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ABSTRACT. The Beaufort Sea Mesoscale Circulation Study was initiated in the autumn of 1986 and included measurements of currents, winds, and ice velocities, as well as observations of state variables and nutrient distributions in the ocean and state variables in the polar atmosphere, principally between Barrow and Demarcation Point along the American Beaufort Sea shelf. This report describes the preliminary results from observations made during the first year of the project, including current velocity results from meters recovered through the ice in April 1987, hydrographic and nutrient sections completed in October 1986 and April 1987, wind velocity, air pressure and temperature records recovered continuously through the end of 1987, ARGOS buoy tracks through 1987, and a representative sample of analyzed weather maps during the first year. Data collection continued through April 1988. The total data set is extraordinary in the temporal and spatial extent of its synoptic coverage, and in the variety of its constituent measurements. The data set is also extremely large, and its full reduction and analysis will provide an exceptional opportunity for improving our understanding of the shelf circulation and its forcing, as well as conditions important to the marine ecology of the area.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this study is to gain a quantitative and dynamically founded understanding of the circulation over the Beaufort Sea shelf and its atmospheric and oceanic forcing. The study is within the overall context of a regional environmental assessment related to petroleum exploration and development.

Earlier work under OCSEAP was either concentrated within restricted nearshore areas, or did not provide sufficiently broad spatial and temporal coverage to define the shelf circulation on appropriately large scales. A further serious limitation on earlier work was the grossly inadequate determination of the wind field, so that relatively little could be said about the atmospheric forcing of the ocean. Finally, hydrographic sampling on the shelf including nutrients and dissolved oxygen had earlier been restricted to a brief period during the summer, giving no idea of conditions during other times. To substantially remedy this situation, the present study was designed to provide spatially broad coverage of the circulation and hydrography over the shelf, together with the synoptic wind field; and to do so over a sufficiently long period that the important longer time scales could be defined.

We began by setting up the coastal meteorological stations along the north slope by aircraft, and then proceeded with an October 1986 ice breaker cruise on board the USCGC POLAR STAR during the summer-winter transition. On this cruise we occupied six closely-spaced sections which provided full hydrographic coverage over the entire shelf from Barrow to the U.S.-Canadian border, including five-channel nutrients. The section locations are shown in Figure 1. These data have been published by Aagaard et al. (1987). Moored instrument arrays
were deployed on four of the six sections, including current meters and a prototype new instrument, the SeaCat, which is a very stable conductivity/temperature recorder. The majority of the instruments were recovered during March-April 1987, using helicopter logistics, while three more current meters were picked up in September 1987 from the Canadian research vessel J.P. TULLY (cf. Figure 1 for mooring locations). During April 1987 we also ran four hydrographic sections across the shelf from Barrow to Barter Island. Section locations are shown in Figure 2. These are the first full hydrographic sections done during winter. The data have been published by Aagaard et al. (1988).

Additionally, during 1986-87 two moored arrays with a total of 16 instruments were deployed in Barrow Canyon for the purpose of determining the outflow from the shelf of dense winter water and the fluid mechanical structure of the outflow plume. These matters are of major importance in understanding the structure of the Arctic Ocean. The project is sponsored by the National Science Foundation and will provide information complementary to that of the Beaufort Sea study.

Extensive meteorological and ice drift data were obtained throughout this period, using a combination of drifting and land based stations transmitting through the ARGOS and GOES satellite telemetry systems (Figure 3). Three GOES stations were installed to fill gaps in the primary National Weather Service (NWS) coastal observing network. Stations were established at Resolution Island in Prudhoe Bay, at the Lonely Dewline site near Pitt Point east of Barrow, and at Icy Cape southwest of Barrow. Each station in the GOES network transited hourly meteorological observations every three hours to the GOES-West satellite. These data are then rebroadcast to the GOES-West receiving station in Wallops Island, VA, which maintains a computer database which our laboratory computer interrogated daily. The GOES stations at Lonely and Resolution were recovered in April 1988. Further data were obtained from the primary NWS stations at Barter Island, Barrow, Kotzebue, and Nome. A fourth GOES station funded by the Office of Naval Research was placed at the Cape Prince of Wales navigation daymarker along Bering Strait. The data from this station will be available for September 1987 through April 1988.

Additional meteorological coverage was provided by deployments of ARGOS buoys and stations by helicopter onto sea ice floes along the Beaufort and Chukchi coasts. Eleven ARGOS buoys and three ARGOS stations were deployed over 18 months in support of this study. ARGOS buoys transmit to the NOAA polar orbiting satellites which rebroadcast to the Service ARGOS receiving station in Toulouse, France or in Suitland, MD. Positions are calculated from the doppler shift of the transmissions, and the calculated positions and the sensor data are then available in preliminary form for daily computer interrogation and through fortnightly distribution by magnetic tape. Buoys and stations were not recovered from the ice, but were left to drift until failure.
While not included in this interim report, a further set of moored oceanographic instruments and meteorological stations were deployed in April and September 1987, and these were retrieved in March and April 1988. In addition, three ARGOS buoys were deployed in cooperation with the Polar Science Center of the University of Washington and three were deployed for the ONR FREEZE program. These data will be included in the final analysis, together with pertinent supporting data from the Chukchi Sea.

