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ABSTRACT

The final report of the OCS Social Indicators research project reports the findings of sociocultural research conducted in the Northwest Alaska Native Association (NANA) and Aleutian-Pribilof Islands regions. The objective of this research was to conduct primary ethnographic and secondary quantitative data research in two dissimilar Alaskan regions that are represented by very different corpora of baseline data, both in quality and quantity, to ascertain how and in what ways a systematic monitoring of community well-being and stress can be conducted.

The focus of the research was broad and generalized. The research team sought to determine the general types of changes and measurements of these changes that occur along a number of socioeconomic dimensions, consequent to broadly defined social and economic adjustments and variations. Our emphasis was on the definition of social indicators that, based upon the empirical data we collected, could be used to index the varieties of social change and re-adjustment that are typical of both study regions. In short, we were concerned with the discovery and documentation of social variables that, as indicators, represent a broad range of social facts, and that might be used as scientific tools evaluate ongoing change in these and other regions, that can be traced to development impacts.

The study team conducted research and analysis on primary ethnographic data as well as secondary aggregate quantitative data. The contextual background for the research and analysis was established through a review of available existing data and literature in which generalizations concerning sociocultural trends in the study regions were developed; the result of this review is Volume III of this report: “Baseline Ethnographic Description of NANA and Aleutian-Pribilof Regions”. Volume III is designed to provide a brief description of the populations of the Aleutian-Pribilof Islands (including the Alaska Peninsula villages northeast as far as Egegik) and the NANA regions.

Volume II which follows represents supporting documentation in the form of technical appendices to the OCS Social Indicators Study. These appendices consist of the field research protocols (Appendix A), a definition of the variables (Appendix B), a
guide to the analytic matrices that represent a consolidated statistical comparison of research variables (Appendix C), and an aggregate data inventory, titled the Present State of Community Well-Being in Two Selected Regions of Alaska (Appendix D).

The villages selected for primary field research study were Kotzebue, Selawik, Noatak and Kiana in the NANA region, and Unalaska, King Cove, Nikolski and St. George in the Aleutian-Pribilof region. Long time series of aggregate secondary data were also collected at both the regional and village levels. The primary field research was conducted for a period of two weeks in each village. This research was guided by data collection protocols corresponding to key domestic and institutional topics.

The aggregate and primary field data were collected independently and one goal was the comparison of these independent data sets to determine if aggregate time series were sensitive to impacts we could document in the field, such that selected time series might be used as monitoring indicators. Several series of data manipulations were performed on both primary field and aggregate data, creating multiple ordinal and bivariate matrices of comparisons after the data were sorted, coded and ranked. Finally, a smallest space analysis was conducted to identify the most conspicuous clusters of variables, and distinguish the variables that best serve as indicators of the larger clusters of variables. Concluding hypotheses were prepared that seek to account for these clustering patterns, contingent on further tests of the hypotheses at other points in time.

None of the indicators identified in this analysis are linked statistically to all variables, but rather tend to be tightly linked to variables in the clusters in which they reside*. The variables corresponding to non-governmental source of income, domestic functions and child-rearing, and household dynamics seem to indicate many other variables relating to income, subsistence and family organization (nine variables in all). In a second cluster, seven variables are indicated by our variables of earned governmental-source income, and village size;

*Nonetheless, there are many dimensions along which one or several variables may indicate other variables in other clusters.
these seven variables relate primarily to attitudes and expectations concerning political, social, and economic issues, and economic dependency. A third cluster of variables (related to income pooling and distribution, service use, economic strategies and attitudes) is best represented, or indicated by our household income variable, and a variable characterizing income source, predictability, and stability. A fourth cluster consisting of many critical variables concerning subsistence and wage practices, Westernization, and traditional patterns is strongly indicated by the variables of household size, resource pooling and sharing, and subsistence expenses. A fifth cluster of institutional variables related to institutional structure and organization in the study communities is indicated by the variables of Native institutional representation, sodality memberships, and perceptions of institutional control.

Judging by joint analyses of primary and aggregate data, the aggregate time series that are the most sensitive indicators of institutional and domestic changes are internal growth, school enrollments, government and private sector employment, and welfare payments; social welfare caseloads may represent another indicator.

The powerful aggregate and primary field data indicators are seen to be complementary because they overlap functionally in indicating numerous types of dependencies and the ramifications of these dependencies. Although the time series data may have less utility as indicators for a number of reasons, research showed that selected powerful events can be detected by the time series data record; specifically, the research showed that the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act and recent 200 mile territorial limit legislation had measurable impacts evident in many time series. These findings are summarized in the concluding hypotheses along with a proposed methodology for testing the hypotheses at two additional points in time.

A research validation and monitoring methodology is proposed, based on the assumption that the social dynamics of Alaskan villages can be captured by a few key indicators and that impacts on social well-being from OCS as well as other development can be observed by measuring these indicators over time. This methodology requires that the indicator system be measured at two additional points in time in a wide variety of
villages, including a sample of villages where OCS development is unlikely and villages outside the two study regions. By analyzing the relationships among measurements in three time periods, the indicator system can be refined until it is optimally unbiased and reliable. Observation of villages unlikely to be affected by OCS activities and those outside the NANA and Aleutian Pribilof regions will ensure cross sectional control. A first round protocol is proposed to operationalize a set of preliminary indicators which can be utilized by MMS until the validation has been completed.
Volume II (Technical Appendices) is a supporting document that provides fuller depth and detail in a technical sense that will serve the needs of audiences that require additional corroborative and supplementary materials beyond those incorporated into the main text in Volume I.

Volume II consists of Appendices chiefly devoted to analytic materials referenced but not exhaustively described in the text (e.g., Appendices A, B, and C), and a descriptive compendium or catalog of aggregate data collected and reviewed during the course of the research (Appendix D).

This Volume complements the coverage in Volume I and is designed to fill gaps that specialized audiences may identify on the basis of their specialized needs when reviewing Volume I; these gaps are intentional and are resolved through the inclusion of assorted Appendices for the sake of brevity in the main text. Volume II will in general address the needs of specialists who desire a fuller coverage of detailed technical issues. The reader is urged to consult the Volume and Volume III, as well, at any point during the review of Volume I.
APPENDIX A

PRIMARY FIELD RESEARCH PROTOCOLS
APPENDIX A

WORLDVIEW

This protocol should be used with five community members; one senior Native social services or health worker, two older adults identified in family discussions (age 40-50), and two youngsters (age ca. 10-16).

Work with the discussant in summoning a fictional exemplar or caricature, a personal scenario, of a person who represents the “good life”, proper upbringing, and the traits that are associated with respect, maturity, and balanced outlook. Record the fictional, idealized portrait. Make sure that the following themes are covered.

(1) How does a person get to be that way?

(2) What are the skills or resources that are necessary for achieving that state? Endurance, faith, determination, modesty, etc.

(3) Is it easier or harder now for people to achieve this?

(4) Why?

(5) Whose responsibility is it for helping a person achieve this? Self alone, parents, friends, etc.

(6) When the respondent was younger, or even when the respondent’s parents were children, is it likely that such a portrait would have been different?

(7) How and why?
INSTITUTIONAL PROTOCOL: ECONOMICS

To be used to elicit information from representatives of key economic institution networks in each village. A federal, a regional corporation, and a village official should be interviewed.

(1) Is it profit or non-profit?
   a. Is it profit or non-profit?
   b. What does it own?
   c. Who works for it and in what capacity?

(2) Is the regional corporation represented in the village?
   a. What are the current and past village relations to the regional corporation?
   b. Have disputes occurred between village and regional corporation?
   c. Do village members serve on the regional board?
   d. What does the regional corporation own?
   e. What does it control?
   f. Who works for it?

(3) Is there an Indian Reorganization Act tribal council?
   a. Does it have a Charter to conduct business for the village?
   b. Does it administer federal funds allocated to the village?
   c. Does it seek grants, contracts and the like?
   d. What are the IRA government’s relations to the regional corporation and to other forms of Native government?
   e. What are the relations to the state government? Does it seek aid from the State of Alaska?
   f. Does the state exercise any economic (financial) authority over the IRA government?

(4) Is there a city council?
   a. Is the city council independent of an IRA government’s authority even if an IRA government is established in the village?
   b. What does the city council own?
   c. What does it control?
   d. Who is employed by the city council (# of whites, number of natives)?
   e. What types of positions are filled by whites? by natives?
   f. Where does the city council obtain its resources, finances, or income?
(5) Do private corporations and businesses operate within or nearby the village?

a. Are they native-owned or not native-owned?
b. Who controls them?
c. Who is employed by them and in what capacity?
d. Where does ownership reside (locally or in absentia)?
e. Where do profits accumulate (locally or in absentia)?
f. Do jobs and profits provide a local multiplier? Of what magnitude?
g. Are such businesses or corporations either controlled or influenced by any form of native government (city council, IRA government) or native corporation (profit, non-profit, regional)?

For each above question, please ask:

(1) What are sources of money?
(2) What are the financial pooling and distribution practices?
(3) What is the calendar of income and disbursement (within the various fiscal years)?
(4) Where does it go? What does it pay for?

ECONOMIC INSTITUTION: OTHER DATA OF POTENTIAL VALUE

Minutes of shareholders’ meetings (regional and village) may indicate community pleasure, displeasure, ambivalence, or naivete (lack of information) about economic programs. Minutes may provide clues, confirm or contradict data culled from interviews with key personnel in the economic networks, and with people interviewed in the family-household networks.

