellhead value per barrel of oil was calculated based on discussion with BLM-OCS. These assumptions reflect those made with respect to N. Gulf oil.

1. West Coast market price is \$12/bb1. This reflects a \$1.50 discount from a \$13.50/bb1 Gulf Coast price. The discount **is** for transport costs. The **real** market price stays constant.

2. Vessel costs equal \$1.00/bb1 from Valdez to the West Coast and \$.75/bb1 processing costs. These costs remain constant in real terms.

3. The TAPS tariff is \$5.25 in 1978. The nominal tariff remains constant until **1990** when it is assumed the increased operating costs dominate the decreasing capital costs. After 1990, the tariff remains constant in real terms.

This assumption reflects only one of a number which could be made concerning **oil wellhead** values.

- Production of gas at Prudhoe is assumed to increase following the Department of Revenue assumed production until 1987 when the peak production assumed by Dames and Moore (Beaufort OCS Petroleum Scenarios, 1978) is reached. This production level is assumed to remain throughout the period.
- The wellhead value of gas was calculated assuming the compromise energy bill is-adopted so that Prudhoe gas could sell at a wellhead value of \$1.45 per MCF. This assumes the ability to roll this gas with other gas. It is assumed that producers pay \$.45 processing costs for a net of \$1.00 wellhead. A constant real price of gas is assumed.¹

¹Base case was selected prior to final adoption of Federal Energy Act of 1978 which set a ceiling for Alaskan gas wellhead price.

TABLE B. 4. PRUDHOE BAY OIL.

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	Production (Million Bbls)	Wellhead Price (\$/Bbl_)	Total Wellhead Value (Mill_ion\$)	Royalties (Million\$)	Production Tax <u>(Million\$)</u>
1978	237.3	5. 00	1186.5	148. 3	124.6
1979	474.5	5. 56	2638.2	329. 8	277.0
1980	584.0	6. 16	3597.4	449. 7	377.7
1981	595.7	6.79	4044.8	505.6	424. 7
1982	607.5	7.45	4525.9	565.7	475. 2
1983	619.6	8.15	5049.7	631.2	530. 2
1984	631.5	8.88	5607.7	701.0	588. 8
1985	641.5	9.66	6196.9	774.6	650. 7
1986	613.2	10. 48	6426.3	803. 3	674.8
1987	545.7	11. 35	6193.7	774. 2	650.3
1988	511.9	12. 25	6270.8	783. 9	658.4
1989	475.4	13. 22	6284.8	785. 6	659.9
1990	409.7	14. 24	5834.1	729. 3	561.5
1991	367.7	15. 02	5522.9	690. 4	531.6
1992	347.7	15. 85	5511.0	688. 9	530.4
1993	329.4	76. 72	5507.6	688. 5	530.1
1994	299.3	17. 64	5279.7	660. 0	508.2
1995	268.3	18.61	4993.1	624. 1	480.6
1996	246. 4	19. 63	4836.8	604.6	465.5 "
1997	228. 1	20. 71	4724.0	590.5	454.7
1998	211.7	21. 85	4625.6	578.2	445.2
1999	197. 5	23. 05	4552.4	569.1	438.2
2000	183. 8	24. 32	4470.0	558.8	430.2

¹See text for explanation.

~

. .

T'ABLE 6.5. PRUDHOE BAY GAS

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	Production (Billion C. Ft)	Wellhead Price (\$/MCF)	Wellhead Value (Million\$)	Royal ti es (Million\$)	Producti on Tax <u>(Million\$)</u>
1978	3. 9	1*00	3. 9	.5	. 4
1979	5. 1	1.06	5. 4	.7	. 6
1980	5. 9	1.11	6. 5	.8	. 7
1981	28	1.17	32.8	4.1	3.4
1982	43	1.24	53.3	6.7	5,6
1983	50	1.31	65.5	8.2	6.9
1984	780	1.38	1076.4	` 134.6	113.0
1985	830	1.45	1203.5	150.4	126.4
1986	870	1.53	1331.1	166. 4	139.8
1987	912	1.62	1477.4	184.7	155.1
1988	912	1.71	1559.5	194. 9	163.7
1989	912	1.80	1641.6	205. 2	172.4
1990	912	1.90	1732.8	216. 6	181.9
1 9 9 1 1992 1993 1994 1995	912 912 912 912 912 912	2. 01 2. 12 2. 23 2. 36 2. 48	1833.1 1933.4 2033.8 2152.3 2261.8	229. 1 241.7 254. 2 269. 0 282. 7	192.5 203.0 213.5 226.0 237.5
1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	912 912 912 912 912 912	2. 62 2. 77 2. 92 3. 08 3. 25	2389. 4 2526. 2 2663. 0 2809. 0 2964. 0	298.7 315.8 332.9 351.1 370.5	250.9 265.3 279.6 294.9 311.2

 \mathbf{l}_{See} text for explanation.

●

Revenues from these are determined based upon state laws. Royalties are 12.5 percent of the wellhead value of oil and gas. The production tax in each case is a fraction of the nonroyalty value. This fraction depends upon the productivity of the average well in the field. The production tax on oil was assumed to equal 12 percent through 1989 when production declines and the rate falls to 11 percent. The production tax on gas is assumed to equal 12 percent throughout the projection period.

MI SCELLANEOUS REVENUES

There are three important miscellaneous <u>petroleum</u> revenues: the property tax, the reserves taxes, and the corporate income tax. Table **B.6** shows the assumed value of these taxes.

- The property tax taxes all petroleum-related property except oil refining and gas processing property and leases at a rate of twenty mills. We used the property tax revenue series estimated by the Department of Revenue in <u>Alaska Oil and Gas</u> <u>Structure</u>. This assumed construction of the TAPS and ALCAN lines.
- The reserves tax involves the repayment by the state of taxes paid by petroleum producers in 1976 and 1977. Credits of up to 50 percent of the production taxes are given until the \$499 million collected is repaid. This tax affects only producers at Prudhoe.
- The Alaskan corporate income tax was changed in the last legislative session so that no state projection of this

Fiscal Year	Property Tax (Million\$)	Reserves Tax ² (Million\$)	ANCSA ³ (Mill_ion\$)	Corporate 4 Income Tax (Mill ion\$)
1978 1979 1980	173. 0 185. 0 193. 2	(83.3) (166.4) (204.8)	(23.8) (52.9) (72.1)	33. 5 127. 8 167. 3
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985	226.7 251.8 257.0 261.4 295.9	(44.8) 0 0 0 0	(81 .6) (91.6) (102.3) (68.8) 0	188.5 212.8 265.1 348.9 384.8
1986 1987 1988 1989 1989	281. 1 267. 0 253. 7 241. 0 229. 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	405.1 407.2 421.6 428.7 421.4
1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	217.5 206.6 196.3 186.5 177.2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	409.7 416.5 425.7 418.8 410.1
1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	168.3 159.9 151.9 144.3 137.1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	410.7 409.9 411.0 416.6 418.5

 $\mathbf{1}_{Based\ on\ estimates\ in\ Alaska\ Oil\ and\ Gas\ Tax\ Structure,}$ Department of Revenue.

 250 percent of **Prudhoe** production taxes.

 $^{3}2.0$ percent of wellhead value at Prudhoe until \$500 million is paid to the fund.

 $^{4}\mbox{Actual}$ fiscal year 78 value; afterwards estimated as explained in the text.

revenue stream is available. The corporate income tax on petroleum is 9.4 percent of taxable petroleum income. Taxable income is gross income minus capital and operating costs and Alaskan taxes. The figure is not net of federal taxes. The tax was based on estimates of net income determined by the following procedure.

1. ALCAN and TAPS income was based on an assumption that these lines would be guaranteed a 20 percent aftertax return on their, equity by the rate structure. It was assumed that 15 percent of the capital cost of both projects was equity. The TAPS project was assumed to cost \$10.5 billion and the Alaskan portion of the ALCAN line was assumed to cost \$4.3 billion. The equity portion was depreciated in a straightline return on the remaining equity adjusted for an assumed 48 percent Federal tax rate.

2. Corporate taxable income for Prudhoe Bay gas and oil production was derived by estimating the components of revenues and costs. Revenues are derived above. The cost assumptions were derived from <u>Technical Report</u> <u>No. 6</u> (Alaska OCS Socioeconomic Studies Program, 1978). The assumptions are shown below:

	Prudhoe Oil	Prudhoe Gas
Total Costs	\$9.45 billion	\$2.6 billion
Debt Proportion	25 %	25 %
Interest on Debt	9.0%	9.0%
Project Life	25 years	26 years
Total Throughput	10.5 billion bbls	26 bilÍion MCF

Capital costs per barrel were found with this information. Per barrel costs were used to account for the flow of investment over the life of the field. Capital costs equalled debt service plus depreciation costs. Operating costs were added for total costs. These costs were:

	Prudhoe Oil	Prudhoe Gas	
Capital Costs	\$1. 24/bb1	\$.14/MCF	
Operating Costs	\$1 .00/bbl	\$.08/MCF	

In addition, \$.12 per barrel and \$.02 per MCF were al lowed for overhead as per the legislation. Taxable income was found by subtracting these costs and allowable Alaska taxes from revenues.

3. The ratio of oil and gas taxable income to severance taxes at Prudhoe Bay was applied to Cook Inlet to estimate taxable income from-this production.

4. Estimated corporate income tax was found by applying the .094 rate to **this** income.

5. A final portion of the tax includes a redistribution of multistate corporate profits. This portion allocates worldwide corporate profits based on three factors: nonproduction property in Alaska as a percent of worldwide property, nonproduction payroll in Alaska as a percent of worldwide payroll, and Alaskan sales as a percent of worldwide sales. The average of these was taken as the 'proportion of worldwide profits which were taxed at 9.4 percent. Conversation with Alaska Department of Revenue led us to the conclusion that this component would be extremely small, so it was ignored in this study.

BEAUFORT OCS REVENUES

Tables B.7 through B.9 show the revenues associated with each of three Beaufort scenarios. Revenues are based on production estimates provided by the Alaska OCS Office of BLM. Wellhead values are determined by the wellhead value at Prudhoe minus transport costs from the Beaufort. These real 1978 transport costs were \$.60 per barrel for oil and \$.15 per MCF for gas. Other assumptions included:

- 1. Half of the production and offshore capital facilities would be located in state waters.
- 2. A conventional scheme of bonus bidding was used with \$10CJ million being bid.
- 3. Discoveries on state-owned properties will be subject to state royalties and production taxes at current rates.
- 4. Oil and gas production from the Beaufort is transported via TAPS and ALCAN rather than new pipelines or alternate modes.

TABLE B. 7.BEAUFORT MINIMUM SCENARIODI RECT REVENUE EFFECTS

(Mi 11 ions of Nominal Dollars)

	Bonus ¹	<u>Royalties</u> 2	Production Tax	Property⁴ Tax	Corporate ⁵ Income Tax
1979 1980	50 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	. 31 . 44 . 70 . 71 . 48	0 0 0 0
1 986 1987 1988 1989 1990	0 0 0 0	0 0 9. 10 24. 10	0 0 7.60 20.30	2.01 4.75 8.92 13.29 15.05	0 0 . 42 3. 77
1991 1 992 1 993 1994 1995	0 0 0 0	33.00 42.80 45.10 44.00 50.20	27.70 35.90 37.90 40.00 42.20	16. 77 17. 58 19. 04 20. 43 20. 92	5.66 7.84 9.27 9.10 9.06
1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	0 0 0 0	50. 60 50. 70 49. 40 46. 30 42. 80	42.50 42.60 41.50 38.90 35.90	20. 37 19.70 18. 89 17. 94 16. 82	9. 21 8. 72 8. 18 7. 14 5. 81

¹BLM-Alaska OCS Office.

 2 Royalties estimated at 12.5 percent of total wellhead value.

 $^3{\rm Production}$ tax equals 12 percent of the nonroyalty portion of total wellhead value.

⁴Tax at 20 mills of petroleum property value.

 5 Corporate income tax at 9.4 percent of taxable petroleum income.

TABLEB. 8.BEAUFORTMODERATESCENARI ODI RECTREVENUEEFFECTS

(Millions of Nominal Dollars)

	Bonus ¹	Royalties ²	Production Tax	Property⁴ Tax	Corporate⁵ <u>Income Tax</u>
1979 1980	50 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	. 31 . 44 . 70 . 71 . 82	0 0 0 0 0
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990	0 0 0 0	0 0 12.50 33.10	0 0 10.50 30.10	3. 03 6. 21 11. 01 16. 22 18. 49	0 0 0 . 43 7. 12
1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	0 0 0 0	51.00 54.70 57.80 61.00 63.20	42. 90 46. 00 48. 50 51. 20 53. 00	20. 69 22. 06 24. 18 26. 37 27. 60	10.41 11.13 11.96 12.74 11.29
1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	0 0 0 0	65.40 67.70 65.90 62.20 58.10	55.00 56.80 55.40 52.30 48.80	28. 03 28. 00 27. 81 27. 50 27. 08	12. 41 12. 77 11.79 9. 87 7. 63

¹BLM-Alaska OCS Office.

²Royalties estimated at 12.5 percent of total wellhead value.

 $^3{\rm Producti\,on}$ tax equals 12 percent of the nonroyalty portion of total wellhead value.

⁴Tax at 20 mills of petroleum property value.

⁵Corporate income tax at 9.4 percent of taxable petroleum income.

TABLE B. 9. BEAUFORT HIGH SCENARIO DI RECT REVENUE EFFECTS

(Millions of Nominal Dollars)

	Bonus ¹	<u>Royalties</u> 2	Production Tax	Property⁴ Tax	Corporate ⁵ Income Tax
1979 1980	50 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	. 31 . 44 . 70 . 71 . 82	0 0 0 0
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990	" O 0 0 0 0	0 0 37.50 67.10	0 0 31.40 56.40	3. 78 9.21 1 6.7 1 24. 88 28. 60	0 0 4.51 15.54
1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	0 0 0 0 0	85. 10 90. 70 95. 60 100. 80 106. 40	71. 40 76. 20 80. 30 84. 70 89. 30	32. 35 34. 72 38. 43 42. 18 44. 34	19.48 20.43 21.95 23.09 21.97
1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	0 0 0 0	112.20 115.90 112.70 101.50 91.70	94. 30 97. 30 94. 60 85. 20 77. 00	45. 13 45. 23 45. 21 45. 04 44. 73	23. 18 23. 90 20. 42 17. 62 13. 19

1_{BLM-Alaska OCS Office.}

⁷ Royalties estimated at 12.5 percent of total wellhead value.

⁷Production tax equals 12 percent of the nonroyalty portion of total wellhead value.

⁴Tax at 20 mills of petroleum property value.

 $^5\mbox{Corporate}$ income tax at 9.4 percent of taxable petroleum income.

State Expenditure Assumptions

The fourth set of assumptions underly ng the base case concerns state government spending. Unlike the prevous assumptions that dealt with the magnitude and change of certain exogenous variables, this one posits the behavioral relationships within a sector. In other words, state expenditures are not exogenously given but are determined within the model. The rule determining spending behavior is given exogenously.

Under normal circumstances, behavioral relationships used in an econometric model of the type being used for these projections are derived from historical relationships. Parameters are usually estimated using various regression techniques, and these estimating equations serve to describe a sector's behavior. This traditional modeling approach has proved nonoperational with respect to the state government's spending behavior. The reasons for this are historical and institutional. As a result of oil and gas lease sales and the construction of the trans-Alaska pipeline, state government has received large increments of revenue. In the case of the lease sales, the revenues were large lump sum payments of a magnitude the state is unlikely to receive again. Hence, the state's spending behavior occasioned by these payments is unlikely to be repeated.

In the pipeline case, the construction **costs** of more than ten billion dollars created an unprecedented four-year boom. It also induced a rapid growth of population. This population pressure created extremely high demands for state services and caused a rapid growth in state expenditures. The probability of a construction project of the magnitude of the pipeline's

occurring in the future is extremely remote. Consequently, a **similar** surge in service demands causing another rapid growth in state expenditures is unlikely to occur.

Future state revenues are likely to be dominated by revenues from Prudhoe Bay oil and gas production. These revenues are of such a magnitude that even large fluctuations in other state economic activities will have only modest impacts on total state revenues.

The Permanent Fund was established in 1976 as the result of a constitutional amendment. The law requires that at least 25 percent of all mineral (including oil and gas) lease rentals, royalties, bonuses, and federal mineral revenue sharing payments received by the state be deposited into the fis fund. The balance of these revenues, along with other state revenues, accrue to the General Fund from which state operating expenditure monies are taken. At this time, the rules for spending Permanent Fund revenues have not been developed.

The 25 percent revenue allocations to the Permanent Fund along with the state spending rules seriously limit the usefulness of past behavioral relationships. Future spending behavior for the state will obviously be a matter of policy choice, and past experience can provide only rough guidelines for analyzing or predicting these policies.

In his paper "Behavioral Aspects of the State of Alaska's Operating Budget FY 1970-1977," Michael Scott found two major elements responsible for the

growth in state operating expenditures. First, real per capita state expenditures were positively related to changes in real per capita income. Secondly, expenditures were positively related to available revenues. The former can be treated as a demand effect; the latter, a supply effect.

Capita" **1** expenditures, on the other hand, exhibited a more complex behavior. They showed a strong positive relationship to fund growth, but new expenditure levels were not maintained in subsequent periods.

As a result of Scott's research, the MAP model's demand component for both the operating and capital spending equations relates changes in the level of current state expenditures to lagged changes in real income, population, and the price level. Population and the price level have unitary weights, while the real per capita income component has a weight "of \bullet 5. Because of this weighting procedure, the state expenditure equation can be interpreted as stating that real per capita state expenditures grow at half the rate of real per capita income.

The supply component of the operating expenditure equation measures the responsiveness of state expenditures to changes in the General Fund balance. The supply influence is characterized by an extremely low elasticity of .02 multiplied by a weighted rate of change in the General Fund balance. A similar specification was developed for state capital expenditures except that the supply response was more heavily weighted. The capital expenditure equation in concert with the operating expenditure specification constitutes the expenditure rule used in analyzing the state government response to economic change over time.

Impact Analysis

The general methodology for conducting the impact analysis of OCS activities in the Lower Cook Inlet is a comparison of the projected key growth indicators (population, income, employment, and state fiscal position) with and without the assumption of OCS development. This comparison of projection against projection provides the means for assessing the OCS impacts.

Base case projections (i.e., those which assume no Lower Cook Inlet OCS development) are obtained by implementing the ISER econometric models using a set of specified base case assumptions. These assumptions reflect the levels and durations of economic activities expected to occur in the state and region without the proposed OCS-related development. In the case of the OCS projections, however, the assumptions include the projected direct employment and production expected to be associated with the particular development scenario. By comparing the projected base case values with the projected OCS development scenario values, we will derive a description of the OCS impacts.

Two adjustments will be made for the OCS projections. The first change concerns the state expenditure rule. The expenditure rule described earlier will not be used for the impact analysis. The rule will be "neutralized" in order to more clearly isolate impacts that can be directly associated with OCS activity. To achieve this neutralization, the real per capita levels of state expenditure projected in the non-OCS base case will be used in the impact projections. This essentially

removes the interactive effect between levels of service provided by the state government as measured by real per capita expenditures and OCS activity. State expenditures in the impact case will differ from the base case as a result of changes in population and the price level. This change allows a pure assessment of the effect of OCS development on the state's fiscal position.

The second adjustment to the OCS-related input assumptions concerns the direct employment associated with OCS development. These employment projections will remodified to reflect the residencies of the OCS work force. Without this modification, all of the impacts would occur in the state and the region. Since many employees will be imported, it is necessary to modify the employment numbers to reflect the portion of the employment impacts actually expected to affect the state and region. This modification is described in more detail in the following paragraphs.

The major determinant of the magnitude and duration of employment and income impacts associated with any particular OCS scenario is the assumed size of discovered recoverable hydrocarbon reserves. Reserve size determines the number of drilling rigs used during field development and the eventual number of production platforms and wells. In addition, large fields are likely to require multiple onshore bases and pipeline terminals. In other words, the direct employment (both offshore and onshore) required for development and production is a direct function of reserve size.

The geological, technical, and employment data used to generate impacts for this study will be taken directly from Dames and Moore. Even though these data set limits on the magnitude and duration of the direct employment and income impacts associated with the development scenario, there are a number of factors that influence the transmission of these direct activities to the Alaskan economy.

The direct employment itself is of two types, field and headquarters. The **field** employment encompasses the activities, onshore and offshore, occurring at the location of the development. Headquarters employment includes engineering support and general administration. Headquarters employment will be based on Dames and Moore scenarios as in the Northern Gulf Study.

There are three major factors affecting the relationship between direct employment impacts and indirect and induced employment impacts. These are (1) the location of the primary development activities, (2) the wage and salary levels of workers engaged in direct activities, and (3) the place of residency of the direct work force.

Developments that occur in remote, relatively inaccessible regions are likely to be highly self-sufficient. Workers in these enclaves have little interaction with the state economy except during their off-work rotation periods. As a result, they may spend little of their income within the state. In many cases, the enclave may be so isolated that supplies are received directly from the Lower 48, further reducing the possibility of generating indirect and induced activity within the state.

In summary, other things being equal. the closer an activity is to a welldeveloped, low-cost transportation network (i.e., the less remote it is), the greater is the likelihood that its direct employment will cause indirect and induced employment impacts.

The wage and salary levels of the primary work force are positively related to the indirect impacts associated with the development. This effect is partially mitigated because the higher paying jobs are highly specialized and often performed by crews that can be characterized as "nomadic" in that they travel worldwide, performing a given task. This nomadic character (particularly during exploration and development) clearly reduces their spending within the state.

Consequently, the distinction between where income is earned and where it is spent is an important one. The residency of the direct work force will have a profound effect on the magnitude and duration of the secondary impacts generated by a specific development scenario. Therefore, a major step in estimating the impact of OCS development is the estimation of the share of direct employment that will go to Alaskan residents (SEAR).

Table B. 10 summarizes SEAR estimates by task. Further discussion is given in Appendix C of the Northern Gulf of Alaska Impact Analysis (ISER, 1979). These estimates were used to adjust the basic employment estimates developed by Dames and Moore (1979). For purposes of this study, a resident is any worker who resides in the state during off-duty rotation. This SEARadjusted direct field employment is used in the development scenario as an input into the MAP model for impact estimating purposes.

____TABLE B.10. ESTIMATED **SHARE OF ALASKA** _____RESIDENT EMPLOYMENT BY OCS TASK '

Task	Phase		Time Period		
		1979-1984	1985-1989	1990-2000	
Onshore					
 Service Base Helicopter Service explo 	all phases	1.00	1.00	1.00	
	ration & development	.50	.53	.58	
3. Service Base Construction	production	1.00	1.00	1.00	
	development	.50	.53	.58	
4. Pipe Coating5. Onshore Pipeline Construction6. Oil Terminal Construction	devel opment	. 20	. 21	. 23	
	devel opment	. 20	. 21	. 23	
	devel opment	. 50	. 53	. 58	
 7. LNG Plant Construction 8. Oil Terminal Operations 9. LNG Plant Operations 	devel opment	. 50	. 53	. 58	
	producti on	1. 00	1. 00	1. 00	
	producti on	1. 00	1. 00	1. 00	
Offshore					
 Surveys Rigs Platforms 	exploration	. 20	. 21	. 23	
	exploration	. 20	. 21	. 23	
	development	. 10	. 30	. 33	
	production	1. 00	1. 00	1. 00	
 Platform Installation Offshore Pipeline Construction Tugboats 	devel opment	. 10	. 105	. 116	
	devel opment	. 10	. 105	. 116	
	expl orati on	. 40	. 42	. 46	
	devel opment	. 80	. 88	. 97	
	producti on	. 80	. 88	. 97	

The SEAR coefficients were developed by considering both task requirements and labor market factors within the state. Ideally, these coefficients would be empirically determined, but resources and time constraints prevented this. As a result, expert opinion and information from other studies were used to arrive at the values used here. It was assumed that longer off-duty rotation periods reduced the likelihood of aworker's becoming an Alaskan resident since, in these cases, travel time outside of the state decreases relative to the total time off. Specialized jobs (of both long and short duration) were assumed to be filled primarily by imported labor.

Table B." O further indicates that these coefficients change over time and that the economy internalizes additional direct labor force impacts. This **internal** zation results from two separate but interdependent influences. First, the long-run pyramiding of separate OCS sales and developments transforms many of the transitory tasks into long-run employment opportunities and encourages the growth in the state's inventory of labor skills Secondly, a certain percentage of the OCS workers who initially migrate **to** Alaska on a temporary basis are attracted to the state's amenities and become residents. Both of these factors imply that the SEAR coefficients increase over time as well as have higher initial values for later **OCS** developments than for ear" ier ones. This effect is captured by an **assump**tion that calls for a one percent annual average growth rate in SEAR coefficients having an in **tial** value of less than one.
This dynamic or evolutionary aspect of the SEAR means that the relationship between direct employment and indirect/induced employment changes over time as the wage and salary "leakage" declines, Because of this decline in the wage and salary "leakage," the employment (and income) multipliers associated with the direct OCS employment increase over the projection period.

One other aspect related to the application of SEAR coefficients influences the magnitude and duration of the indirect and induced impacts. SEARadjusted direct employment reaches peaks and troughs at different times than unadjusted direct employment and is of a lesser amplitude. This imparts a greater degree of stability to the growth process than would otherwise be the case.





APPENDIX C

Assessment of Recent Changes in the _____MAP Econometric Model _____

This appendix will discuss the reasons for major differences between the projections for Lower Cook base case and the Western Gulf scenario projections (Huskey and Nebesky, 1979). The same set of events are assumed to occur in these scenarios. Table C.1 illustrates the extent of the projection differences. By 2000, population is 25 percent lower in the Lower Cook moderate case, employment is 15 percent lower, and personal income is 29 percent lower. These differences are a result of two factors. First, the scenarios are slightly different in each case. Secondly, major structural adjustments were made to the MAP model after the Western Gulf projections were completed. The changes to the MAP model are responsible for the majority of the difference in the projections.

TABLE CI. ALTERNATE SCENARIOS

(Lower Cook Mean Base Case as a Percent Of the Western Gulf Mean Senario)

	Popul ati on	Employment	Personal Income
1980	. 93	1.01	.85
1990	. 83	. 97	. 75
2000	. 75	. 85	. 71

Alternate Exogenous Assumptions

The Western Gulf scenarios (W.G.) and the Lower Cook base cases (L.C.) differ only in their assumptions about the level of Northern Gulf OCS activity. The L.C. projections assume a much lower level of OCS activity in the Northern Gulf. In the L.C., Northern Gulf employment assumptions are from the E.I.S., while the assumptions in the W.G. were those used in the studies program (Dames and Moore, 1978). The peak Northern Gulf mean scenario employment assumed in L.C. is 450, which is 22 percent of the peak Northern Gulf employment (2,061) assumed in the W.G. The long-run operations employment in the L.C. is 27 percent of the long-run employment in the W.G.

These scenario **differences** account for only a small portion of the differences in the Western Gulf and Lower Cook projections, An estimate of the effect of the scenario change can be made by finding the ratio of total population and employment change to the change in the direct OCS employment and multiplying that times the difference in direct OCS employment in each scenario. Using the year 2000, the difference in the scenarios accounts for approximately 3,600 of the employment difference and 9,500 of the population difference. The scenario change explains approximately 5.0 percent of the population difference **an**d 6.3 percent of the employment difference. The proportion may be slightly larger because of the dynamic properties of the model, such as the effect of population growth on state expenditures.