2. OCEANOGRAPHIC TIME SERIES

Table 1 lists the maximum speed and the vector mean velocity observed at moorings MA 1 (in water 1216 m deep), MA 2 (168 m), MB 1 (1008 m), MB 2 (170 m), and MD 1 (165 m); and Figures 4-6 show the 35-hr low-passed time series from both the current meters and the SeaCats at these moorings. All the mooring locations are shown in Figure 1. The time series depictions of Figures 4-6 are 12-hr realizations of the low-passed data. Each time tick is 3 days, and the vertical axis units are cms$^{-1}$, °C, and psu, respectively, for velocity, temperature, and salinity. In the figures, each current meter record is identified by the mooring designation, the depth, and in parentheses the direction of the principal axis (the axis of greatest variance). The latter is in degrees true, and in practice it is normally nearly parallel with the vector mean direction of the flow, as can be seen by comparison with Table 1. Note that the SeaCats on MA 2 and MB 2 were located within 2 m of the bottom.

Consider first Table 1. In the mean, the flow above about 60-90 m, depending on location, is westward; but below this the flow is eastward and generally increases with depth. (However, the upper westward flow is sufficiently variable that the rms error exceeds the mean.) The subsurface easterly flow apparent in Table 1 is the Beaufort Undercurrent described by Aagaard (1984). While maximum speeds in this current normally appear to be in the range 30-70 cm$^{-1}$ (compare our Table 1 with Table 2 in Aagaard, 1984), long-term mean flows are much less, typically below 10 cm$^{-1}$. Note, however, the extremely high maximum speed recorded at 143 m at MA 2, well over twice as great as any ever observed. Figure 4 shows that this represents a single event, albeit of over a week’s duration, and we speculate that the event may represent an intense baroclinic eddy passing the mooring site. Less extreme examples of such eddies, centered on about the same depth, were first found in the Beaufort Sea in 1972 (Newton et al., 1974). The sequential orientation of the current vectors in Figure 4 suggests that the hypothesized eddy would have had a CW rotation (cf. Foldvik et al., 1988).

Further comparison of our Table 1 with Table 2 of Aagaard (1984) suggests that at least near the shelf break, the Beaufort Undercurrent did not extend as close to the surface during 1986-87 as it did during the earlier observations. Specifically, Table 1 suggests that the zero in the mean velocity profile was at least 60 m deep (MB 2) and possibly as deep as 90 m (MA 2). In contrast, mean easterly flows of 3.8 cm s$^{-1}$ and 6.4 cm s$^{-1}$ were observed during 1978 at about
Table 1. Maximum 35-hour low-passed speed and vector mean velocity, 1986-87. The rms velocity error is given in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mooring</th>
<th>Instrument depth, m</th>
<th>Maximum speed cm s⁻¹</th>
<th>Mean Velocity cm s⁻¹</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MA1</td>
<td>1188</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.6(+/-0.2)</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>3.5(+/-4.1)¹</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>93</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>1.0(+/-0.5)¹</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>143</td>
<td>166.0</td>
<td>7.8(+/-4.7)¹</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>1.5(+/-1.4)</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>148</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>6.9(+/-3.0)</td>
<td>097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>980</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0(+/-0.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>0.3(+/-0.5)¹</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>5.0(+/-2.0)</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>145</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>8.0(+/-1.8)</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD1</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>2.6(+/-2.7)¹</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>4.1(+/-2.6)</td>
<td>095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>4.2(+/-2.2)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ rms error exceeds mean, so that mean not statistically distinguishable from zero.

65 m depth in water respectively near 100 m and 200 m deep. While the data base at this point is certainly not sufficient to sustain firm conclusions in this regard, it does point to possible interannual differences in the velocity structure of the upper ocean.

We turn next to Figure 4, which portrays conditions at the three mooring sites along the 165-170 m isobath, distributed over essentially the entire length of the Alaskan Beaufort Sea. The mooring separation between MA2 and MB2 is -240 km, and -210 km between MB2 and MD 1. The records point to very large low-frequency variability over a broad range of time scales. It is also clear from an inspection of Figure 4 that not only are there vertically coherent events, but that a large number of events are horizontally coherent over the entire length of the shelf. Table 2 lists selected vertical and horizontal correlations for lags between 0-24 hours. The letter T, M, or B by each mooring designates the top, middle, or bottom instrument at that mooring. For example, A2/T is the instrument at 60 m depth at mooring MA2, A2/M the instrument at 93 m at the same mooring, etc. (cf. Table 1 for instrument depths). The number in parentheses beneath each correlation is the lag, in multiples of six hours, for which the correlation was a maximum. The sense of the lag is such that the row instrument leads the column instrument. For example, A2/M leads D1/M by 24 hours. Several points are noteworthy. First,
Table 2. Selected correlations for 35-hr low-passed current meter data, 1986-87. Lags are given in parentheses.