Inventory for 3 year span:

(1) What measures or proposals or resolutions were offered?
(2) What was voted on and what were the results?
(3) Election results?
INSTITUTIONAL PROTOCOL: POLITICAL

About three key representatives of political networks should be interviewed. These might include a member of the city council, the IRA government, someone from a key advisory board (Coastal Zone Management, Regional Corporation, Fish and Game, School Board).

(1) Is there a city council?
   a. How are council members sat (elected, appointed, other)?
   b. Are there disputes within communities about council actions?
   c. How are disputes resolved?
   d. Do elected or appointed officials resign?
   e. Are political or factional disputes the causes of resignations/acrimony and related problems?

(2) Develop a list of advisory boards that operate within the community?
   a. Rank order the boards in terms of their activities.
   b. Evaluate the boards in terms of their influence on the agencies and colorations they advise.
   c. Are there disputes within communities about the actions of their advisory boards?
   d. How do board members perceive and/or advocate community rights and interests?
   e. Which board elections are highly contested? Why?

(3) List all boards in the community.
   Example: Fish and Game, Regional Corporation, Coastal Zone Management, School.

(4) Is there an Indian Reorganization Act government? (see the economic institutional protocol).
   a. How and why are people elected?
   b. Are there disputes or concerns over the concentration of power (factional disputes within the community) among some people in the IRA government?
   c. How are political disputes resolved?
(5) Village officials should be asked how villagers use the helping services and whether they direct villagers, on request or in any other way, to use those services. An inventory should be collected from village officials. These data will provide important cross checks against data collected from family-household interviews, and data collected directly from helping services personnel.

**POLITICAL INSTITUTION: OTHER DATA OF POTENTIAL VALUE**

Minutes of the IRA government, city council, or both, could be valuable in assessing policies, disputes, persons involved in disputes and disagreements, and the manner in which issues are resolved.

Inventory for the last 3 year span:

(1) All issues resolutions offered.
(2) Results of votes on above.
(3) Elections - special detail on close elections and run-offs.
INSTITUTIONAL PROTOCOL: EDUCATION

About three key people should be interviewed among the following educational institutions and networks: Community Advisory School Committee, School Board, School District Administration and local school teachers.


2. See economics and political protocols.

3. What educational resources and facilities are available in each village?

4. Do courses that are taught within the village prepare a person for a job or occupation in the village or outside the village?

5. Do courses prepare persons for ownership, management, labor?

6. See political protocol.

7. What are the goals of education in the village that are expressed by key personnel. Do the goals that are specified for the village differ from the personal goals of education as envisaged by the key personnel for themselves?

8. Do key persons in the educational institution recognize a disjunction between what parents say they want for their children in the way of an education and the direction and support that they provide the children in obtaining those educations? In what ways? Who senses disjunctions? Are the various perceived disjunctions the same for different observers? What causes the disjunctions? Whose job is it to do something about them? What have you done to address them?

9. Are there dissonances, or contradictions, among what adults say the goals of education are, parental-family practices, and the goals of the education system? (Related questions will be asked of parents).

Does the educational system recognize (admit) the contradictions? Do the adults notice the contradictions? What do they respectively attribute them to? Are goals persistent and durable, or do they change rapidly, year-to-year, etc? What contradictions are there regarding families vs. educational system and what contradictions are there...
within/between families, or between spokespersons for the educational system?

(10) (For ASC rep's in village). Do parents or students come to you with requests or ideas about education in your village? Describe.

(11) For the last 3 year span, investigate results/minutes of regional school board meetings.

a. Inventory issues or resolutions offered to a vote, or for action.

b. Inventory results of above.

c. Inventory election results within number votes case for each candidate - detail the close elections and run-offs.

OTHER SOURCES OF DATA WITH POTENTIAL VALUE: EDUCATION

Minutes of school board meetings may focus on curriculum goals, opinions about teachers and administrators, expectations of parents and administrators, disputes and disagreements about policies and the like. (See #11 above).
Researchers should begin by compiling an inventory of each village's agencies, facilities, staff, programs, budgets, and utilization.

Subsequently, interviews should be conducted with key personnel from each of several agencies, at least one representing physical health or mental health, one representing social services—including manpower and youth services— one representing police functions, and one representing housing or housing improvements, such as winterization or development programs.

1. What are the objectives of your agency?

2. Why does your agency collect the statistics that it collects, and how do those statistics reflect the problem with which your agency copes?

3. Tenure of staff members. Which ones leave, who stays, and why? Do they "burn out"? Why? (Maybe able to infer how key personnel perceive the communities that they serve).

4. How would you rate the success of the program for which you work? What are the reasons for your rating?

5. Who runs the agency, i.e., where is control localized and who makes major decisions for the agency? Is control in Juneau, Anchorage, Washington, D.C., or the local village?

6. How does staff perceive the problems of clients? What are the causes and can they be solved?

7. What do you need to make the program more successful?

8. Do you direct clients to other agencies for services? What are your relations to those other agencies? What percentage of your clients are using other services in the community? What are referral procedures across agencies? How do you coordinate services among agencies? Is it done by a key person in community other than employees of any agency?

9. Using records and directed questions, develop a brief history of the service programs that you document.

How were they originally conceived? Who authorized and planned them? Did they assume older services or did they bring new services that had not been available? If the former, why were the services assumed? Who controlled them?
CLIENT INTERVIEWS: HELPING SERVICES

An important perspective on the helping services and the factors that cause or influence people to use them, both of which may indicate community well-being, can be obtained from interviewing clients at hospitals, clinics and jails.

Some of the questions that are asked of the key personnel in the helping agencies can be compared with responses to similar questions posed to clients. See the Institutional Protocol: Helping Services.

For clients, identify 5 representing different services and ask:

1. What is the objective or purpose of the service program?
2. How successful is the program? How can you tell?
3. Who controls the program?
4. What would make the program better?
5. What causes the problems that make people need the services in the first place.
INSTITUTIONAL PROTOCOL: MODALITIES, CLUBS, ASSOCIATIONS

To be used in eliciting information from representatives of key modalities, clubs, and associations in the community after an inventory of all such groups is made. One or two interviews may suffice.

1. Develop an inventory of all known modalities, clubs, and associations. Some of these groups may be invisible, or faintly visible, but direct questioning of members of some groups about the nature of other groups may fill in the picture.

2. The inventory should include the following information about each sodality, club, and association:

   a. approximate number of members.
   b. goals of the organization.
   c. approximate number of formal activities in which members participate each year.
   d. the way in which membership is obtained:
      - self-selection/volunteer.
      - nomination-election.
      - birth or some other ascriptive means.
   e. preconditions, if any, to membership:
      - prior residence in a different village.
      - long-term residence in community.
      - membership in a particular religion, political party or the like.
   f. Initial procedures, if any.
   g. Conduct of group:
      - secret meetings only.
      - public meetings only.
      - secret and public meetings.
   h. Performance or activities other than meetings.
      - secret.
      - public.
      - secret and public.
   i. Relations among clubs, modalities, assoc.

1. Is each a sodality in the community, say, independent of every other in goals, activities, and membership, or are there overlaps?

2* Overlaps can be of two kinds: overlapping membership, and overlapping activities (perhaps even coordinated activities). Some sense of how many join, and what activities the members participate in after joining will be helpful.
For respondent, ask: What other clubs or associations do you belong to? What about other people in your group?

3. Important to determine whether clubs, modalities, and associations are local chapters or more widely distributed organizations, or whether they are sole organizations exclusive and particular to the village in question. If they are local chapters of larger organizations, pay special attention to (a) local goals and activities, and (b) amount of coordination with, and activities conducted in conjunction with, other chapters of the same organization.

j. Issues that exercise the modalities, i.e., the issues which are addressed by one or more modalities per year should be assessed. In particular the issues that animate members of clubs, modalities, or other associations, should be compared with the goals of those clubs, and we should seek to learn whether some issues cut across all or most clubs, animating networks of people whose memberships are in different organizations.

k. Brief history of the groups, narrative format developed through questions above.
INSTITUTIONAL PROTOCOL: RELIGION

An inventory of formal, established religions should be made, collecting data on membership, observing the size of weekly attendance. One or two religious functionaries - priests, ministers, missionaries, or the like should be interviewed to determine:

(1) The different roles that the church serves in the community.
   a) Does it provide social services, welfare, counseling, money for the needy? Does it do this officially, or "under the counter"?
   b) Does it serve as a broker, or as a conduit to state and federal helping service agencies, referring needy or troubled persons and families to those agencies?
   c) Does it provide counsel and help to people referred to them by state and federal agencies?

(2) The functionary should be asked about the nature and extent of lay participation in religious activities. Elaborate. Do people volunteer? Are they appointed? Role rotate?

(3) If extra-curricular activities are organized by co-religionists that stem from their common membership in the same church, determine what these groups are and what they do (singing, sewing, sponsoring games or feasts, visiting invalids, and the like). Is this considered by participants to be a "church" activity?

Questions should also be asked regarding religious movements (Charismatic Christians, native prophets, or such) are operating in the community. If so, seek to determine:

(1) The issues around which the movement is formed.
(2) Its goals.
(3) Its participants.
(4) The manner in which adherents of such movements interact with non-members in the community (proselytize? shun? exhort?)
(5) The manner in which members define the problems of the village, or the region, or the world.
(6) The manner of formation. Where these missionaries etc. invited? By whom? Are they local? Where did they come from? When?

(7) Brief history of central religious group(s) in area. What do they do now that they did not before? What did they do before that they do not now?

(8) Identify one or two central Church leaders. Document the other community roles that they participate in.

NOTE: Be sensitive to informal and formal social movements with religious overtones. Religious movements often occur when people sense life obstacles, and they sense resistance to changing the obstacle, and from the obstacle. The movement may be transcendent, reformative, etc., and may have political overtones.
(4) What were their reasons for locating in the current village?
   a) Job? Requests from kin or wife's kin? Access to subsistence resources?