Model Changes_

Modeling is a process which does not produce a single static model. Models are subject to evaluation and revision as new information and data become available. The ultimate aim in modeling is to reach a stage at which the introduction of new information will result in only marginal changes. This section will discuss the reasons for and content of major structural adjustments which have been introduced since January 1, 1979. The adjustments were made to the industry-specific wage rate and output equations and the statewide relative price index.

Changes in the model were the direct result of the OCS work of the Institute of Social and Economic Research (ISER). The primary objective of these changes centered on the model's ability to reflect the impact of small exogenous changes. The MAP model was originally designed to deal with alternate scenarios which had large differences in the exogenous assumptions (Kresge, et al, 1978). A model designed to examine large alternative scenarios must emphasize the structural changes which result from growth. Such a model is not the best type to use in analyzing the impact of small changes such as the introduction of an OCS scenario. One of the major reasons for changing the model was to better estimate the effects of these small exogenous changes.

A second reason for making the model changes was that the growth of the economy projected by the model was perceived as too large. This involved both the model's projected response to exogenous change and the long-run

growth projected by the model. Major criticism of MAP.model projections has involved the large response to exogenous change. Criticism of the Alpetco study (Goldsmith and Huskey, 1978) centered on the large multipliers. Work on the Beaufort E.I.S. convinced us that the relation between total employment change and exogenous change was too large. OCS analysis provided the opportunity to examine the model projections with little exogenous sector growth. In such a scenario, the model produced growth which, we felt, was too large.

Finally, model changes were made to attempt to better project recent economic activity. The recent economic activity connected with the construction of the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System (TAPS) and the downturn after its completion represents a pattern which will be repeated, although not at this magnitude, throughout Alaska's economic future. The major problem with modeling this period is that the buildup and downturn are not symmetrical. The downturn does not occur as rapidly as the falloff in direct activity. Specifically, there are factors which sustain economic activ ty during a bust or period of decline. These include:

- personal income reserves which accumulate during a boom and contribute to higher post-boom spending;
- (2) the capital stock effect which resists short-run change and, instead, adds stability to cyclical variation in the economy;
- (3) the attempts of business organizations to continue operations under econom c circumstances which encourage exit from the industry; and

(4) the elimination of bottlenecks for factor supplies so thatplanned expansion can occur.

Because this effect will be important for any impact with direct effects that peak, the model should be able to replicate this.

The model changes occurred in two stages. Changes to reduce the impacts were made for completion of the Northern and Western Gulf studies. These changes were cosmetic, attempting to deal with specific problems in time to produce these reports. The second stage involved following these changes with major model revisions. The next section describes these changes.

Changes in the Wage Rate Equations

In the earlier (pre-1979) version of the MAP model, WR equations in those sectors where labor market conditions are considered to be sensitive to the level of petroleum development (i.e., services, transportationcommunications-public utilities, construction, and mining) were appended with a "boom term."

These wage rate equations have the general form:

$$WR_{i} = e^{a} \cdot \left(\frac{WEUS}{CPI}\right)^{b} \cdot RPI^{c} \cdot \left(\frac{EMP9 + ECONX}{"boom term"}\right)^{d}$$
(1)

where WR_i = wage rate in industry i

WEUS/CPI = inflation-adjusted average weekly U.S. compensation

RPI ⁼ Alaska Relative Price Index

The boom term was designed to transmit the effects of tightness (i.e., binding supply constraints) in the labor markets to other sectors of the economy. (The coefficient d is positive.)

Relatively high employment, normally maintained 'in the mining sector, exerted continual upward pressure on wage rates. As a result, personal income and employment grew continually, amplifying economic growth and impacting net migration and population. In cases where the mining sector did not grow, however, the boom effect reman ned constant, rather than gradually dissipating. To correct this problem, EMP9 was restricted to **1976** levels throughout the projection. This permitted ECONX to transmit the "boom" effect.

Changes in the RPI Equation

Specification adjustments in the pre-1979 RPI equation involved the coordination of boom and scale effects of growth. Given the general representation,

$$\frac{RPI}{CPI} = RPI \quad (constant, EM991, EM991(\%\Delta))$$
(2)

where CPI = U.S. consumer price index

EM991 ^{*} total nonenclave employment,

the dependent variable in the expression is equal to the ratio of RPI and CPI. The annual percentage change in employment, EM991(%), is the boom

component in (2). We assume that rapid employment growth would reflect tight local supply markets, putting upward pressure on prices. The scale term (EM991) is a four-year moving average of employment. Under conditions of stable economic growth, the percent difference between USCPI and RPI is assumed to decrease over time. The boom effect, therefore, increases the RPI-USCPI ratio in the short run (i.e., two-to-three years), while the effect of scale economies associated with growth tends to reduce this ratio over time.

Simulation experiments suggested that under conditions of small exogenous employment increases, the scale effect would dominate the effects of labor market tightness so that prices would fall below reasonable levels. In the context of relatively large employment increases, the boom effect would subsume the effects of scale economies and result in excessive price increases.

A wide range of RPI specification alternatives were examined using regression analysis and additional simulation experiments. The specification selected for the Northern and Western Gulf lease sale impact analysis postulates a simple linear relationship between the rate of change in RPI and the rate of change in CPI and in employment. That is,

$$\mathsf{RPI}(\%\Delta) = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathsf{CPI}(\%\Delta) + \mathsf{c} \cdot \mathsf{EM991}(\%\Delta) \tag{3}$$

Scale effects are captured in the historical relation between the growth in CPI and in RPI. EM991(% Δ) transmits the effects of boom and scale on RPI. To see this, note that EM991(% Δ) = EM991 (i) _ EM991(i-1)/EM991(i-1) (where

i = a given period). The denominator controls for scale, while the numerator controls for boom. As the economy grows, EM991(i-1) in the denominator increases, so that the effect on RPI of a given change in employment (as a component of EM991) is reduced over time. Thus, the boom effect becomes less important as the economy grows.

Moderating the boom term in the **RPI** equation and restricting EMP9 in the **WR** equations reduced the impacts generated by the MAP model. These changes cover the scope of model editing that occurred between the Beaufort and the Northern and Western Gulf impact analyses. Further refinements have been introduced as a result of experimentation associated with documentation of the MAP model.

Changes in the Wage Rate Equations: Round 2

Nominal sector-specific wage rates have been **replaced** by real wage rates in the dependent variable. This is equivalent to imposing a **unitary** elasticity on the **RPI** coefficient in the original version of the WR equations. In the previous WR specification, the RPI coefficients fell within a range of 1 to **1.5.** Thus, **RPI's** effect **on WRs** has been neutralized (and reduced). Removal of the **RPI** term from the right-hand side may **also** reduce the presence of **significant** correlation between the explanatory variables (i.e., **multicollinearity**) and, therefore, increase the precision **of** coefficient estimates,

EMP9, which was previously (and somewhat arbitrarily) held constant, has been removed from the boom term. Additionally, the boom component no longer depends solely on the level of construction employment (ECONX) and is, instead,

a function of the size of ECONX relative to the remainder of Alaskan employment (EM991). Thus, the effect of an exogenous employment injection via ECONX is transmitted relative to the size of the nonenclave economy. The boom component is also a distributed lag having a two-period length. Sectorspecific WRs are now capable of diminishing growth in periods of relative economic decline.

Changes in the <u>RPI Equation: Round 2</u>

The new version of the RPI equation is a composite of separable boom (or cyclical) and scale components.

$$RPI = f(SCALE) + f(CYCLE).$$
(4)

Explicit separation of these relationships in the construction of the equation follows from the assumption of structural change in the economy. The RPI format is as follows: First, isolate information which does <u>not</u> account for scale effects in a vector of residuals (RESID), obtained by regressing the ratio of RPI to CPI on an indicator of economic scale. (See equation (5).)

$$\frac{\text{RPI}}{\text{CPI}} = f(\text{SCALE}) + \text{RESID}$$
(5)

The scale term is assumed to be a simple two-period moving average of nonenclave employment (EM991). The time series for this regression ends in 1974, when pipeline construction begins. Next, regress the residual **vector**¹ RESID on an indicator that is capable of transmitting the effects **of** rapid growth in the Alaskan economy. (See **equa- (See equa-**) tion (6).)

RESID = f(CYCLE)(6)

We have selected the annual rate of EM991 growth, squared, as the boom indicator (CYCLE). The effect is symmetrical: a decline in EM991 growth will produce a decline in RESID, the boom component of RPI, and vice versa.

Finally, we merge the results of this "2-stage" procedure into a single expression for RPI. (See equation (4).)

Over the projection period, the new **RPI** equation appears to perform with less volatility than its predecessor. The scale effect will generally dominate the boom effect, with the exception of a large or an abrupt fluctuation in employment.

By definition, residuals equal the difference between actual and f tted values. Even though the "scale" regression (equation (4)) was performed on data limited to 1973, fitted values were calculated to 1977 using actual data for right-hand-side variables in (4). Thus, the residual vector (i.e., dependent variable in the "boom" regression, equation (5)) includes "projected" residuals, which contain information regarding the pipeline boom;

Changes in the Output Demand Equations

The original specification for industry output is

$$XX_{i} = a + b \cdot DP13R + c \cdot DPIXR$$
(7)

where XX_i = output in industry i

DP13R = real disposable personal nonpipeline income (nonenclave) DP1XR⁼ real disposable personal pipeline income (enclave)

In the boom term of the original WR equations (see expression (1)), exogenous mining employment (EMP9) is a surrogate for post-boom income and capital stock effects. (Note that real disposable personal income, which determines output, is a function of wages and salaries which, in turn, depend on wage rates. Thus, wage rates affect output.)

Recall that EMP9 has been removed from the revised WR equations. Therefore, boom-bust cycles are amplified in the WR equations and, consequently, in disposable personal income. As a proxy for post-boom income and capital effects, nonenclave real disposable personal income, lagged one period (DPI3R(-1)), has been appended to output equations in all sectors. This tends to extend and smooth the "post-boom decline.

<u>Relative</u> Effect of Model Changes

The majority of the difference between the Western Gulf scenarios and the Lower Cook base cases is the result of the wage rate changes. The effect of the changes in the wage rate equations was to reduce wage rates. This

reduced wages and salaries and **incomes**. The reduced incomes had two effects. First, it reduced the demand for goods and services from a given **level** of employment. This reduced the relative growth of the support sector and the emp"loyment response to any exogenous change. The second effect was to reduce net migration, since it reduced Alaska incomes relative to the United States. The reduced employment growth a los reduced net migration. The change in the output and RPI equations **primarily** affected the pattern of growth.

Summary of Model Changes

Changes in the character of impacts associated with the original and new version of the MAP model are examined in connect"ion with the Beaufort moderate scenario.

The ratio of new version to original version Beaufort moderate impacts are listed for selected aggregate indicators in Table C.2.

TABLE C. 2. IMPACT COMPARISON, THE RATIO OF NEW TO OLD VERSION IMPACTS IN THE BEAUFORT MODERATE SCENARIO

	Popul ati on	Employment	Personal Income
1980	1.06	1.06	1.31
1990	. 87	. 90	. 60
2000	. 42	. 39	. 43

The impact ratios in Table C.2. show that the new model version impacts taper off over the projection period relative **to** those of the original model. New version impacts experience increasing moderation as the projection range advances.

With the exception of minor oscillations, personal income impacts in the new version stabilize at approximately \$275 million between 1994 and 2000, when direct exogenous employment injections level off. On the other hand, impacts in the original version continue to grow and reach a level of about \$663 million in 2000. Over this forecast interval, the average annual rates of personal income impact growth for the new and original models are 0.6 and 7.3 percent, respectively.

These impact level and growth rate differentials follow from the removal of the cumulative effect on aggregate demand in the WR equations. That is, moderation of average WR growth (particularly during periods of constant direct employment growth) reduces the level of WRs in any given period and, therefore, the start value for simulation in the next period. WRS are an important determinant of income and population growth in the MAP model. In the new version, average WR growth is comparable to the national average of about 2 percent per year.

The decline in aggregate demand as a result of WR moderation is felt most in the **endogenous** support sector of the economy. Support sector emp"loyment is reduced by about 92 percent between original and new model versions. The Anchorage region is most sensitive to the redistribution away from support sector activity.



APPENDIX D

Selected Model Output-

Variable Definitions

POPPopulation (10³ persons)MIGNETNet migration (10³ persons)NINCTOTNatural increase (103 persons)

EM99	Total employment (10 ³ persons)
EMSPP	Proportion of employment in the support sector
EMG9P	Proportion of employment in the government sector
EMNSP	Proportion of employment in the basic sector
EMA9	Employment in agriculture-forestry-fisheries (103 persons)
EMGF	Employment in federal government (10 ³ persons)
EMP9	Employment in mining (103 persons)
EMT9	Employment in transportation (10 ³ persons)
EMS9	Employment in services (10 ³ persons)
EMPU	Employment in utilities (103 persons)
EMM9	Employment in manufacturing (10 ³ persons)
EMFI	Employment in finance-insurance-real estate (10 ³ persons)
EMD9	Employment in trade (103 persons)
EMCN	Employment in construction (103 persons)
EMCN1	Employment in local construction (10 ³ persons)
EMGA	Employment in state and local government (10 ³ persons)
EMOT	Other employment (10 ³ persons)
PI	Personal income (millions of nominal dollars)
PIRPC	Real per capita personal income
RPI	Relative price index (\$1957 US = 100)
E99S	Total state expenditures (millions of nominal dollars)
EXOPS	Total state operating expenditures (millions of nominal dollars)
EXCAP	Total state capital expenditures (millions of nominal dollars)
E99SRPC	Real per capita state expenditures
REVGF	Total general fund revenue (millions of nominal dollars)
RP9S	Total petroleum revenues (millions of nominal dollars)
RT98	Total nonpetroleum tax revenues (millions of nominal dollars)
RENS	Total endogenous revenues (millions of nominal dollars)

Variable Definitions (continued)

GFBAL PFBAL RI NS	General fund balance (millions of nominal dollars) Permanent fund balance (millions of nominal dollars) Fund balance interest (millions of nominal dollars)
FUND FUND77	Total fund balance (millions of nominal dollars) Real fund balance (millions of real 1977 dollars)
SIMP	General -Fund revenue minus general fund expenditure (roil lions of nominal dollars)
EXBITES VIABL2	State total expenditure as a percentage of personal income Nonpetroleum revenues as a percentage of general fund expenditures
RENSRAT	Endogenous revenues as a percentage of personal income

223

MODERATE BASE CASE

NWELK 2

	POP	MIGNET	NINCTOT	EN99	EASP.EM	eng9.ex b	ENNS.EM	ena9
1978	434.436	-5.	7.394	197.185	0.361	0,417	0.222	1.2
1979	4 03.256	-13.289	7.089	193.51	0.345	0.424	9.231	1.2
1980	407.511	-2.203	6,431	196.419		6.412	6.243	1.2
1981	419 .56,2	5.783		204.746	0. 354	0394	0.251	1.3
19821	446.274	14.314		218.508		0.368	0.263	1.3
1983	457.932	19.797	6.877	227.878).382	9.363	0.255	1.4
1984	462.438	-2.669	7.186	227, 33	0.386	0.376	0.238	1 🖲 4
1985	465.28	-4.118	6.948	227.557	0.384	0.376	0.24	1.4
19.86	469.501	+2,482		229.76	V.385	0.37	0.245	1.5
1987	477.136	1.108		234.561	0.39	0.363	0.246	1.5
1988	487.542		6.458	241.309		0.356	0.248	1.6
1989	498,194	4.048		248.002		0.35	0.247	1.6
1990	507.57	2.663	6.711	253.644		0.346	0.245	1.7
1991	514.843			257.783		0,342	0.242	1.7
1992	521.645	0.044		261.698			0.242	1.8
1993	529.306	0.931	6.719	266.319		0.33	0.242	1.8
1994	537.641	1.592		271, 437			0.242	1.8
1995	546.636	2.207		276.995		0.318	0.242	1.9
1996	557.134	3.637		283.627		0.311	2.243	2.
1997	567.907	3.785		290.334			0.243	2.1
1999 1999	579.424	4.396		297.495		0, 298	0.243	2.1
2000	591.673			305.107			0.243	2.2
2000	604.521	5.4	7.442	313.03	0.472	0.285	0.244	2.2
	EMGF	EMP9	ENT9	EK S 9	EMPU	ENOT	EMM9	EMPI
1978	42.921	4.351	11.132	23.812	1.304		11.73	6.374
1979	112.9.2,1	4.563	10.372	22.09	1.213		12.297	5.836
1980	42.921	5,104	1(3.245	22.337	7 1. 19 8	14.978	12.822	5.883
1981	42.521	5.067	10.734	24,198	1,246	15,297	13.322	6.362
1982	42.921	4.759		27.392		15.81	13.811	7.165
1983	42.921	4.407		29.699	1.415	16.15	14.299	7.924
1984	42.921			29.672			14.\$354	8.088
1985	42.921	4.403		29+52	1.461		15.356	8.05%
1986	42.921	4.43	12.569	29.845			15.872	8.135
1987	42.921	4.57	12.874	31.007	• •		16.4	8.449
1988	42,021	4.702		32,613	1.526		16.945	8.883
1989	42.921		13.672	34.32	1 • 574	16.856	17.506	9.351
1990	42.921	5.225		35.042			18.084	9.778
1991	42.921	4.75	14.401	37.239		"17. 188		10.163
1992 1993	42.921	4.678		38.48	1.687		19.296	10.505 10.1392
1993	42,921 42,'321	4.54 4.491	14.91	39.905 41.455			19.932 21.59	11. 116
1995	42.321		101200	43.11			21.269	11.773
1995	42.921			45.006		18.037	21.209	12,284
1997	42.921	u • + / /		46.96			22.696	12.827
1998	42.921	4.452		40.901			22.098	13.406
1997	42.921	4.44	17,244	51,29			2/1 2 10	14.041
2:01	42.921		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	53.			.019	14.661

170	J . 4	44074	1.3+ 0.04			V F LOUEF	~ ~ / ~ ~ ~ ~		
191	81	26.719	16.45	13.207	37.81	5288 43	3829.77	329.119	1175.07
197	82	29.747	21.809	15.27	37 497	7 6472.98	4252.16	345.764	1313.18
198		.31 .968	21.831	16.374	39.869		4358.4	361.016	1524.39
191		32.114	17.273	16.356	42.565	7201.34	4113.7	376.55	1680.46
198									
		31.983	17.236	16. 949	42.666		4257-24	338.992	1762.07
191		32.626	18.309	17.76		1 8198.2	4157.61	419.987	1887.71
191		.33 .876	18.907	18.229	42.317		4281.78	441.337	2061.12
198		35. 428	19.661	16.887	42.989	9967.37	4414.55	463.11	2265.73
1.97	89	36.981	19.981	19.427	43.939	10946.6	4525.67	485.51	2496.39
199	90	38.375	20.035	19.833	44.782	11919.9	4612.9	509.096	2735.36
1.99	91	39.554	20.075	19.872	45.179	12913.5	4694.7	53s.273	2968.45
19		40.734	20.181	20.055		14025.1	479.2.48	561,172	3199.62
199		42.054	27.642	2:.437		15296.	490.2.52	589.454	344735
19		43.49	21.043	20.887		16700.1	5018.32	618.966	3717.83
19		45.024	21.483	21.354		18233.2	5132.84	649.84	4006.42
19		46.74	22.397	22.124		20013.3	5265.89	682.157	4315.
19		48,543	22,965	22.912		21895.5	5385.92	715.845	6672.69
199		5[' •40 3				23989.3	5510.9	751.277	5047.06
			23.813	23.748					
19		52.391	24.712	24.656		26328,9	5643.94	788.445	5457.78
200	00	54.467	25.662	25.604	46.18	28856.8	5776.35	827.451	5909.03
								D D V C	
		EXCAP	E99S E	99 SK P C	REVGF	RP9S	RT98	RENS	GFBAL
4.0.	7.0	28	1270. 12	4494 45	4/ 00 44	471 • 4		334.168	651.
19 19				1121.45	1(,92.41		261.121	281 .455	843.106
		290.	1371.84	1145.56	1431.12	860.7	206.211		
198		475.789	1626.58 1756.73	?274.15	1576.85	?96.3	189.325	268.669	940.267
191		5"3 .672		1272.2	1895.12	1278.42	196.071	284.238	1226.71
198		581,905	1986.13	1304.68	2190.59	1475.75	244. 558	344.101	1613.33
191		676.882	2304.7	1394.08	2484.41	164,2.71	310.021	4.25.695	20 21. 59
198	84	743.873	2543.04	1452.7	3060.7	2121.72	349.944	480.033	2760.79
19	95	862.399	2759.6	1486.51	3447.26	2422.26	356.959	4'34.936	3720.27
198	56	996.58	30:36.35	1539.85	3578.45	243'.).97	383.16	532.794	4609.6
19	87	1055.92	3301.34	1567.75	3767.27	2480.15	424.604	588.415	5472.63
19	88	1134.02	3613.38	1600.36	3963.07	2520.75	479.216	b60.672	6270.41
198		1194.85	3936.02	1627.27	4183.46	2575.2	545.9'38	747.801	7005.29
19		1250.7	4262.87	1649.71	4243.04	2471.56	613.01	835.659	7522.04
19		1245.54	4524.18	1644.76	4344.86	24 18 . 85	686.431	933.351	7889.25
19			4803.1	1640.78	4513.11	2443.2'3	759.9(39	1030.51	8159.79
19		1261.87			\$696.36	2443.2 3	846.548	1144.07	8327.78
		1305.69	5119.25	1640.78					
199 191		1356.59	5465.71	1642.43	4824.63	2440.84	942.457	127?.15	8319.53
		1400.07	5826.12	164(J. 11	4939.62	2367.32	1055.39	1417.12	8104.95
191	-	1504.	6271.57	1650.18	5101.05	2379.69	1179.15	1578.88	7678.63
?9		1619.42	6768.68	1664.97	5287.43	2381.19	1328.29	1771.21	7021.3
199		1744.72	7301.4	1677.3	5467.21	2379+1	1405.11	1'376.33	6096.83
19		1878.18	7870.26	1687.08	5673.34	2385.18	1673.51	2217.97	4962.8
20	00	2924.7	8493.8	1698.04	5880.49	2386.62	1879.31	2483.84	3394.95
						•			
						-001 - 77	5007	500 t	CT M D
		PFBAI,	RINS	FUNC	FUNC78	E99L.PI	R991	E99 L	SIMP
19	7 8	54.475	47.07	705.475	705.457	0.158	601."57	628.333	38.844
19			49.656	10)1.88			598.358	625.333	296.406
19		158.175 280.5	70.426		944.745	0.149	654.946	685.017	218.886
				1220.77	1091.24				
19		416.975	86.856	1643.69	1398.52	0.142	729,169	752.344	422.919 538.574
19		568.925		2 18 2, 26	1767.38	0.129	800.964	834.751	
19		737.199	155.003	2758.79	2139.91	0.132	912.183	947.998	576.534
19		954,149	l\f3.till	3714.94	2748.1	· 145	1005.38	1043.35	556.153
19		1193.05	264.816	4913.32	3449.36	0.146	1060.86	1101.1	1198.38
19	86	1442.85	349.857	6952.45	4035.51	0.142	11111.71	115136	1139.14

.

.

5.5

1991	26 94 .37	710.294 10583.6	5547.2	0.135	1682.94	1740.02	6\$1.562
1992	2942 25	754.325 11192.	5547.	v.133	18 19.31	1870.82	518.419
1993	3193.77	791.854 11521.6	547 3. 49	0.132	1952.3	2016.43	419.508
1Y9U	3442.52	822.477 11762.1	5321.32	0.13	2108.45	2176.43	240.500
1995	3686.02	849.556 11791.	5780.96	U. 129	2280.69	2352.75	28.922
1996	3929.22	843.798 11607.9	4765.09	0.127	2468.25	2544.64	-183.117
1997	4173.64	852.196 11194.9	4379.32	9.126	2677.49	2758.66	-412.91
1998	44 18 .7 2	804.514 10515.5	3919.54	0.125	2905.24	2991.07	-679.402
1999 21	4665.07	758.182 9567.86	3398.18	0.123	3151.33	3242.31	-947.684
4	49 12. 57	693.375 83>7.52	2811.46	3.122	3423.14	3519.58	-1260.54

E995.PI RENS.PI FP95.GF

1978	0.319	0.084	0.432
1979	13,332	o.068	0.601
1980	0:355	2.059	7.632
1981	0.332	0.054	0.675
1982	0.307	0.053	0.674
1983			
	C • 32	0.059	0.661
1984	0.353	0.067	0.693
1985	0.366	0.066	0.703
1986	0.37	0.065	0.679
1987	0.366	0.065	0.658
1988	0.363	0.066	0.636
1989	0.36	0.068	0.616
1990			
	0.358	0.07	0.582
1991	6.35	Ü.972	0.557
1992	0.342	0.073	0.541
1993	ſ, 335	0.075	0.526
1994	0.327	0.076	0.506
1995	0.32	0.078	0.483
1996	0.313	0.079	0.467
1997	0.309	0.001	
			0.45
1998	0.304	0.082	0, 435
1999	Ű.299	0.084	0.42
2000	0.294	0.086	0.406

.

LOW BASE CASE .