A. Vertical correlations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A2/T</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(o)</td>
<td>(o)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2/M</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2/T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2/M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(o)</td>
<td>(o)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Horizontal correlations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A2/T</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2/M</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2/B</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2/T</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>'s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2/M</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2/B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(o)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ns means not significant at 95% level.*
the vertical structure is different at the three moorings, despite their being sited on the same isobath. At MA 1, the correlation between the top and middle instruments is very high, but degrades considerably between the middle and bottom instruments. In contrast, at MB2, the primary vertical correlation degradation occurs between the top and middle instruments, with the correlation between the middle and bottom being high. At MD1, the correlation pattern is qualitatively like that at MA 1, but overall considerably weaker. The vertical correlations are essentially in phase. The second noteworthy point is that the maximum horizontal correlations occur for the middle instruments; are as high as 0.65, representing 42% of the variance; and that the western moorings lead the eastern ones, progressively more as the distance increases. Much of the low-frequency energy is therefore propagating coherently eastward over long distances along the shelf margin, with suggested phase speeds of order 5 m S⁻¹.

Figure 5 shows currents at the two deeper moorings, each sited in water over 1000 m deep. The flow at MB1 resembles that at MB2 in that in the mean it increases downward from near zero at about 80 m to marked easterly flow deeper. The depth at which the mean easterly flow achieves a maximum cannot be determined from the present data, but near bottom it is effectively zero again. In fact, at that depth the flow is essentially negligible throughout the nearly six months of record. Superimposed on the mean flow at the upper instruments is a large low-frequency variability similar to that farther inshore. The top and middle instruments at MB1 are well correlated (0.89), and the onshore correlation with MB2 is moderate, with slightly less than one-half the variance being accounted for by the correlation between the middle instruments. The impression is of a Beaufort Undercurrent which extends out over the slope well beyond the 1000-m isobath, with a mean eastward velocity maximum over the slope at a depth of probably at least 200 m. There appears to be offshore modal structure in this flow. The near-bottom current at MA1 is quite different from that at MB1, in that measurable speeds are found nearly all the time, with a maximum 35-hr low-passed value of over 7 cm s⁻¹. The flow alternates between approximately northerly and southerly, but with a significant net northerly set. The MA1 series is incoherent with that from any other instrument.

The SeaCat records are particularly interesting (Figure 6). They are marked by continual large low-frequency oscillations (−2° and −2 psu, respectively in temperature and salinity) in which warm saline and cold fresher water alternately moves past the sensors. Considering typical ambient gradients, these oscillations must represent large vertical Excursions (upwelling and downwelling) of perhaps 100-150 m. Furthermore, Figure 6 shows the oscillations to be reasonably coherent with the current record. The correlations vary considerably with the instruments being compared, but at MA2 over one-half the variance in the current records at the upper and middle instruments is linearly related to the variance of the bottom temperature records. The current leads the temperature by 12-24 hr. Furthermore, the SeaCat records themselves are quite coherent laterally, as can be seen in Figure 6. The correlation proves to be 0.78 between the
SeaCat temperatures for a lag of 24 hours, accounting for 61% of the variance, with the western mooring leading. This again suggests eastward propagation, with a phase speed of the same order as we calculated earlier. The overall impression from these records is therefore of a low-frequency current regime in which flow reversals to the west are followed within a day by geostrophic adjustment, with warm and saline water upwelling along the continental margin as the adjusting isopycnals tilt upward toward the south. The perturbations propagate eastward with the coast on their right-hand flank. Such a conceptualization of the upwelling is consonant with that proposed by Aagaard (1981), but differs from the directly wind-driven coastal upwelling proposed by Hufford (1974).

3. HYDROGRAPHIC MEASUREMENTS

Figures 7-60 show the fall distributions of hydrographic properties for sections W, A, E, B, C, and D, and Figures 61-96 the winter distributions for sections W, A, B, and C (locations of all sections shown in Figures 1 and 2). Several features are of immediate importance and interest.

First, consider Figures 16-24 and 70-78, showing Section A during fall and winter, respectively. During October, the shelf is still substantially occupied by the warm summer water which has moved in from the Chukchi Sea, and in fact water warmer than 3R extends seaward beyond the section as a subsurface layer. However, seaward of the shelf break the warm layer is capped by a cold low-salinity layer of ice melt. A prominent front over the shelf break points to an intensified eastward current there at the time of the section (with a shear reversal near 30 m). The oxygen distribution significantly reflects the temperature distribution, with the highest values in the ice melt water. Nutrients are variably reduced in the upper ocean, with nitrate in particular being nearly absent, consonant with a nitrogen-limited system. Note, however, that ammonia has rather large values on the shelf, whereas nitrite concentrations are very low, suggesting that nutrient regeneration has begun to replace the nitrate depleted during the summer, but that the process is still at a relatively early stage. The maxima in phosphate, nitrate, and silicate near 120-150 m represent the general Arctic Ocean nutrient maximum, which has been attributed to shelf sources (Moore et al., 1983; Jones and Anderson, 1986).