Composition:

(1) Who resides in the household? Prepare chart and/or list of names and relations.

(2) Relations among kin, affines, and non-kin, including adoptive members-, should be determined.

(3) Document status of family member not present in household. Have respondent define their limits of "family". Where are they, what other communities? In hospital? Deceased? Unknown? Did these family members live with you before?

(4) Domestic functions of each members should be assessed:
   a) Participation in child rearing; teaching - verbally or by perceptnative ways; participating in education (formal); providing counsel and moral guidance; cleaning house; preparing clothing; preparing meals; repairing house, boats, etc. Inventory.
   b) Document verbal reports with observation over time.

Dynamics:

(1) Age of household head.

(2) Time when children are expected to leave.

(3) Ease of return to a natal household after once leaving.

(4) Conditions under which people, kin affines, or non-kin leave and return to household, or under which new members might join.

Conflict Resolution:

(1) Are there fights in households? What happens? Who resolves them?

(2) Do people seek help at church, at state or federal agencies? Do people seek help from residents of other households? Who are they?

(3) Is there heavy drinking in household? Does drinking precipitate fights?
(4) Are responsibilities shirked?

(5) Are wives, children, or the aged beaten? By whom? Are helping services used in such instances? Double check with the helping services.

(6) Is there ambivalence about the paternity of any of the children in the household?

(7) Do women talk about rape? Does or has rape occurred to women in the households?

(8) Check verbal reports against observations over time.

Club-Sodality Membership:

(1) To what clubs, modalities, or associations do members of the household belong?

(2) What is (are) the purpose(s) of the club(s)?

(3) Why did members of the household join such clubs?

(4) Do they associate with members of the club in activities other than club activities?

(5) Where do you/they meet?

Family Rituals:

(1) Who were the members of the family named after?

(2) Why were they so named?

(3) What are the obligations associated with the names?

(4) What do you celebrate? Inventory. Where do you celebrate these things? Who else with?

Helping Services:

(1) Provide an inventory of the services available in the village or region and determine which ones are used by the respondent, under which circumstances, and why.

A list will probably include the following: IHS Hospital (Kotzebue, Anchorage) IHS Clinics; IRS Health projects, mental health services, state social services regional alcohol and substance abuse programs, manpower, winterization program weatherization program fuel projects, housing improvement projects, credit union, regional credit unions, community health
aids, search and rescue programs, domestic violence programs, teen center...

For each service used by family, ask: What is the purpose of that service? Does the program work? How can you tell? Who controls the program? What would improve the service? What causes the problems that make people use the service in the first place?

Education:

(1) Ask particular questions of parents about:

   a) What they expect the children to achieve in school.
   b) The importance of schooling.
   c) The relation between schooling and accomplishing, including financial solvency and success.
   d) The effectiveness of the local school. Does education prepare people for jobs? Which? In what way?
   e) The manner in which they encourage, direct, or admonish their children to study, or help them in their exercises, and the like. Double check these responses with information from teachers and principals.
   f) See ed protocol for questions regarding contradictions, dissonances. Ask these questions of parents.

Fictive Kinship:

(1) Are fictive terms used? (Namesakes, etc).

(2) What is the purpose of each use?

Friends-Peer Groups:

(1) Determine who they are for a couple of members of the family.

(2) Determine whether they like and help the respondent.

(3) Determine whether they also dispise the respondent and let him (her) down on occasion.
APPENDIX B

VARIABLE CODE DEFINITIONS
APPENDIX ?3

VARIABLE CODE DEFINITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROTOCOL</th>
<th>TOPIC NUMBER</th>
<th>VARIABLE NUMBER</th>
<th>SPSS VARIABLE NAME</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION AND CODES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>RESP ID</td>
<td>RESPONDENT ID NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>12ARDN01</td>
<td>CARD NUMBER</td>
<td>1. first card</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>VILLNO</td>
<td>VILLAGE NUMBER</td>
<td>1. Unalaska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. King Cove</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. St. George</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. Nikolski</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5. Kotzebue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6. Kiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7. Noatak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8. Selawik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9. Not ascertained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>REGNO</td>
<td>REGION NUMBER</td>
<td>1. Aleutians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. NANA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9. Not ascertained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>EICOMP</td>
<td>ECONOMIC INSTITUTION COMPOSITION/VILLAGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Federal majority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(IRA, Native corps etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. State majority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(city council, AK corps etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9. Not ascertained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EIPRT</td>
<td>ECONOMIC INSTITUTION PARTICIPATION/VILLAGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Natives primary reps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
all institutions
2. Natives not primary reps all institutions
9. Not ascertained

EICOORD
ECONOMIC INSTITUTION COOP and COORD
1. low coop, low coord
2. low coop, high coord
3. high coop, low coord
4. high coop, high coord
9. Not ascertained

EIREV
ECONOMIC INSTITUTION REVENUES
1. low reliance local rev
2. mod reliance local rev
3. high reliance local rev
9. Not ascertained

POLDIS
POLITICAL DISPUTES
1. most common w/less rank institutions
2. most common w/high rank institutions
3. approx. equal
9. not ascertained

POLDCAUS
CAUSE OF POLITICAL DISPUTE
1. money
2. other issues; pol fact.
3. personalities; domest. factions.
4. 1 and 2
5. 1 and 3
9. Not ascertained

EDAD
LOCAL ADEQUACY OF EDUCATION/CURRI CULUM
1. generally no
2. generally yes
EDDIS
3. sometimes/some areas
EDUCATIONAL DISJUNCTION
1. conspicuous - noted
   by most respondents
   or by researcher
2. not conspicuous
3. marginal/sometimes
9. not ascertained

SERCON
1. control perceived to
   be local/regional
2. central perceived to
   be external
9. not ascertained

SERPER
SERVICE PERCEPTIONS OF
CLIENTS
1. problems perceived
   on individual basis
2. problems perceived
   socially
3. problems seen in
   diffuse manner:
   fate, environmental,
   etc.
9. not ascertained

SERREF
SERVICE REFERRALS
1. majority of clients
   have referrals
2. majority of clients do
   not have referrals
9. not ascertained
| 17 | SODOV | SODALITY MEMBERSHIP OVERLAP  
|    |       | 1. most memberships overlap  
|    |       | 2. most memberships do not overlap  
|    |       | 9. not ascertained  
| 18 | SODISS | SODALITY ISSUES  
|    |       | 1. generally, one issue/goal per sodality (bounded)  
|    |       | 2. generally, issues/goals overlap, are shared  
|    |       | 9. not ascertained  
| 19 | RELMEM | RELIGION MEMBERSHIP  
|    |       | 1. church memberships highly stable  
|    |       | 2. church memberships in flux - at least one is growing or diminishing  
|    |       | 9. not ascertained  
| 20 | RELPART | RELIGION LAY PARTICIPATION  
|    |       | 1. chiefly volunteer  
|    |       | 2. chiefly appointment  
|    |       | 3. mix  
|    |       | 9. not ascertained  
| 21 | RELLEAD | RELIGION LEADER ROLES  
|    |       | 1. generally, leaders have other critical roles in other soc., econ., pol. areas  
|    |       | 2. generally, church leaders do not have these other roles  
|    |       | 9. not ascertained  

FAMILY/HOUSEHOLD DATA

ECON
HOUSEHOLD INCOME

1. $0 - 20,000
2. 20,001 - 30,000
3. 30,001 - 40,000
4. 40,001 - 60,000
5. 60,001 +
9. not ascertained

HOUSEHOLD SIZE

1. 1-3
2. 4-6
3. 7-10
4. 11 +
9. not ascertained

INCOME STABILITY ANI)

1. unstable and unpredictable
2. unstable and predictable
3. stable and unpredictable
4. stable and predictable
9. not ascertained

INCOME AND LABOR STRATEGIES

1. local pooling and accumulation (in the household)
2. local pooling and distribution (internal and external)
3. local and regional pooling and distribution
9. not ascertained
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RES</th>
<th>1-4</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>RES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECON</td>
<td>10 27</td>
<td>DEVE</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECON</td>
<td>11 28</td>
<td>ECCE</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECON</td>
<td>12 29</td>
<td>SUBS</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subsistence Harvesting Expense**

1. low (0-9% of est. income)
2. medium (10% - 19% of est. income)
3. high (20% + of est. income)
9. not ascertained

**Development Expectations**

1. chiefly local benefits and control
2. chiefly external benefits and control
3. balanced mix
9. not ascertained

**Economic Conflicts**

1. no perceived conflict
2. most conflicts seen as Native vs. non-Native
9. not ascertained

**Subsistence Harvests**

1. harvest and/or consume 2+ species each of fish, vegetables, fowl land, and sea mammals
2. one species each
3. less than one in each category (i.e. less than five varieties in all)
9. not ascertained

**Residence Pattern**

1. household adults born in same region but not
same subregion
2. adults born or raised in same subregion, but not same village
3. adults born in the same village
4. adults born or raised not in same region
9. not ascertained

DOMESTIC FUNCTIONS AND REARING
1. traditional child-rearing and gender distinctions
2. Western child-rearing and gender distinctions
3. non-distinct or blend
9. not ascertained

AGE OF HOUSEHOLD
1. under 25
2. 25-40
3. 41-50
4. 51+
9. not ascertained

HOUSEHOLD DYNAMICS/COMPOSITION-MOVEMENT
1. fluid household (open options, no set expectations)
2. non-fluid household (structured, clear expectations, rule-
ordered)
9. not ascertained.