	EOB	MIGHEI X	INCIOT	E299 E	HSP.EN E	869.E8 1	MNS. EM	EN A9
1978	404.436	-5.	7.394	197.206	0.361	0.417	0.222	1.2
1979	402.677	-13.868	7.088	1s3.099	0.345	0.425	0.229	1.2
1980	405,846	-3.267	6.407	195.28	0.345	0.414	0.241	1.2
1981	416.643	4.592	£.193	212.849	1.354	3.397	0.249	1.3
1982	436.874	13.941	6.291	216.471	0. 368	0.37	0.262	1.3
1983	454.526	10. Y1	6.758	225.989	0.381	0.365	0.254	1.4
1984	458.22	-3.373	7.076	224,944	0.385	0.379	0.236	1.4
1985	460.511	-4,536	6.814	224.994	0.383	0.379	0.238	1.4
1986	464.369	-2.701	6.544	227.111	0.384	7.373).243	1.5
1987	471.952	1.203	6.367	232.033	0.389	0.366	0.245	1.5
1988	481.886	3.567	6.361	238.535	0.395	0.159	0.245.	1.6
1989	491.974	3,629	6.454	244.953	3.4 72	·).353	0.244	1.6
1990	501.325	2.796	6.553	259.73	0.408	0.348	0.243	1.7
1991	508,724	0,771	6.623	255.072	0.415	0.344	0.241	1.7
1992	515.563	1.213	6.617	259. (:92	9.421	0.339	0.24	1.8
1993	523.356	1.186	6.598	263.887	0.427	9.332	0.241	1.8
1994	531.722	1.731	6.626	269.068	0.433	0,326	0.241	1.8
1995	544.563	2.154"	6.678	274.548	0.44	0.32	0.241	1.9
1996	550.295	2.974	6.749	280. 647	0.446	0.313	0,241	2.
1997	560.968	3,811	6.854	287.369	ē.452	0.397	2.242	2.1
1998	572.348	4.304	6.99	294.503	o. 458	0.3	0.242	2.1
1999 2000	584.66	5.1.61	7.145	302.21	0.465	0.293	0.243	2* 2
24.39	597.652	5.659	7.327	319.278	0.471	0.286	0.243	2*2
	FN GF	EMP 9	ENT9	ens9	EMPU	ENOT	enn9	ENFL
1978	42.921	4.365	11.132	23.814	1.304	15.009	11.73	6.374
1979	42,921	4,368	10.373	22.055	1.213	14.849	12.297	5.827
1980	42.921	4.692	10.217	22.18	1.194	14.934	12.022	5.84
1981	42.921	4.465	10.676	23.894	1.238	15.225	13.322	6.279
1982	42.921	4.296	11.327	27.016	1,307	15.735	13.811	7.062
1983 1984	42.921	3.95	12. 117	29.351	1.404	16.082	14.299	7.828
1984	42.921	3.91	12.422	29.274	1.452	16+044	14.854	7.981
1985	42.921	3.791	1'2.448	29.01	1.446	16.046	15.356	7.92
1986	42.921	3.725	12.417	29.348	1,443	76.122	15.872	8.
1987	42.921	3.854	12.706	30.53	1.471	16.298	16.4	8.319
1988	42.921	4.111	13.1)72	32.11	1.514	?6 .527	16.945	8.748
1989	42.921	4.389	13.472	33. ?55	1.559	16.751	17.506	9.199
1990	42.921	4.591	?3.844	35.259	1.602	16.949	18.384	9.619
1991	42.921	4.18	14.204	36.69.2	1.642	17.097	18.68	10.014
1992	42.921	4.068	14,485	37.958'	1.674	17.232	19.296	10.362
1993	42.921	4.1.4	14.789	39.392	1.708	17.393	19.932	10.752
1994	42.921	3.966	15.128	40.964	" 1.747	17.564	20.59	11.182
1995	42.'321	3.894	15.48	42.61	1.786	17.744	21.269	11.635
1996 1947	42.921	3.852	15.848	44.378	1.828	17.942	21.971	12.12 12.649
1998	42.921	3.\$133	16.241	46.313 48.4C9	1.871	18.157 18.383	22.696 23.445	13.223
	42,921	3.831	16.667				23.445	13.833
1999 2000	42.921 42.921	3.828 3.826	17.108 17.578	50.641 53.026	1.967 2.019	18.624 18.872	25.019	14.4136
	EM D9	EMCN	EMCN1	EMGA	ΡI	PIFPC	KPI	EXOPS
1978	25.12	11.566	11. 439	39.242	3975,88	3511.39	287.)36	944.

90 1911 - 1915 1913 - 1915 - 1915

(j)

()

228

	- 3 3 5 3 1	31 54 4				_		
19/9	23.9	11.568	11. 151	37. 6	4111.40	3 3.91	278+3-	1017.
1970	24.681	13.435	13.072	37.992		3568.97	313.457	1080.67
1981	26.383	16. 189	13.654		3 5219-2	3872.93	329.395	1170.88
1982	29.396	21.599	15.098	37.175	6406.9.7	4236.22	346.2	1306.92
1983	31.656	21.677	16.22	39.551	7147.31	4349.94	361.501	15 16.91
1984	31.676	16.844	16,167	42.373	7095.52	4084.63	379.1	1674.43
1945		16.97	16.738			4035.98	399.724	1755.95
	31.514			42.403				
1986	32.168	19.036	17,542	41.794		41 4 1 .17	421.807	1881-85
1987	33.441	18.691	18.015	42.03	8913.56	4271.05	442.201	2055.21
1933	34.958	19.353	18.65	42.75	9830.78	4399.68	463.963	2261.34
1989	36.45.?	19.638	19.155	43.666	10789.3	4508.44	486.437	2488.76
1999	37.859	19,721	17.542		11773.1	4003.31	510.1	2723.77
1991					12769.1			
	39.074	19.758	19.578			4689.51	535.24	2957.92
1992	40.264	19.864	19.749		13875.8	4788.14	562.095	3185.49
1993	41.61	20.345	20.163		15145.6	4902.01	590.356	3434,44
1994	u3,953	20.8	21.617	44.859	16537.9	5917.91	614.833	3704.87
1995	44.567	21.229	21.1111		18050.1	5131.5	650.708	3992.87
1996	46.189	21.896	21.825		19742,9	5252.29	683.071	4303.35
1997								
	47.957	22.668	22.614		21634.6	53 79.48	716.922	4645.49
1998	49.828	23.507	23.452		23726.9	5510,11	752.357	
1999	51.83	24.42	24.364		26055.1	5644.39	789.539	5433.12
251.3	53.925	25.38	25.322	45.871	28619.8	5779.81	828.522	5884.69
	EXCAP	ESSS E	99SEPC	REVGF	FP95	RT98	RENS	GFBAL
		_			-			
1 97/]	2.80 .	1270.12	1121.05	1092.41	471.u	261.127	334.174	651.
1979	290.	1371.84	1147.13	1430.74	860.7	206.549	281.302	842.735
1982	474.16	1625.02	1277. 38	1574.57	996.3	187.974	267.059	938.741
1981	499.582	1748.41	1273.98	1890.38	1278.53	193.143	280.62	1227.02
1982	576.389		1303.98			240.294	338.739	16 18.47
		1\$72.22		2184.34	1475.86			
1983	672.725	229,2.83	1395.U2	2478.3	1642.82	305.955		2030.78
1984	740.413	2533.27	1458.32	3054.47	2121.98	345.449	474, 522	2772.23
1985	055.611	2746.36	1491.96	3437.31	2422.15	349.933	488.141	3732.93
1986	984,849	3024.33	1547.69	3567.3	2430.19	375.654	523.44	4621.67
1987	1049.45	3288.49	1575.72	3758.03	2481.69	416.796	578.794	5486.38
1988	1128.51	3602.91	1611.48	3952.95	2521.75	471.151	650.776	6283.35
1999								
	1186.78	3919.7	16 37 .89	4151.63	2557.09	535.949	735.567	7000.78
1990	1234,48	4234,29	1655.79	41 88.64	2434-23	601.398	822.376	7486.84
1991	1224.62	4491.46	1649.52	4274.46	2367.73	674.9	919.179	7810.17
1992	1236.41	4764.5	16 4 4 .09	4450.96	2404.01	748,167	101G.1U	8046.39
1993	1203.12	50.80.77	1644.44	4628.92	2431.64	833.894	1128.56	8176.59
1994	1334.12	5426.45	1646. 48	4750.97	2396.8	929.583	1254.48	8125.19
1995	1389.24	57s 7.07	1648.07			1211 3.75	14 3 7 8 7 9	
		5/5 /.0/				1 \ # 1 3	1300 00	7861 1
1996				4862.96	2345,06	1)41.3	1399.99	7861.4
	1491.91	6238 . ⁽ J2	1659.77	5010.64	2331.47	1161.71	1557.94	7375.77
1997	1604.47	6238 . ⁽ J2 6721.37	1659.77 1671.27	5010.64 5180.17	2331.47 2327.26	1161.71 1305.12	1557.94 1743.61	7375.77 6654.92
1998	1604.47 1727.74	6238 . ⁽ J2	1659.77	5010.64	2331.47	1161.71	1557.94	7375.77
	1604.47 1727.74	6238 . ⁽ J2 6721.37 7253.91	1659.77 1671.27 1684.56	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b	1161.71 1305.12	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74	7375.77 6654.92
1998 1999	1604.47 1727.74 1861.39	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96	1659.77 1671.27 1684.56 1694.7	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2332.9	1161.71 1305.12 1461,71	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2187.48	7375.77 6654.92 5661.47 4392.47
1998	1604.47 1727.74	6238 . ⁽ J2 6721.37 7253.91	1659.77 1671.27 1684.56	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b	1161.71 1305.12 1461.71 1648.32	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74	7375.77 6654.92 5661.47
1998 1999	1604.47 1727.74 1861.39	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96	1659.77 1671.27 1684.56 1694.7	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2332.9	1161.71 1305.12 1461.71 1648.32	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2187.48	7375.77 6654.92 5661.47 4392.47
1998 1999	1604.47 1727.74 1861.39	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96	1659.77 1671.27 1684.56 1694.7	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91 5756.18	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2332.9	1161.71 1305.12 1461.71 1648.32	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2187.48	7375.77 6654.92 5661.47 4392.47
1998 1999 2000	1604.47 1727.74 1861.39 2007.49	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96 8446.04	1659.77 1671.27 1684.56 1694.7 17(5.69	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91 5756.18	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2332.9 2335.62 E99L.PI	1161.71 1305.12 1461.71 1648.32 1852.77	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2187.48 2451.68	7375.77 6654.92 5661.47 4392.47 2804.03 SIMP
1998 1999	1604.47 1727.74 1861.39 2007.49	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96 8446.04	1659.77 1671.27 1684.56 1694.7 17(5.69	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91 5756.18	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2332.9 2335.62 E99L.PI	1161.71 1305.12 1461.71 1648.32 1852.77	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2197.48 2451.68	7375.77 6654.92 5661.47 4392.47 2804.33
1998 1999 2000	1604.47 1727.74 1861.39 2007.49 PFUAL 54.475	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96 8446.04 RINS 47.47	1659.77 1671.27 1664.56 1694.7 17 C5.69 FUND 74,5.475	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91 5756.18 FUND78	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2332.9 2335.62 E99L.PI U.158	1161.71 1305.12 1461.71 1648.32 1852.77 !?99L 001.57	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2197.48 2451.68 E99L 628.333	7375.77 6654.92 5661.47 4392.47 2804.03 SIMP
1998 1999 2000 1978 1979	1604.47 1727.74 1861.39 2007.49 PFUAL 54.475 159.775	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96 8446.04 RINS 47.47 49.656	1659.77 1671.27 1664.56 1694.7 17(5.69 FUND 71,5.475 1001.51	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91 5756.18 FUND78 705.457 944.332	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2332.9 2335.62 E99t.PI 0.158 , 0.152	1161.71 1305.12 1461.71 1648.32 1852.77 !?99L 001.57 598.41	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2197.48 2451.68 E99L 628.333 626.779	7375.77 6654;92 5661.47 4392.47 28:04.33 SIMP 38.851 295.035
1998 1999 2000 1978 1979 1980	1604.47 1727.74 1961.39 2007.49 PFUAL 54.475 154.775 280.5	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96 8446.04 RINS 47.47 49.656 70.9	1659.77 1671.27 1684.56 1694.7 1705.69 FUND 71,5.475 1001.51 1219.24	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91 5756.18 FUND78 705.457 444.332 1089.22	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2332.9 2335.62 E99t.PI 0.158 , 0.152 ,151	1161.71 1305.12 1461.71 1648.32 1852.77 !?99L 001.57 598.41 653.128	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2197.48 2451.68 E99L 628.333 626.779 683.199	7375.77 6654,92 5661.47 4392.47 2834.33 SIMP 38.851 295.035 217.73
1998 1999 2000 1978 1979 1980 1980	1604.47 1727.74 1961.39 2007.49 PFUAL 54.475 158.775 280.5 416.975	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96 8446.04 RINS 47.47 49.656 70.9 86.749	1659.77 1671.27 1664.56 1694.7 1705.69 FUND 71,5.475 1001.51 1219.24 1643.99	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91 5756.18 FUND78 705.457 444.332 1089.22 1397.61	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2332.9 2335.62 E99L.PI 0.158 , 0.152 151 0.143	1161.71 1305.12 1461.71 1648.32 1852.77 !?99L 001.57 598.41 653.128 715.443	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2187.48 2451.68 E99L 628.333 626.774 683.199 747.318	7375.77 6654,92 5661.47 4392.47 2804.33 SINP 38.851 295.035 217.73 424.749
1998 1999 2000 1978 1979 1980 1980 1981 1982	1604.47 1727.74 1861.39 2007.49 PFBAL 54.475 158.775 280.5 416.975 568.925	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96 8446.04 RINS 47.47 49.656 70.9 86.749 11"/ .164	1659.77 1671.27 1624.56 1694.7 17 (5.69 FUND 71,5.475 1001.51 1219.24 1643.99 2187.4	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91 5756.18 FUND78 7G5.457 444.332 1089.22 1397.61 1769.31	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2332.9 2335.62 E99L.PI U.158 U.152 v.151 0.143 U.129	1161.71 1305.12 1461.71 1648.32 1852.77 !?99L 001.57 598.41 653.128 715.443 793.738	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2187.48 2451.68 E99L 628.333 626.774 683.199 747.318 827.525	7375.77 6654,92 5661.47 4392.47 2804.33 SIMP 38.851 295.035 217.73 424.749 543.406
1998 1999 2000 1978 1979 1980 1981 1981 1983	1604.47 1727.74 1861.34 2007.49 PFDAL 54.475 158.775 280.5 416.975 560.925 737.199	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96 8446.04 RINS 47.47 49.656 70.9 86.749 1177.164 155.962	1659.77 1671.27 1664.56 1694.7 1705.69 FUND 71,5.475 1001.51 1219.24 1643.99 2187.4 2767.98	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91 5756.18 FUND78 705.457 444.332 1089.22 1397.61 1769.31 2144.16	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2332.9 2335.62 E99L.PI U.158 , U.152 151 0.143 U.129 J.132	1161.71 1305.12 1461.71 1648.32 1852.77 !?99L 001.57 598.41 653.128 715.443 793.738 905.248	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2187.48 2451.68 E99L 628.333 626.774 683.199 747.318 827.525 941.63	7375.77 6654;92 5661.47 4392.47 2804.33 SIMP 38.851 296.035 217.73 424.749 543.406 580.587
1998 1999 2000 1978 1979 1980 -1981 1983 1983 1984	1604.47 1727.74 1861.39 2007.49 PFDAL 54.475 158.775 280.5 416.975 568.925 737.199 954.149	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96 8446.04 RINS 47.47 49.656 70.9 86.749 11"/.164 155.962 197.445	1659.77 1671.27 1664.56 1694.7 17 (5.69 FUND 71,5.475 1001.51 1219.24 1643.99 2187.4 2767.58 3726.38	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91 5756.18 FUND78 705.457 944.332 1089.22 1397.61 1769.31 2144.16 .2752.56	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2332.9 2335.62 E99L.PI U.158 U.152 v.151 0.143 U.129	1161.71 1305.12 1461.71 1648.32 1852.77 1852.77 199L 001.57 598.41 653.128 715.443 793.738 965.248 994.225	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2197.48 2451.68 E99L 628.333 626.774 683.199 747.318 827.525 941.63 1037.19	7375.77 6654;92 5661.47 4392.47 28:04.33 SIMP 38.851 296.035 217.73 424.749 543.406 580.587 958.405
1998 1999 2000 1978 1979 1980 1981 1981 1983	1604.47 1727.74 1861.34 2007.49 PFDAL 54.475 158.775 280.5 416.975 560.925 737.199	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96 8446.04 RINS 47.47 49.656 70.9 86.749 1177.164 155.962	1659.77 1671.27 1664.56 1694.7 1705.69 FUND 71,5.475 1001.51 1219.24 1643.99 2187.4 2767.98	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91 5756.18 FUND78 705.457 444.332 1089.22 1397.61 1769.31 2144.16	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2332.9 2335.62 E99L.PI U.158 U.152 151 0.143 U.129 J.132 U.140	1161.71 1305.12 1461.71 1648.32 1852.77 !?99L 001.57 598.41 653.128 715.443 793.738 905.248	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2187.48 2451.68 E99L 628.333 626.774 683.199 747.318 827.525 941.63	7375.77 6654;92 5661.47 4392.47 2804.33 SIMP 38.851 296.035 217.73 424.749 543.406 580.587
1998 1999 2000 1978 1979 1980 1981 1983 1983 1984 1985	1604.47 1727.74 1961.39 2007.49 PFUAL 54.475 159.775 280.5 416.975 564.925 737.199 954.149 1193.05	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96 8446.04 RINS 47.47 49.656 70.9 86.749 11"/.164 155.962 197.445 265.617	1659.77 1671.27 1664.56 1694.7 1705.69 FUND 71,5.475 1001.51 1219.24 1643.99 2187.4 2767.98 3726.38 4925.98	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91 5756.18 FUND78 705.457 444.332 1089.22 1397.61 1769.31 2144.16 .2752.56 3450.92	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2332.9 2335.62 E99L.PI 0.158 0.152 0.143 0.143 0.143 0.144	1161.71 1305.12 1461.71 1648.32 1852.77 !?99L 001.57 598.41 653.128 715.443 793.738 975.248 994.225 1049.96	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2197.48 2451.68 E99L 628.333 626.774 683.199 747.318 827.525 941.63 1037.19	7375.77 6654,92 5661.47 4392.47 2804.03 SIMP 38.851 296.035 217.73 424.749 543.406 580.587 959.405 1199.6
1998 1999 2000 1978 1979 1980 1980 1983 1983 1984 1985 1985	1604.47 1727.74 1861.39 2007.49 PFBAL 54.475 158,775 280.5 416.975 560.425 737.199 354.149 1193.05	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96 8446.04 RINS 47.47 43.656 70.9 86.749 11"/.164 155.962 197.445 265.617 351.783	1659.77 1671.27 1674.56 1694.7 1705.69 FUND 71,5.475 1001.51 1219.24 1643.99 2187.4 2767.98 3726.38 4925.98 6064.52	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91 5756.18 FUND78 765.457 944.332 1089.22 1397.61 1769.31 2144.16 .2752.56 3450.92 4(35.68)	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2332.9 2335.62 E99L.PI 0.158 0.151 0.143 0.143 0.142 0.142	1161.71 1305.12 1461.71 1648.32 1852.77 199L 001.57 598.41 653.128 715.443 793.738 965.248 994.225 1049.96 1108.14	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2187.48 2451.68 E99L 628.333 626.779 683.199 747.318 827.525 941.63 1037.19 1990.21 1150.79	7375.77 6654,92 5661.47 4392.47 2804.03 SIMP 38.851 295.035 217.73 424.749 543.406 580.587 959.405 1199.6 1138.55
1998 1999 2000 1978 1979 1980 1981 1983 1984 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987	1604.47 1727.74 1861.39 2007.49 PFDAL 54.475 158.775 280.5 416.975 560.925 737.199 954.149 1193.05 1442.05 1689.7	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96 8446.04 RINS 47.47 49.656 70.9 86.749 1177.464 155.962 197.445 265.617 351.783 431.7.3	1659.77 1671.27 1664.56 1694.7 17 (5.69 FUND 71,5.475 1001.51 1219.24 1643.99 2187.4 2767.98 3726.38 4925.98 6764.52 7176.08	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91 5756.18 FUND78 705.457 444.332 1089.22 1397.61 1769.31 2144.16 .2752.56 3450.92 4:35.68 4544.34	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2332.9 2335.62 E99L.PI 0.158 0.152 0.154 1.143 0.129 1.132 0.140 0.147 0.142 0.147	1161.71 1305.12 1461.71 1648.32 1852.77 !?99L 001.57 598.41 653.128 715.443 793.738 9(5.248 994.225 1049.96 1108.14 1195.14	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2187.48 2451.68 E99L 628.333 626.779 683.199 747.318 827.525 941.63 1037.19 1090.21 1150.79 1240.36	7375.77 6654,92 5661.47 4392.47 28:14.33 SIMP 38.851 295.035 217.73 424.749 543.406 580.587 959.405 1199.6 1138.55 1711.50
1998 1999 2000 1978 1979 1980 1981 1983 1983 1984 1985 1986 1986 1987 1988	1604.47 1727.74 1861.34 2007.49 PFUAL 54.475 158.775 280.5 416.975 568.425 737.199 954.149 1193.05 1442.05 16.89.7 1741.3	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96 8446.04 RINS 47.47 49.656 70.9 86.749 1177.464 155.962 197.445 265.617 351.783 431.73 510.774	1659.77 1671.27 1664.56 1694.7 1705.69 FUND 765.475 1001.51 1219.24 1643.99 2187.4 2767.98 3726.38 4925.98 6064.52 7176.08 8224.65	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91 5756.18 FUND78 705.457 444.332 1089.22 1397.61 1769.31 2144.16 .2752.56 3450.92 40.35.68 454.34 4964.66	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2335.62 E991.PI 0.158 , 0.152 151 0.143 0.140 0.140 0.142 0.139 137	$\begin{array}{c} 1161.71\\ 1305.12\\ 1461.71\\ 1648.32\\ 1852.77\\ \hline \\ 1852.77\\ \hline \\ 99L\\ 001.57\\ 598.41\\ 653.128\\ 715.443\\ 793.738\\ 965.248\\ 994.225\\ 1049.96\\ 1108.14\\ 195.14\\ 1351.32\\ \hline \end{array}$	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2187.48 2451.68 E99L 628.333 626.774 683.199 747.318 827.525 941.663 1037.19 1090.21 1150.79 1240.36 1349.15	7375.77 6654;92 5661.47 4392.47 28:04.33 SIMP 38:851 296.035 217.73 424.749 543.406 580.587 959.405 1199.6 1138.55 1711.50 p*48.57
1998 1999 2000 1978 1979 1980 1981 1983 1983 1984 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1988 1988	1604.47 1727.74 1861.39 2007.49 PFDAL 54.475 158.775 280.5 416.975 568.925 737.199 954.149 1193.05 1442.35 1689.7 1941.3 2197.72	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96 8446.04 RINS 47.47 49.656 70.9 86.749 11"/.164 155.962 197.445 265.617 351.783 431.73 510.774 585.431	1659.77 1671.27 1674.56 1694.7 1705.69 FUND 705.475 1001.51 1219.24 1643.99 2187.4 2767.98 3726.38 4925.98 6764.52 7176.08 8224.65 9158.5	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91 5756.18 FUND78 705.457 944.332 1089.22 1397.61 1769.31 2144.16 .2752.56 3450.92 4035.68 4544.34 4564.66 5295.34	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2332.9 2335.62 E99L.PI 0.158 0.152 0.140 0.143 0.143 0.143 0.144 0.142 0.140 0.142 0.139 3.137 0.136	$\begin{array}{c} 1161.71\\ 1305.12\\ 1461.71\\ 1648.32\\ 1852.77\\ \hline \end{array}$	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2187.48 2451.68 E99L 628.333 626.779 683.199 747.318 827.525 941.63 1037.19 1090.21 1150.79 1240.36 1349.15 1468.86	7375.77 6654,92 5661.47 4392.47 28:14.33 SINP 38.851 296.035 217.73 424.749 543.406 580.587 959.405 1199.6 1138.55 1711.50 1''48.57 973.856
1998 1999 2000 1978 1979 1980 1981 1983 1983 1984 1985 1986 1986 1987 1988	1604.47 1727.74 1861.34 2007.49 PFUAL 54.475 158.775 280.5 416.975 568.425 737.199 954.149 1193.05 1442.05 16.89.7 1741.3	6238 .52 6721.37 7253.91 7822.96 8446.04 RINS 47.47 49.656 70.9 86.749 1177.464 155.962 197.445 265.617 351.783 431.73 510.774	1659.77 1671.27 1664.56 1694.7 1705.69 FUND 765.475 1001.51 1219.24 1643.99 2187.4 2767.98 3726.38 4925.98 6064.52 7176.08 8224.65	5010.64 5180.17 5354.59 5554.91 5756.18 FUND78 705.457 444.332 1089.22 1397.61 1769.31 2144.16 .2752.56 3450.92 40.35.68 454.34 4964.66	2331.47 2327.26 2325.b 2335.62 E991.PI 0.158 , 0.152 151 0.143 0.140 0.140 0.142 0.139 137	$\begin{array}{c} 1161.71\\ 1305.12\\ 1461.71\\ 1648.32\\ 1852.77\\ \hline \\ 1852.77\\ \hline \\ 99L\\ 001.57\\ 598.41\\ 653.128\\ 715.443\\ 793.738\\ 965.248\\ 994.225\\ 1049.96\\ 1108.14\\ 195.14\\ 1351.32\\ \hline \end{array}$	1557.94 1743.61 1947.74 2187.48 2451.68 E99L 628.333 626.774 683.199 747.318 827.525 941.663 1037.19 1090.21 1150.79 1240.36 1349.15	7375.77 6654;92 5661.47 4392.47 28:04.33 SIMP 38:851 296.035 217.73 424.749 543.406 580.587 959.405 1199.6 1138.55 1711.50 p*48.57

229

•

深麗

- K

7175(1) 40214.4 1.128 258-101 772(15) 1739,6 295.14 1724.6 7972(15) 1739,6 295.14 1739,6 7972(15) 1739,6 295.65 259.16 792(15) 1739,6 295.65 295.25 7015,472 2919,17 0.122 3135.61 7015,472 2919,17 0.122 3135.61 7016 0.123 2175.65 1395.61 7015 0.615 0.123 3175.61 7016 0.125 2195.61 3195.61 7015 0.616 0.122 3195.61 7016 0.616 0.123 3175.61 7015 0.616 0.125 0.125 7016 0.616 0.125 0.125 7016 0.126 0.125 0.125 7016 0.126 0.125 0.125 7016 0.126 0.125 0.125 7016 0.126 0.125 0.126 7016 0.126 0.126 0.126 7016 0.126 0.126 0.126 7016 0.126 0.126 0.126 7016 0.126 0.126 0.126	1996 1906 1906 11.126.13 1999 40.04.12 755.134 9726.59 1999 4871.79 654.12 755.434 9726.59 1979 4871.79 654.582 7675.42 4925.42 1979 4871.79 654.582 7675.42 4925.42 1979 4871.79 654.582 765.42 9726.59 1979 4871.79 654.582 765.42 9726.59 1979 4871.79 654.582 765.47 9726.59 1979 4871.79 654.582 0.432 0.432 1985 0.075 0.663 0.663 0.663 1982 0.075 0.653 0.663 0.663 1983 0.354 0.075 0.653 0.663 1984 0.354 0.075 0.653 0.653 1985 0.366 0.065 0.663 0.465 1993 0.366 0.075 0.452 0.453 1993 0.316 0.075 0.453 0.453 1994 0.326	0.125 2091.05 0.125 2091.05 0.125 2091.46 0.122 3125.67 3216.65 0.122 3396.44 3492.89 92.89
749.16 E3371 79961 E3371 79961 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1131 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1131 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1131 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1131 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1131 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1131 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1131 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1131 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1131 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1131 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 11311 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 11311 1111 1111	5995. PL FENS. PL FENS. PL FP95. 1979 1979 0.084 0.084 1979 1979 0.084 0.084 1979 0.054 0.059 0.054 1985 0.1354 0.059 0.054 1985 0.374 0.055 0.054 1985 0.374 0.055 0.054 1984 0.374 0.055 0.055 1984 0.374 0.055 0.055 1984 0.374 0.055 0.075 1991 0.374 0.075 0.075 1992 0.326 0.075 0.075 1994 0.326 0.075 0.075 1994 0.321 0.075 0.075 1994 0.321 0.075 0.075 1994 0.321 0.075 0.075 1994 0.321 0.075 0.075 1994 0.321 0.075 0.084 1994 0.321 0.075 0.084 1994 0.321 0.075 0.086<	
	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
	•	
		, , , , ,

HIGH BASE CASE

LAST AN INTION ON TPAT BY USET

VIILK2

	4 02	HIGNET N	X IN CTOT	5499 EX	EASP.ES	ENG9.EN E	EN NS. EN	EN AG
1978	474.436	ហំ	7.394	157.206	J.361	0.417	3.222	1.2
1979	402.677	-13.868		193.099	0.345	0.425	0.229	1.2
[:66-L	465.846	-3,267		145.28	0.345	0.414	0.241	1.2
1961	417.675	5.624		213.612	0.354	0.395	0.251	
1962	4 3.9.754	14.749		217.741	0.369	0.368	0.262	1.3
1983	461.66	1 6. 093		230.925	0.382	fr. 357	J. 261	1. 4
1984	473.855	4.858		225.383	0.39	0.366	0.245	t . [
1935	481.532	9.267		238.103	0.391	0.365	0.244	1.4
1485	485,916	-2.925		239.365	J.392	0.362	0.246	1.5
1987	n0" E6n	0.032		243.088	0.396	0.355	0.249	ۍ . ۲
1998	503.766	3.718		249_447	0.401	0.348	0.25	1.6
1989	515.487	4.643		256.399	0.497	0.343	0.25	1-6
1993	525.771	3.092		262.247	0.413	0.339	0.249	1.7
1661	169*655	0.669		266.475	0.419	0.335	3.246	1.7
1992	5 µr • 7 µ9	-0.164		270.253	0.424	0.33	0.245	8 I
1993	548.614	0,694		274.755	0.43	0.325	0.245	1.8
1994	557.101	1.326		279.76	Ů.436	0.319	0.245	1.8
1995	566.485	2.202		285.414	0.442	0.313	0.245	1.9
1946	576.671	2.94		291.647	0.4449	0.306	0.245	2.
1997	587.73	3.72		258, 475	0.455	0.3	0 .2 45	2.1
	599.54	4 "346		305.762	0.461	0.293	0.246	2.1
1999	612.349	5.157		313.68.6	0.467	0.2 87	0.246	2.2
2060	6 25, 88 3	5.742		322.009	•	0.28	0.246	2.2
	ENGF	EMP9	5113	EN 59	Udhi	ENOT	EMM9	EMFL
0 1 0 1		1	:			1. 1.		
1970	126.24	007° =		23.814		600°CI	E / * 1 1	* · · · ·
1080	126.26	4.300	29		101 1	12 0.49		Π
1481	125.24	122 1	2		•	10.104		
16:1	176.74	(CC - H	2		1,52.0	10.204		
2021	100.01		:2			101.01	•	
19.40	100 00	5 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -				16 417		
1985	100 07		22			16 512		
1486	10.01	5 4 17			1.50	16.55		
1987	17.421	5.4.73	-		1.530	16-686		
1988	120.001	6-045			1.5.12	16.905		
6861	42.421	6.74	1		1.617	17.142		
(+ 6 4 1	12.921	7.005	14.		1.659	17.38		
1991	42,921	6.555	14.		•	17.479		
1442	42,921	6.42	ະ ກ ີ		1.729	17.603		10.957
1943	42.921	6.165	15.		1.762	17.751	20	11.345
1494	42.921	6.049	15	43.126	1. 798	17.913	20	11.769
1995	42.921	6.134		44.8'99	1.638	18.095		12.233
1996	42.921	6.115	16	46.645	1.88	18.293	22	12.738
1997	42.921	6.094	16.9	48.631	1.924	18.507	27.	13.282
8661	42.421	6.19	7	54.792	1.972	18.7.34	53	13.874
1949	42.921	6.091	æ,	53.106	2.021	19.976	54	14.506
0 th N Z	42.921	6.085	18.303	55.589	2.073	19.228	.52	15. 186
			·					
	50 D4	ERCN	eace 1	ENGA	Id	PIRFC	14.5	EXCPS
1978	25.12	11.5%6	11.239	39.242	3976.08	9 6.11 2	28.2 . 236	9 44.
	((
Đ	P	-		Ð	-	Ü		

AN ACCESS

Ŋ

. .

