Gulf Coral Atlas Part II Predictive models for management*

* BOEM/NOAA Interagency Agreement No. M15PG00020

Matthew Poti^{1,2}, Brian P. Kinlan¹, Peter Etnoyer³, **Arliss J. Winship**^{1,2,†}, Mark Mueller⁴, John Christensen¹



¹ NOAA NOS NCCOS Marine Spatial Ecology Division, Silver Spring, MD, USA
 ² CSS, Fairfax, VA, USA
 ³ NOAA NOS NCCOS Marine Spatial Ecology Division, Charleston, SC, USA
 ⁴ Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, New Orleans, LA, USA

[†] arliss.winship@noaa.gov





Why predictive models?

- Field surveys provide crucial data but expensive
- Management requires comprehensive maps



Gulf of Mexico Atlas project study areas and dives included in Coral and Chemosynthetic geodatabase as of June 2017

Habitat-based predictive modeling

- Comprehensive environmental datasets available
- Relate species occurrence to environmental variables
- Predict across entire region



• 'Presence only' data



Image: NOAA Okeanos Explorer Program Gulf of Mexico 2014 Expedition

Data sources

- 1) NOAA National Deep-Sea Coral Geodatabase
- 2) Peter Etnoyer's dissertation work
- 3) John Reed SEADESC Reports (HBOI)
- 4) Okeanos Explorer 1202-Leg II (NOAA OER)
- 5) FGBNMS ROV surveys



• 19 coral species/groups

all framework forming corals

Scleractinia

- all
- framework forming
- non-framework forming

Madracis spp.

Madrepora spp.

Lophelia pertusa

Antipatharia

Alcyonacea

- all
- gorgonian
- non-gorgonian

Ellisellidae

Gorgoniidae

Isididae

Paramuriceidae
Plexauridae *Bebryce* spp. *Hypnogorgia* spp. *Callogorgia* spp.



- 27 bathymetric, surficial sediment, and oceanographic environmental predictor variables
- 370 m resolution
- Examples:



- Maximum Entropy (MaxEnt) modelling framework
- Stepwise variable selection through cross-validation
- Maximize predictive accuracy of parsimonious models

Area Under the receiver operating characteristic Curve

corrected Akaike's Information Criterion (AICc)



• Environmental predictor variable importance

| Туре | Variable | %of groups for which variable selected |
|--------------------|---|--|
| bathymetric | depth | 98% |
| surficial sediment | interpreted 3D seismic anomalies | 95%* |
| bathymetric | slope of slope (1500m) | 55% |
| surficial sediment | % sand | 52% |
| oceanographic | annual surface chlorophyll-a | 50% |
| surficial sediment | mean grain size | 50% |
| bathymetric | rugosity (370m) | 50% |
| bathymetric | slope of slope (5km) | 43% |
| oceanographic | annual bottom salinity | 40% |
| oceanographic | annual bottom temperature | 38% |
| bathymetric | profile curvature / slope categories (20km) | 38% |
| bathymetric | BPI / slope categories (20km) | 33% |