HOUSEHOLD CONFLICT

1. passive internal resolution (dialogue, withdrawal)
2. active internal resolution (rewards, punishment, fights)
3. informal external resolution (advice from relatives, assistance from friends, informal/nonformal resources)
4. formal external resolution (helping services)
9. not ascertained

SODALITY MEMBERSHIP

1. 2+ memberships in home
2. 1 membership in home
3. no memberships
9. not ascertained

SERVICE UTILIZATION

1. seek health and/or financial services primarily
2. seek family and social services primarily
3. avoid services
9. not ascertained

SCHOOLING AND SUCCESS

1. good association between
school and success
2. occasional association between school and success
3. no association
9. not ascertained

DIVORCE/SEPARATIONS
1. broken unions exist in household
2. no broken unions
9. not ascertained

RELIGIOUS PARTICIPATION IN HOUSEHOLD
1. active in church and extracurricular relig. activities
2. active in one or the other
3. not active
9. not ascertained

USE OF NATIVE HEALERS
1. use Native healers
2. do not use Native healers
9. not ascertained

HOUSE CONDITIONS
1. all utilities present, working
2. one/only some utilities present, working
3. no utilities present and/or working
9. not ascertained

POLITICAL PARTICIPATION
IN HOUSEHOLD
1. 2 or more official capacities at present in household
2. 1 official capacity at present
3. no official capacities
9. not ascertained

POLITICAL ISSUES IDENTIFIED
1. correct issues identified, 3+ service-related
2. correct issues identified, 3+ political/power/authority-related
3. correct issues identified, evenly divided
4. incorrect issues identified or no issues for two or more
9. not ascertained

END FAMILY PROTOCOL

START WORLDVIEW
(use columns 1-22 to identify respondent

and typify village)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ETHOS</th>
<th>ELEMENTS OF ETHOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-2 44</td>
<td>1. traditional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Western (Christian, competition, achievement, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. not ascertained</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ETHCH</th>
<th>DIFFICULTY OF ACHIEVEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 45</td>
<td>1. easier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. harder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. neither</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. not ascertained</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHREA</th>
<th>REASON FOR THE CHANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 46</td>
<td>1. people are different now</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. times/history different</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. neither</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. not ascertained</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ETHRES</th>
<th>RESPONSIBILITY FOR ATTAINMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 47</td>
<td>1. self</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. self and others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. not ascertained</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STOP WORLDVIEW**

**CLIENT PROTOCOL BELOW**
(Use columns 1-22 to identify the client and typify the village)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PTYPE</th>
<th>TYPE OF PROGRAM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>1. health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. emergency non-health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. housing and energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. social services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. not ascertained</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POBJ</th>
<th>PERCEIVED PROGRAM OBJECTIVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 49</td>
<td>1. same or equivalent to</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
actual goal
2. goal incorrectly identified
9. not ascertained

3 50 PCONT PERCEIVED CONTROL OF PROGRAM
1. seen as local/regional
2. seen as external
9. not ascertained

5 51 PCLIE PERCEPTIONS OF THE PROBLEMS OF PROGRAM CLIENTS
1. individualized
2. social causes
3. diffuse causes - fate, environment, etc.
9. not ascertained

STOP CLIENT PROTOCOL

NEW VARIABLES

52 VSIZE VILLAGE SIZE
1. small (less than 500 pop.)
2. large (500 +)
9. not ascertained

53 HARP HARVESTED PROTEIN PROPORTION OF HOUSEHOLD DIET
1. less than 50%
2. 50%-75%
3. 76% +
9. not ascertained

54 UPRO UNEARNED PROPORTION OF INCOME (welfare, etc.)
1. 50% +
2. 1%-49%
3. none
9. not ascertained

55 EPRO EARNED PROPORTION OF INCOME
1. none
2. 1%-49%
3. 50% +
9. not ascertained

56 GINC GOVERNMENTAL SOURCE OF 
56 EARNED INCOME (State, Fed., local government wages)
1. less than 50%
2. 50% +
9. not ascertained

57* NGINC NON-GOVERNMENTAL SOURCE OF 
57 EARNED INCOME (all other classifications)
1. less than 50%
2. 50% +
9. not ascertained
APPENDIX C

GUIDE TO FOUR MATRICES OF PRIMARY FIELD RESEARCH VARIABLES
The following four lists provide information as to how the attribute sets within each variable were organized (combined in various ways and various orders) in each matrix. The original organization of the variables from which each of the four succeeding lists were obtained can be found in Appendix D (variable code definitions).

The conventions used in reorganizing (combining and/or altering the order) the attributes within a variable follow:

  (a) In combining attributes colons (:) distinguish attributes, while commas (,) are used to designate members of the same attribute, e.g., 1, 3:2. In this example attributes 1 and 3 are combined and distinguished from 2 to create a variable with two rather than three mutually exclusive and mutually inclusive attributes.

  (b) In altering the order of attributes within a variable, the new ordering is read from left to right, e.g., 2:1:4:3. In this example attribute 2 precedes 1 which precedes 4 which precedes 3 in that order.

  (c) In altering and combining attributes both conventions (a) and (b) are employed, e.g., 1:3:2,4. In this example, originally composed of four mutually exclusive attributes arranged in the order 1:2:3:4 is reorganized into three mutually exclusive attributes in which 1 precedes 3, and 3 precedes 2 and 4, which have been combined.

  (d) "as is" refers to the variable code as it appears in Appendix D.
FIGURE a1

ORDINAL VARIABLE LIST

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>V04</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>V22</td>
<td>1:2:3, 4, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>V23</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>V24</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>V25</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>V26</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>V27</td>
<td>1:3:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>V28</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>V29</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>V30</td>
<td>2, 3:1, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>V31</td>
<td>1:3:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>V32</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>V33</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>V34</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>V35</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>V36</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>V37</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>V38</td>
<td>2:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>V39</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>V40</td>
<td>2:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>V42</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>V43</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>V52</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>V53</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>V54</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>V55</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>V56</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>V57</td>
<td>as is</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II-C-3
FIGURE b

DICHOTOMOUS VARIABLE LIST I

1) V04 2:1
2) V22 1, 2:3, 4, 5
3) V23 2:1, 3, 4
4) V24 2:1, 3, 4
5) V25 1, 2:3
6) V26 1:2, 3
7) V27 1, 3:2
8) V28 as is
9) V29 1:2, 3
10) V30 2, 3:1, 4
11) V31 1:3, 2
12) V32 2:1, 3, 4
13) V33 as is
14) V34 1:2, 3, 4
15) V35 1:2, 3
16) V36 1, 2:3
17) V37 1, 2:3
18) V38 2:1
19) V39 1, 2:3
20) V40 as is
21) V42 1:2, 3
22) V43 2:1, 3, 4
23) V53 1, 2:3
24) V54 1:2, 3
25) V55 1, 2:3
26) V56 as is
27) V57 as is
# TABLE b1

## DICHOTOMOUS VARIABLE LIST I

Tau-Betas for 27 variables. Guttman-Lingoes' Smallest space coordinates for $M = 3$ (semi-strong monotonicity).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Dimension 1</th>
<th>Dimension 2</th>
<th>Dimension 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V04</td>
<td>-83.924</td>
<td>-23.555</td>
<td>-15.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V22</td>
<td>60.555</td>
<td>29.193</td>
<td>-49.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V23</td>
<td>-6.415</td>
<td>77.684</td>
<td>-93.799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V24</td>
<td>-81.272</td>
<td>27.627</td>
<td>25.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V25</td>
<td>940597</td>
<td>47.881</td>
<td>-45.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V26</td>
<td>99.734</td>
<td>5.931</td>
<td>-62.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V27</td>
<td>15.120</td>
<td>23.168</td>
<td>31.532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V29</td>
<td>-100.000</td>
<td>-30.342</td>
<td>-40.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V30</td>
<td>-21.513</td>
<td>-49.734</td>
<td>24.773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V31</td>
<td>6.266</td>
<td>-84.222</td>
<td>-15.813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V32</td>
<td>-79.767</td>
<td>55.434</td>
<td>-76.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V33</td>
<td>-5.212</td>
<td>-48.507</td>
<td>-83.378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V34</td>
<td>-34.618</td>
<td>-59.698</td>
<td>-76.488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V35</td>
<td>-42.667</td>
<td>-64.858</td>
<td>-23.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V36</td>
<td>70.761</td>
<td>-6.380</td>
<td>-27.581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V37</td>
<td>100.000</td>
<td>-15.170</td>
<td>19.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V38</td>
<td>7.182</td>
<td>-68.238</td>
<td>-37.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V39</td>
<td>42.678</td>
<td>-36.508</td>
<td>-100.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V40</td>
<td>-94.524</td>
<td>-16.129</td>
<td>-2.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V42</td>
<td>-15.744</td>
<td>11.565</td>
<td>-81.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V43</td>
<td>-65.614</td>
<td>24.181</td>
<td>-7.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V53</td>
<td>47*473</td>
<td>62.586</td>
<td>-60.944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V54</td>
<td>67.194</td>
<td>-82.018</td>
<td>-10.822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V55</td>
<td>68.146</td>
<td>-82.683</td>
<td>-9.845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V56</td>
<td>36.126</td>
<td>93.471</td>
<td>18.921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V57</td>
<td>-7.412</td>
<td>-100.000</td>
<td>-69.967</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Guttman-Lingoes' coefficient of alienation = 0.19610 in 25 iterations. Kruskal's stress = 0.17834.
Figures C
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<p>| | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>V04</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>V22</td>
<td>1:2, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>V23</td>
<td>1, 4:2, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>V24</td>
<td>2, 4:1, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>V25</td>
<td>1:2, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>V26</td>
<td>1:2, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>V27</td>
<td>1, 3:2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>V28</td>
<td>as is</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>V29</td>
<td>1, 2:3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>V30</td>
<td>2, 3:1, 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>V31</td>
<td>1, 3:2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>V32</td>
<td>2:1, 3, 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>V33</td>
<td>as is</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>V34</td>
<td>1:2, 3, 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>V35</td>
<td>1, 2:3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>V36</td>
<td>1, 2:3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>V37</td>
<td>1:2, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>V38</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>V39</td>
<td>1:2, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>V40</td>
<td>as is</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>V42</td>
<td>1, 2:3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>V43</td>
<td>1, 2, 3:4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>V53</td>
<td>1:2, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>V54</td>
<td>1:2, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>V55</td>
<td>1, 2:3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>V56</td>
<td>as is</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>V57</td>
<td>as is</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table c1