.

217.73 425.601 539.955 539.955 578.269 932.455 132.455 1161.92 1161.92 1093.75 1093.75 1093.75 1093.75 1093.75	683.199 747.353 832.014 947.353 947.353 947.353 947.353 1147.351 1147.35 11290.65 11521.15	653.128 715.478 715.478 912.142 912.142 11030.47 1163.47 1169.42 1245.47 1245.47 1245.47 1351.13 1470.34	0.128 0.1280 0.1280 0.1280 0.1280 0.1280 0.1280 0.1280 0.1280 0.1280 0.1280 0.1280 0.1280 0.1280 0.1280 0.1280 0.1280 0.12800000000000000000000000000000000000	1(89.22 1397.97 1397.97 1766.11 2734.58 3424.55 3954.41 3954.45 3954.45 3954.43 4916.71 4916.71 4916.71 5284.33	1219-24 1644.84 22183.9 3694.84 3694.84 1859.85 7053.6 8151.14 9151.44	79.9 86.749 117.224 155.717 157.717 157.717 263.324 824.404 570.746 570.746 570.746	28-55 416-975 568-925 137-149 1143-95 144-149 144-149 144-149 144-13 144-13 144-13 144-13 144-13 144-13 144-13 144-13 144-14 144-13 144-14	1980 1981 1982 1984 1985 1985 1985 1985 1985
					FUNE 705 HTS	15	PF BAL	1,1,1
66 03 .2 7 5492. 65 4060 .7 3	2050.49 2302.72 2580.57	1546.17 1742.81 1958.57	2497.21 2488.19 2476.64	5703.17 5911.82 6124.82	1659.39 1669.21 1680.72	7460.11 8044.47 8666.11	17.88 .88 19.26 .88 21.78 .6.5	1998 1999 2040
	1476.52 1642.93 1837.35	1221.08 1221.08 1381.75	2502.07 2500.66 25(4.79	5137.49 5315.25 5512.87	1627.79 1635.91 1646.79		1453.66 1545.91 1661.91	1995 1997 1997
	1324.82	987.781	2574. 16 2547.94	48 62.72 5005.	1628.38		1356.03	993 1991
	977.764 1078.02	722.373	2510.37 2539.47	4436.56 4666.53	1631.09		1288.68 1328.9	991 992 202
	877.873	646.621	2555.95	4370.63	1634.12		12 13 19	1066
	697.233 786_649	509.133	2546.56 2642.21	4024.08	1583.91		1146.08	933
4517.	573.436	415.669	2432.27 2490.13	3622.97	1540.08		1008.86	086 986 587
2740.4	533.671	367.576	2122-25	3087.34 2000 36	1453.13		764.681	964
1614.9 2024.9	342 • 246 428 • 268	243.139 312.077	1475.86 1642.82	2188.65 2488.65	1379.96		580.267 676.969	982 983
	281.087	193.61	1278.53	10.4101	12//.58		4 /4 .16	980 981
651. 842.7	334.174 281.302	206.049	471.4 860.7	1092.41 1430.74	1121.45		280.	978 979
	RENS	RT 98	k P 95	REVGF	99 S k P C	5663 5663	SXCAP	
5573.02 6036.26	787 .061 826.072	5639.53	27179.9	47.013 47.297	26.496	25.552	51.923	1999
4767.2	714.406	5376.54 5576.54	22574.9		23.678	23.732	50,003	166
4097.9	648.238 682.504	5137.55	18866.1		22.186	22.365	46.532	945 346
3525.4 3802.5	587.763	4912.84 5075.35	15841.7 17282.8		21.258	21.562	43, 527 48.964	563 575
3272.4	532.559 559.442	4717.54 4807.11	134C8.3 14542.4		20.874	20.995 21.05	41.057 42.218	192
2798.5	507.431	43/2,84	11406.1		20.611	29.966	39.884	0.06
	461.397	4475.15	10401.8		15-508	24.831	36,931	988
1959.1	417.816	4233.2	6594.3 4832 13		18.445	19, 382	34.379	986
1722.4	376.34	4267.78	7651.15 H022:47		17.041	19. 163 18. 966	33, 753 10 012	384 284
	346.271	4262.23 4464.J1	6475. 34 7464.98		15.203 16.615	21.917	29.673	982 983
	329.479	3824.68	5263.41		13.704	15.452 16.452	26. 538	1961
1019.	296.4	10.88 J	4111.46		11. 351	11.508	23.5	619

۰.

E-

4

9

233

网络

to a seast the end of a start of the second	199 1	2716-97	798.79		5581.94	0.133	1728.92	1786.	666.172	1. A set of the set	
	1992	2973.85	756 .687	11193.	5602.67	0.132	1857.79	1918.3	577.28		
	1993	3234.82	798.381		5563.39	0.13	2001.94	2066-07	484.18		
	1994	3493.52	833.578		5037:86	0.129	2160.29	^{2228.27}	310.496		
	1995	3747.95 47 2.85	856.606		5225.2 4939.01	0.128 0.126	2335.91 252U.95	2407.97 2605.33	10LJ.O"94 - 9 2 . 3 5 2		
	1997	4259.32	8(5.445 860.255		4584.09	0.125	2739.25	2820.22	-308.578		
•	1998	4516.09	839.937		4152.45	0.123	2970.81	3056.65	-575.504		
	1999	4772.27	80.0.935		3652.16	0.122	3224.'39	3315.97	-854.441		
	20 00	5028.16	742.405		3081.03	0.121	3505.26	3601.7	-1176.02		
				00.C 08							
		E995.PI B	ENS.PI R	P95.GF							
	1973	0.319	0.084	0.432							
	1479	9.334	6.068								
	1980	0.358	0.059	0.633	•						
	1981	9.332	0.053	C.676							
	1952	0.306	0.053	0.674 0.66							
	1983 1980	0.309 (.34	0.057	ា.687							
	1935	0.355	0.067	0.69	4						
	1986	0.364	0.067	6.671	-						
	1987	1.357	0.066	2.653							
	1988	0.354	0.067	0.633							
	1989	0.352	0.069	0.616							
	199fi	0.352	0.071	0.585							
	1991	0.346	0.073	0.56							
	1992	,'.339	e.074	3.544							
	1993	0.331	0.075 0.077	0.529 0.509							
	1994 1995	0.325	G.C78	1.487							
	1996	G.311	0.00	0.47							
N	1997	0.306	0.081	0.454							
234	1998	0.301	0.083	0.438							
ц.	19~4	0.296	0.085	0.421							
	2000	0.291	റ)96	3.494							
					•						

Ś

5

Ì

٢

,

D

MEAN LOWER COOK OCS (Moderate Base Case)

I.

SIMULATICH OUTPUT BY DEF T

NKM

	ECB	MIGNET N	INCIOT	EN99	EMSP.LM	ENG9.EN E	MNS, EM	En A9
1978	404.436	-5.	7.394	197.185	'361	0.417	9.222	1.2
1979	403.256	- 13. 289	7.088	193.51	0.345	0.424	0.231	1.2
1980	+ 07 .511	-2.203	6.431	196.419	U. 345	0412	0.243	1.2
1981	4 19.562	5.783	6.258	2 4.746		0.394	0.251	1.3
1942	440.684	14.725	6.4	2 18 8 24		0. 368	0.263	1.3
1983	458.741	11.181	6.893	228.459		o. 363	0.255	1.4
1984	463.5 6	-2.441	7.217	22s.052		0.375	0.239	1.4
1985	466.467	-u.03H	6.987	228.316		0.375	0.24	1.4
1986	470.497		6.729	230.304		t-4. 369	0.245	1.5
1987	478.644	1.591	6.543	235.444		0.362	0.247	1.5
1988	489.921	4,724	6,546	242.739		0.354	0. 240	1.6
1989	5 1.349	4.147	6.643	249.867		0.349	2.248	1.0
1990	S11 .283	3.118	6.814	255.783		0.344	0.246	1.7
1991	518.841	0.667	6.886	260.005		0.34	0.243	1.7
199,?	525.492	-0.225	6.867	263.687		0.335	0.242	1.8
1993	533.032	0.707	6.822	268.134		0.329	0.242	1.8
1994	541.62	1,753	6.825	273.362		0.323	0.242	1.8
1995	550.871	2.368	6.874	279.039		0.317	0.242	1.9
1996	561.531	3.7112	6.949	285.746		0.31	0.243	2.
1997	572. 504		7.079	292.52	G.453	0.303	0.243	2.1
1 998	584.204	4.48	7.213	299.751		0297	0.243	2.1
1999	596.637	5.059	7.369			0.29	6.244	2.2
2200	609.668	5.483	7.543	307.431 315.424	4 0.472	0.284	0.244	22
L ., <i>i</i> , <i>i</i>	000.000	54405				0.204	• • • •	
	ENGF	EMP9	ENT9	EMS9	EMPU	ENOT	E M M9	EMFI
1978	42.921	4.351	11.132	23.812	2.304	15.008	11.73	6.374
1979	02.921	4.563	10.372	22.09	.213	14.865	12.297	5.836
1980	42.921	5.104	10.245	22.33	7.1'38	14.978	12.822	5.883
1981	42.921	5.067	10.73Q	24.198	.246	15.297	13.322	b. 362
1982	42.9,?1	4.879	11.486	27.41	5.319	15.8.21	13.811	7.17
1983	42.921	4.558	12.315	29.775	5.417	16.17	14.299	.7 .944
1984	142.921	4.672	12.617	29.782	2.465	16.156	14.854	8.118
1985	42.9.?1	4.486	12.682	29.656	5 .464	16.165	15.356	8.4)96
19UIJ	42.921	4.446	12.009	29. 987		16.236	15. 872	0.174
1981	42.921	4.665	13.029	31.148	3 1,488	16.419	16.4	8.487
1988	42.921	5.13	13. 368	32.86	1,532	16.674	16.945	8.949
1 989	42.921	5.675	13.771	34.674	4 1.583		17.506	9.447
1990	42.921		14.157	36.23	1.527	17.1.?1	18_784	9.883
1991	42.921	5.254 5.029	14.547	37.651				10.275
1992	42.921	5,029	1,4.757	38.88		17.386	19.296	10.615
1993	42.921		15.947	41.267		17.534	19.932	11-4.991
1994	42.921		15.369	41.82		17.705		11.415
1995	42.921		15.729	43.51			21.269	11,882
1995	42.921		16.115	45. u3		111.105	21.971	12.4
1997	42,921		16.523	47.41			22.695	12.949
1998	42,921		16. '7'61	49.54			23.445	13.534
199'1	42.921		17. 396	51.78				14.145
2000	42 .921	4.795	17.844	54 •18	1 2.044	19+0 29	25.019	14.802
	E 1009	FWCN	UMCN 1	EMGA	51	PIEPC	T.P.I	EXOPS
1978	2'3. 117	11.565	11,434	39.24	2 3976-23	3511-32	281.036	944.
-	-		<u>(a)</u>	-		-		

236

R

J |

and a second second

H

19:0 22.4.92 13.432 13.157 17.976 05.81. 350.0.2 313.268 100.0.1 19:01 25.79 21.916 13.977 37.81 52.814.33 32.919 332.119 173.01 323.119 173.01 323.119 173.01 323.119 173.01 323.119 173.01 323.119 173.01 323.119 173.01 323.119 173.01 323.119 173.01 323.119 173.01 173.01 173.01 173.01 173.01 173.01 42.161 172.25.14 112.26.6 419.9.77 1194.16 221.93 162.26.6 419.9.77 1194.1 194.30 136.36 70.02.27 100.02 135.5 46.6.31 594.94 44.3.60.20.67.7 1194.1 443.36 20.67.5 22.17.5 50.0.05 32.93.63 238.95.3 238.95.3 238.95.3 238.95.3 238.95.3 238.95.3 238.95.3 238.95.3 238.95.3 238.95.3 238.95.3 238.95.3 238.95.3 238.95.3 238.95.3 238.95.3 238.95.3 <th></th> <th>2 K & Z</th> <th></th> <th>11 00</th> <th></th> <th>3 45 7 4 5</th> <th>14.472</th> <th>707 4.0</th> <th>1019.</th>		2 K & Z		11 00		3 45 7 4 5	14.472	707 4.0	1019.
9911 26.719 16.45 13.97 37.81 5200.43 3429.41 329.119 1175.0 1942 29.79 21.936 15.297 37.52 646.61 425.76 345.87 1314.0 1943 32.054 71.979 37.52 646.61 425.76 355.76 345.87 1314.0 1947 32.125 17.399 17.000 42.751 758.61 4067.33 374.55 1674.3 1947 32.125 17.399 17.000 42.761 758.61 4057.16 4531.99 441.156 1766.7 1948 35.704 19.952 19.012 43.122 1005.15 439.19 44.156 176.2 278.3 1941 39.376.275 20.025 45.125 1036.5 4626.31 500.48 322.4 173.3 1942 1.001 20.556 22.324 45.77 2016.2 2571.55 611.766 744.2 1943 43.829 17.27 21.676 23.122	1'9,	24 36 1	11.685	11.38			34 47.01	296 64	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
9-93 52.05-4 21.97-4 14.92 22.8.73 426.1.2 26.71 26.71 27.8.73 446.1.2 27.8.73 446.1.2 27.8.73 446.1.2 27.8.73									
1946 52.223 17.349 16.412 24.74 7228.67 4119.78 378.555 16.44.3 1945 32.741 18.383 17.801 42.761 822.53 4152.66 419.73 1949 1986 32.741 18.383 17.801 42.761 822.34 4152.66 419.73 1949 1988 35.704 19.952 19.012 43.122 1062.1 443.4 463.079 22.76.4 1989 37.317 20.65 44.2 462.3 1062.4 45.25 1062.4 11.35.6 173.36 353.298.5 25.04.4 21.35 1941 39.33 20.275 21.022 45.367 1051.2 23.55 50.85 50.85 22.64 373.55 50.85 22.64 373.55 50.85 50.85 22.64 373.55 50.86 51.31.56 61.33.45 61.33 22.64 373.34 51.33 51.33 55.55 50.86 51.41.75 41.35.35 61.33 42.44 41.33.									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									1766.43
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		32.741							1891.68
	1987	34.033	19.073	19.303	42,408	9067.16	4291.99		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1988	35.704	19.952	19.012	43.122	10061.5	4434.88	463.079	2276.62
1991 1992 10 <th< td=""><td>1989</td><td>37.317</td><td>20.15</td><td>19.596</td><td>44.2</td><td>11052.4</td><td>4542.</td><td></td><td>2511.46</td></th<>	1989	37.317	20.15	19.596	44.2	11052.4	4542.		2511.46
	1990	38.736	.?0.227	20.025	45.125	12036.5	4626.31	508.844	2753.98
	1991	39.933	20.275	20.072	45.538	13041.3	4707.42	533.953	2989.67
1013 12,371 20,406 20,601 45,409 15813.2 4307.49 589,224 3474.2 1995 45,384 21,675 21,546 45,516 1839.6 "649,637 4036.3 1995 45,384 21,675 21,546 45,516 1839.6 "649,637 4036.2 1996 47,122 22,556 22,254 45,579 2014.62 5271.55 681,933 4351.7 1999 52,825 24,933 24,687 46,295 26565.5 5646.9 781.254 5582.7 2000 54,918 25,935 46.551 29157.9 5781.32 M27.47 5357.5 1979 280. 1270.12 1121.455 1092.41 471.4 261.113 334.166 657. 1979 280. 1270.12 1121.455 1437.12 860.7 266.27 264.2 266.87 940.7 1979 280.27 162.568 1274.15 1576.83 956.3 1389.26 268.67	1992	41.081	20.356	20,23	45.487	14145.6	4799.55	560.86	3221.41
	1493				45.409	154 13.2	4307.49	589.224	3470.26
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$							5925.	618.786	3744.24
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									4036.22
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									4351.7
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$									4709.05
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2 0 0 0								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2007	54 19 18	22.943	23.045	90.01	29157.9	5761.32	041.441	5 557.95
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		EVCLO	E000 F	60055C	PEVCY	EBUS	ETUR	nENe	GERAI
		EXCAP	E995 E	773FFC	REFOR	E.E 93	tr 30	IILINS	GIDAL
	1979	280.	1270 12	1121 45	1097 41	u71.u	261 11'3	334,166	652
									843.105
									940.267
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1983								
1996 992,664 3042.73 1530.36 3581.12 2430.97 385.539 535.703 4597. 1987 1059.33 3112.02 1567.76 3769.66 248.15 426.828 591.101 5454.4 1988 1139.47 3630.75 1600.35 3967.33 2520.75 483.005 665.226 6242.1 1997 1259.22 491.69 1649.69 4261.77 .2481.29 62C.429 846.346 7487.1 1991 1254.46 4556.56 1644.75 4564.66 2422.51 695.737 944.394 7487.1 1992 127'.41 4825.82 1640.77 4715.58 2441.89 856.135 1155.82 8270.1 1993 1314.37 515.327 1640.77 4715.58 2436.29 1067.06 1431.48 8018. 1996 141'.48 586.45 1644.42 4959.65 2356.29 1067.06 1431.48 8018. 1996 147.48 588.45 1644.98 5308.71 2389.51 1343.48 1789.78 6093. 1997 1632									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
19881139.473630.751600.353967.332520.75483.005665.3266242.119491202.063959.781627.284200.412583.96552.321755.7416975.19911259.224291.691649.694261.77.2481.29622.429846.3467487.119911254.464556.561644.754364.662428.51695.371944.3947847.11992127.414825.821640.784533.452452.34769.7821142.728111.719931314.375153.271640.774715.582481.69856.1351155.828270.719941366.235564.541640.4124959.652396.291067.061431.488018.1995141°.485869.451640.4124959.652396.291067.061431.488018.19961515.346319.041650.25121.64388.511343.481789.786093.319971632.02641.351664.985308.712389.511343.481789.786093.319981758.617359.521677.315489.142307.1502.421997.485942.21997158.6770.5475705.4570.158601.57628.33338.41997158.77549.6561001.68944.7450.152598.358.626.727296.1997158.77549.6561163.691398.52J.142720.169752.04442									
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									6975.08
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1995		4291.89						7487.17
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1991	1254.46	4556.56		4364.66				7847.75
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1992	127′.41		1640.78		2452 ./34	769.782		8111.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1993	1314.37	5153.27	1640.77	4715.58	2481,89	856.135	1155.82	8270.77
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1494		5504.54	16 42 .43	4843.44	2450.05	952.302	1282.21	8249.07
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1995	141.48	5869.45	1640.12	4959.65	2396.29	1067.06	1431.48	8018.34
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1996				5121.84		1192.59	1595.36	7573.16
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							1343.48	1789.78	6893.41
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				1677.31			1502.42	1997.48	5942 .
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				1687.09					4717.
PFUALKINSFUNDFUND78E99L.PIR99LE99LSIMP1978 54.475 47.07 705.475 705.457 0.158 601.57 628.333 38.4 1979 158.775 49.656 1001.88 944.745 0.152 598.358 $.626.727$ 296.5 1980 286.55 73.925 1220.77 1051.24 0.149 624.946 685.017 218.5 1981 416.975 86.856 $1[43.69$ 1398.52 $J.142$ 720.169 752.044 422.9 1982 568.525 117.143 2180.49 1765.411 0.129 800.978 834.766 536.19 1983 737.199 155.479 2742.66 0.145 1007.76 $1(745.72)$ 953.7 1984 954.149 196.504 3707.91 2742.86 0.145 1007.76 $1(745.72)$ 953.7 1985 1193.05 264.324 4983.1% 3441.55 5.148 113.67 1193.87 $1195.163.63$ 1193.87 $1195.19.87$ 1986 1442.85 349.188 6039.94 4027.26 0.142 $11.72.52$ 1165.18 $113.67.192.86$ 1988 $1)$ 41.3 $56.8.51$ 8143.57 4548.66 $.130$ 1317.42 1365.34 $103.92.1169.77$ 1989 2198.57 532.556 9173.66 5292.71 0.135 1439.96 1490.77 990.6				1658.16					3173.27
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23/3	2. 411 45	•••••			20100.0	.,		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					•				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		PFULL	HINS	FUND	FUND78	E99L.PI	R99L	E99L	SIMP
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1978		47.07	705.475	705.457	7 0.158	601.57	628.333	38.844
1980 $28^{\circ}.5$ $7^{\circ}.925$ $1/2.3.77$ $10.51.24$ 0.149 624.946 685.017 218.1 1981 $4.16.975$ 86.856 $1[43.69$ 1398.52 $J.142$ 720.169 752.044 422.9 1982 568.525 117.143 2180.49 1765.41 $U.129$ 800.978 834.766 536.1 1983 737.199 155.479 2754.6 2136.19 $J.131$ 913.627 949.442 $57U.1$ 1984 954.149 190.504 3707.91 2742.86 0.145 1007.76 $1(745.72)$ 953.1985 1985 1193.05 264.324 4983.1% 3441.55 5.146 163.63 1163.87 1195.1987 1986 1442.85 349.188 6039.94 4027.26 0.142 $11.2.2.52$ 1165.18 1136.138 1987 1689.7 430.01 7143.74 4532.41 0.138 1208.58 1253.8 $1103.131.143.87$ 1988 $1)$ 41.3 $5(8.51)$ $814.3.57$ 4548.69 $.130$ 1317.42 1365.34 $4.339.4.139.96$ 1989 2198.57 532.556 9173.66 5292.71 0.135 1439.96 1490.77 $990.6.199.96$	1979	158.775	49.656	1001.88	944.74	5 0.152	598.358	.626.727	296.406
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		285			1951-24	12.149			218.886
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		4.16.975			1398.52			752.044	422.91'4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									536.807
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									57U .109
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									953.31
19861442.85349.18860.39.9440.27.26 0.142 $11.2.52$ 1165.18 1136.13 19871689.7430.017143.744532.41 0.138 1208.581253.81103.193819381)41.35(8.51)8153.574948.69.1301317.421365.341339.193919892198.57532.5569173.665292.71 0.135 1439.961490.77990.0									1195.29
1987 1689,7 430.01 7143.74 4532.41 0.138 1208.58 1253.8 1103. 1938 1) 41.3 5(8.51 8153.57 4948.69 .130 1317.42 1365.34 10.39. 1989 2198.57 532.556 9173.66 5292.71 0.135 1439.96 1490.77 990.0									1136.76
1988 1) 41,3 5(8.51 8163.57 4948.69 .130 1317.42 1365.34 10.39. 1989 2198.57 532.556 9173.66 5292.71 0.135 1439.96 1490.77 990.0									1103.8
1989 2198.57 532.556 9173.66 5292.71 0.135 1439.96 1490.77 990.0									1339.84
				9173.66					990.083
				9937.2"	5468.64				763.54
		7734VE				******	1000100		

·											الوادي مرجعه منه موجود ويستخد وجوي المحموص والمرجوب والمرجوب
and first increasing the second	areas to a series to an a series and the series	orie de la composition de la compositio	ALL AND								
1000	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A	19.9.1	2694.37	797.854 1		5528.71	0.134	16 94 .56	1751.65	604.936	
		1992	2942.25	751.42 1		55 19.07	J. 13J	1823.	1893.51	511.824	
		1993	3193.77	768.488 1		5448.53	2.132	1963.?8	2?27.92	4 16.59	
		1994	3442.52	818. S87 1		S290.98	0.13	2119.93	2187 .'31	227.055	
1.		1995	3686.02	835.624 11		5045.22	v. 129	2294.39	2366.46	12. 76b	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
il		1996	39 29 . 22	837.735 11		4723.34	0.127	2483.69	2560.38	-201.977	
1		1997	4173.64	824.813 1		4330.53	U. 126	2694.42	2775.4	-435.637	
1		1998	4018.72	755.5411	0360.7	3862.97	0.124	2924.04	3007.88	-706.027	
H		1999	4665.07	747.344		3333.11	0.123	3172.07	3263.05	-978.656	
4		2000	4912.57	600.07	8085.84	2737.12	0.121	3446.01	3542.45	-1296.23	
2											
			E995.PI R	ENS.PL BP	95.GF						
Î			E975.FI K	Jacort Pr	72.01						
		1975	1.319	. 84	0.432						
		1979	0.332	9,068	0.601						
1		1980	0.355	9.059	C.632						
2		1931	0.332	0.054	0.675						
8		1982	0.307	0.053	0.674						
		1983	0.319	C.059	0.661						
		1934	0.353	0.067	0.693						
		1985	0.365	0.066	0.702						
l i		1986	0.37).065	0.679						
• • []		1987	0,365	0.065	0.658						
		1998	0.361	0.066	0.635						
		1989	(.358	5.068	0.615						
		1990	0.357	0.07	0.582						
		1991	0.349	0.072	0.556 0.541						
	•	1992 1993	0.334	0.075	0.526						
-		1994	C.327	0.076	0.506						
-		1995	0.319	0.078	0.483						
		1996	0.313	0.079	0.466						
1		1997	0.309	0.81	0.45						
	23	1998	0.304	0.083	0.435						
1	8	1999	0.299	0.084	0.42						

6

ł

s

٠

 \odot

0

÷.