During winter (Figures 70-78) Section A still shows a residue of the summer temperature maximum between 30-60 m, while above about 30 m the water is near freezing. The upper thermocline is notably sharp. In the upper layer, salinity gradients have all but disappeared due to convective mixing during freezing. Oxygen concentrations in the upper ocean have increased from the same process. Silicate has not changed significantly from fall, and phosphate has increased only moderately, since neither was apparently seriously depleted the previous October. However, the nitrogen distributions are substantially different, with a large increase in nitrate and significantly high values of nitrite as well. On the other hand, ammonia concentrations are near zero. It is apparent, therefore, that nitrogen regeneration has been substantial, but not complete
by the beginning of the spring production cycle in April. The very high concentrations of nitrate and silicate near the bottom on the middle shelf, with a maximum at station A4, are probably the residue of an earlier upwelling event from below 100 m.

Examples of both active upwelling and downwelling are in fact apparent in the sections themselves. For example, Section C from April (Figures 88-96) shows both the elevated density-related isopleths (temperature, salinity, and sigma-t) which we would expect to follow a current reversal toward the west (cf. the discussion in H. above), and the flooding of the shelf with nutrient-rich waters. Conversely, Section B from October (Figures 34-42) shows a pronounced down-turning toward the shelf of the isopleths of every parameter measured, as we would expect during eastward motion.

A very important matter is illustrated in Section W from October (Figures 7-15). The section runs southwest across the continental slope to its shallowest point on the shelf at station W8 (indicated by the vertical arrow) and then turns more southerly and crosses Barrow Canyon. Note the warm and relatively fresh water flowing eastward through Barrow Canyon and out of the Chukchi Sea onto the Beaufort shelf, and note also that this water is relatively nutrient-depleted, especially in nitrogen. This is the Alaskan coastal water which has moved northward through eastern Bering Strait (cf. Coachman et al., 1974). The critical point, however, is that warm water is also seen over the slope seaward of station W8. It is the origin of this water which is of paramount interest. The most revealing parameter proves to be ammonia (Figure 14), which shows a remarkably strong core centered between about 50-150 m at station W3. An examination of all the nutrients, together with their corresponding density ranges, and a comparison of these values with recent work in the Chukchi and northern Bering seas under the ISHTAR program (Tripp, 1987), points to the relatively warm nutrient-rich water seen over the slope in Section W5 as having come through western Bering Strait, and then northward through the central Chukchi Sea. This is the Bering Sea water described by Coachman et al. (1974), which the ISHTAR program has identified as being involved in the enormously high production of the northwestern Bering Sea, and more recently has also implicated in similarly high production rates in the central Chukchi Sea. The important point for present purposes is that a major source of water for the Beaufort Undercurrent lies farther west along the northern Chukchi margin than the Barrow Canyon input which has been the focus in earlier work (e.g., contrast Mountain, 1974, or Aagaard, 1981). Most likely, the principal point of exit from the Chukchi for this water is Hope Sea Valley and Herald Canyon, although the depression between Hanna and Herald shoals may also contribute.

Another point of interest in the fall sections is the contrast between conditions on the western and eastern portions of the Beaufort shelf. The transition appears to be located near Section B, which is near where Barnes and Toimil (1979) suggested that there is a change in the direction of the nearshore flow from westerly to easterly, possibly associated with a change in the
mean wind regime. In the fall the eastern shelf is marked by lower upper-layer salinities and considerably greater stratification, i.e., it has more of the Arctic Ocean character than the shelf farther west, where the Chukchi influence is strong. For example, contrast Section A (Figures 16-18) with sections C (Figures 43-45) or D (Figures 52-54). There are also differences in the nutrient distributions, with distinctly lower values of both ammonia and silicate on the eastern shelf, possibly reflecting the reduced connection with the Chukchi, although the relatively small data base doesn’t allow firm conclusions in this regard. The following April, the upper-ocean salinities are again lower in the east and the density stratification greater (contrast Figures 71-72, Section A, with Figures 89-90, Section C). At the same time, the nutrients over the shelf show a marked decrease in going eastward from Section A (contrast Figures 75 with 84 or 93, and Figures 78 with 87 or 96). In this case the difference is most obviously a consequence of the recent upwelling at the western site discussed earlier, and it is conceivable that such events are more common there than on the eastern shelf and may therefore be responsible for the fall situation also. In this connection, note in Figure 6 that the temperature and salinity oscillations recorded at the western SeaCat (MA2) were considerably larger than at the eastern one (MB2). The point is that there appear to be significant large-scale longshore differences in the hydrography, reflecting differences in the governing processes.