DICHOTOMOUS VARIABLE LIST II

Tan-Betas for 27 variables. Guttman-Lingoes' smallest space coordinates for M=3 (semi-strong monotonicity).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLE</th>
<th>DIMENSION 1</th>
<th>DIMENSION 2</th>
<th>DIMENSION 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V04</td>
<td>-95.318</td>
<td>-31.010</td>
<td>-32.619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V22</td>
<td>76.007</td>
<td>1.090</td>
<td>-44.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V23</td>
<td>46.084</td>
<td>38.693</td>
<td>-82.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V24</td>
<td>-84.067</td>
<td>46.585</td>
<td>-53.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V25</td>
<td>92.175</td>
<td>-30.199</td>
<td>31.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V26</td>
<td>100.000</td>
<td>-3.330</td>
<td>-5.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V27</td>
<td>-23.165</td>
<td>-28.422</td>
<td>-96.550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V30</td>
<td>-2.976</td>
<td>-65.262</td>
<td>-81.845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V31</td>
<td>21.126</td>
<td>19.845</td>
<td>37.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V32</td>
<td>-72.357</td>
<td>16.300</td>
<td>64.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V33</td>
<td>-23.234</td>
<td>-79.874</td>
<td>15.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V34</td>
<td>-21.702</td>
<td>-55.330</td>
<td>27.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V35</td>
<td>-71.993</td>
<td>-12.201</td>
<td>-100.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V36</td>
<td>55.745</td>
<td>3.701</td>
<td>19.803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V37</td>
<td>87.690</td>
<td>-55.254</td>
<td>-21.453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V38</td>
<td>-0.699</td>
<td>-89.256</td>
<td>-32.557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V39</td>
<td>-9.713</td>
<td>-73.152</td>
<td>-45.588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V40</td>
<td>-100.000</td>
<td>-5.941</td>
<td>-39.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V42</td>
<td>-7.180</td>
<td>61.247</td>
<td>24.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V43</td>
<td>-75.175</td>
<td>24.391</td>
<td>-44.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V53</td>
<td>35.328</td>
<td>86.285</td>
<td>-8.549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V54</td>
<td>55.375</td>
<td>-13.112</td>
<td>-90.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V55</td>
<td>55.630</td>
<td>-12.333</td>
<td>-91.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V56</td>
<td>2.021</td>
<td>90.597</td>
<td>-58.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V57</td>
<td>14.969</td>
<td>-100.00</td>
<td>-17.465</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Guttman-Lingoes' coefficient of alienation = 0.18572 in 25 iterations. Kruskal's stress = 0.17011.
FIGURE d

INSTITUTIONAL VARIABLE LIST

1) V04   2:1
2) V06   as is
3) V07   as is
4) V09   1:3:2
5) V10   1:3:2:5:4
6) V11   1:3:2
7) V13   2:1
8) V14   as is
9) V16   2:1
10) V17  as is
11) V19  as is
12) V40  as is
13) V44  1:3:2
14) V47  2:1
15) V49  as is
16) V50  as is
17) V52  as is
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A. Registered Voters

1. King Cove
2. **Nikolski**
3. St. George
4. **Unalaska**
5. Kiana
6. **Kotzebue**
7. Noatak
8. **Selawik**

B. Marriages

1-8 above

c. Divorces

1-8 above

D. Alcoholism  
(Treatment Program Client Data)

1. Admissions
   a. **Unalaska**
   b. **Kotzebue**

2. Discharges
   a. **Unalaska**
   b. **Kotzebue**

E. Mental *Health* Patient Encounters

1. **Aniak**
2. **Buckland**
3. Deering
4. **Kiana**
5. **Kivalina**
6. **Kobuk**
7. Noatak
8. **Noorvik**
9. **Selawik**

F. Alcohol-Related Mental/Health Patient Encounters

1-9 above

G. Suicide Attempts

1-9 above
H. Injuries
  1-9 above

I. Patient Care Information System
   (Indian Health Service) Patients/Visits

1. Psychoses
   a. King Cove
   b. Nikolski
   c. St. George
   d. Unalaska

2. Neuroses
   a-d above

3. Substance Abuse
   a-d

4. Circulatory
   a-d

5. Digestive
   a-d

6. Pregnancy
   a-d

7. Vague Symptoms
   a-d

8. Violence by Accident
   a-d

9. Violence, non-accident
   a-d

10. Psychoses
    a. Kiana
    b. Kotzebue
    c. Noatak
    d. Selawik

11. Neuroses
    a-d above

12. Substance Abuse
    a-d

13. Circulatory
    a-d

14. Digestive
    a-d
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15. Pregnancy
   a-d

16. Vague Symptoms
   a-d

17. Violence by Accident
   a-d

18. Violence, Non-Accident
   a-d

J. Alaska State Troopers Arrest Data
   Totals/Breakdowns

   1. King Cove
   2. Nikolski
   3. St. George
   4. Unalaska
   5. Kiana
   6. Kotzebue
   7. Noatak.
   8. Selawik

K. Village Public Safety Officer
   Service Request Activity Data

   1. Atka
   2. Aleutian Islands
   3. Buckland
   4. Kiana
   5. Kivalina
   6. NANA

L. Criminal Justice System Resource Descriptions

   1. Adak
   2. Akutan
   3. Atka
   4. Belkofski
   5. Cold Bay
   6. False Pass
   7. King Cove
   8. Nikolski
   9. Sand Point
   10. St. George
   11. St. Paul
   12. Unalaska
   13. Ambler
   14. Buckland
   15. Deering
   16. Kiana
   17. Kivalina
   18. Kobuk
   19. Kotzebue
   20. Noatak
   21. Noorvik
   22. Selawik
   23. Shungnak

M. Retail Gas Prices

   1. NANA
   2. Kotzebue
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N. **Retail Fuel Oil Prices**
   1. NANA
   2. Kotzebue

O* **Chum Salmon Escapement**
   1. Noatak River
   2. Kobuk River

P. **Subsistence Whitefish Catch**
   1. NANA
Introduction

Technical Memorandum S1-2 is the second in a series of documents designed to characterize the two study population areas, the Aleutian-Pribilof region and the NANA region, and analyze the data that are available for characterizing them. The purpose of this document is to display the aggregate quantitative data that may prove useful in the analysis of community well-being in the study areas and possibly other study areas, and in the final development of proposed social indicators for assessing this community well-being. Although this document is chiefly a descriptive display of collected aggregate data, some comments in the brief introduction will be directed at the analytic utility of the data categories to the extent that this utility can be evaluated thus far, as well as a very brief discussion of the analysis to follow (to be detailed in Technical Memorandum S1-3) and the rationale for collecting aggregate data in these categories in the first place.

Although the study team had originally proposed to investigate the development of two kinds of aggregate indicator models, it is likely that only one will be feasible. Due to considerable gaps in the data sets needed for the development of a multiple indicator panel model, such a model is unlikely to be developed although the feasibility, perhaps for selected data sets or specific villages, will be assessed after the first stages of analysis begin. The model type to be developed will probably be restricted to forecasting tools based on long time series of aggregate data. Model development in either category is dependent on a sample of at least sixty consecutive data observations for monthly data (5 years) or thirty six data observations for quarterly data (9 years); furthermore, for the multiple indicator panel model, similarly constituted time series are required in every central data category (such as politics, economics, social welfare, subsistence, and so on). Because comparable time series were not available in all areas, the panel model feasibility looks weak on the surface. There are especially few long time series in the areas of criminal justice and mental health, categories crucial to a well-being model.
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The models that will be developed will incorporate aggregate time series data that are publicly available, and derived from records that are available at or near the selected field sites, or at centralized archives elsewhere. Selection criteria were whether plausible relations between aggregate data, such as types of transfer payments, and other social phenomena, such as employment, family income, and household income, could be posited. Our rationale is that some aggregate data may indicate complex and recurrent relations among social phenomena, hence they may serve as social indicators that may be used to represent the status of the relations among those phenomena whenever those aggregate data are sampled - monthly, quarterly, or yearly. Data were collected at both the regional and village levels in many occasions, so as to broaden the data base and assess the likelihood of certain regional data categories serving as plausible indicators at the specific village level, and vice versa.

In order to determine the relation of the aggregate data to social phenomena it will be necessary to measure how the aggregate data and the data gathered through field investigations vary in relation to one another. The reasons for the selection of data from specified aggregate data categories are summarized below.