Ð

.

LOW LOWER COOKOCS (Moderate Base Case)

-

NKL

	PO P	MIGNET N	INCTOT	E899 1	EMSP.EM E	MG9.EN I	ANS.ES	EHA9
1978	404.436	-5.	7.394	197. 185	U.361	0.417	0.222	1.2
1479	403.256	-13.289	7.088	193.51	0.345	0.424	0.231	1.2
1930	427.511	-2.213	6,431	1\$6.419	.1.345	0.412	7.243	1.2
1981	419 562	5.783	£.258	204.746	0.354	0.394	0.251	1.3
1982	440.67	14.71	6.4	218.813	c .369	0.368	9.263	1.3
1983	458.739	11.193	6.893	228-459	0.382	0.363	0.255	1.4
1984	463.031	-2.415	7,217	227.704	0.386	0.376	0.238	1.4
1985	465.595	-4.415	6.967	227.711	0.384	0.376	٥.24	1,4
1986	469.701	-2.604	6.695	229.828	0.385	0.37	$0.245 \\ 0.246$	1.5
19#7	477.292	1.061	6.516	234.597	6.39	0.363	0.246	1.5
1958	4 67.701	3.9'-3	6.499	241.335	0.396	0.356	:1.248	1.6
1989	498.341	4.037	6.601	248.026	0.403	0.35	0.247	1.6
1990	507.71	2.655	6.71	253.668	0.409	0.346	9.245	1.7
1991	5 14.97 3	0.498	6.769	257.8')0	0.416	0.342	0242	1.7
1492	521.766	0.037	6.747	261.710	U.422	0.336	0.242	1.8
1993	529.42	0.924	6.718	266.338	0.428	C.33	9.242	1.8
1994	537.705	1.602	6.733	271.455	0.434	0.324	0.242	1.8
1995	546,754	2.202	6.779	277.012	0.44	u. 318	0.242	1.9
1996	557.250	3.639	6.851	283.646	7.446	0.311	0.243	2.
1997	568.046	3.802	6.982	290.355	0.453	0.305	0.243	2.1
1998	579.561	4.393	7.116	297.519	0.459	0, 29 8	0.243	2.1
1999	591.813	4.977	7.2-?	305.134	0.465	0?91	0.243	2.2
2000	604.667	5.404	7.443	313.058	0.472	0.285	0.244	2.2
	ENGF	ERP9	ENT9	Eli S9	EMPU	EMOT	EN 19	EN PI
1978	12 021	4 354	11 190	22 842	4 204	15.098	11,73	6.374
1979	42.921	4.351	11,132	23.812	1.304	14.865		5.836
1980	42.921	4.563	10.372	22.09	1.213		12.297	
1981	42.921 42.9.21	5.10U 5.:67	10.2U5 1?. 734	22.337	1.198 1.2U6	14,978 15.,297	12.\$22 13.322	5.883 6.362
1982	42.9.21	4.873	17. 734	24.198 27.415		15,821	13. 811	7.17
1983	42.921		12.314		1.417	16.17	14.299	7.944
1984		4.501		29.774	1.465	16.17	14.854	8.112
	42.921	4.54	12.552 12.623	29.756		16.143	15.356	8.071
1985	42.921	4.403	12.623	29.562		16.144	15.872	8.14
1986 1987	42.921	4.43 4.57	12.877	29.062 31.016	1.458	16.389	16. U	8.451
	42.921		13.249			16.625	16,945	8.884
1988 1989	42.921 42.921	4.902	13,673	32.618 34.324		16.857	17.506	9.352
1989			14.056			17.049	in.ofi4	9.779
1990	42.921	5.225		35-847				10.164
1992	42.921 42.921	4.75 4.678	14.402 14.638	37.244 38.484		17.189 17.32	18.68 19.296	10.506
1993		4.54				17.32	19.932	10. [193
1993	4.2,921 42,92	4.491	14.911 15.239	39.908	1.759	17.643	23.59	11.317
1995	42.92	4.497	15.591	41.459 43.121	1.798	17.924	21.269	11.774
1996	42.92	4.499	15.973	45.01	1.841	18.03\$3	21.209	12.285
1997	42.92	4.476	16.378	46.968		18. 25.?	22.696	12.828
1998	42.92	4.452	16.812	49.084		18,477	23.445	13.407
1998							24.219	14.012
2012	42.92 42.92	4,44 4,339	17.245 17.69	51.297 53.671	1.982	18.714 18.957	25.019	14.662
	EN D9	EMC N	ENC N1	ENGA	191	PIRPC	kPI	EXOPS
1978	25.117	11,565	11,438	39. 242	3976.23	3510.82	280.036	944.
•	٠		•	•		•	۲	

240
.49.3 21.075 20.889 45.125 16 .744 22.1486 21.357 45.125 16 .744 22.4 22.127 45.241 20 .547 22.968 22.915 45.505 21 .409 23.866 21.751 45.505 21 .409 23.866 21.751 45.702 21 .403 23.666 25.608 46.187 28 .473 25.666 25.608 46.187 28
.409 23.8°6 23.751 45.724 24(07.6 5510.17 751.769 .397 24.716 24.66 45.927 26349.6 5643.32 789.96 .473 25.666 25.608 46.187 28919.8 5776.34 827.991
E995 E99542 0.12 1121.45 10.92.41 471.4 261.119 334.166 1371.84 1145.56 1431.12 860.7 206.212 281.456 1756.73 1274.15 1576.85 189.326 281.456 1756.73 1274.15 1576.85 189.326 268.67 1988.54 1272.2 1895.56 1475.77 314.21 1988.54 1394.05 2485.66 1642.71 310.858 441.21 2596.78 1394.05 2485.66 1642.71 310.858 441.21 2596.78 1394.05 2485.66 1642.71 310.858 441.21 2596.78 1495.66 1642.71 310.858 428.045 3039.44 1542.226 357.811 989.045 3039.44 1567.75 3543.015 479.726 641.302 3039.47 1567.75 3707.32 2520.771 303.761 533.441 3014.47 1567.75 3543.02 479.765 641.302 349.515 3039.78 1667.28 2418.65 244.556 544.556 54
E995 E942 E995 E942 E995 E942 E995 E942 E996 E942 E947 E947 E947 E947 E944 E95 E944 E95 E944 E95 E944 E947 E944 E95 E944 E95 E95 E942 E942 E942 E942 E942 E944 E95 E95

241

1 8

1999 1999

1985

KAL

ŋ

ð

-

1992 1993

-189.477 -423.523 -687.117 -956.187 -1269.65			
2545.87 2759.81 2992.59 3243.99			
2469.48 2678.84 2906.76 3153.01 3425.			
0, 125 0, 125 0, 125 0, 123			
4740.78 4353.48 3292.21 3369.35 2781.12			
11556.2 11136.2 13449.1 9492.89 8223.24	79, SP	0.432 0.611 0.651 0.5510000000000	
440.624 1 828.581 1 820.402 1 753.529 667.827	a Id'sk	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
3929.22 4173.64 4413.64 4655.07 4912.57	24 Id.863	60% 90% 90% 90% 90% 90% 90% 90% 90% 90% 9	
1996 1993 1993 1999 2633		1978 1978 1978 1978 1978 1998 1998 1999 1999	₽ A

THE OW

9**12** 11 11

)

P

88. M

242

1.8

e.

1

아파 방송 것이 있는 것이 있다.

٠.

Ś.

HIGH LOWER COOK OCS (Moderate Base Case)

SKH

	PO P	MIG NET S	IN CIOI	EN 99 E	NSP. LA E	MG9.EN A	.MNS. EM	E 273
1978	404.436	-5.	7.394	197. 185	0.361	0.417	0.222	1.2
1979	403.256	-13.289	7.088	193.51	.345	0.424	0.231	1.2
1930	407.511	-2.203	6.431	196. 419	0.345	0.412	0.243	1.2
1981	419.562	5.7*3	6.258	204.746	C. 354	0.394	O. 251	1.3
198.2	441.677	14.717	6.4	218.819	.369	9.368	6.263	1.3
1983	458.897	11.344	6.893	22?. 575	0.382	0.363	0.255	1.4
1984	463.748	-2.361	7.223	228.219	C . 386	0.375	0.239	1.4
1985	466.762	-3.973	6.996	228.525	0.385	(3.375	0.24	1.4
1496	471 .336	-2.201	6.74	230.908	0.386	0.369	0.246	1.5
1987 1998	479.175 490.958	1.252	6.575 6.562	235.751 243.407	2.392 0.398	9.362 0.354	0.246 0.249	1.5 1.6
1989	505.034	7.359	6.715	252.416	0.405	0.334	0.25	1.6
199.3	517. r75	7.359 5.09	6.954	259.597	9.412	0.341	C.247	1.7
1991	525.428	1.255	7.097	264.094	0.418	0.337	0.244	1.7
1992	532.466	-9.661	7.091	267.798	0.424	0.333	0.244	1.8
1993	540.078	0.557	7.044	272. 05G	0.43	0.327	0.24.3	1.8
1994	548.515	1,395	7.032	276,974	0.436	0.321	0.243	1.8
1995	557.645	2.262	7.059	202.553	6.442	0.315	0.243	1.9
1996	56 8.837	3.861	7.123	289.337	o. 448	0. 308	0.244	2.
1997	580.197	4.1	7.253	296.266	0.454	n.302	9_244	2.1
1998	592.043	4.45	7.39	303. 478	o. 461	0.295	0.244	2.1
1999	604,455	4.868	7.538	311.036	0.467	0.289	0.244	2.2
2000	617.451	5,289	7.7	318.915	0.473	0.282	7.244	2.2
	EN GF	EMP9	ENT9	ENS9	EMPU	ENOT	ern9	EMFI
								e
1978	42.921	4.351	11.132	23.812	1.304	15.008	11.73	6.374
1979	42.921	4.563	10.372	22.09	1.213	14,865		5.836 5.883
1980 1981	42.921 42.921	5.104	10.245 10.734	22.337	1.198 1.246	14 •978 15397	12.822 13.322	6.362
1981	42.921	5.067 4.876	10.734	.24. 198 27.415	1.246	15.821		7.17
1983	42.921	4,654	12.335	29.784	1.417	16.175		7.946
1900	42.921	4.709	12.644	29.807	1.465	16.162		8.125
1985	42.9,21	4.606	12.754	29.674	1.465	16.173	15.356	8,101
1986	42,921	4,586	12,696	30.038	1.462	16.250	15. 872	8.187
1987	42.421	4.655	13,062	31.25	1.492	16.43	16.u	8.515
1988	42.921	5,165	13.488	32.956	1.533	16.697	16.945	8.974
1989	42.921	6.195	14.043	35.032	1.587	17.007	17.506	9.541
1990	42.921	6,583	14.489	36,948	1.644	17 . 249		10.078
1991	42.921	5.142	14.817	38.45	1.687	17 - 4	18.68	10.494
1992	42.921	5.932	15.04	39.673	1.718	17.512	19.296	10.831
1993 1994	42,921	5.644	15.331	41.05	1.75	17.662		11.235
1995	42.921	5.549 5.566	15,644 15,989	42.56 44.226	1.824	18,003		1?075
1996	42.921 42.921	5.586	16.374	46.155	1.067	18.22	21.971	1.2.598
1997	42.921	5.583	16.787	48.174	1,91	18 4 38		13.157
1999	42.921	5,498	17.217	50 34	1.962	18.063		13.751
1999	42.921	5.433	17.635	52.569	2.91	18.896		14.36
2000	42.921	5,347	18.07	54.952	2.061	19,135	25.01')	15.013
	5MD9	LMCN	LICN 1	EMGA	51	FIPPC	RPI	EXO 2 S
1978	25.117	11,505	11.438	39.242	3)76.23	35 10 .5 2	200.036	944.
•	٠		•	٠		•	٠	

							1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
754.871		1595.	n. 134		94,12,88		14 10 12	1989
983.191		1044 14			H1/4,85		1941	1945
1035,88		1 / 1 / 1 / 1	0.1.0		7138.95		1689.7	1947
1133.7		11.22.43	5 - 14 1		6734.86		14 42.85	9851
1194.4		1064.24	0.140		4901.16		1193.05	1105
952.764		1008.29	0.145		2754. 3705.76		737.199	1583 1964
573 476		811.003 ·	0.129 221.0		2190.52		569.125	1942
41 4. 22 4		720.169	0.142		1643.69		4 16. 975	1981
218.886		654.946	0.149	1091.24	1226.77		280.5	1985
296.406	626.727	548.358	0.152	7.05.457 9.44.745	1001.88	47.07 89.656	54.475 158.775	1978
38-844		601.57	15 X	0 H 0771				1
SIMP	E99L	199L	I4.1923	FUND78	TUD.	RINS	PFBAL	
1 6 . 46.82	2550.54	1934.12	2401.54	5935+5	1698.06	8666.23	2065.H	5002
4451.38	2279.36	1723.86	2401.09	57 29.41	1687.1	8030.55	1916.43	0001
5723.23	2031.98	1530.58	23 95 . 85	5523.15	1677.31	7 450.6	1780 . 17	1001
67.16.42	1820.14	1368.25	239H 66	50 000 CHC	1650.19	6394.29 	15.33.43	1996
13-10-17 7471 75	1456.32	1087.37	24(5,87	49.90.97	1640.1	5936.59	1426.62	1495
8166.3	1306.82	972.345	24 59 . 77	4H75.9B	1642.44	5566.84	13.81.69	1994
8207.21	1179.79	875.614	2491.72	4748.79	1640.77	5:13.49	13 29.67	1443
8064.42	1064.45	787.861	2438.43 2462 72	4396 . 53	1644.75	4607.	1268.34	1991
7462.86	862.198	633.37	2491.21	42 88.68	1649.72	4336.08	1272.18	0661
6459.44	760.866	4 84 .20 8 556 686	2220-152 2540 86	3968.83 #216 25	1600.35	3637,58	1141.62	1988
5449.25	593.274	428.48	2480.15	3171.69	1567.75	3314.2	1060.03	1987
4592.01	499.12 536.128	359.185 385.968	2422.26	3450.(5 3581 73	1486.52 1530 85	2768.13	865.034	1985
2752.61	482.259	351.7	2121.72	3063.1	1452.69	2550.14	745,949	1934
2016.8	44.212	310.913	c1 • c1 • 11	21 9-1.86 24 85.77	13.4.71	1988.58	582.626	19.82
1226.71	284.237	196.07	1278.42	1295.12	1272.2	1756.73	5 03 .672	1941
940.267	268.67	189.326	6.956	1576.85	1274.15	15/1.84	4 75. 789	0861
651. Rul.105	334.166 281.056	261.119	471.4	1092.41	1121.45	1270.12	280.	1978
GFBAL		RT98	F P9 S	REVGF	E99SHPC	E 995	EXCAP	
		•						
6028.99	826.561	5783.7		47.113	26.195	26.253	55.576	2002
5568-93	787.483	5523.91		46.674	24,33	24,385	51.506	1998
4767.35	714.872	5402.74		46.442	23.482	23, 536	49.623	1997
4403.52	681.192	5283.31		46.084 46.153	21.869 27 F64	21.998	46.014 47 760	1995
3786.62	6 17 . 9 18	50.39.12		46.029	21.392	21.598	44.47	1994
3510,54	588.285	4.927.36		40.120 46.039	20.95	21-125	41.794 h3 061	1992
30,22.79 2054 71	533.[95 eeo 023	4737.25		46.173	20.43	20.633	40.646	1991
2742.34	508.317	4667.81		12.65*54	20.366	20.625	39.43.43	0 661
2280.9	462.968	4446.14 8587.7		53,161	19.068	20.151	35 .831	1988
2069.14	441.171	4293.36	_	42,469	18.325	19.075	34.1	1967
1894.55	419-867 419-867	4964, 54 4172,14	7562.15 8256.62	42.815 #2 208	17.027	17.314	32,132	1445
1685.15	378.537	4121.2		42.7	10.425	17.362	32.248	1984
1527.98	361.112	4255.21		39-954	15.247	21.836 21.888	29.789	2861 2861
1175.07	329.119	3829.81		37.81	13.877	16. 45	26.719	1991
1080.6	313.268	3590.62		31.978	11.157	11.680 583.51	24 1	- 6/61
1413.	296.5 4	47.6	0128.61	ני גע	11 24	14 646	1	2076

•••

A TANA TANAN MANANA TANANA

Ł

N, F

¥3397.

498.178 394.309 267.84 -12.004 -233.336 -473.414 -748.113 -125.51		
1966.44 1966.44 2212.54 2213.59 22585.04 3040.46 3040.46 3240.46 3240.46 3240.46		
845.93 1988. 2188. 21184. 21184. 21184. 22184. 2319		
0.132 113 0.132 113 2.13 2.13 2.12 2.12 2.124 2.124 2.		
	S 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
749.176 749.177 819.177 819.207 819.207 815.207 713.173 1014: 713.173 1014: 713.173 1014: 713.173 1014: 713.173 1014: 713.175 1136: 713.173 1014: 713.175 1136: 713.175 715 715 715 715 715 715 715 715 715	8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
2942.25 3193.77 3193.77 3442.52 3685.02 83685.02 8173.64 8173.64 8173.64 8173.64 8173.64 8173.64 8173.64 8173.64 8173.64 8173.64 8173.64 8173.64 8173.64 8173.64 8173.64 8173.64 8173.64 8173.64 8173.64 8173.75 9175.75 9175.	S	
	б ш	
1992 1992 1993 1999 1996 1997 1997 1997 1997 202	1976 1979 1979 1986 1997 1997 1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 199	
	246	i i i ževo
•		

LOW LOWER COOK OCS

(Low Base Case)

ĸl

	?0 P	MIGNET	NINCTCT	EK 99	EN SP . EN	ENG9.EN	ENNS.28	E 849
1978		-						
1978	494.436 492.677	-5. -13,363	7.394 7.088	197.206 193.099	0.361 0.345	0.417 0.425	0.222	1.2 1.2
1980	402.840	-3207	6.407	195. 2a	0.345	0.414	0.241	1.2
1981	416.643	-3207	6.193	202.849	U.354		0.249	1.3
1982	4 37.27	14.337		216.777		0.35-1	; .262	1.3
1983	455.335	11.307	6,774	225.571		0.364	0.254	1.4
1984	458.815			225.32	0.385	0.379	0.236	1.4
1985	460.833			225.148		0.379	0.238	1.4
1986	464 .572	-2.427	6.551	227.179	0.384		0.243	1.5
1387	472.114			232. 073		0.366	3.245	1.5
1988	482.02	3.538		238.561	0.390		0.245	1.6
1939	492.094		6.453	244.972	0.402	0.353	5.244	1.6
1997	501.438	2.788		250.748			0.243	1.7
1991	508.831	0.766	6.621	255.088		0. 344	0.241	1.7
1992	515.661	n. 2')6	6.615	259.1178		7.339	24	1.8
1993	523.464	1.196	ۥ 596	263.903	0.427		0.241	1.8
1994	531.842	1.143	6.625	269.083	0.433	0.326	9.241	1.0
1995	542.683	2.153	6.678	274.564	9.44	0.32	0.241	1.9
1996	550,412	2.972	6.75	280.665	0.446	0.313	0.241	2.
1997	561.086	3.812	6.854	287.386	0.452	0.307	0.242	2.1
1998	572.47	4.387		294. 523		0.3	0.242	2.1
1999	584.79	5.168		302.234				2.2
2009	597.719	5.596	7.328	310-291	0.471	0.286	C.243	2.2
	EM GF	EMP9	EMT9	EMS9	EM PU	BNOT	ENK9	EBF
1978	42.921	4.365	11.132	23.814	1.304	15.00'3	11.73	6.374
1979	42.921	4.368	10.373	22.055	i 1.213	14.349	12.297	5.827
1980	42.921		10.217	22,18	1,194	14.934	12.822	5.84
1981	42.921		10.676	23.894	1.238	15.225	13.322	6.279
1982	42.921		11.389	27.038		15.746	13.811	7.068
1983	4.2.921	4.104		29.426			14 .299	7.849
1984	42.921	3.942		29,359			14.854	8.005
1985	92.921		12.461	29.052			15.356	7.931
1986	42.921			29.366			15.872	8.005
1987	42.921	3 894		20.539		16.29'3	16. U	8.321
1988	42.921			32.110			16.945	8.75
19,19	42.921	4.389 4.501		33.758		16.751	17:506	9.2
1990 1991	42.421 42.921	0.18	13.845	35.262			18.084 18.68	9.62 10.015
1992	42.921			36.690 37.961				10.363
1993	42.921		14,789	39.395			19.93.2	1:.753
1994	42.921			40,967			20,59	11.183
1995	42,921			42.61				11.636
1996	4.2. ')21			44.382			21.971	12. 121
1997	4/? .921	3.833		46.317			22.646	12.65
1993	42.921			41,413			23.445	13.224
1999	42.921			50.640		18.024	24.219	13.834
2000	42.121			53.03	2.019	18.173		14.487
	EMDA	LMCN	EMON 1	UNGA	ЬI	PIRPC	851	EXOPS
1978	25.12	11.500	11,439	39.242	2 3976,38	3511.39	260.036	944.
· •	٠		۲	•		•	•	

l

ۍ

..

1713	6.54.							
1990	24.681	13:435	13.072	37.592	4539.17	3568.39	313.45/	1080.67
198 1	26.383	16. 189	13.654		5219.2	3892.97	329.395	1171.88
19}12	29.438	21.625	15, 124		8 6420 . 08	4239.71	346.302	1306.52
1983	31.741		16.267	39.627		4355.39	361.583	1519.92
		21.720						
1984	31.74	16. 971	10.194	42.453		4086.34	379.167	1676.92
1995	31.542	16.982	16 .15	42.44	74 37.61	4035.98	399.891	17 57.92
1986	32.18	18.043	17.549	41.81	8099.35	4140.61	421.049	1883.77
1981	33. 445?	18.695	18.019	42. 041	8'321.01	4270.46	442.478	2057/2
19Eil?	34.962	1'3 .356	10.653	42.759	9844.41	4399.08	464.261	2263.43
1989	36.455	19.641	19.159	43.672	10797.7	45 37.9	486.754	2499.97
1990	37.862	19.723	19.544		11782.1	4003.24	510.434	.2726.16
19\$1		19. 725	19.58		12?76.8	4689.04	535.591	2960.47
	39.076							
1992	4" • 267	19.1366	19.751		13866.3	4787.68	562.465	3191.21
1993	41.613	20.347	20.165		15157.7	4901.7	540.744	3437.4
199 U	03.056	20.892	20.619	44.865	16551.1	5017.46	62 .24	3708.13
1 995	44.57	21.231	21.12	44.93	16063.9	5130.93	651.136	3996.36
1996	46.192	21.899	21.828	45.02	19758.	5251.13	683.521	4307.12
1997	47.961	22.67	22.616		21651.2	5378.9	717.395	4649.49
1998	49.033	23.509	23.454	45.366	23745.3	5509.52	752.853	5026.45
1999	51.835	24.423	24.357	45.598	26075.6	5643.85	7%?.058	5437.94
2000	53.927	25, 381	25.323	45.8/5	28637.4	5778.93	829.066	588927
	EXCAP	E995	E99SFPC	REVGF	629 S	RT98	RENS	GFBAL
1978	280.	1270.?2	1121.45	1092.41	471.4	261.125	334.172	651.
1979	290.	1371.04	1147.13	1430.74	860.7	206.05	281.303	842.735
1980	474.16	1625.02	1277.38	1574.57	956.3	187.973	267.059	938.741
1981						193.142		1227.02
	099.582	1748.41	1273.98	1890.38	1279.53		280.619	
1982	577.096	1974.63	13 04 .01	2184.31	1875.86	249-493	338.848	1616.76
1983	674,061	2297.39	1395.39	2479.54	1642,82	306.695	421.475	2026.62
1934	741.514	2537.03	1458,34	3056.12	2121.98	346.784	476.243	2766.66
1985	356.574	2749.45	1491.97	3438.2	2422.15	350.856	489.,291	3725.76
1986	985.855	3027.42	1547.7	3567.61	24.30.19	376.242	524.167	4612,36
1987	195(3.47	3251.69	1575.72	3758.07	2481.69	417.332	579.421	5474.57
1988	11 29 . 56	3606.24	1611.49	3952.81	2521.75	471.657	651.407	6268.74
1989	1187.83	3923 .18	1637.87	4151.31	.2557 .09	536.476	736.223	6983.06
1990	12"35.56	4238.	1655.79	4188.16	2434.23	631.975	823.096	7465.66
1991	1225.68	4495.34	1649.51	4273.82	2367.7-3	675.342	919.978	7785.18
1992	1237.46	4760.55	1644.09	4450.13	2404.01	748.874	1017.02	8017.22
1993	1284, 23	5(85,14	1644.43	4627.9	2431.64	83U.682	1129.54	8142.78
1994	1335.29	5431.22	1646.47	4749.75	2396.8	930.472	1255.59	8086.19
1995	1390,45	5802.13	1643.06	4861.5	2345.06	1042.29	14(1,22	7816.73
1996	14')3.22	6244.39	1659.78	5008.89	2331.47	1162.79	1559.28	7324.73
							17 45.12	
1997	16 05 .86	.5727 .16	1671.26	5178.17	2327.26	130635		6597.09
1448	1729.25	7260.27	1684.57	5352.29	2325.6	1463.)9	1949-44	5596.05
1999	1863.04	7829.89	1694.71	5552. 35	2332.9	1649.93	2189.45	4318.69
2000	2009.05	8452.59	1705.7	5753.26	2335.62	1854.57	2453.89	2721.85
	PFEAL	kINS	FUND	FUND78	E99L.PI	R99L	E99L	SIMP
1978	54.475	47.7	705.475	705.457	0.158	691.57	628.333	38.851
1979	158.775	49.656		944.331		598.41	626.779	296.035
1980		70.9	1219.24		· 0.151	653.128	683.199	217.73
	280.5			1089.22			747.3111	
1981 1997	416.975	86.749		1397.61	0.143	715.443		424.749
1982	564.925	117.164	2185.69	1767.41	0.129	793.751	827.539	541.099
1983	737.1')9	155.843	2763.81	2140.44	0.131	906.044	942,458	578.128
	954.149	197.153	3720.81	2747.95	0,146	10l)1 .6	1039.57	956.995
1984	0041140		4918.8	3444.46	0.147	1051.31	1091.55	1198.
	1193.05	265.227						
1984 1985	1193.05	265.227		4 27.17	1.142	11.78.9	1151.55	1135.41
1984 1985 1936	1193.05 1442.85	351.281	6155.21	4 27.17	: 142 u. 139			
1984 1985 1936 1937	1193.05 1442.85 1689.7	35°.281 431.079	6)55.21 7104.27	4 27.17 4534.61	u. 134	11'}5.>!	1241.01	1135.41 11 0 9.06
1984 1985 1936 1937 1988	1193.05 1442.85 1639.7 1'441.3	357.281 431,079 509.947	6)55.21 7104.27 9210.04	4 27.17 4534.61 4952.06	u. 139 0 • 137	11'}5.>! 1302.47	1241.01 1350.4	1135.41 11 0 9.06 1045.78
1984 1985 1936 1937 1988 1989	11 93 .05 14 42 .85 16 89 .7 1'441.3 2 197 .7 ¹ 2	357 • 28 1 4 3 1•0 79 50 9 • 94 7 56 4• 4 • 9	6)55.21 71(4.27 9210.04 9186.79	4 27.17 4534.01 4952.06 5281.7	u. 139 0 • 137 • • 136	11'}5.>! 1302.47 1418.71	1241.01 1350.4 106').52	1135.41 1109.06 1045.78 979.748
1984 1985 1936 1937 1988	1193.05 1442.85 1639.7 1'441.3	357.281 431,079 509.947	6)55.21 7104.27 9210.04	4 27.17 4534.61 4952.06	u. 139 0 • 137	11'}5.>! 1302.47	1241.01 1350.4	1135.41 11 0 9.06 1045.78

F

249

T Store		1991 1992	2686.1 2931.	766.065 746.42	10471.3	5%?'\$.81 5450.68	0.135 0.134	1669.22 1757.04	1726.31 1857.55	559.375 476.944	
		1993 1994 1995	3179.35 3424.75 3665.12	761.03 8(a. 446 822.049	11)22.1 11512.9	5367. 5197.C1 4937.91	0.132 ?. 131 0.131	19 38 . 16 20 94 .9 7 2266 . 16	2002.29 2162.95 2338.23	373.906 188.809 -29.086	
		1996 1997	3904.62 4144.8	e22 .055 815 577	11229.4 10741.9	46.00.5 4193.01	0.128 0.126	2451.73 2655.99	2528.12 2736.97	-252.5 -887.461	
		1998 1999 2000	4345.75 0628.12 4871.79	772.656 720.654 649.417	9941.79 8946.81 7593.64	3712.8 3171.12 2564.86	0.125 7.123 0.122	2890, 91 31.27.32 3398 26	2966.74 3218.31 3494.7	-760.058 -1034.98 -1353.17	
			E495.91 RI	NS.PI B	P95.GF						~
		1978 1979	0.319 2.334	0.086 0.086	0.432						
		1980 1981 1941	0.358 0.335	0.059 0.05\$	0.633 0.676						
		1982 1983 1984	'.3 L-a ∂.32 0.357	13.959 0.067).676 0.663 0.694						
		1985 1986	(*. 37 0.374	0.166	?. 704 0.681						
		1987 1988 1970	0.369 ".365	0.065	0.66 1.638						
		1989 1990 1991	0.363 0.36 0.352	0.068 0.01 0.072	0.616 0.581 0.554						
		199.? 1993	0.343 0.335	0.073 0.)75	0.54 ባ.525						
		1990 1995 1996	0.328 0.321 1.316	0.076 0.078 0.079	0.5-35 0.482 '2.465						
250		1997 1998	0.311	0.081	0.449 C.435						
		1999 2009	9.3 0.295	0.084 0.0116	O.42 0.406						
- 192 	۰. ۱										
	•										
								1. J. A.			
								· .			