4. METEOROLOGICAL TIME SERIES AND ICE DRIFT

We turn next to the meteorology. Table 3 summarizes the deployment positions, times, and data completeness for the ARGOS buoys and stations, and Table 4 summarizes the deployment information for the GOES coastal stations and the data acquisition periods for the NWS coastal stations. All ARGOS buoys were fitted with Y.S.I. thermistors in a gilled vane housing for a fully ventilated air temperature, typically 30 to 50 cm above the floe surface, with a resolution of 0.1 °C and zero-point calibration to within 0.3°C. Also, each buoy measured air pressure with an A.I.R. digital barometer with a resolution of 0.1 mb and calibrated to within 0.4 mb. The ARGOS stations had similar temperature and pressure instrumentation; however, the pressure ports and thermistors were 2 m above the floe surface. The stations also had an R.M. Young aerovane-type anemometer at 3 m and an InterOcean S4 current meter at 6 m below the estimated floe bottom. The computer interfaces and tower assemblies were manufactured by Coastal Climate Co. The ESI and PMEL manufactured buoys used Synergetic ARGOS transmitters, while the Coastal Climate buoys and stations used Telonics transmitters. A typical station configuration is given in Figure 97.

Because the GOES satellite is so near the horizon in northern Alaska, slight variations in the geostationary orbit cause the stations to drop below the horizon. In addition, the satellite itself occasionally has transmission failures, resulting in additional data drops. To combat this problem without giving up the immediate knowledge of the condition of the station and
Table 3. ARGOS buoy deployment information. All ARGOS buoys had ventilated air temperature and surface air pressure sensors. In addition, stations 7420a, 7420b, and 7429 had 3-m vector-averaged anemometers and 6-m vector-averaged current meters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUOY IDENT</th>
<th>START TIME</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>START POSITION</th>
<th>END TIME</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>END POSITION</th>
<th>TOTAL BUOY HOURS</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7424</td>
<td>1855</td>
<td>9Oct 1986</td>
<td>71.528</td>
<td>9Oct 1986</td>
<td>145.239</td>
<td>0213</td>
<td>18Dec 1986</td>
<td>1662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7422</td>
<td>22121</td>
<td>1Mar 1987</td>
<td>64.737</td>
<td>1Mar 1987</td>
<td>167.570</td>
<td>1545</td>
<td>12Jun 1987</td>
<td>2464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7420b</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>29Apr 1987</td>
<td>71.336</td>
<td>29Apr 1987</td>
<td>144.554</td>
<td>0253</td>
<td>14Jun 1987</td>
<td>1092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7013</td>
<td>2218</td>
<td>3Sep 1987</td>
<td>71.936</td>
<td>3Sep 1987</td>
<td>158.086</td>
<td>2758</td>
<td>26Sep 1987</td>
<td>2855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7429</td>
<td>22213</td>
<td>5Sep 1987</td>
<td>72.001</td>
<td>5Sep 1987</td>
<td>160.765</td>
<td>0849</td>
<td>21Sep 1987</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7014</td>
<td>0653</td>
<td>8Sep 1987</td>
<td>72.359</td>
<td>8Sep 1987</td>
<td>164.810</td>
<td>0849</td>
<td>21Sep 1987</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7015</td>
<td>2308</td>
<td>9Sep 1987</td>
<td>72.810</td>
<td>9Sep 1987</td>
<td>168.930</td>
<td>0236</td>
<td>21Sep 1987</td>
<td>2114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7430</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>17Nov 1987</td>
<td>71.662</td>
<td>17Nov 1987</td>
<td>148.643</td>
<td>0719</td>
<td>17Nov 1987</td>
<td>1032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7431</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>18Nov 1987</td>
<td>71.893</td>
<td>18Nov 1987</td>
<td>151.744</td>
<td>0719</td>
<td>17Nov 1987</td>
<td>1032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7432</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>18Nov 1987</td>
<td>71.454</td>
<td>18Nov 1987</td>
<td>145.466</td>
<td>0719</td>
<td>17Nov 1987</td>
<td>1032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Buoy type codes: EB = ESI box, CS = Coastal Climate Company station, PB = PMEL/Synergetics box, CT = Coastal Climate Company short tube.
2 Buoy start time listed is time of first ARGOS transmission.
3 Buoy 7424 had low quality transmissions and stable positions were infrequent.
4 Buoy 7422 had a four-day data gap between 21 and 25 May 1987.
5 Buoy was still transmitting as of 31 December 1987; last transmission processed was 000031 December 1987.
6 ONR funded deployment.
Table 4. Coastal meteorological station summary information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Start Time</th>
<th>End Time</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barter</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>1Sep86</td>
<td>2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>26Sep86</td>
<td>2200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonely</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>25 Sep86</td>
<td>2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrow</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>1Sep86</td>
<td>2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Icy Cape</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>22Mar87</td>
<td>2200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kotzebue</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>1Sep86</td>
<td>2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wales</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>14Sep87</td>
<td>2100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nome</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>1Sep86</td>
<td>2300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Resolution GOES station did not record temperature from 1200 6Oct87 to 1600 18Nov87, and recorded no data from 1100 3Mar87 to 0000 12 Mar87, from 1700 to 1900 18Nov87, and from 0200 to 0400 27 Dec87. Missing data were linearly filled. The latter two breaks may be filled with data from internally recorded tapes before the final analysis.

2 Lonely GOES station did not record from 0800 to 1000 28Sep86, sporadically and without wind speed from 23003 1Oct86 to 2200 12Mar87, 0300 to 1000 4Nov87, 1400 to 1600 12Nov87, 0800 to 1000 2Dec87, 0500 to 0700 4Dec87, 1700 9Dec87 to 0700 20Dec87, and from 1100 to 1300 20Dec87. Missing data were linearly filled. The latter five breaks may be filled from internally recorded tapes before the final analysis.