Aggregate economic data, such as unemployment and employment data, transfer payments, numbers of jobs and payroll size, and similar figures will allow testing of the relations of these data to household size and composition, employability, dependence on harvested resources, sharing and pooling of income, skills and resources among households and larger networks of kinspeople and friends, changes in kinship obligations, recent in and out migration, and a wide variety of other relations that may be critical to overall community well-being. Many other phenomena that indicate well-being may certainly entail changes in the economic aggregate data. Weather, subsistence, and commercial fishing data may also correlate with the same types of social phenomena, especially with regard to factors of well-being that are associated with resource harvesting and expectations about the harvest.
Many of the same economic data will allow for analysis of political phenomena. For the purposes of economic analysis these data serve to document assets, expenses and income that underlie local and regional financial status. For purposes of political analysis, these same data and other similar categories of data can be viewed as elements central to political processes, control, and authority. The aggregate data detailing among other things the movement of money through villages and regions will be analyzed in light of field data in order to determine the issues that animate local political processes, the roles of different constituencies, how and in what areas political authority and power are implemented, and the relation of these factors to the programmatic and financial consequences of decision-making evident in the data.

Data have also been collected in categories that include school attendance and enrollment, criminal justice, health service utilization, and other social services. The data have been collected in such a way as to allow review in several finer categories where the data are sufficient; for instance, total health service utilization is broken down into multiple categories, such as alcohol abuse, other substance abuse, neuroses and psychoses, and accidents, among others. Field collection of qualitative data centered on staff, recipient, and broader community-wide practices and attitudes concerning the objectives and success of the services, and the origins of the problems that spurred the need for the services. The qualitative and aggregate data will eventually be integrated with the aim of determining how services are officially constituted, how they are actually delivered, and how they are experienced by both staff and recipients. The various matches and covariations that may be discovered between these data will then provide a clue as to what these aggregate data actually indicate in the community or region.

Family level data ranging from divorce and marriage figures through other vital statistics and similar data have also been collected. These domestic data by themselves do not portray community or family well-being, although it is posited that they may provide evidence of well-being when
correlated with other indices derived from other data categories, and when complemented with qualitative data concerning family dynamics and the domestic interface with other community institutions.

Qualitative data from field investigations focus on family strategies for income allocation and distribution, stability and predictability of income, subsistence patterns, job and development expectations, intra- and inter-village mobility, domestic roles and functions, the domestic cycle, conflict resolution and authority, memberships in institutions and associations, family rituals, and friend and peer relations.

The aggregate data summarized in this Technical Memorandum will later be compared to and integrated with the qualitative data collected at the field sites in the effort to ascertain the parameters within which the aggregate data categories function to indicate documented domestic processes, practices and events.
Section I - General Data Review

A. Population

1) DATA: Population/Aleutian Islands/Yearly 1970 - 1980
   COMMENTS: See Population/Statewide
   BIAS: See Population/Statewide

   COMMENTS: 1) See Population/Statewide
               2) Point Hope was included through first quarter of 1975, after which it was included under North Slope Borough.
   BIAS: 1) See Population/Statewide
          2) Figures from 1970 - 1975 (first quarter) represent an overestimate of approximately 480 persons per year for what, since 1975, is the Kobuk Region.

   COMMENTS: The figures for 1970 and 1980 are actual census totals; those for 1971-1979 are estimates; all figures include the resident military population.
               The 1978 population estimate was developed using a new methodology. The Alaska Department of Labor, accordingly, cautious against use of this figure for trend analysis as it is "not comparable to prior years estimates."
   BIAS: 1) Figures include military population.

4) DATA: Population/Active Duty Military/ Aleutian Islands/Yearly 1970-1979
   COMMENTS: None
   BIAS: None
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMMENT'S</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIAS</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMMENT'S</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIAS</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. Births

1) **DATA**: Births/King Cove/Yearly 1970-1980

   **COMMENTS**: All births are recorded by Mother's Residence Village.

   **BIAS**: None

2) **DATA**: Births/Nikolski/Yearly 1970-1980

   **COMMENTS**: All births are recorded by Mother's Residence Village.

   **BIAS**: None

3) **DATA**: Births/St. George/Yearly 1970-1980

   **COMMENTS**: All births are recorded by Mother's Residence Village.

   **BIAS**: None

4) **DATA**: Births/Unalaska/Yearly 1970-1980

   **COMMENTS**: All births are recorded by Mother's Residence Village.

   **BIAS**: None
5) DATA: Births/Kiana/Yearly 1970-1980
   COMMENTS: All births are recorded by Mother’s Residence Village.
   BIAS: None

6) DATA: Births/Kotzebue/Yearly 1970-1980
   COMMENTS: All births are recorded by Mother’s Residence Village.
   BIAS: None

7) DATA: Births/Noatak/Yearly 1970-1980
   COMMENTS: All births are recorded by Mother’s Residence Village.
   BIAS: None

   COMMENTS: All births are recorded by Mother’s Residence Village.
   BIAS: None

9) DATA: Births/Aleutian Islands/Yearly 1970-1979
   COMMENTS: None
   BIAS: None

10) DATA: Births/NANA/Yearly 1970-1979
    COMMENTS: None
    BIAS: None
C. School Enrollment

1. By Grade Level:

a) DATA: School Enrollment by Grade/King Cove/

COMMENTS: These are first quarter figures which are typically higher than year-end or final enrollment.

BIAS: Figures may represent overestimate of final enrollment.

b) DATA: School Enrollment by Grade/Nikolski/

COMMENTS: These are first quarter figures which are typically higher than year-end or final enrollment.

BIAS: Figures may represent overestimate of final enrollment.

c) DATA: School Enrollment by Grade/St. George/

COMMENTS: These are first quarter figures which are typically higher than year-end or final enrollment.

BIAS: Figures may represent overestimate of final enrollment.

d) DATA: School Enrollment by Grade/Unalaska/

COMMENTS: These are first quarter figures which are typically higher than year-end or final enrollment.

BIAS: Figures may represent Overestimate of final enrollment.
e) DATA: School Enrollment by Grade/Kiana/ Annually 1969-1981.

COMMENTS: These are first quarter figures which are typically higher than year-end or final enrollment.

BIAS: Figures may represent overestimate of final enrollment.


COMMENTS: These are first quarter figures, which are typically higher than year-end or final enrollment.

BIAS: Figures may represent overestimate of final enrollment.

g) DATA: School Enrollment by Grade/Noatak/ Annually 1969-1981.

COMMENTS: These are first quarter figures which are typically higher than year-end or final enrollment.

BIAS: Figures may represent overestimate of final enrollment.


COMMENTS: These are first quarter figures which are typically higher than year-end or final enrollment.

BIAS: Figures may represent overestimate of final enrollment.
2. **Totals**

a) **DATA:** School Enrollment Totals/King Cove, Nikolski, St. George, Unalaska & Region/Annually 1969-1981.

**COMMENTS:** These are first quarter figures which are typically higher than year-end or final enrollment.

**BIAS:** Figures may represent overestimate of final enrollment.

b) **DATA:** School Enrollment Totals/Kiana, Kotzebue, Noatak, Selawik and Region/Annually 1969-1981.

**COMMENTS:** These are first quarter figures which are typically higher than year-end or final enrollment.

**BIAS:** Figures may represent overestimate of final enrollment.
Section II - Social-Indicators Review

A. Deaths

1. DATA: Deaths/King Cove/Yearly 1970-1980
   COMMENTS: None
   BIAS: None

   COMMENTS: None
   BIAS: None

   COMMENTS: None
   BIAS: None

   COMMENTS: None
   BIAS: None

5. DATA: Deaths/Kiana/Yearly 1970-1980
   COMMENTS: None
   BIAS: None

   COMMENTS: None
   BIAS: None
B. Deaths by Suicide

1. DATA: Deaths by Suicide/Aleutian Islands/Yearly 1968-1979

   COMMENTS: There is a strong Russian Orthodox Church influence in the region. The Church has strong sanctions, (principally against Christian funeral and burial on Church grounds) against suicide which is viewed as completely unacceptable behavior.

   BIAS: Suicides appear to be genuinely rare. However, it is very likely that any actual suicide is most unlikely to be reported as such.

2. DATA: Deaths by Suicide/NANA/Yearly 1968-1969

   COMMENTS: None

   BIAS: None

C. Deaths by Homicide


   COMMENTS: None

   BIAS: None
2. DATA: Deaths by **Homicide/NANA/Yearly** 1968-1979

   **COMMENTS:** None

   **BIAS:** None

---

**D. Deaths by Accident**

1. DATA: Deaths by Accident/NANA/Yearly 1968-1979

   **COMMENTS:** It is believed that a majority of accidental deaths are alcohol-related and that some of these may in fact be suicides.

   **BIAS:** May contain some deaths by suicide.

---

**E. Social Services Cases**

1. DATA: Social Services Cases/King Cove/

   **COMMENTS:** Cases are organized accordingly to the clients’ home community. Therefore, even though most clients receive services in their home community, it is possible for figures here to include clients residing elsewhere in the State.

   **BIAS:** Case numbers may reflect an over-estimate of activity occurring in the subject community itself.

2. DATA: Social Services Cases/Nikolski/

   **COMMENTS:** Cases are organized according to the clients’ home community. Therefore, even though most clients receive services in their home community, it is possible for figures here to include clients residing elsewhere in the State.

   **BIAS:** Case numbers may reflect an over-estimate of activity occurring in the subject community itself.

COMMENTS: Cases are organized according to the clients' home community. Therefore, even though most clients receive services in their home community it is possible for figures here to include clients residing elsewhere in the State.

BIAS: Case numbers may reflect an over-estimate of activity occurring in the subject community itself.


COMMENTS: Cases are organized according to the clients' home community. Therefore, even though most clients receive services in their home community it is possible for figures here to include clients residing elsewhere in the State.

BIAS: Case numbers may reflect an over-estimate of activity occurring in the subject community itself.

5. DATA: Social Services Cases/Kiana/Monthly 1977-1981

COMMENTS: 1) See Social Services Cases/King Cove

2) Departmental reorganization in 1980 resulted in the reporting of youth services probation cases through the Division of Family & Youth Services (formerly Division of Social Services). Previously such cases were reported through the Division of Corrections. This accounts for a total of 3 cases in Kiana.