G

 \bigcirc

49

•

HIGH LOWER COOK OCS (High ≩ase Cas≘

SIMULATION OUTPUT BY ESET

КИ

Q.G. 416 -5. 7, 394 199, 20 0.335 0.435 0.222 0.05, 015 -11, 66 1, 31, 32 0, 316 </th <th>Model -5. 7.00 10.20 0.200 0.</th> <th></th> <th>POP</th> <th>N LANDIN</th> <th>NI ACTCT</th> <th>EM 99 EN</th> <th>SP . EH</th> <th>ERC9.EN SY</th> <th>NNS.E 3</th> <th>ERAY</th>	Model -5. 7.00 10.20 0.200 0.		POP	N LANDIN	NI ACTCT	EM 99 EN	SP . EH	ERC9.EN SY	NNS.E 3	ERAY
002.677 -11.669 7.008 193.2.29 0.315 0.415 0.2441 017.675 5.624 6.193 201.612 0.335 0.3165 0.2441 017.675 5.624 6.193 201.612 0.335 0.316 0.2441 017.675 5.624 6.193 201.612 5.334 0.316 0.246 017.19 5.649 7.192 234.025 0.333 0.316 0.244 017.19 5.643 7.193 234.025 0.334 0.246 0.251 557.255 7.911 7.193 234.256 0.337 0.314 0.246 557.255 7.911 7.19 2445 0.231 0.234 0.244 557.255 7.911 7.912 2445 0.231 0.245 0.244 557.255 7.913 7.913 201.210 0.319 0.234 0.244 557.255 7.913 7.913 27.712 0.425 0.249 0.249 55	002.677 -13.668 7.008 193.29 305 C.4.45 7.224 1.245 7.245 1.246 7.246 1.246 7.246 1.246 7.246 1.246 7.246 1.246 7.246 1.246 7.246 1.246 7.246 1.246 7.246 1.246 7.246 1.246 0.2264 1.246 0.2264 1.246 0.2264 1.246 0.2264 1.246 0.2264 1.246 1.246 1.246 1.246 1.246 1.246 1.246 1.246 <th1.246< th=""> 1.246 1.246</th1.246<>	979	4 Ch. 43 6	ۍ. •					0.222	1.2
405.445 -3.577 6.477 195.26 0.315 0.416 0.244 417.455 5.157 5.193 216.223 6.133 201.254 0.315 0.244 417.415 5.168 7.131 200.516 0.319 0.316 0.244 495.417 5.168 7.131 200.516 0.319 0.316 0.244 495.417 5.168 7.131 200.516 0.319 0.314 0.244 597.413 5.045 7.493 2551.56 0.4405 0.314 0.244 597.417 7.417 7.417 266.217 0.444 0.316 0.244 597.417 7.413 266.217 0.445 0.416 0.244 597.417 7.413 216.217 0.444 0.212 0.244 597.417 7.413 7.413 211.41 7.243 0.244 597.417 7.413 264.217 0.445 0.241 0.244 597.416 7.413 7.413	005.845 -1.267 6.497 795.28 0.345 0.441 0.245 1.265 <th1.265< th=""> 1.265 1.265</th1.265<>	,79	402.677	-13.868					1.229	1.2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	No. Size Size <ths< td=""><td>04.9</td><td>405.846</td><td>- 3. 267</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>9.241</td><td>1.2</td></ths<>	04.9	405.846	- 3. 267					9.241	1.2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ref Ref <td>1 1 1</td> <td>017.675</td> <td>1000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>190.00</td> <td>1.3</td>	1 1 1	017.675	1000					190.00	1.3
Kolonic lie. (25) Condition (16, (25) Condition (16, (25) Condition (16, (25) Condition (16, (26) Condition (16, (26) <thcondition (16,="" (26)<="" th=""> Condition (16, (26)</thcondition>	Model Model <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>100°C</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>190 1</td><td></td></th<>			100°C					190 1	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Model Model <th< td=""><td>101</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	101								
Ref Ref <td>Model Model <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>507.0</td><td></td></th<></td>	Model Model <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>507.0</td><td></td></th<>								507.0	
Matrix T. 403 C. 404 T. 51 Z. 44, 20 C. 443 C. 444 C. 251 595, 255 5971 7, 19 260, 215 0, 402 0, 231 0, 251 595, 255 5971 7, 19 260, 215 0, 401 0, 231 0, 244 0, 251 595, 255 7, 914 266, 215 0, 401 0, 211 0, 221 0, 244 0, 224 597, 591 0, 31 7, 591 266, 215 0, 402 0, 211 0, 224 0, 224 597, 591 0, 31 7, 591 276, 314 266, 215 0, 402 0, 211 0, 224 0, 224 599, 501 0, 31 7, 595 294, 555 0, 403 0, 224 0, 224 599, 501 0, 101 7, 595 394, 555 0, 404 0, 234 0, 224 559, 501 0, 402 0, 402 0, 234 0, 234 0, 234 0, 234 559, 201 0, 402 0, 402 0, 402 0, 234 0, 234 0, 234	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	÷ .								; ; ; ,
Model Model <th< td=""><td>Hole Constrain <thconstrain< th=""> <thconstrain< th=""> <thconstr< td=""><td>59</td><td>C 11 - 5 8 +</td><td>6.74 •</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.244</td><td>÷.</td></thconstr<></thconstrain<></thconstrain<></td></th<>	Hole Constrain Constrain <thconstrain< th=""> <thconstrain< th=""> <thconstr< td=""><td>59</td><td>C 11 - 5 8 +</td><td>6.74 •</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.244</td><td>÷.</td></thconstr<></thconstrain<></thconstrain<>	59	C 11 - 5 8 +	6.74 •					0.244	÷.
495-44 7.013 2.44 0.432 0.434 0.444 <th< td=""><td>507.103 5.048 7.013 5.04.6 7.013 2.54.58 0.0391 0.131 0.254 557.255 5.5 7.411 7.544 7.613 7.544 7.013 0.254 557.255 5.5 7.411 7.544 2.60.455 0.402 0.131 0.224 557.555 7.541 7.547 2.65.254 0.402 0.131 0.224 557.555 7.411 2.66.455 0.402 0.414 0.224 557.555 7.541 2.65.254 0.402 0.314 0.224 577.593 2.265 7.445 265.254 0.405 0.244 0.244 577.502 5.001 7.713 201.456 0.425 0.244 0.244 577.502 5.001 7.713 201.456 0.445 0.244 0.244 572.502 5.001 7.713 201.456 0.445 0.244 0.244 572.502 5.011 7.713 201.456 0.445 0.244 0.244 572.502 5.011 2.013 201.411 2.01 0.244 0.244 572.502 5.011 2.013 201.411 2.013 11.213 572.51 4.057</td><td>1.6</td><td>467.710</td><td>-2.648</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.246</td><td>Λ. </td></th<>	507.103 5.048 7.013 5.04.6 7.013 2.54.58 0.0391 0.131 0.254 557.255 5.5 7.411 7.544 7.613 7.544 7.013 0.254 557.255 5.5 7.411 7.544 2.60.455 0.402 0.131 0.224 557.555 7.541 7.547 2.65.254 0.402 0.131 0.224 557.555 7.411 2.66.455 0.402 0.414 0.224 557.555 7.541 2.65.254 0.402 0.314 0.224 577.593 2.265 7.445 265.254 0.405 0.244 0.244 577.502 5.001 7.713 201.456 0.425 0.244 0.244 577.502 5.001 7.713 201.456 0.445 0.244 0.244 572.502 5.001 7.713 201.456 0.445 0.244 0.244 572.502 5.011 7.713 201.456 0.445 0.244 0.244 572.502 5.011 2.013 201.411 2.01 0.244 0.244 572.502 5.011 2.013 201.411 2.013 11.213 572.51 4.057	1.6	467.710	-2.648					0.246	Λ.
507.163 5.046 7.062 2551.56 0.405 0.435 0.2251 557.125 5.5 7.434 260.815 0.405 0.131 0.244 557.125 5.5 7.434 260.815 0.405 0.131 0.244 557.155 5.5 7.434 260.815 0.445 0.131 0.244 557.159 2.262 7.493 260.415 0.445 0.131 0.244 577.951 7.43 2.045 0.445 0.131 0.244 577.951 7.43 2.045 0.445 0.131 0.244 579.401 1.013 7.55 201.455 0.445 0.231 0.244 579.41 7.43 2.114 0.445 0.231 0.244 0.244 599.221 1.145 7.73 210.44 0.244 0.244 0.244 599.21 1.112 2.114 0.445 0.231 0.244 0.244 599.21 1.113 2.114	522.325 5.946 7.102 256.356 6.402 0.334 0.254 7.54 557.325 5.5 7.434 260.212 0.445 0.334 0.254 7.54 557.325 5.5 7.434 260.212 0.445 0.334 0.254 7.54 557.355 5.5 7.434 260.212 0.445 0.334 0.244 7.244 577.595 5.743 7.641 286.426 0.436 0.346 0.244 0.244 577.595 5.101 7.443 260.345 0.445 0.445 0.434 0.244 599.202 0.441 0.444 0.444 0.444 0.244 0.244 0.244 599.202 5.413 7.433 319.462 0.445 0.444 0.244 0.244 599.203 7.73 311.403 27.73 311.404 0.744 0.244 0.244 599.204 4.406 11.112 2.246 11.112 2.246 11.112 2.246 11.243 612.042 5.413 1.112 2.246 1.130 1.244 11.242 612.043 6.414 1.304 1.304 1.304 1.242 11.242 612.04	191	1 95.01	. 18					0.249	<u>.</u>
552.225 7.471 7.19 266.215 0.401 0.251 0.251 559.221 1.317 1.317 268.212 0.421 0.311 0.251 559.221 0.311 0.311 0.311 0.214 0.244 599.261 0.311 7.432 269.451 0.442 0.311 0.244 599.261 0.311 7.435 2145 0.442 0.244 0.244 599.261 0.311 7.56 297.73 0.445 0.244 0.244 599.261 0.403 7.73 311.691 0.445 0.244 0.244 599.261 4.013 7.73 311.691 0.445 0.244 0.244 599.261 4.013 7.73 319.561 0.445 0.244 0.244 517.693 7.73 319.561 0.445 0.244 0.244 520.262 4.013 7.73 319.561 0.445 0.244 520.262 4.045 0.145 0.244 0.244 520.27 291 2.743 1.1412 2.844 1.149 220.27 4.456 10.473 2.475 0.244 1.434 220.21 4.456 1.1493	572.325 7.971 7.19 260.435 0.400 6313 0251 1.1 591.479 0.31 7.493 260.451 0.400 6313 0244 1.1 591.479 0.31 7.493 260.451 0.495 0321 0244 1.1 597.591 7.145 280.451 0.495 0314 0244 1.1 599.306 3.11 7.566 397.391 0495 0291 0244 1.2 599.306 3.103 7.63 311.661 0445 0291 0244 1.2 599.306 3.103 7.63 311.661 0445 0291 0244 1.2 599.306 3.103 7.63 311.661 0445 0291 0244 1.2 2.2 590.35 5.43 8.042 2.041 0495 0291 0244 1.2 2.2 590.45 5.43 8.042 2.041 0291 0291 0244 1.2 1.2 612.046 1.1142 2.144 1.012	J B B	507.163	5.046					0.251	1.6
557.255 5.5 7.434 266.212 0.4415 0.331 0.224 557.871 7.554 7.554 275.317 0.4415 0.331 0.224 557.811 7.553 2.755 7.554 275.317 0.4415 0.311 0.224 557.812 0.131 7.553 275.3 0.1411 0.445 0.324 0.224 557.812 0.131 7.553 0.1412 0.314 0.224 0.244 557.813 7.65 304.355 0.445 0.314 0.224 599.206 5.101 7.433 319.562 0.445 0.247 599.201 6.403 7.65 304.355 0.446 0.234 599.201 6.403 7.65 304.355 0.469 0.247 599.201 6.403 7.66 304.355 0.469 0.247 599.201 6.403 7.66 304.355 0.469 0.247 599.201 6.401 7.403 204.95 0.469 0.244 599.201 7.614 7.755 0.469 0.244 0.244 600.776 5.643 10.475 2.729 0.449 11.73 625.026 5.644 10.1	555.55 5.5 7.494 266.212 0.445 0.334 0.254 1.1 551.479 1.36 7.494 266.212 0.445 0.327 0.246 1.1 551.479 1.36 7.493 266.215 0.445 0.435 0.425 0.246 1.1 551.472 1.137 7.65 304.455 0.445 0.261 0.244 2 559.266 5.101 7.56 304.455 0.445 0.244 2 2 599.266 5.101 7.65 304.455 0.445 0.244 2 2 599.266 5.101 7.73 319.562 0.445 0.244 2 2 599.266 5.101 7.73 319.562 0.445 0.244 2 2 599.286 5.101 7.73 319.562 0.445 0.244 2 <t< td=""><td>989</td><td>522.325</td><td>17.971</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.253</td><td>1.6</td></t<>	989	522.325	17.971					0.253	1.6
544.277 1.3PR 7.574 272.772 0.421 0.231 0.244 559.281 0.445 0.435 0.445 0.327 0.244 559.281 0.445 0.435 0.445 0.244 0.244 577.593 1.136 7.445 290.928 0.444 0.244 0.244 599.266 3.18 7.56 297.759 0.445 0.237 0.244 599.266 3.18 7.56 304.355 0.445 0.244 0.244 599.266 5.101 7.63 304.356 0.445 0.249 0.244 500.316 5.103 7.63 304.356 0.445 0.249 0.244 623.056 5.101 8.043 8.043 0.445 0.249 0.244 622.021 5.103 8.043 27.431 7.445 0.244 0.244 623.021 5.453 11.113 2.444 0.244 0.244 0.244 623.021 5.453 11.113 2.444 0.445 0.445 0.244 0.244 622.021 <td>544.217 1.381 7.574 276.317 0.421 0.311 0.246 11 557.872 0.317 0.317 0.316 0.246 11 0.246 11 557.872 0.317 7.554 276.374 0.432 0.021 0.246 11 577.991 0.317 7.656 277.391 0.462 0.234 0.246 11 577.991 0.401 7.65 277.314 0.445 0.234 0.247 22 599.906 4.003 7.73 311.661 0.446 0.244 0.247 22 599.201 5.643 8.042 204.355 0.445 0.247 22 24 22 570.51 5.643 8.042 204.355 0.446 0.246 12 24 22 24 22 24 22 24<!--</td--><td>191</td><td>535,255</td><td>ເກ • ເ</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.251</td><td>1.7</td></td>	544.217 1.381 7.574 276.317 0.421 0.311 0.246 11 557.872 0.317 0.317 0.316 0.246 11 0.246 11 557.872 0.317 7.554 276.374 0.432 0.021 0.246 11 577.991 0.317 7.656 277.391 0.462 0.234 0.246 11 577.991 0.401 7.65 277.314 0.445 0.234 0.247 22 599.906 4.003 7.73 311.661 0.446 0.244 0.247 22 599.201 5.643 8.042 204.355 0.445 0.247 22 24 22 570.51 5.643 8.042 204.355 0.446 0.246 12 24 22 24 22 24 22 24 </td <td>191</td> <td>535,255</td> <td>ເກ • ເ</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.251</td> <td>1.7</td>	191	535,255	ເກ • ເ					0.251	1.7
551:479 -0.3 7:481 26:426 7.227 0.247 577.593 2.162 7.445 200.426 0.121 0.244 599.267 4.403 7.556 304.355 0.456 0.241 0.244 599.266 5.101 7.56 304.355 0.456 0.241 0.244 599.266 5.101 7.65 304.355 0.456 0.241 0.244 510.716 5.101 7.73 319.562 0.456 0.241 0.244 6125.026 5.101 7.73 319.562 0.456 0.241 0.247 6125.026 5.101 7.73 319.562 0.469 0.284 0.247 6125.026 5.101 7.73 319.562 1.1132 22.441 0.244 6125.021 5.643 8.042 27.33 11.312 22.441 0.244 612.921 4.366 11.132 23.615 11.132 23.615 11.212 625.022 4.493 11.212 21.444 11.942 12.2493 11.234 625.921 4.292 10.462 0.234 11.492 12.293 11.294 625.921 4.292 11.492 21.218 1.29	551.272 7.554 276.318 6.426 0.327 0.246 1.1 559.266 5.101 7.106 279.334 0.435 0.436 0.317 0.246 1.1 577.595 5.101 7.105 297.334 0.445 0.291 0.246 1.2 599.507 5.101 7.173 319.562 0.445 0.291 0.247 2 599.506 5.101 7.173 319.562 0.445 0.294 0.247 2 599.507 5.101 7.173 319.562 0.445 0.247 2 2 599.206 5.101 7.173 319.562 0.445 0.247 2 2 631.716 5.43 8.042 0.214 0.247 2<	191	544.217	1.368					0.24B	1.7
559:201 0.31 7.081 260.455 0.432 0.324 0.244 577.593 1.136 7.445 280.455 0.445 0.334 0.244 577.593 1.136 7.445 289.254 0.445 0.244 0.244 599.306 5.103 7.73 311.691 0.445 0.244 0.244 599.306 5.103 7.73 311.691 0.446 0.244 0.244 632.016 5.103 7.73 311.691 0.244 0.247 0.244 632.026 5.103 7.73 311.691 0.246 0.244 0.244 632.021 5.103 7.73 311.691 0.449 11.73 0.244 632.021 4.405 11.132 23.814 1.304 15.03 11.73 622.021 4.406 10.173 22.149 11.934 12.229 92.921 4.4057 10.669 23.44 11.73 12.221 92.921 4.4092 11.4132 23.44 11.934 12.229 92.921 4.4092 11.403 31.721 14.934 12.229 92.921 4.757 11.403 12.219 11.232 92.921	559:281 0.31 7.33 319.563 0.435 0.324 0.244 1.2 576:591 1.132 7.145 286.254 0.445 0.316 0.244 2.2 576:501 4.003 7.13 311.691 0.445 0.291 0.244 2 599:286 4.003 7.13 311.691 0.445 0.291 0.244 2 559:286 5.643 8.042 311.691 0.445 0.291 0.247 2 559:281 5.643 8.042 204 0.244 0.247 2 559:281 5.643 8.043 2275 0.445 0.247 2 559:281 6.405 0.475 0.475 0.247 2 2 550:2106 4.403 11.173 2.841 1.104 1.249 1.242 20.921 0.495 10.413 1.244 1.249 11.73 2 21.921 0.493 1.1492 1.1493 12.242	202	551.479	-0-3					J.247	1.8
567.772 1.136 7.445 2045 0.445 0.456 0.217 0.244 577.593 2.162 7.445 2044.355 0.455 0.456 0.244 599.206 5.103 7.566 304.355 0.455 0.231 0.244 599.206 5.103 7.566 304.355 0.455 0.231 0.244 612.026 5.103 7.750 311.691 0.456 0.234 0.244 625.026 5.103 7.750 311.691 0.234 0.244 622.021 5.643 8.042 27.294 0.245 0.244 622.021 4.456 11.132 22.055 1.131 22.924 1.131 42.921 4.456 11.132 22.054 12.234 12.822 42.921 4.456 11.432 23.054 11.234 14.294 42.921 4.456 11.432 23.054 11.232 14.294 42.921 4.469 11.432 23.054 11.231 14.294 42.921 4.496 11.492 11.214 12.214 11.241 42.921 5.973 11.492 21.214 11.492 11.241 42.921 5.973	561.872 1.136 7.495 293.294 0.436 0.445 0.297 0.246 1 577.595 3.101 7.405 394.355 0.445 0.297 0.244 2 593.505 5.101 7.405 394.355 0.445 0.294 0.244 2 593.505 5.101 7.403 319.562 0.445 0.294 0.244 2 593.505 5.101 7.403 319.562 0.445 0.294 0.247 2 630.776 5.643 8.042 8479 245 0.445 0.244 0.247 2 630.776 5.643 8.043 2.879 11132 23.481 17.493 0.247 2 630.776 5.643 8.043 2.845 11.312 23.481 17.493 12.297 5 630.776 4.565 10.177 22.055 11.214 23.491 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	101	559.7H1						0.246	8.1
577,593 1,150 7,49 207,593 1,150 0,200 0,200 0,240	577,593 2.1.03 7.43 209.224 0.445 0.291 0.247 2.247 599,266 5.443 7.73 311.691 0.462 0.291 0.247 2.247									
5/9,201 2.10 7.60 27.314 0.445 0.445 0.291 0.244 5/9,201 4.013 7.60 304.555 0.4455 0.284 0.244 612,026 5.101 7.813 319.562 0.4455 0.284 0.244 612,026 5.101 7.813 319.562 0.4455 0.284 0.247 625,026 5.101 7.813 319.562 0.4455 0.284 0.247 625,026 5.413 8.042 2879 214 1.204 12.41 0.247 629,221 4.565 11.112 22.055 1.1241 22.059 1.1241 12.493 11.73 42,921 4.692 11.112 22.055 1.214 12.913 14.934 12.293 42,921 4.692 11.112 22.014 1.914 12.293 14.293 42,921 4.692 11.413 22.015 1.214 12.913 14.294 42,921 5.911 11.492 1.214 1.294 12.823 14.293 42,921 5.911	FMGF FMGF <th< td=""><td>*</td><td>718.100</td><td>001-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></th<>	*	718.100	001-						-
5:99,266 5:113 7.56 597,304 0.455 0.297 0.247 6:12,006 4.403 7.73 319,562 0.455 0.297 0.247 6:12,006 5.443 8.042 377,33 319,562 0.297 0.297 0.297 6:12,006 5.443 8.042 237,081 7.173 319,562 0.291 0.297 0.297 FMCF EMP EMP EMP EMP EMP 2.409 0.297 612,000 111,112 23.614 11,112 23.614 11,304 12.297 12,921 4.196 10,217 22.055 11,213 23.015 12.297 12,921 4.797 11,217 23.015 11,213 23.015 12.294 13.322 12,921 4.793 31,211 11,213 23.015 11,213 12.294 13.322 12,921 4.2,921 1.1492 23.174 1.2,294 13.322 14.494 12.323 12,921 4.2,921 1.1492 23.174 1.2,294 13.322 12.292 12.	599,286 3.18 7.56 397.314 0.45 0.291 0.204 0.247 2.2 612,046 4.403 7.73 311,691 0.465 0.291 0.247 2.2 612,046 4.403 7.73 311,691 0.465 0.291 0.247 2.2 630,776 5.403 8.002 237.301 9.455 0.173 0.247 2.2 6412,021 5.403 8.002 235.41 1.304 15.003 11.73 2 42,921 4.366 10.173 22.48 1.304 15.003 11.73 2 42,921 4.366 10.173 22.48 1.314 13.322 9 14.293 11.293 11.293 11.293 9 14.794 9 14.293 11.212 23.418 12.493 11.212 12.41 12.913 11.212 12.41 12.913 11.212 12.41 11.213 12.213 11.293 11.213 12.411 11.213 12.411 11.213 </td <td>5</td> <td>5 AC • 1 1 C</td> <td>707.7</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0+7-0</td> <td>, - ,</td>	5	5 AC • 1 1 C	707.7					0+7-0	, - ,
55:026 5:01 7:63 304.555 0.465 0.297 0.247 0.247 625:026 5:01 7.873 319.562 0.465 0.291 0.247 0.247 625:026 5:01 7.873 319.562 0.465 0.291 0.247 625:026 5:01 7.873 319.562 0.465 0.291 0.247 625:026 5:04 30.455 0.465 0.291 0.291 0.247 625:026 5:04 11.132 23.691 11.312 22.2055 11.217 22.2193 42:921 4.362 11.132 23.401 11.217 22.2193 11.217 22.2193 42:921 4.757 10.6692 23.975 11.217 22.2184 12.292 14.292 42:921 4.757 10.6692 23.7291 14.166 15.416 42:921 12.493 31.7721 14.216 17.291 12.292 42:921 12.921 12.4751 11.493 12.4	First 7.6 304.45 0.495 0.297 0.1173 22.919 11.994 11.733 0.297 0.297 0.1173 22.919 11.994 11.2949 0.2172 11.218 0.2172 11.218 0.2172 11.218 0.2172 11.218 0.2172 11.218 0.2172 11.218 0.2172 11.218 0.2172 11.218 11.2124 11.2124 11.2124 11.2124 11.2124 11.2124 <td>96</td> <td>549,286</td> <td>3.18</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0+240</td> <td>.,</td>	96	549,286	3.18					0+240	.,
612.0046 4.403 7.73 311.661 0.496 0.291 0.247 632.705 5.403 8.042 327.841 0.247 0.247 0.247 632.705 5.403 8.042 27.845 0.247 0.247 FM GF EKP9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EM17 PM GF EKP9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EM19 17.31 PM CF EKP9 EMT9 EMT9 EM19 11.73 2.247 PM CF EKP9 EMT9 23.614 1.304 15.009 11.73 PM CF EMP9 EM19 2.2055 1.213 21.234 12.291 PM CF EM19 11.493 21.111 12.249 12.292 12.241 PM CF EM19 11.493 21.121 12.244 13.322 PM CF 25.011 11.493 21.111 15.222 12.291 14.457 PM CF 25.011	612.046 4.403 7.73 311.691 0.4462 0.291 0.247 2 EMGF EMP9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT1 7.73 311.691 0.4465 0.291 0.247 2 EMGF EMP9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT13 319.561 0.4465 0.291 0.247 2 EMGF EMP9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT13 319.561 1.131 23.481 0.247 2 U2-921 4.365 11.113 23.418 1.304 15.009 11.73 6 U2-921 4.4757 10.692 23.915 1.194 12.823 5 5 13.322 5 5 13.322 5 5 13.322 5 5 13.322 5 13.323 5 13.323 5 13.323 6 13.81 12.823 5 13.419 12.823 5 13.419 12.823 5 13.411 13.323 6 13.811 13.21.81 <td>197</td> <td>559.507</td> <td>4.013</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.247</td> <td>2.1</td>	197	559.507	4.013					1.247	2.1
653.026 5.101 7.873 319.562 0.445 0.284 0.247 FAGF EKP9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 C.278 0.247 FAGF EKP9 EMT9 EM13 Z.2491 0.2841 0.2847 0.2847 0.2473 Z.2491 11.713 Z.2921 0.12473 Z.2491 11.713 Z.2492 Z.2491 12.123 Z.2441 Z.2821 2.2291 2.22921 2.2492 12.2473 2.2.291 12.212 12.2821 <th12.2821< th=""> <th12.2821< th=""> <th12.28< td=""><td>633.716 5.101 7.473 319.662 0.445 0.284 0.247 2.2 EMGF EKP9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT17 2.475 0.284 0.247 2.2 EMGF EKP9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 L2.921 4.365 11.112 2.2.485 1.204 15.009 11.73 5 Q2.921 4.562 10.173 2.2.485 1.204 15.009 11.73 5 Q2.921 4.563 10.173 2.2.485 1.213 14.493 12.2.82 5 Q2.921 4.069 11.492 2.2.495 11.416 16.272 11.2.817 Q2.921 5.971 13.543 31.211 14.95 15.224 5 Q2.921 5.971 13.543 31.211 14.95 15.246 13.811 7 Q2.921 5.971 13.121 12.493 12.293 13.211 12.293<</td><td>998</td><td>6 12.046</td><td>4.403</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.247</td><td>2.1</td></th12.28<></th12.2821<></th12.2821<>	633.716 5.101 7.473 319.662 0.445 0.284 0.247 2.2 EMGF EKP9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT17 2.475 0.284 0.247 2.2 EMGF EKP9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 L2.921 4.365 11.112 2.2.485 1.204 15.009 11.73 5 Q2.921 4.562 10.173 2.2.485 1.204 15.009 11.73 5 Q2.921 4.563 10.173 2.2.485 1.213 14.493 12.2.82 5 Q2.921 4.069 11.492 2.2.495 11.416 16.272 11.2.817 Q2.921 5.971 13.543 31.211 14.95 15.224 5 Q2.921 5.971 13.543 31.211 14.95 15.246 13.811 7 Q2.921 5.971 13.121 12.493 12.293 13.211 12.293<	998	6 12.046	4.403					0.247	2.1
630.776 5.643 8.042 327.841 7.475 C.278 C.247 FMGF EKP9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EM97 C.278 C.247 EM19 EM FMGF EKP9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EM17 EM19 EM119 EM1119 EM1119 <them1119< th=""> EM1119 EM1119</them1119<>	630.776 5.643 8.042 327.041 2.475 0.247 2.247 2.247 2.247 2.247 2.247 2.247 2.247 2.247 2.247 2.247 2.247 2.247 2.247 2.247 2.247 2.247 2.247 2.2475 2.2471 2.2421 2.2491 2.2421 2.2491 2.2421 2.2491 2.2421 2.2495 2.2415 2.2252 1.2412 2.2291 2.2415 2.2291 2.2415 2.	999	625.026	5.101					0.247	2.2
FMGF EMP9 EMP10 EMP10 EMP10 <them110< th=""> <them10< th=""> EMP110</them10<></them110<>	Indf EMP9 EMT9 EMT1 EMT9 EMT1 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT1 EMT9 EMT1 EMT9 EMT1 EMT9 EMT1 EMT9 EMT1 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT1 EMT9 EMT1 EMT9 EMT1 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT1 EMT9 EMT1 EMT9 EMT1 EMT9 EMT1 EMT9 EMT1 EMT19	000	6 38.716	5.643			0.47	0.278	0.247	2-2
MGF EKP9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EMT9 EM13 T.2.97 T.4.94 T.2.97 T.2.91 <tht.2.91< th=""> <tht.2.91< th=""> T.2.</tht.2.91<></tht.2.91<>	MGF EMP EMP <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
$u_2.921$ u_{365} 11.132 23.814 1.304 15.009 11.73 $u_2.921$ $u_{.052}$ 10.373 22.055 1.213 14.849 12.297 $u_2.921$ $u_{.057}$ 10.609 23.975 1.214 12.292 12.292 $u_2.921$ $u_{.057}$ 10.609 23.916 11.492 27.299 11.214 $u_2.921$ 5.911 12.492 27.299 1.314 15.724 $11.2.202$ $u_2.921$ 5.921 11.492 27.291 11.495 11.2491 11.291 $u_2.921$ 5.931 11.492 27.291 11.495 11.292 11.291 $u_2.921$ 5.931 13.593 31.774 15.225 10.292 10.291 $u_2.921$ 5.931 13.761 15.712 11.299 11.791 11.291 $u_2.921$ 5.931 13.761 1.572 16.440 11.291 11.291 $u_2.921$ 5.916 11.193 11.231 11.231 11.291	2.921 4.365 11.132 23.614 1.304 15.009 11.73 5 42.921 4.952 10.773 22.055 1.217 22.97 5 5 42.921 4.952 10.173 22.055 1.213 12.297 5 42.921 4.952 10.173 22.055 1.214 12.827 5 42.921 5.971 11.492 27.299 1.314 15.254 11.322 5 42.921 5.993 13.593 31.774 15.22 14.295 14.293 14.295 42.921 5.993 13.593 31.774 15.52 16.449 11.299 8 42.921 5.993 13.593 31.774 15.52 16.446 14.755 42.921 7.715 13.993 31.774 15.52 16.946 10.976 42.921 7.715 13.948 31.521 14.95 16.784 11.765 9 42.921 7.715 13.4674 15.779 15.946 17.416 17.655 9 10.7655 9 10.76		En gf	EMP9	EMT9	6SN3	Da Wi	ENOT	5W H 3	EAFL
$u_2.921$ $u_4.921$ $u_4.922$ 11.217 22.18 11.217 22.18 11.2934 12.297 $u_2.921$ $u_4.921$ $u_4.922$ 11.217 22.18 11.2194 15.254 13.812 $u_2.921$ $u_4.921$ 5.571 12.473 31.221 11.214 15.254 13.822 $u_2.921$ 5.571 12.473 31.221 11.214 11.295 11.299 11.299 $u_2.921$ 5.573 13.593 31.721 11.525 16.596 14.16 $u_2.921$ 5.573 13.593 31.714 15.251 11.291 $u_2.921$ 5.573 13.593 31.714 15.251 11.291 $u_2.921$ 5.573 13.993 31.721 11.525 16.596 11.7553 $u_2.921$ 5.737 13.751 33.04 1.579 10.976 11.759 $u_2.921$ 5.737 13.751 31.614 11.729 11.759 $u_2.921$ 5.737 13.751 34.674 1.7291 11.7655 $u_2.921$ 7.715 19.367 11.791 17.791 11.7653 $u_2.921$ 7.715 19.36721 $u_1.2291$ 17.536 11.7653 $u_2.921$ 7.712 16.203 17.791 17.791 11.7653 $u_2.921$ 7.702 16.916 $u_7.716$ 17.791 11.7653 $u_2.921$ 7.712 16.263 $u_7.716$ 17.791 12.793 $u_2.921$ 7.702	2.921 4.592 4.592 4.592 4.592 4.592 4.592 4.592 4.592 4.592 4.592 4.592 4.592 4.592 4.592 4.492 11.492 27.299 1.314 15.492 4.492 13.525 5 5 4.492 11.492 27.299 1.314 13.525 5 5 414.9 11.492 27.299 1.314 13.525 5 5 414.9 11.492 27.299 1.314 13.525 5 5 414.9 14.429 8 3 4	78	u2.921	4.365	11.	1.5	1.304	15.		6.374
u2:921 u.557 11.217 22.18 1.194 12.822 u2.921 u.757 10.669 23.975 1.214 12.822 u2.921 5.971 11.492 27.293 11.217 27.191 11.495 u2.921 5.971 11.492 27.293 31.774 15.792 13.322 u2.921 5.973 13.593 31.774 1.522 16.448 14.299 u2.921 5.973 13.593 31.774 1.522 16.594 15.946 u2.921 5.773 13.493 31.774 1.522 16.594 15.945 u2.921 5.773 13.493 31.774 1.522 16.594 15.945 u2.921 5.773 13.493 34.674 1.522 16.594 17.655 u2.921 7.715 14.173 1.579 17.616 17.655 17.655 u2.921 7.715 14.134 1.759 17.616 17.655 10.472 u2.921 7.202 16.946 u1.334 1.769 11.765 10.472 u2.921	25.921 4.757 10.692 11.217 22.18 1.194 14.934 12.822 5 42.921 5.971 12.443 37.181 1.495 15.254 13.322 5 42.921 5.971 12.443 37.181 1.495 16.448 14.793 8 42.921 5.971 13.158 31.521 1.495 16.448 14.793 8 42.921 5.973 13.593 31.774 15.522 16.546 8 8 42.921 5.973 13.593 31.774 1.522 16.446 8 8 42.921 5.797 13.751 32.04 1.541 8 10.795 9 9 9 42.921 5.797 13.751 32.04 1.541 15.791 10.755 9 10 10 10 10 10 10.51 9 10<	74	42.921	4.368		22		14		5.82