3 Icy GOES station was first deployed in September 1986 but did not function. A replacement was installed 22 March 1987. This is an older style station and doesn’t record internally, so recovery from GOES transmission drops are not possible. The station did not transmit from 0200 to 0700 28 Mar87, 2000 to 2200 31 Mar87, 0200 to 0400 4Apr87, 0500 to 0700 7 Apr87, 2000 to 2200 30 Apr87, 0500 to 0700 17 May87, 0200 to 0700 21 May87, 0500 to 0700 1 Jun87, 0800 to 1300 14 Jun87, 0800 to 1000 19 Jun87, 2300 29 Jun87 to 0100 30 Jun87, 2000 to 2200 30 Jun87, 1400 to 1600 10 Jul87, 2000 to 2200 11 Jul87, 0800 14 Jul87 to 0700 15 Jul87, 0500 20 Jul87 to 0400 21 Jul87, 0500 22 Jul87 to 0100 23 Jul87, 2000 to 2200 25 Jul87, 0500 29 Jul87 to 0700 30 Jul87, 0800 22 Aug87 to 0400 23 Aug87, 1700 to 1900 25 Aug87, 2000 31 Aug87 to 1600 2 Sep87, 1700 to 1900 20 Sep87, 0800 to 1000 24 Sep87, 1700 26 Sep87 from 0400 to 28 Sep87, 1100 to 1300 28 Sep87, 1700 28 Sep87 to 0100 30 Sep87, 0200 to 0400 2 Oct87, 1400 to 1600 3 Oct87, 2000 3 Oct87 to 0700 4 Oct87, 1100 to 2200 5 Oct87, 1400 6 Oct87 to 1300 7 Oct87, 0800 to 1000 8 Oct87, 2000 to 2200 31 Oct87, 0500 to 0700 and 1100 to 1300 4 Nov87, 0200 to 0400 and 0800 to 1000 2 Dec87, 1700 to 1900 3 Dec87, 0200 to 0400 15 Dec87, 0200 to 0400 27 Dec87.

4 Cape Prince of Wales GOES station was deployed as part of ONR-funded FREEZE experiment, but is included here because it contributes to understanding the spatial variability of the region. The station transmitted intermittently from 1300 15 Sep87 to 1200 24 Sep 87, and did not transmit from 1600 26 Sep87 to 0300 28 Sep87, 1300 28 Sep87 to 0000 1 Oct87, 0400 to 0600 2 Oct87, 1000 3 Oct87 to 0600 4 Oct87, 1000 to 2100 5 Oct87, 1300 6 Oct87 to 1200 7 Oct87, 0700 to 1200 8 Oct87, 0100 to 0300 25 Oct87, 0100 to 1200 4 Nov87, 0400 to 1200 2 Dec87, 0100 to 0300 15 Dec87, and 0100 to 0300 27 Dec87. All the breaks may be filled later. Missing data were linearly filled for this analysis.
providing protection against station loss from bears or humans, the **Lonely** and **Resolution** stations were also set to internally record. Overall data recovery was therefore quite good. The detailed list of missing and filled data in Table 4 mainly reflects transmission drops from non-recording stations and data missing since the March 1987 servicing visit. Additional problems were encountered with an unreliable batch of ARGOS buoys manufactured by ES I Company, designated as EB in Table 3. As a result, early failures with the **first** group of buoys deployed on the ice created an offshore data gap of three months. However, after the **first** week in March 1987, data coverage over the shelf is generally good, including the buoy data presented in this report, as well as those from buoys belonging to the Polar Science Center from which data will be available for our, **final** analysis. Fleet Naval Oceanographic Center surface analyses will be used to interpolate missing station data.

The GOES station plots are presented in Figures 98-125 for Resolution Island, Lonely, Icy Cape and Cape Prince of Wales (Bering Strait) through the end of December 1987. ARGOS drift tracks are plotted in Figures 126-142 through the end of December 1987. Examples of weather affecting the region are presented in Figures 143-150.

The air temperatures over the coastal Beaufort and Chukchi seas did not cool off until the third week in November in 1986, nearly a month later than the **climatological** average. The September/October cruise of the Coast Guard icebreaker POLAR STAR encountered the least ice in the coastal Beaufort in thirty years this late in the fall. Low pressure centers passed through the area with frequencies and intensities typical of mid-latitude early autumn, and one storm immediately before the cruise caused extensive storm-surge damage in the **Barrow** area, including road damage, beach erosion, and destruction of archeological sites. This pattern was generally repeated in the autumn of 1987. The August/October 1987 cruise of the NOAA ship SURVEYOR also encountered nearly minimum ice extents. During light-ice summers, the open ocean plays a major role in affecting air temperatures but not necessarily sea-level pressure (Rogers, 1978).