BIAS: 1) See Social Services Cases/King Cove

2) Level of activity is over-estimated by a total of 3 compared to period preceding 1980 and compared to communities with no youth services probation cases.
6) DATA: Social Services Cases/Kotzebue/

COMMENTS: 1) See Social Services Cases/King Cove

2) Departmental reorganization in 1980 resulted in the reporting of youth services probation cases through the Division of Family & Youth Services (formerly Division of Social Services). Previously such cases were reported through the Division of Corrections. This accounts for a total of 17 cases in Kotzebue.

BIAS: 1) See Social Services Cases/King Cove

2) Level of activity is over-estimated by a total of 17 compared to the period preceding 1980 and compared to communities with no youth services probation cases.

7. DATA: Social Services Cases/Noatak/
Monthly 1977-1981

COMMENTS: 1) See Social Services Cases/King Cove.

2) Departmental reorganization in 1980 resulted in the reporting of youth services probation cases through the Division of Family and Youth Services (formerly Division of Social Services). Previously such cases were reported through the Division of Corrections. This accounts for a total of 5 cases in Noatak.

BIAS: 1) See Social Services Cases/King Cove.

2) Level of activity is over-estimated by a total of 5 compared to period preceding 1980 and compared to communities with no youth services probation cases.

8. DATA: Social Services Cases/Selawik/

COMMENT: 1) See Social Services Cases/King Cove.

2) Departmental reorganization in 1980 re-
Selawik Con't

S u i t e d i n t h e r e p o r t i n g o f y o u t h services probation cases through the Division of Family & Youth Services (formerly Division of Social Services). Previously such cases were reported through the Division of Connections. This accounts for a total of 3 cases in Selawik.

BIAS:

1) See Social Services Cases/King Cove.

2) Level of activity is oven-estimated by a total of 3 compared to period preceding 1980 and compared to communities with no youth services probation cases.

F. Social Security:

Old Age, Survivors, Disabled Insurance (OASDI)

1a. DATA: OASDI Monthly Beneficiaries/

COMMENTS: These are December or year end figures.

BIAS: None

1b. DATA: OASDI Monthly Beneficiaries/
NANA/Yearly 1970-1980

COMMENTS: These are December or year end figures.

BIAS: None

2a. DATA: OASDI Monthly Payments/

COMMENTS: 1) See OASDI Monthly Beneficiaries/
Aleutian Islands.

2) Figures were reported rounded from 1970-1974.

BIAS: None

COMMENTS: 1) See OASDI Monthly Beneficiaries/ NANA.

2) Figures were reported rounded from 1970-1974.

BIAS: None
Section 111: Economic Indicators Review

A. Employment


COMMENTS: These figures reflect employment by place of residence and are derived from state-wide totals controlled by results of the Current Population Survey.

BIAS: Users estimation rather than actual numbers.


COMMENTS: See Employment/Aleutian Islands.

BIAS: See Employment/Aleutian Islands.

B. Unemployment


COMMENTS: See Employment/Aleutian Islands.

BIAS: See Employment/Aleutian Islands.


COMMENTS: See Employment/Aleutian Islands.

BIAS: See Employment/Aleutian Islands.

C. Unemployment Rate


COMMENTS: See Employment/Aleutian Islands.

BIAS: See Employment/Aleutian Islands.

COMMENTS: See Employment/Aleutian Islands.

BIAS: See Employment/Aleutian Islands.

D. Employment


COMMENTS: 1) *These figures reflect employment only by those employers subject to Alaska's Unemployment Insurance Act.*

2) As of January 1, 1978, State and local government employers were subject to the Unemployment Insurance Act.

3) State government agencies, however, report data at the census division level only. Accordingly, Kotzebue and St. Paul/St. George include the figures (for State government employment) for the entire respective division areas.

4) Blank spaces marked with an asterisk (*) reflects data which is "nondisclosable", i.e., unavailable due to confidentiality laws.

5) Includes employment for Belkofski.

6) Data for the last three months of 1980 is not available at this time.

BIAS: 1) *Uncovered employment is not included,* producing an underestimate of total employment.

2) *Does not include State and local government prior to 1978,* producing an underestimate of total employment.

3) State government employment from 1978 forward inflates Kotzebue and St. George St. Paul figures, while under-estimating figures for other villages.

4) *Nondisclosable items weakens value of series in proportion to their frequency.*
5) Overestimates employment for King Cove alone.


COMMENTS: 1-4) See Employment/King Cove


6) See Employment/King Cove.

BIAS: 1-4) See Employment/King Cove.

5) Over-estimates employment for Nikolski alone.


COMMENTS: 1-4) See Employment/King Cove.

5) Includes employment for St. Paul.

6) See Employment/King Cove.

BIAS: 1-4) See Employment/King Cove.

5) Over-estimates employment for St. George alone.


COMMENTS: 1-4) See Employment/King Cove.

5) Includes employment for Dutch Harbor.

6) See Employment/King Cove.

BIAS: 1-4) See Employment/King Cove.

5) Over-estimates employment for Unalaska alone.
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   COMMENTS: 1-4) See Employment/King Cove.
   5) Includes Employment for Noorvik.
   6) See Employment/King Cove.

   BIAS: 1-4) See Employment/King Cove.
   5) Over-estimates employment for Kiana only.

   COMMENTS: See Employment/King Cove, 1-4 and 6.
   BIAS: See Employment/King Cove, 1-4.

   COMMENTS: See Employment/King Cove, 1-4 and 6.
   BIAS: See Employment/King Cove, 1-4.

   COMMENTS: See Employment/King Cove, 1-4 and 6.
   BIAS: See Employment/King Cove, 1-4.

E. Employment 'Firms

1. DATA: Employment Firms/King Cove/

   COMMENTS: See Employment/King Cove.
   BIAS: See Employment/King Cove.
   COMMENTS: See Employment/Nikolski.
   BIAS: See Employment/Nikolski.

   COMMENTS: See Employment/St. George.
   BIAS: See Employment/St. George.

   COMMENTS: See Employment/Unalaska.
   BIAS: See Employment/Unalaska.

   COMMENTS: See Employment/Kiana.
   BIAS: See Employment/Kiana.

   COMMENTS: See Employment/Kotzebue.
   BIAS: See Employment/Kotzebue.

   COMMENTS: See Employment/Noatak.
   BIAS: See Employment/Noatak.

COMMENTS: See Employment/Selawik.

BIAS: See Employment/Selawik.

F. Employment Wages


COMMENTS: See Employment/King Cove

BIAS: See Employment/King Cove.


COMMENTS: See Employment/Nikolski.

BIAS: See Employment/Nikolski.


COMMENTS: See Employment/St. George.

BIAS: See Employment/St. George.


COMMENTS: See Employment/Unalaska.

BIAS: See Employment/Unalaska.


COMMENTS: See Employment/Kiana.

BIAS: See Employment/Kiana.
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   **COMMENTS:** See Employment/Kotzebue.

   **BIAS:** See Employment/Kotzebue.

7* **DATA:** Employment Wages/Noatak/

   **COMMENTS:** See Employment/Noatak.

   **BIAS:** See Employment/Noatak.

8. **DATA:** Employment Wages/Selawik/

   **COMMENTS:** See Employment/Selawik.

   **BIAS:** See Employment/Selawik.
G. **Employment** —(Number of Employees)

1. Aleutian Islands:

   
   **COMMENTS:** See Employment/King Cove/1, 2, 4 and 6.
   
   **BIAS:** See Employment/King Cove/1, 2, and 4.

b) **DATA:** Employment/Manufacturing/Aleutian Islands/Monthly 1960-1980.
   
   **COMMENTS:** See Employment/King Cove/1, 2, 4 and 6.
   
   **BIAS:** See Employment/King Cove/1, 2 and 4.

c) **DATA:** Employment/Transportation, Communications & Utilities/Aleutian Islands/Monthly 1960-1980.
   
   **COMMENTS:** See Employment/King Cove/1, 2, 4 and 6.
   
   **BIAS:** See Employment/King Cove/1, 2 and 4.

d) **DATA:** Employment/Wholesale & Retail Trade/Aleutian Islands/Quarterly 1960-1980.
   
   **COMMENTS:** See Employment/King Cove/1, 2, 4 and 6. *Also beginning in 1975, Wholesale Trade is reported as nondisclosable and Retail trade is only number reported.*
   
   **BIAS:** See Employment/King Cove/1, 2, 4 and 6. *Also category as a whole is underestimated from 1975 forward.*

II-D-36
e) **DATA:** Employment/Finance, Insurance and Real Estate, Aleutian Islands/Monthly 1960-1980.
   **COMMENTS:** See Employment/King Cove/1, 2, 4 and 6.
   **BIAS:** See Employment/King Cove/1, 2 and 4.

f) **DATA:** Employment/Services/Aleutian Islands/Monthly 1960-1980.
   **COMMENTS:** See Employment/King Cove/1, 2, 4 and 6.
   **BIAS:** See Employment/King Cove/1, 2 and 4.

g) **DATA:** Employment/Federal Government/Aleutian Islands/Monthly 1960-1980.
   **COMMENTS:** See Employment/King Cove/1, 2, 4 and 6.
   **BIAS:** See Employment/King Cove/1, 2 and 4.

h) **DATA:** Employment/State and Local Government/Aleutian Islands/Monthly 1960-1980.
   **COMMENTS:** See Employment/King Cove/1, 2, 4 and 6.
   **BIAS:** See Employment/King Cove/1, 2 and 4.

i) **DATA:** Employment/Miscellaneous/Aleutian Islands/Monthly 1960-1980.
   **COMMENTS:** See Employment/King Cove/1, 2, 4 and 6.
   **BIAS:** See Employment/King Cove/1, 2 and 4.
2. **Kobuk (NANA)**


**COMMENT:**
1) See Employment/Kiana/1, 2, 4 and 6.

2) **Point** Hope was included through 4th quarter 1974, subsequently changed to different division.