42.921 4.757 10.669 23.975 1.218 15.254 13.321 42.921 5.571 11.492 27.299 11.445 14.429 11.495 13.21 42.921 5.971 11.158 31.221 14.495 16.448 14.299 42.921 5.973 13.593 31.774 1.522 16.546 15.416 42.921 5.973 13.593 31.774 1.522 16.546 15.416 42.921 5.573 13.593 31.774 1.522 16.546 14.794 42.921 5.573 13.593 31.774 1.552 16.546 15.416 42.921 5.573 13.593 31.674 1.579 10.4778 11.795 42.921 7.715 14.173 34.674 1.779 17.291 17.616 42.921 7.715 14.633 34.674 1.779 17.616 11.616 42.921 7.703 15.623 41.344 1.779 17.291 17.616 42.921 7.203 16.23 10.21 10.21 10.2	u2.921 u.757 10.669 23.975 1.218 15.254 13.322 5 u2.921 5.971 11.492 27.299 1.314 15.128 13.211 7 u2.921 5.971 11.492 27.291 1.414 15.159 13.281 7 u2.921 5.971 11.492 27.291 1.459 8 1.214 15.93 11.495 16.446 14.799 8 u2.921 5.973 13.498 31.774 1.522 16.597 14.594 8 u2.921 5.773 13.498 32.112 1.522 16.597 14.594 8 u2.921 5.773 13.498 32.04 1.579 15.416 9 10 u2.921 7.715 13.493 34.674 1.579 10.591 10 10 u2.921 7.715 13.401 1.779 17.491 17.610 10 10 u2.921 7.703 15.053 10.191 1779 17.791 17.910 10 10 u2.921 7.203 15.0	80	42.921	4.692		22.	•	14.		5.84
42.921 5.571 12.403 37.299 1.314 15.792 13.481 42.921 5.571 12.403 37.181 1.446 16.283 14.299 42.921 5.573 13.498 31.774 1.521 1495 16.546 15.416 42.921 5.573 13.493 32.112 1.525 16.597 15.416 42.921 5.573 13.751 33.04 1.525 16.597 15.942 42.921 5.573 13.751 33.04 1.579 16.597 16.51 42.921 7.715 14.179 34.674 1.575 16.536 17.591 17.591 17.591 42.921 7.715 14.133 34.674 1.753 16.546 18.79 42.921 7.715 14.133 1.774 17.536 18.794 18.79 42.921 7.203 16.563 42.912 17.693 18.77 21.379 42.921 7.203 16.563 42.911 1.793 19.401 21.79 42.921 7.203 16.563 45.912	42.921 6.571 1.492 7.729 1.314 15.792 13.811 7 42.921 5.571 13.593 31.724 1.495 16.446 14.299 8.6 42.921 5.973 13.593 31.724 1.5525 16.446 14.299 8.6 42.921 5.573 13.593 31.724 1.5525 16.446 14.299 8.6 42.921 5.573 13.751 33.04 1.541 16.728 16.51 8 42.921 5.573 13.751 33.04 1.541 16.728 16.51 8 42.921 7.715 14.179 34.723 17.291 17.655 9 42.921 7.715 14.179 36.723 17.291 17.616 9 42.921 7.764 15.912 16.531 8.621 1.796 17.291 10.101 42.921 7.764 15.912 19.621 14.733 17.291 17.616 9 42.921 7.764 15.915 41.726 11.773 17.291 17.791 17.912 10.42 42.921 7.7201 17.716 41.726 11.773 17.912 17.401 11.479 12.172 42.921 7.201 17.718 17.718 17.791 17.935 20.742 12.191 42.921 7.201 17.778 19.7291 19.96 12.921 $72.21.379$ 12.921 42.921 7.201 17.778 17.786 <td>181</td> <td>42.921</td> <td>4.757</td> <td></td> <td>23.</td> <td>-</td> <td>15.</td> <td></td> <td>6.30</td>	181	42.921	4.757		23.	-	15.		6.30
2.921 5.571 12.473 37.181 1.416 16.283 14.299 42.921 5.973 13.498 31.221 1495 16.597 15.446 42.921 5.573 13.498 31.211 1.522 16.597 15.446 42.921 5.573 13.498 31.451 15.52 16.597 15.446 42.921 5.573 13.751 33.04 1.522 16.597 15.446 42.921 5.537 13.751 33.674 1.572 16.546 17.655 42.921 7.775 14.178 34.674 1.579 17.616 17.655 42.921 7.674 15.057 41.344 1.759 17.610 18.79 42.921 7.674 15.627 41.344 1.779 17.632 18.79 42.921 7.674 15.627 41.344 1.779 18.79 20.742 42.921 7.203 16.563 42.216 17.793 10.793 20.704 42.921 7.203 16.563 42.916 1.795 19.916 21.379 <td>42.927 5.971 12.473 31.221 1.416 16.283 14.299 8 42.921 5.973 13.593 31.774 1.522 16.546 15.416 8 42.921 5.973 13.593 31.774 1.522 16.546 15.416 8 42.921 5.573 13.593 31.774 1.552 16.597 15.416 8 42.921 5.573 13.751 33.04 1.572 16.597 16.594 8 42.921 5.573 13.751 33.04 1.572 16.546 15.416 8 42.921 5.737 13.751 33.04 1.572 10.93 10.916 10 42.921 7.715 14.633 34.671 1.577 15.361 10 10 42.921 7.674 15.563 41.334 1.7291 117.616 12.716 12.716 10 42.921 7.269 15.463 41.344 1.7791 17.791 117.616 10 42.921 7.263 14.1.344 1.7791 17.201 12</td> <td>19.2</td> <td>42.921</td> <td>6 7 7 7</td> <td></td> <td>27.</td> <td>-</td> <td>15.</td> <td></td> <td>7.13</td>	42.927 5.971 12.473 31.221 1.416 16.283 14.299 8 42.921 5.973 13.593 31.774 1.522 16.546 15.416 8 42.921 5.973 13.593 31.774 1.522 16.546 15.416 8 42.921 5.573 13.593 31.774 1.552 16.597 15.416 8 42.921 5.573 13.751 33.04 1.572 16.597 16.594 8 42.921 5.573 13.751 33.04 1.572 16.546 15.416 8 42.921 5.737 13.751 33.04 1.572 10.93 10.916 10 42.921 7.715 14.633 34.671 1.577 15.361 10 10 42.921 7.674 15.563 41.334 1.7291 117.616 12.716 12.716 10 42.921 7.269 15.463 41.344 1.7791 17.791 117.616 10 42.921 7.263 14.1.344 1.7791 17.201 12	19.2	42.921	6 7 7 7		27.	-	15.		7.13
2.921 5.991 13.593 31.774 1.522 16.946 15.416 42.921 5.933 13.593 31.774 1.522 16.546 15.416 42.921 5.537 13.593 31.774 1.525 16.546 15.416 42.921 5.537 13.498 32.112 1.525 16.546 15.416 42.921 5.537 13.498 33.04 1.547 10.478 15.541 42.921 7.715 14.639 34.674 1.579 10.478 17.691 42.921 7.715 14.639 36.723 1.610 17.691 17.616 42.921 7.947 15.165 40.1,344 1.779 17.610 18.79 42.921 7.674 15.165 40.1,344 1.779 17.610 18.79 42.921 7.203 16.563 40.7366 17.91 17.912 17.610 42.921 7.203 16.563 40.7266 1.791 17.935 20.742 42.921 7.203 16.563 40.7266 1.791 17.992 2	12.921 5.971 13.593 31.774 1.522 16.446 14.454 8 42.921 5.577 13.593 31.774 1.522 16.546 15.446 8 42.921 5.577 13.793 33.774 1.522 16.546 15.446 8 42.921 5.577 13.753 34.674 1.522 16.546 17.416 8 42.921 5.577 13.743 34.674 1.525 16.546 17.616 9 42.921 7.715 14.639 36.723 1.641 1.579 10.976 17.616 9 42.921 7.715 14.639 36.723 1.541 1.736 11.94 10 42.921 7.674 15.634 41.344 1.759 17.261 17.91 17.91 17.91 17.91 17.91 17.91 17.91 17.91 17.91 12.91 12.91 12.91 12.91 12.91 12.91 12.91 12.91 12.91 12.91 12.91 12.91 12.91 12.91 12.91 12.91 12.91 12.	83	42.921	5.5.1		3.)	-	16.		8.04
2.921 5.973 13.593 31.774 1.522 16.546 15.416 42.921 5.573 13.498 32.112 1.525 16.546 15.416 42.921 5.573 13.498 32.112 1.525 16.546 15.942 42.921 5.308 14.173 34.674 1.579 16.978 15.545 42.921 7.715 14.639 36.723 1.631 17.655 18.194 42.921 7.794 15.365 19.633 36.723 1.631 17.656 42.921 7.794 15.365 40.134 1.773 17.291 17.692 42.921 7.264 15.627 41.134 1.775 18.09 20.742 42.921 7.203 16.563 45.912 18.09 20.742 21.379 42.921 7.203 16.563 45.912 18.09 20.742 20.742 42.921 7.203 16.946 47.716 1.776 18.09 20.72 20.742 42.921 7.203 16.946 47.916 1.995 19.916 </td <td>25.921 5.973 13.593 31.774 1.522 16.546 15.416 8 22.921 5.573 13.498 32.112 1.525 16.546 15.416 8 22.921 5.573 13.751 33.04 1.525 16.546 15.416 8 42.921 5.573 13.751 33.04 1.525 16.546 15.912 15.928 42.921 7.715 14.613 34.621 1.579 10.778 11.651 9 42.921 7.715 14.633 34.621 1.579 17.291 17.616 9 42.921 7.202 15.072 38.621 1.776 17.791 17.91 17.91 17.91 42.921 7.203 15.053 47.164 1.776 10.92 19.400 11 42.921 7.202 16.946 47.716 1.779 17.791 17.910 17.13 42.921 7.202 16.946 47.716 1.791 17.91 17.91 17.91 17.91 42.921 7.202 16.946 47.716</td> <td>114</td> <td>u2.921</td> <td>192.2</td> <td></td> <td>m</td> <td>-</td> <td>16.</td> <td></td> <td>8,5(</td>	25.921 5.973 13.593 31.774 1.522 16.546 15.416 8 22.921 5.573 13.498 32.112 1.525 16.546 15.416 8 22.921 5.573 13.751 33.04 1.525 16.546 15.416 8 42.921 5.573 13.751 33.04 1.525 16.546 15.912 15.928 42.921 7.715 14.613 34.621 1.579 10.778 11.651 9 42.921 7.715 14.633 34.621 1.579 17.291 17.616 9 42.921 7.202 15.072 38.621 1.776 17.791 17.91 17.91 17.91 42.921 7.203 15.053 47.164 1.776 10.92 19.400 11 42.921 7.202 16.946 47.716 1.779 17.791 17.910 17.13 42.921 7.202 16.946 47.716 1.791 17.91 17.91 17.91 17.91 42.921 7.202 16.946 47.716	114	u2.921	192.2		m	-	16.		8,5(
42.921 5.573 13.751 33.04 1.525 16.597 15.942 42.921 5.308 13.751 33.04 1.579 16.51 16.51 42.921 5.308 13.751 33.04 1.579 16.976 16.51 42.921 5.308 13.751 33.04 1.579 10.976 16.51 42.921 7.715 14.173 17.291 17.291 17.591 17.591 42.921 7.703 15.052 41.334 1.753 18.794 18.79 42.921 7.269 15.915 41.334 1.753 18.79 18.79 42.921 7.203 16.563 42.912 18.67 18.79 18.79 42.921 7.203 16.563 45.915 17.79 17.91 17.91 21.792 42.921 7.203 16.946 41.778 1.791 17.935 20.742 42.921 7.203 16.946 41.778 18.91 21.379 42.921 7.203 16.946 41.778 19.91 21.379 <	Z5.921 5.573 13.751 33.04 1.525 16.597 15.982 8 W2.921 5.573 13.751 33.04 1.579 10.728 16.51 8 W2.921 5.308 14.179 34.674 1.579 10.728 16.51 8 W2.921 7.715 14.639 36.723 1.63 17.591 17.655 9 W2.921 7.947 15.165 0.7144 1.73 17.591 17.616 9 W2.921 7.947 15.165 0.7144 1.73 17.591 10.791 11.616 W2.921 7.674 15.057 0.1444 1.73 17.991 10.791 10.791 W2.921 7.269 15.915 W1.344 1.759 12.916 11.406 11 W2.921 7.203 16.563 W2.915 1.779 17.912 17.913 12.91 13 W2.921 7.203 16.563 W2.915 1.779 12.91 17.910 17.493 17.406 11 13 12.123 12.1379 12.1379 <td< td=""><td>145</td><td>42.921</td><td>5.9.33</td><td></td><td>31</td><td></td><td>16.</td><td></td><td>8.67</td></td<>	145	42.921	5.9.33		31		16.		8.67
2.921 5.537 13.751 33.04 1.541 16.728 16.51 42.921 5.537 13.751 33.674 1.579 10.478 17.655 42.921 5.538 19.163 34.674 1.579 10.478 17.655 42.921 7.674 15.057 10.131 17.536 18.194 42.921 7.674 15.057 11.344 1.753 18.194 42.921 7.674 15.057 41.344 1.753 18.194 42.921 7.674 15.057 41.344 1.759 18.194 42.921 7.764 15.057 41.344 1.759 18.194 42.921 7.720 16.563 42.266 18.09 20.72 42.921 7.203 16.563 42.266 18.67 21.379 42.921 7.203 16.563 42.266 18.67 22.568 42.921 7.203 16.563 42.266 18.67 22.129 42.921 7.203 16.563 42.266 18.67 21.379 42.921	2.921 5.557 13.751 53.04 1.541 16.728 16.51 8 2.921 5.308 14.178 34.674 1.579 10.978 17.655 9 2.921 7.715 14.178 34.674 1.579 10.978 17.655 9 2.921 7.715 14.183 34.674 1.579 10.978 17.655 9 2.921 7.047 15.367 41.334 1.759 17.291 17.944 10 2.921 7.674 15.629 41.334 1.759 17.802 19.406 11 42.921 7.674 15.629 41.334 1.759 17.932 10.192 10 42.921 7.674 15.629 42.716 1.779 12.792 12.406 11 42.921 7.203 16.946 47.786 17.793 12.916 12.193 12.317 12 42.921 7.203 16.946 47.786 1.951 19.67 20.742 13.42 12.916 12.32.611 13.42 12.916 12.32.611 13.42	240	1 Ch - CH	5.573				16		8.75
42.921 6.308 14.173 34.674 1.579 10.976 17.655 42.921 7.715 14.639 36.723 1.03 17.291 17.616 42.921 7.715 14.639 36.723 1.03 17.291 17.616 42.921 7.947 15.072 38.621 1.686 17.535 18.194 42.921 7.674 15.639 47.134 1.791 17.691 18.792 42.921 7.674 15.629 47.134 1.791 17.691 18.792 42.921 7.203 16.563 42.716 1.791 17.935 20.742 42.921 7.203 16.563 45.012 18.471 22.748 42.921 7.203 16.563 45.736 18.471 22.749 42.921 7.136 17.776 92.046 18.471 22.749 42.921 7.136 17.776 92.046 18.471 22.749 42.921 7.136 17.776 92.046 19.976 21.379 42.921 7.134 18.77 24.979	2.921 6.308 14.173 34.674 1.579 10.978 17.655 9 42.921 7.715 14.639 36.723 1.03 17.291 17.616 9 42.921 7.715 14.639 36.723 1.03 17.291 17.616 9 42.921 7.674 15.072 38.621 1.734 1.739 17.691 10.194 10 42.921 7.674 15.655 41.334 1.759 17.935 20.042 11 42.921 7.203 15.616 42.716 1.7791 17.935 20.042 12 42.921 7.203 16.946 47.748 1.7791 17.935 20.77 12 42.921 7.203 16.946 47.748 1.976 18.477 22.781 13 42.921 7.203 16.946 47.748 1.976 18.679 22.781 13 42.921 7.203 16.946 47.748 1.976 18.679 22.781 13 14.2.955 14 42.921 7.203 16.946 17	117	42.921	7 C			•	16.		56.8
u2.921 7.715 14.639 36.723 1.63 17.291 17.616 u2.921 7.715 14.639 36.723 1.646 17.536 18.194 u2.921 7.674 15.365 u7.144 1.73 17.636 18.194 u2.921 7.674 15.365 u7.144 1.73 17.640 18.79 u2.921 7.674 15.365 u7.144 1.791 17.935 20.042 u2.921 7.269 15.653 u4.246 1.791 17.935 20.042 u2.921 7.1202 16.563 u4.226 1.825 18.09 20.7 u2.921 7.203 16.563 u5.036 1.991 17.935 20.042 u2.921 7.202 16.946 u4.7788 1.995 18.09 20.7 u2.921 7.203 16.563 u5.036 1.995 18.49 22.305 u2.921 7.202 16.946 u7.7788 1.999 18.49 22.139 u2.921 7.316 17.776 22.046 1.999 18.416 2.129	U2.921 7.715 14.639 36.723 1.63 17.291 17.616 9 U2.921 7.715 14.639 36.723 1.636 17.536 18.79 10 U2.921 7.674 15.072 38.621 1.686 17.536 18.79 10 U2.921 7.269 15.629 U1.344 1.75 17.912 19.406 11 U2.921 7.269 15.629 U1.344 1.759 17.935 20.042 11 U2.921 7.203 16.563 U1.226 1.791 17.935 20.042 12 U2.921 7.203 16.563 U2.216 1.795 18.27 20.72 12 U2.921 7.202 16.946 U4.226 18.87 20.72 12 U2.921 7.203 16.563 U3.216 19.47 12 20.72 12 U2.921 7.202 16.946 U4.226 1.963 10.213 20.72 13 U2.921 7.202 16.946 1.976 1.961 19.216 21.31 21.37	881	42.921	6.308		34.	-	5.		9.43
42.421 9.363 15.072 38.621 1.686 17.536 18.194 42.921 7.947 15.465 40.144 1.73 17.536 18.194 42.921 7.674 15.465 40.144 1.73 17.536 18.194 42.921 7.674 15.465 41.134 1.753 17.935 20.042 42.921 7.269 15.471 10.221 41.791 17.935 20.742 42.921 7.203 16.563 45.912 1.825 20.742 20.742 42.921 7.203 16.563 45.912 1.865 18.27 21.379 42.921 7.201 17.35 40.234 1.999 18.27 21.379 42.921 7.201 17.35 95.046 1.999 18.27 21.379 42.921 7.134 17.776 52.046 1.999 18.171 2.4329 42.921 7.134 19.195 24.467 2.1 19.999 19.16.99 21.29 42.921 7.043 17.776 52.046 1.999 19.16.40 <td>42.921 9.363 15.072 38.621 1.686 17.536 18.194 10 42.921 7.947 15.365 47.144 1.73 17.536 18.194 10 42.921 7.674 15.365 47.144 1.759 17.536 18.194 10 42.921 7.674 15.365 47.164 1.759 17.935 20.042 11 42.921 7.703 16.563 42.912 1.791 17.935 20.042 12 42.921 7.202 16.946 47.748 1.996 18.27 21.379 12 42.921 7.203 16.946 47.788 1.996 18.27 21.379 12 42.921 7.203 16.946 47.778 1.996 18.47 22.490 13 42.921 7.203 17.35 29.451 1.995 18.41 22.490 13 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.946 1.996 18.41 22.490 13 42.921 7.043 18.67 2.1467 2.946 2.946 2.15</td> <td>949</td> <td>42.921</td> <td>7.715</td> <td></td> <td>36</td> <td>•</td> <td>17.</td> <td></td> <td>5 5</td>	42.921 9.363 15.072 38.621 1.686 17.536 18.194 10 42.921 7.947 15.365 47.144 1.73 17.536 18.194 10 42.921 7.674 15.365 47.144 1.759 17.536 18.194 10 42.921 7.674 15.365 47.164 1.759 17.935 20.042 11 42.921 7.703 16.563 42.912 1.791 17.935 20.042 12 42.921 7.202 16.946 47.748 1.996 18.27 21.379 12 42.921 7.203 16.946 47.788 1.996 18.27 21.379 12 42.921 7.203 16.946 47.778 1.996 18.47 22.490 13 42.921 7.203 17.35 29.451 1.995 18.41 22.490 13 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.946 1.996 18.41 22.490 13 42.921 7.043 18.67 2.1467 2.946 2.946 2.15	949	42.921	7.715		36	•	17.		5 5
2.921 7.947 15.165 47.144 1.75 17.646 18.79 42.921 7.674 15.629 41.334 1.759 17.6802 19.406 42.921 7.674 15.615 41.334 1.759 17.935 20.042 42.921 7.77 16.563 42.266 1.825 18.09 20.7 42.921 7.203 16.563 42.266 1.825 18.09 20.7 42.921 7.203 16.563 42.261 1.825 18.07 21.379 42.921 7.203 16.563 42.736 1.906 18.471 22.681 42.921 7.203 17.35 29.434 1.996 18.471 22.568 42.921 7.134 17.35 29.434 1.996 18.471 22.568 42.921 7.134 17.35 29.434 1.996 21.433 24.239 42.921 7.134 16.515 24.376 21.446 2.129 24.239 42.921 7.043 18.67 2.1 34.2467 2.1 19.403	2.921 7.947 15.165 47.144 1.75 17.046 18.79 10 42.921 7.674 15.629 41.334 1.75 17.646 18.79 10 42.921 7.674 15.629 41.334 1.75 17.91 17.92 19.406 11 42.921 7.17 16.521 42.926 1.825 18.09 20.7 12 12 42.921 7.17 16.543 45.912 1.865 18.09 20.7 12 12 42.921 7.203 16.946 47.788 1.995 18.27 21.379 12 42.921 7.201 17.35 49.946 1.951 18.67 21.379 12 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.965 11.951 21.339 23.159 14 42.921 7.043 17.776 52.046 1.965 11.955 24.336 14 42.921 7.043 18.06 21.236 14.42.326 18.471 22.681 13 42.921 7.203 18.67 <	500	a7.421	n . 3 63		38	•	17.		10.5
47.921 7.674 15.629 41.334 1.759 17.402 19.406 42.921 7.269 15.915 42.716 1.791 17.935 20.042 42.921 7.203 16.221 44.912 16.791 17.935 20.7 42.921 7.203 16.563 42.912 16.636 44.912 21.825 20.7 42.921 7.203 16.563 42.912 1.865 20.7 21.37 42.921 7.203 16.563 47.718 17.76 22.646 18.47 22.169 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.957 18.47 22.4339 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.951 18.47 22.159 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.969 23.555 24.329 42.921 7.043 18.67 2.1 19.916 2.159 24.329 42.921 7.043 18.67 2.1 2.046 1.969 24.1329 24.329 42.921 7.043 18.67 24.67 <t< td=""><td>47.921 7.674 15.629 41.334 1.759 17.902 19.406 11 42.921 7.674 15.621 44.2716 1.791 17.935 20.042 11 42.921 7.269 15.915 42.716 1.791 17.935 20.7 12 42.921 7.203 16.563 45.912 1.865 18.09 20.7 12 42.921 7.201 17.35 49.784 1.906 18.471 22.781 13 42.921 7.201 17.35 29.454 17.716 52.046 17.374 23.555 14 42.921 7.203 18.77 52.046 17.316 23.555 14 42.921 7.243 18.77 52.046 24.329 14 16.433 14.42.955 14 42.921 7.043 18.716 52.046 17.776 52.048 1555 14 42.921 7.043 18.776 52.046 2.048 16.403 23.129 14 42.921 7.043 18.776 2.11 19.43 23.1</td><td>16</td><td>42.921</td><td>7.947</td><td></td><td>5</td><td></td><td>17.</td><td></td><td>10.95</td></t<>	47.921 7.674 15.629 41.334 1.759 17.902 19.406 11 42.921 7.674 15.621 44.2716 1.791 17.935 20.042 11 42.921 7.269 15.915 42.716 1.791 17.935 20.7 12 42.921 7.203 16.563 45.912 1.865 18.09 20.7 12 42.921 7.201 17.35 49.784 1.906 18.471 22.781 13 42.921 7.201 17.35 29.454 17.716 52.046 17.374 23.555 14 42.921 7.203 18.77 52.046 17.316 23.555 14 42.921 7.243 18.77 52.046 24.329 14 16.433 14.42.955 14 42.921 7.043 18.716 52.046 17.776 52.048 1555 14 42.921 7.043 18.776 52.046 2.048 16.403 23.129 14 42.921 7.043 18.776 2.11 19.43 23.1	16	42.921	7.947		5		17.		10.95
25.921 7.269 15.915 42.716 1.791 17.935 20.742 42.921 7.203 16.563 45.915 44.226 1.825 18.27 21.379 42.921 7.203 16.563 45.912 1.906 18.47 20.7 42.921 7.202 16.563 45.912 1.906 18.47 21.379 42.921 7.202 16.946 47.788 1.906 18.47 22.691 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.999 18.47 22.655 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.999 18.47 22.655 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.955 24.329 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 19.4703 23.555 42.921 7.043 18.716 54.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 42.921 7.043 18.41 E.71 54.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 42.921 7.043 18.41 E.71 56.867 2.1 19.403	25.921 7.269 15.915 42.716 1.791 17.935 20.042 11 42.921 7.103 16.221 44.226 1.825 18.09 20.7 12 42.921 7.103 16.563 45.915 45.912 1865 18.09 20.7 12 42.921 7.203 16.563 45.912 1865 18.27 21.379 12 42.921 7.201 17.36 17.76 92.34 1.996 18.47 22.781 13 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.991 18.47 22.781 13 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.995 18.41 22.4.329 14 42.921 7.043 18.67 54.376 2.048 19.463 23.159 14 42.921 7.043 18.67 54.467 2.1 19.403 25.129 15 42.921 7.043 18.67 2.048 19.403 25.129 14 42.921 7.043 18.467 2.1 2.129 24.326	19.7	120.20	7.674		41.		17.	19.400	11.2
42.921 7.177 16.563 45.912 1.825 18.09 20.7 42.921 7.203 16.563 45.912 1.865 18.27 21.379 42.921 7.201 16.563 45.912 1.865 18.27 21.379 42.921 7.201 17.35 40.046 47.788 1.906 18.471 21.379 42.921 7.201 17.35 92.034 1.999 18.471 22.806 42.921 7.134 17.75 52.046 1.999 18.916 22.806 42.921 7.134 16.776 52.046 1.999 18.916 22.906 42.921 7.043 18.776 54.376 2.1 19.995 24.329 42.921 7.043 18.01 54.867 2.1 19.42.42 25.129 42.921 7.043 18.01 19.467 2.1 19.42.42 25.129 42.921 7.043 18.01 56.867 2.1 24.2467 2.1 19.42.403 25.129 2.409 13.427 39.76.81 35.11.39	25.12 1.432 1.432 18.27 20.7 12 42.921 7.107 16.563 45.912 1.865 18.27 21.379 12 42.921 7.202 16.946 47.788 1.996 18.27 21.379 12 42.921 7.203 16.563 45.912 1.865 18.27 21.379 12 42.921 7.201 17.35 29.454 1.996 18.471 22.691 13 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.946 1.995 18.416 22.891 14 42.921 7.136 17.776 54.376 2.948 19.916 23.555 14 42.921 7.043 18.51 54.376 2.148 19.403 25.129 15 42.921 7.043 18.56 86.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 15 42.921 7.043 18.56 24.67 2.1 19.403 25.129 15 42.921 7.043 18.67 2.1 19.433 39.11.39 280.636 14	~	179.70	1.269		51	•	17.	~	11.05
42.921 7.203 16.563 45.912 1.865 18.27 21.379 42.921 7.203 16.563 47.768 1.906 18.471 22.808 42.921 7.201 17.35 89.6346 1.906 18.471 22.781 42.921 7.201 17.35 89.6346 1.996 18.471 22.796 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.999 18.69 22.806 42.921 7.134 16.21 54.376 2.048 1.999 18.471 22.790 42.921 7.134 16.21 54.376 2.048 1.999 18.41 23.555 42.921 7.043 18.67 54.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 42.921 7.043 18.68 56.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 42.92 28.061 8.67 2.1 19.403 25.129 42.92 28.061 8.67 2.1 19.403 25.129 14.93 28.06 8.67 2.1 19.403 29.129 29.129	42.921 7.203 16.563 45.912 1.865 18.27 21.379 12 42.921 7.203 16.946 47.788 1.906 18.471 22.781 13 42.921 7.203 16.946 47.788 1.906 18.67 21.379 12 42.921 7.201 17.35 29.46 47.788 1.995 18.69 22.781 13 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.999 18.16 22.555 14 42.921 7.134 18.77 52.046 1.999 18.16 22.555 14 42.921 7.043 18.77 52.048 19.473 21.4329 14 42.921 7.043 18.67 2.1 19.403 25.129 15 42.921 7.043 19.467 2.1 19.403 25.129 15 42.921 7.043 19.403 25.129 24.235 14 42.91 8.764 8.67 2.1 19.403 25.129 15 42.92 9.76.86 3.76.88		100 01			111	•	a		12.07
u2.921 7.202 16.946 u7.788 1.996 18.471 22.781 u2.921 7.201 17.35 u9.634 1.996 18.471 22.946 u2.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.995 18.471 22.946 u2.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.995 18.69 22.555 u2.921 7.134 16.21 54.376 2.048 19.155 24.329 u2.921 7.043 18.67 2.1 19.403 25.129 u2.921 7.043 18.68 56.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 u2.921 7.043 18.68 24.376 21 19.403 25.129 u2.921 7.043 18.61 24.65 2.1 19.403 25.129 u42.921 57.041 24.65 21.139 39.11.39 283.036 19.43 25.121 1.439 39.242 3976.88 3511.39 283.036 11.43	42.921 7.202 16.946 47.788 1.916 18.471 22.681 13 42.921 7.201 17.35 89.034 1.951 18.471 22.691 13 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.999 18.471 22.691 13 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.999 18.471 22.691 13 42.921 7.134 18.21 54.376 2.048 1.951 24.329 14 42.921 7.043 18.51 56.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 15 42.921 7.043 18.61 56.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 15 42.921 7.043 18.61 8467 2.1 19.403 25.129 15 42.91 2.8028 11.439 39.242 3976.81 3511.39 289.03 944 25.12 1.556 11.439 39.242 3976.81 3511.39 289.03 944						•	- -	5	12.5
42.921 7.201 17.35 29.034 1.951 18.69 22.946 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.999 18.716 23.555 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.999 18.716 23.555 42.921 7.043 18.09 56.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 42.921 7.043 18.08 56.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 25.12 1.566 11.439 39.242 3976.88 3511.39 289.036	42.921 7.201 17.35 29.834 1.951 18.69 22.846 13 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.995 18.16 23.555 14 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.995 18.916 23.555 14 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.046 1.995 19.16 23.555 14 42.921 7.043 18.67 5.1 54.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 15 42.921 7.043 18.68 56.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 15 42.921 7.043 19.64 8467 2.1 19.403 25.129 15 2.409 13.463 39.242 39.76.86 81 20.242 39.11.39 203.036 944 25.12 1.566 11.439 39.242 3976.86 3511.39 203.036 944	1400	100 01				•	Ŧ	: 🌣	
42.921 7.136 17.776 52.946 1599 18.916 23.555 42.921 7.136 17.776 52.946 1599 18.916 23.555 42.921 7.043 18.08 56.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 42.921 7.043 18.08 56.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 25.129 25.12 1.566 11.439 39.242 3976.88 3511.39 289.036	42.921 7.136 17.776 52.946 1.999 18.316 23.555 14 42.921 7.136 17.776 54.376 2.048 19.116 23.555 14 42.921 7.043 18.21 54.376 2.048 19.155 24.329 14 42.921 7.043 18.21 54.376 2.048 19.155 24.329 14 42.921 7.043 18.67 56.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 15 42.92 13.06 18.66 2.1 26.867 2.1 15 24.329 14 42.92 13.67 2.1 26.86 11.43 39.242 3511.39 283.63 944 25.12 1.566 11.43 39.242 3576.88 3511.39 283.63 944	001					- •	<u></u>) <u>-</u>	
42.921 7.784 16.21 54.376 2.008 19.155 24.329 42.921 7.043 19.08 56.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 42.921 7.043 19.08 56.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 2.4.292 3976.88 3511.39 283.036 35 25.12 1 .566 11.439 39.242 3976.88 3511.39 283.036	42.921 7.049 18.21 54.376 2.048 19.155 24.329 14 42.921 7.043 18.68 56.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 15 42.921 7.043 18.68 56.867 2.1 19.403 25.129 15 2.4.2 3976.88 3511.39 283.036 944	060		1 25 1 7		52		2. A	ŝ	14.2
1 42.921 7.043 18.68 56.867 2.1 19.403 25.120 1 42.921 7.043 18.68 56.867 2.1 19.403 25.120 1 6.80 2.42 3976.88 3511.39 283.03 94	и 42.921 7.043 Ин.08 56.867 2.1 14.403 25.120 15 					3 4			20	1 1 1
и ч.	а 25,12 1,556 11,439 39,242 3976,88 3511,39 289,636 944		176.74			i u nu				- u - u
LAD9 LKCN FMCN1 ENGA PI PIRPC KPI EXOF 25,12 1 .566 11.439 39.242 3976.88 3511.39 283.030 94	25,12 1.566 11.439 39.242 3976.88 3511.39 283.034 94		1 7 6 • 7 4				-		•	
25,12 1 ,566 11,439 39,242 3976,88 3511,39 289,636 94	25.12 1.566 11.439 39.242 3976.88 3511.39 289.630 94		6047	LICN	しょうがに	EM G A		PIRPC	Idy	хор
		67.8	25.12	<u>ر</u>	11.437		3976.88		0	