During late winter and spring of 1987, the wind persisted from the east, the **climatologically** average direction. Approximately by the spring equinox, the solar radiation through relatively clear skies induced strong diurnal variations in air temperature, and the temperatures across the slope increased from -30°C at the end of the first week in April to around 0°C by the end of May 1987. At that time the temperature stabilized and the diurnal variations were diminished by the onset of persistent Arctic stratus.

Table 5 shows correlations of the unfiltered **1-hr** coastal station data for calendar year 1987. This table shows that North **Slope** stations are substantially more like each other than like Kotzebue or Nome in temperature, pressure, and wind speed. Except for Resolution, the pressure lags are consistent with a picture that, during late summer and autumn, low pressure systems tend to propagate from the northeastern Bering Sea, northward along the **Chukchi coast**, and eastward
Table 5. Maximum correlations at lag n at or above 95% confidence level for unfiltered, 1-hr data from six coastal meteorological stations (column lags row). Common time interval is from 0100 Jan87 to 2200 Dec87 with 8758 points. Number of lags is 30 (30 hours).

A. Sea-level pressure (SLP in mb).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Barter</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Lonely</th>
<th>Barrow</th>
<th>Kotzebue</th>
<th>Nome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barter</td>
<td>1.00/o</td>
<td>0.90/ 8</td>
<td>0.91/ 8</td>
<td>0.96/ 0</td>
<td>0.82/ 0</td>
<td>0.68/ 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolutn</td>
<td>0.91/ 5</td>
<td>1.00/ o</td>
<td>0.85/15</td>
<td>0.89/ 5</td>
<td>0.77/ o</td>
<td>0.65/ O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonely</td>
<td>0.88/ o</td>
<td>0.81/ 0</td>
<td>1.00/ o</td>
<td>0.89/ 0</td>
<td>0.65/ 0</td>
<td>0.53/ 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrow</td>
<td>0.96/ 1</td>
<td>0.89/ O</td>
<td>0.92/9</td>
<td>1.00/ o</td>
<td>0.75/ o</td>
<td>0.61/ O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kotzebue</td>
<td>0.85/ 8</td>
<td>0.78/ 5</td>
<td>0.74/17</td>
<td>0.78/ 8</td>
<td>1.00/ o</td>
<td>0.96/ O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nome</td>
<td>0.74/12</td>
<td>0.67/ 9</td>
<td>0.64/21</td>
<td>0.66/13</td>
<td>0.96/ 4</td>
<td>1.00/o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean SLP</td>
<td>1014.87</td>
<td>1013.57</td>
<td>1013.13</td>
<td>1015.62</td>
<td>1009.43</td>
<td>1007.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Surface air temperature (SAT in C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Barter</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Lonely</th>
<th>Barrow</th>
<th>Kotzebue</th>
<th>Nome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barter</td>
<td>1.00/ o</td>
<td>0.96/ 9</td>
<td>0.96/ 9</td>
<td>0.95/ o</td>
<td>0.89/ O</td>
<td>0.82/ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolutn</td>
<td>0.96/13</td>
<td>1.00/ o</td>
<td>0.96/ O</td>
<td>0.94/ o</td>
<td>0.87/ O</td>
<td>0.81/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonely</td>
<td>0.95/ o</td>
<td>0.96/ O</td>
<td>1.00/ o</td>
<td>0.95/ o</td>
<td>0.88/ o</td>
<td>0.83/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrow</td>
<td>0.96/21</td>
<td>0.95/ 9</td>
<td>0.97/9</td>
<td>1.00/ o</td>
<td>0.90/ o</td>
<td>0.84/ O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kotzebue</td>
<td>0.89/22</td>
<td>0.88/ 8</td>
<td>0.89/ 9</td>
<td>0.90/ o</td>
<td>1.00/ o</td>
<td>0.94/ o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nome</td>
<td>0.83/23</td>
<td>0.82/ 9</td>
<td>0.83/30</td>
<td>0.84/ O</td>
<td>0.94/ 1</td>
<td>1.00/ o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean SAT</td>
<td>-11.47</td>
<td>-11.76</td>
<td>-12.26</td>
<td>-12.07</td>
<td>-5.12</td>
<td>-2.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Station wind speed (SPD in m/s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Barter</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Lonely</th>
<th>Barrow</th>
<th>Kotzebue</th>
<th>Nome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barter</td>
<td>1.00/ o</td>
<td>0.52/ O</td>
<td>0.41/ 8</td>
<td>0.49/ o</td>
<td>0.04/30</td>
<td>-0.07/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolutn</td>
<td>0.59/15</td>
<td>1.00/ o</td>
<td>0.60/24</td>
<td>0.56/13</td>
<td>0.11/10</td>
<td>-0.08/28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonely</td>
<td>0.37/ o</td>
<td>0.40/ o</td>
<td>1.00/ o</td>
<td>0.54/ o</td>
<td>-0.02/30</td>
<td>-0.13/28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrow</td>
<td>0.50/ 2</td>
<td>0.50/ o</td>
<td>0.62/ 8</td>
<td>1.00/ o</td>
<td>0.12/0</td>
<td>-0.05/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kotzebue</td>
<td>0.10/20</td>
<td>0.13/16</td>
<td>0.08/30</td>
<td>0.21/24</td>
<td>1.00/ o</td>
<td>0.42/ O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nome</td>
<td>-0.08/ 5</td>
<td>-0.05/ o</td>
<td>-0.10/ o</td>
<td>0.07/25</td>
<td>0.43/ 4</td>
<td>1.00/o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean SPD</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>4.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
along the Beaufort coast [Overland, 1981; Pease, 1987). For example, Barter lags Resolution by five hours, Lonely lags Barrow by nine hours, Barrow lags Kotzebue by eight hours, and Kotzebue lags Nome by four hours. This conclusion is further supported by the observation that all the North Slope stations lag Kotzebue by four hours less than they do Nome.