3) All Construction figures for 1960-1970 are nondisclosable or reported as zero, i.e., no activity.

**BIAS:**
1) See Employment/Kiana/1, 2 and 4.

2) Figure through 1974 over-estimated by approximately 480 persons (Point Hope) for region as defined from 1975 forward.

**b) DATA:** Employment/Manufacturing/Kobuk (NANA)/Monthly 1970-1980.

**COMMENT:**
1) See Employment/Kiana/1, 2, 4 and 6.

2) See Employment/Contract Construction/Kobuk. **Point** Hope was included through 4th quarter 1974, subsequently changed to different division.

3) All manufacturing figures for 1960-1970 are nondisclosable or reported as zero, i.e., no activity. **Point** Hope was included through 4th quarter 1974, subsequently changed to different division.

**BIAS:**
1) See Employment/Kiana/1, 2 and 4.


**c) DATA:** Employment/Transportation, Communications & Utilities/Kobuk (NANA)/Monthly 1960-1980.

**COMMENT:**
1) See Employment/Kiana/1, 2, 4 and 6.


**BIAS:**
1) See Employment/Kiana/1, 2 and 4.


COMMENTS: 1) See Employment/Kiana/1, 2, 4 and 6.

BIAS: 1) See Employment/Kiana/1, 2, and 4.

---


COMMENTS: 1) See Employment/Kiana/1, 2, 4 and 6.
            2) See Employment/Contract Construction/Kobuk. Point Hope was included through 4th quarter 1974, subsequently changed to different division.
            3) All Finance, Insurance and Real Estate figures for 1960-1970 are nondisclosable or reported as zero, i.e., no activity.

BIAS: 1) See Employment/Kiana/1, 2 and 4.

---


COMMENTS: 1) See Employment/Kiana/1, 2, 4 and 6.

BIAS: 1) See Employment/Kiana/1, 2 and 4.

COMMENTS: 1) See Employment/Kiana/1, 2, 4 and 6.

BIAS: 1) See Employment/Kiana/1, 2 and 4.


COMMENTS: 1) See Employment/Kiana/1, 2, 4 and 6.

BIAS: 1) See Employment/Kiana/1, 2 and 4,

H. Employment Payroll

1. Aleutian Islands:


COMMENTS: See Employment/Contract Construction/Aleutian Islands.

BIAS: See Employment/Contract Construction/Aleutian Islands.


COMMENTS: See Employment/Contract Construction/Aleutian Islands.

BIAS: See Employment/Contract Construction/Aleutian Islands.

COMMENTS: See Employment/Contract Construction/Aleutian Islands.

BIAS: See Employment/Contract Construction/Aleutian Islands.


COMMENTS: See Employment/Wholesale & Retail Trade/Aleutian Islands.

BIAS: See Employment/Contract Construction/Aleutian Islands.


COMMENTS: See Employment/Contract Construction/Aleutian Islands.

BIAS: See Employment/Contract Construction/Aleutian Islands.


COMMENTS: See Employment/Contract Construction/Aleutian Islands.

BIAS: See Employment/Contract Construction/Aleutian Islands.


COMMENTS: See Employment/Contract Construction/Aleutian Islands.

BIAS: See Employment/Contract Construction/Aleutian Islands.
COMMENTS: See Employment/Contract Construction/Aleutian Islands.
BIAS: See Employment/Contract Construction/Aleutian Islands.

COMMENTS: See Employment/Contract Construction/Aleutian Islands.
BIAS: See Employment/Contract Construction/Aleutian Islands.

2. Kobuk (NANA)

COMMENTS: See Employment/Manufacturing/Kobuk (NANA).
BIAS: See Employment/Manufacturing/Kobuk (NANA).

COMMENTS: See Employment/Transportation, Communications & Utilities/Kobuk (NANA).
c. Cont.

BIAS: See Employment/Transportation, Communications & Utilities/Kobuk (NANA).


COMMENT: See Employment/Wholesale & Retail Trade/Kobuk (NANA).

BIAS: See Employment/Wholesale & Retail Trade/Kobuk (NANA).


COMMENT: See Employment/Finance, Insurance & Real Estate/Kobuk (NANA).

BIAS: See Employment/Finance, Insurance & Real Estate/Kobuk (NANA).


COMMENT: See Employment/Services

BIAS: See Employment/Services


COMMENT: See Employment/Federal Government/Kobuk (NANA).

BIAS: See Employment/Federal Government/Kobuk (NANA).


COMMENT: See Employment/State and Local Government/Kobuk (NANA).

BIAS: See Employment/Transportation, Communications & Utilities/Kobuk (NANA).
Section IV: Independent Variables Review

A. Commercial Salmon Catch


COMMENTS: Kotzebue figures for 1969-1974 were included as part of the total single figure for the Arctic-Yukon-Kuskokwim area.


B. Commercial Salmon Catch Value


COMMENTS: Kotzebue figures for 1969-1974 were included as part of the total single figure for the Arctic-Yukon-Kuskokwim area.


C. Commercial Fishing Licenses


COMMENTS: 1) 1962 figure includes Norton Sound district fishermen who also fished Kotzebue/NANA district before area registration was established.

2) 1974-1975 figures include 7 and 21 licenses, respectively, for the Deering experimental commercial fishery.

BIAS: 1962, 1974 and 1975 figures overestimate the number of district licenses.
D. **Commercial Chum Salmon**

   
   **COMMENTS:** None
   
   **BIAS:** None

   
   **COMMENTS:** Figures for 1974 and 1975 include 6,567 and 10,704, respectively, chum harvested from Deering experimental fishery.
   
   **BIAS:** 1974 and 1975 figures over-estimate comparable catched by 1% and 2% respectively.

   
   **COMMENTS:** The figures for 1974 and 1975 include $9,193 and $17,776, respectively, from the experimental fishery at Deering.
   
   **BIAS:** 1974 and 1975 figures over-estimate comparable values by 0.5% and 1% respectively.

   
   **COMMENTS:** 1) Figures for 1962-1965 are for price per fish.
   
   2) Figures for 1974 and 1975 include prices paid to fishermen of Deering during experimental commercial fishery.
   
   **BIAS:** 1962-1965 figures are not dependably comparable to figures from 1966 forward.
E. Subsistence Chum Salmon


COMMENTS: None
BIAS: None


COMMENTS: None
BIAS: None


COMMENTS: None
BIAS: None


COMMENTS: Based on interviews and return of catch forms from un-interviewed fishermen.
BIAS: Figures represent an underestimate, but at least 70% of the actual harvest.


COMMENTS: Based on interviews and return of catch forms from un-interviewed fishermen.
BIAS: Figures represent an underestimate, but at least 70% of the actual harvest.
2c. DATA: Subsistence Chum Salmon Catch/Noatak/

COMMENTS: Based on interviews and return of catch forms from un-interviewed fishermen.

BIAS: Figures represent an underestimate, but at least 70% of the actual harvest.

2d. DATA: Subsistence Chum Salmon Catch/NANA/

COMMENTS: Based on interviews and return of catch forms from un-interviewed fishermen.

BIAS: Figures represent an underestimate, but at least 70% of the actual harvest.

F. Chum Salmon Aerial Survey Escapement Estimates


COMMENTS: There were poor survey conditions or incomplete surveys during 1963, 1965, 1968, 1972 and 1977.


**G. Subsistence Inconnu (Sheefish) Catch**

1. **DATA:** Subsistence Inconnu (Sheefish) Catch/Kiana/Yearly 1966-1980.
   
   **COMMENTS:** None
   
   **BIAS:** None

2. **DATA:** Subsistence Inconnu (Sheefish) Catch/Kotzebue/Yearly 1966-1980.
   
   **COMMENTS:** None
   
   **BIAS:** None

   
   **COMMENTS:** None
   
   **BIAS:** None

   
   **COMMENTS:** None
   
   **BIAS:** None

**H. Commercial Fishing Periods**

   
   **COMMENTS:** None
   
   **BIAS:** None
   COMMENTS: None
   BIAS: None

   COMMENTS: None
   BIAS: None

   COMMENTS: None
   BIAS: None

I. Weather

   COMMENTS: None
   BIAS: None

   COMMENTS: None
   BIAS: None
**1c. DATA:** Weather/Total Precipitation/NANA (Kotzebue)/Monthly (June-Sept) 1962-1980.

**COMMENTS:** Snowfall (during June and/or September for certain years) was not included in order to maintain greater comparability with Aleutian Islands data.

**BIAS:** Underestimate total precipitation for region during some months.

**2. DATA:** Weather/Peak Weekly Precipitation/NANA/Weekly (July-September) 1962-1980.

**COMMENTS:** None

**BIAS:** None


**COMMENTS:** None

**BIAS:** None


**COMMENTS:** None

**BIAS:** None

**4b. DATA:** Weather/Peak Weekly Wind Speed/NANA/Weekly (October-December) 1962-1980.

**COMMENTS:** None

**BIAS:** None
APPENDIX V

Descriptive Data

A. Registered Voters

1-8. DATA: Number of Registered Voters/King Cove, Nikolski, St. George, Unalaska, Kiana, Kotzebue, Noatak, Selawik/Yearly 1974-1981.

COMMENTS: No information available for 1975.

BIAS: See above.