1

I)

,,,,,	.							
1980	24.681	13. 435	13.07.2	37.992	4539.17	3568.09	313,451	1080.67
1991		16,452	13.704		5263. 41	38 24 . 7 2	329.479	1170.88
	26.538							
1982	2'3.715	21.944	15.23		3 6468.95	42?65.79	346.381	1313.45
1983	32.78	23,038	16.674	39, 579	7494.61	4470.5	362.392	1531.3
1984	33.89	19.235	17.113	43.283	7686.62	4275. 13	378.42	1727.55
1985	34.161	19.044	17.842	44.17	EJE1.82	4200.79	397.33	1830.66
1986				43.891		4247.03	417.814	1966.44
	34.588	19.612	18.539					
1987	35.67	29.5	18.974	43.616		4365.48	439.356	2126.39
1988	37.338	21.325	19.493	44.141	10545.2	4506.79	461.361	2337.48
1989	39.301	21.82	20.522	45,362	11710.	4633.99	483.794	2587.36
199.	47,944	21.56	21.148		12749.7	4699.96	506 .800	2845.57
	42,145					4758.77	531.57	3090.66
1991		21.553	21.252		13766.6			
1992	43.269	21.59	21.414		14903.8	4839.7	558.405	3331.71
1993	44.524	22.075	21.771	47.202	16204.7	4937.5	586.82	3589.19
1994	45,933	22.519	22.214	47.159	1'7664. ?	5045.7	616.501	3670.88
1995	47.512	22.879	22.7		19.26.2.	5155.78	647.493	4173.55
	49.215							4502.23
1996		23.493	23.404		21077.	5270.02	679 B43	
1997	51.071	24.299	24.245	47.534	23089.6	5392.95	713.684	4861.16
1998	53.014	25.179	25.124	47.728	25303.4	5518.69	749.13	5254.32
1999	55,002	26.134	26.078		27765.2	56u8.'1n	786.379	5683.51
					36481.3	578126	825,473	6155.61
2000	5?. 251	27.142	27.084	40+210	30401.3	5/0120	023,413	0133.01
	E XC AP	E99s I	E995RPC	REVGF	RP95	FT98	RENS	GFBAL
1070				1000 01	481 4		004 470	654
1978	28 .	1270.12	1121.45	1052.41	471.4	261.125	334.172	651.
1979	290.	1371.84	1107.13	1430.74	860.7	206.05	281.303	842.735
1980	474.16	1625.02	1277.30	1574.57	996.3	187.973	267 . 359	938.741
1981	499.582	1748.41	1270.5	1891.24	1278.53	193.609	281.086	1227.87
1982	580.997	1985.47	1305.23	2188.61	1475.66	243.251	342.35tt	1613.21
1983	678.668	2313.51	1389.	249?. 🗘	1642.82	313.591	429.398	2019.67
1984	766.323	2612.79	1453.14	3089.84	2122.25	369.450	503.867	2731.66
1985	891.55	2858.08	1489.26	3492.29	2422.78	388,766	536.651	3652.37
	1. 12.6				2432.27	418.642	577.073	4498.09
1986		3138.3	1543.09	3626.39				
1987	1065.44	3377.31	1552.76	3817.51	2490.13	458.061	629.572	5338.91
1988	1153.7?	3706.09	1583.9	4030.08	2546.56	5 14 • 488	703.671	6121.28
1989	1229.	4063.96	1608.23	4321.14	2661.87	588.709	799.933	6879.28
1990	13 06 . 22	4432 .95	1634.14	4416.48	2575.6	667.181	903.736	7424.96
						748.247	1009.99	7822.51
1991	1311.65	4776.57	1631.09	4538.18	2529.95 2558,91			
1992	1332.39	5013.61	1628.07	4719.01		826,176	1112.25	8122.75
1993	1380.18	5344.27	1628.37	4914.79	2593.39	916.531	1230.26	8320.S2
1994	14 35.21	57: 9. 13	1630,74	5056.04	2566.87	1016.69	1361.28	8340.07
1995	1486.46	6 087 .75	1627s19	5188.53	2520.62	1135. (J3	1515.52	8152.86
				5367.19		1265.7	1685.98	7755.36
1996	1575.39	6542.73	1635.92		2518.72			
1997	1694.64	7050.68	1646.8	5566.67	2522.26	1421.46	1886.14	7130.39
1998	1324.44	7668,39	1659.4	5758.61	25?-3.96	1591.14	2106.21	6229.7?
1999	1965.08	02, 14.36	1669.22	5967.58	2504.1	1792.76	2363.02	5041.66
2000	2119,14	6857.85	1620.03	6179.63	2491.'56	2013.05	.2647 .0\$!	3521.15
2000	2113,14	0001.00	1020.05	0179.03	2431.30	2013.03	.2047 .09:	0021110
	PFBAL	BINS	FUNC	FUN D78	E99L. PI	R991	E99L,	SIMP
	(1 U U D	0 4 9 6	u. U	100 510	FI FI			
1978	54 .475	47.07	105.475	705. 457	0.158	601.57	628.333	38.851
1979			103.473	944.331		598.41	626.779	296.035
	158.775	49.656						
1989	280.5	70.9	1219.24	1089.22	U . (J.)	653.128	6?3.149	217.73
198-1	416.975	86.749		1397.97	0.142	115.470	747.353	425.602
1982	568.925	117.224	2162.13	1764.13	0.128	798.24	832.028	53? .292
1983	737.199	155.5'10	2757.07	2130. 45	0.127	913.583	949.398	574.938
1984	954.149	1S6 .681	3685.8	2727,48	139	1'33.56	949.398 1271.53	928.735
1985	1193.05	262.777	4845.42	3414.94	0.143	1110.75	1151.	1159.61
100.5	1442.25	345,144	5947,94	3981.76	C. 14	1173.37	1216.02	1095.53
1986				" L H A 75	. 137	1251.69	1296.9	1 87.68
1987	1639.7	423.19	7.128.61	4479.75				
1987			7328.61 8062.58					
1987 1988	1941.3	5(0.451	8062.58	4593.68	3, 133	1357.54	1405.47	1033,97
1987 1988 1989	1941.3 2204.82	5(0.451 574.087	8062.58 9084.11	489 3.6 8 5258.04	0,133 3,131	1357.54 1484.76	1405.47 1535.56	1033,97 1021,53
1987 1988	1941.3	5(0.451	8062.58 9084.11	4593.68	3, 133	1357.54	1405.47	1033,97

253

.

.

۳.

j,

æ

	1992 297 1993 323 1694 399 1995 374 1996 400 1997 425 1998 451 1998 451	6.97 704.555 13539.5 3.85 751.348 11796.6 4.82 791.631 11555.6 3.52 625.069 11833.6 7.95 845.919 1191.1.8 2.85 851.797 11756.2 9.32 843.088 11369.7 6.79 818.576 107 45.8 2.27 774.787 ")?13.93 6.16 714.836 £549.31	5552.15 0.132 1764.49 5564.73 0.131 18%3.62 5514.32 0.13 2037.7\$! 5375.08 0.128 2196.06 51136.89 0.127 2373.21 4£43.23 0.126 2569.54 4468.99 0.124 2734.15 4016.84 u. 123 3020.82 3494.73 0.121 3278.42 2900.22 5.12 3561.78	1821.58 650.421 1954.13 557.113 2101.92 459.051 2264.04 277.941 2465.27 67.238 2645.93 -142.621 2865-13 -368.5 3104.65 -643.898 3369.4 -931.879 3658.22 -1264.62	
254	1960 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1988 1988 1989 1990 1990 1991 1992 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997	PI ENS.PI RP9S.GF 0.334 0.369 0.692 0.355 0.359 0.633 0.332 0.053 0.676 0.306 0.053 0.674 0.355 0.067 0.694 0.355 0.067 0.694 0.355 0.067 0.694 0.355 0.067 0.622 0.351 0.066 0.652 0.351 0.067 0.632 0.344 0.066 0.652 0.351 0.067 0.632 0.347 0.068 0.616 0.342 0.071 0.583 0.336 0.075 0.542 0.33 0.673 0.557 0.336 0.075 0.542 0.316 0.079 0.4 0.316 0.079 0.4 0.305 0.082 0.53 0.301 0.083 0.437 1.295 0.085 0.403 0.291	96		

<u></u>

()

4

REFERENCES

Alaska Consultants, Inc. 1976. Yakutat Comprehensive Development Plan.

- Alaska Department of Commerce and Economic Development. 1978. The Alaska Economy: Year End Performance Report 1977.
- Alaska Department of Health and Social Services. Health Information Systems Section, 1974 Vital Statistics Provisional Figures.
- Alaska Department of Labor. Various Years. Labor Force Estimates.
- Alaska Department of Labor. Various Years. Population Estimates by Census Division.

Alaska Department of Labor. Various Years. Statistical Quarterly.

- Alaska State Housing Authority. 1971. Yakutat Comprehensive Development Plan.
- Goldsmith, S., and L. Huskey. 1978. Structural Change in the Alaskan Economy: The Alyeska Experience. Unpublished. Paper for presentation at the 29th Alaska Science Conference. Institute of Social and Economic Research.
- Institute of Social and Economic Research. 1976. Census of Transportation. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Transportation.

Kresge, D. 1977.

)

i.

Mathematical Sciences, Northwest. 1976. A Social and Economic Impact Study of Offshore Petroleum and Natural Gas Development in Alaska. A Report for the Bureau of Land Management.

Rogers, G., and G. Listowski. 1978.

- Scott, M. 1978. Behavioral Aspects of the State of Alaska's Operating Budget FY 1970 - FY 1977. Institute of Social and Economic Research. A Report for the Alaska Legislative Affairs Agency.
- Scott, M. 1979. Southcentral Alaska's Economy and Population, 1965-2025: A Base Study and Projection. Institute of Social and Economic Research. A Report for the Alaska Water Study Committee.
- Tiebout, C. 1962. The Community Economic Base Study, Supplementary Paper #16. Committee for Economic Development.
- U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. 1976 Survey of Income and Education. Microdata Tape.

- U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. 1970. 1970 Census.
- U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis. Regional Economic Information System. July 1978 Printout.
- U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. 1978. Employment and Earnings.
- U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. 1978. Monthly Labor Review.