*only includes models fit in seismic anomaly footprint area

• Functional relationships

Lophelia pertusa response to surficial sediment mean grain size



Predicted likelihood of suitable habitat



Image: NOAA Okeanos Explorer Program Gulf of Mexico 2014 Expedition

Available on Marine Cadastre

https://marinecadastre.gov/



- 'Presence-absence' data
- Transect segments with area searched



| CruiseName 💌 | ObsDate 🔻 | DepthZone | SegmentID 🔻 | StartLatitude 🔻 | StartLongitude 🔻 | EndLatitude | EndLongitude 🔻 | StartDepth 🔻 | EndDepth 🔻 | SegmentAreaEst 🔻 | ObservationID 🔻 | BlackCoralTaxon 🔻 | GorgonianTaxon 🔻 |
|----------------------|------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| NRDA Mesophotic 2014 | 2014/06/27 | Mesophotic | 22 | 29.4394 | -87.57596 | 29.44018 | -87.57581 | 62 | 61 | 327 | 5 | | Hypnogorgia pendula |
| NRDA Mesophotic 2014 | 2014/06/27 | Mesophotic | 22 | 29.4394 | -87.57596 | 29.44018 | -87.57581 | 62 | 61 | 327 | 7 | | Thesea sp. |
| NRDA Mesophotic 2014 | 2014/06/27 | Mesophotic | 22 | 29.4394 | -87.57596 | 29.44018 | -87.57581 | 62 | 61 | 327 | 8 | | Villogorgia sp. |
| NRDA Mesophotic 2014 | 2014/06/27 | Mesophotic | 22 | 29.4394 | -87.57596 | 29.44018 | -87.57581 | 62 | 61 | 327 | 9 | | Bebryce sp. |
| NRDA Mesophotic 2014 | 2014/06/27 | Mesophotic | 22 | 29.4394 | -87.57596 | 29.44018 | -87.57581 | 62 | 61 | 327 | 10 | | Ellisella sp. |
| NRDA Mesophotic 2014 | 2014/06/27 | Mesophotic | 22 | 29.4394 | -87.57596 | 29.44018 | -87.57581 | 62 | 61 | 327 | 11 | | Thesea sp. |
| NRDA Mesophotic 2014 | 2014/06/27 | Mesophotic | 22 | 29.4394 | -87.57596 | 29.44018 | -87.57581 | 62 | 61 | 327 | 12 | Antipathes atlantica | |
| NRDA Mesophotic 2014 | 2014/06/27 | Mesophotic | 22 | 29.4394 | -87.57596 | 29.44018 | -87.57581 | 62 | 61 | 327 | 13 | Stichopathes sp. | |
| NRDA Mesophotic 2014 | 2014/06/27 | Mesophotic | 22 | 29.4394 | -87.57596 | 29.44018 | -87.57581 | 62 | 61 | 327 | 14 | Tanacetipathes sp. | |
| NRDA Mesophotic 2014 | 2014/06/27 | Mesophotic | 23 | 29.44015 | -87.5758 | 29.44057 | -87.57558 | 62 | 61 | 173 | 15 | | Thesea sp. |
| NRDA Mesophotic 2014 | 2014/06/27 | Mesophotic | 23 | 29.44015 | -87.5758 | 29.44057 | -87.57558 | 62 | 61 | 173 | 16 | | Nicella sp. |
| NRDA Mesophotic 2014 | 2014/06/27 | Mesophotic | 23 | 29.44015 | -87.5758 | 29.44057 | -87.57558 | 62 | 61 | 173 | 17 | | Villogorgia sp. |
| NRDA Mesophotic 2014 | 2014/06/27 | Mesophotic | 23 | 29.44015 | -87.5758 | 29.44057 | -87.57558 | 62 | 61 | 173 | 18 | | Yellow Plexauridae |
| NRDA Mesophotic 2014 | 2014/06/27 | Mesophotic | 23 | 29.44015 | -87.5758 | 29.44057 | -87.57558 | 62 | 61 | 173 | 19 | | Ellisella sp. |
| NRDA Mesophotic 2014 | 2014/06/27 | Mesophotic | 23 | 29.44015 | -87.5758 | 29.44057 | -87.57558 | 62 | 61 | 173 | 20 | Antipathes atlantica | |
| NRDA Mesophotic 2014 | 2014/06/27 | Mesophotic | 23 | 29.44015 | -87.5758 | 29.44057 | -87.57558 | 62 | 61 | 173 | 21 | Stichopathes sp. | |
| NRDA Mesophotic 2014 | 2014/06/27 | Mesophotic | 23 | 29.44015 | -87.5758 | 29.44057 | -87.57558 | 62 | 61 | 173 | 22 | | Swiftia exserta |

- Coral taxa representing 95% of known occurrences by depth zone
 - Scleractinia, Antipatharia, and Alcyonacea
- Chemosynthetic habitat and communities



Image: Lophelia II: Reefs, Rigs, and Wrecks 2009 Expedition, NOAA OER/BOEM



Image: NOAA Okeanos Explorer Program Gulf of Mexico 2014 Expedition



Image: Lophelia II: Reefs, Rigs, and Wrecks 2008 Expedition



Image: NOAA Okeanos Explorer Program Gulf of Mexico 2012 Expedition

- Updated and new environmental predictor variables
- New bathymetry data synthesis
 - depth -> slope, slope-of-slope, aspect, rugosity, curvature, BPI
- New oceanographic variables from ocean dynamics model
 - bottom current speed and direction, temperature, salinity, mixed layer depth
- New geographic variables
 - distance to shore, shelf break, and hard substrate
- Surficial sediment variables
 - mean grain size, percent mud/sand/gravel
- Other oceanographic variables
 - surface chlorophyll-a, turbidity
- 100 m spatial resolution



- Predicted probability of occurrence
- Bayesian statistical framework
- Incorporate varying survey positional accuracy
- Posterior probability distributions characterize uncertainty in model predictions

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

Outcomes

- High-resolution maps of predicted probability of occurrence of corals and chemosynthetic communities throughout region
- Associated maps of uncertainty
- Inform management applications
- Inform future exploration and data collection
- Compilation of spatial environmental datasets
- Ecological hypotheses



Image: Lophelia II: Reefs, Rigs, and Wrecks 2009 Expedition, NOAA OER/BOEM

Acknowledgements

- Data providers
- Enrique Salgado, Rachel Bassett, and Janessy Frometa (NOAA NCCOS)
- Chris Jenkins (University of Colorado)
- External reviewers
 - Sandra Brooke (Florida State University)
 - Robert Carney (Louisiana State University)
 - Charles Fisher (Pennsylvania State University)
 - Paul Sammarco (Louisiana Universities Marine Consortium)
 - James Sinclair (BSEE)
- Tom Hourigan and NOAA Deep Sea Coral Research & Technology Program
- BOEM: Bruce Baird (COR) and Greg Boland



- This study was funded by the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Environmental Studies Program, Washington, DC, through Interagency Agreement No. M15PG00020 with the U.S. Department of the Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), National Ocean Service (NOS), National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS).
- The views and conclusions contained in this presentation are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the opinions or policies of the US Government, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.
- Thank you for your time



NATIONAL CENTERS FOR COASTAL OCEAN SCIENCE coastalscience.noaa.gov