In a separate correlation (not shown) for a six-month interval including the spring and summer of 1987, a period of sustained easterly winds, pressure at Resolution strongly led all other stations, suggesting the westward propagation of high pressure anomalies. Also, the Brooks Range foots near Resolution, and there are interactions with the topography during winter and spring because of the strong capping inversions (Kozo, 1980; Overland, 1985). In the summer, sea breezes asymmetrically modify the surface wind along the north slope, as well (Kozo, 1982a,b; Kozo, 1984).

In the summer, sea breezes asymmetrically modify the surface wind along the north slope, as well (Kozo, 1982a,b; Kozo, 1984).

Considering the surface air temperature correlations in Table 5, we see that Resolution and Lonely lag both Barter and Barrow by 8-9 hours, which supports the idea that warm low pressure systems from the southwest and cold high pressure systems from the northeast pass over the area. All the stations have very high temperature correlations at low lags, because the solar diurnal cycle (especially during the spring months before the summer stratus develops) and the annual cycle account for a large portion of the variance of the air temperature in the polar and polar-marine climatic zone (Overland, 1981; Pease, 1987). Due to the prevalence of summer clouds, short wave radiation peaks in early June (Maykut and Church, 1973).

The wind speeds at Nome are essentially uncorrelated with the wind speeds along the North Slope, related to the orientation of the topography relative to the station and to the fact that many low pressure systems felt in the northeastern Bering Sea do not propagate northward into the Chukchi/Beaufort (Overland and Pease, 1982). Kotzebue wind speeds lag Nome by about four hours, but the correlation is modest and accounts for less than 20% of the variance at Kotzebue. Wind speed variance at Kotzebue leads that at all the North Slope stations by 16-30 hours, but only accounts for 4% of the variance at Barrow. In contrast, wind speed variance at Resolution leads variance at all North Slope stations by 13-24 hours and accounts for about 36% of the variance at the other stations. In general, however, the wind speed correlations are relatively low among all the stations because topographical and other local effects reduce the correlations (Kozo, 1980) compared to pressure correlations.

In order to evaluate the quality of the FNOC surface analyses for use in filling missing data and to aid in spatial interpolations, we compared FNOC data with two independent GOES stations and two non-independent NWS stations along the north slope. Table 6 shows the correlations for pressure, wind speed, and temperature for the four coastal meteorological stations compared with point data stripped from the FNOC gridded fields by METLIB and interpolated to each site. Table 7 correlates pressure measured at two of the ARGOS buoys with FNOC pressure. Since FNOC analyses are generated every six hours (twelve hours for
Table 6. Maximum correlations/ at lag $n$ at or above 95% confidence level for 6-hr data from four coastal meteorological stations and the equivalent FNOC data for the same sites (column lags row). N stands for NWS station, G stands for GOES station, and F stands for FNOC equivalent. Common time interval is from 0100 26Sep86 to 2200 31 Aug87. Number of lags is 5 (30 hours).

A. Sea-level pressure (SLP in mb) for 6-hr data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BarterN</th>
<th>BarterF</th>
<th>ResoluG</th>
<th>ResoluF</th>
<th>LonelyG</th>
<th>LonelyF</th>
<th>BarrowN</th>
<th>BarrowF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BarterN</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>0.99/2</td>
<td>0.93/1</td>
<td>0.99/1</td>
<td>0.98/1</td>
<td>0.98/1</td>
<td>0.96/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BarterF</td>
<td>0.94/0</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>0.91/0</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>0.97/0</td>
<td>0.98/0</td>
<td>0.90/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResoluG</td>
<td>0.93/0</td>
<td>0.93/1</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>0.93/1</td>
<td>0.92/1</td>
<td>0.93/1</td>
<td>0.90/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResoluF</td>
<td>0.95/0</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>0.92/0</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>0.99/0</td>
<td>0.99/0</td>
<td>0.92/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LonelyG</td>
<td>0.95/0</td>
<td>0.97/0</td>
<td>0.92/0</td>
<td>0.99/0</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>0.95/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LonelyF</td>
<td>0.95/0</td>
<td>0.93/0</td>
<td>0.92/0</td>
<td>0.99/0</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>0.95/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BarrowN</td>
<td>0.96/0</td>
<td>0.96/2</td>
<td>0.91/1</td>
<td>0.97/2</td>
<td>0.99/2</td>
<td>0.99/2</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BarrowF</td>
<td>0.94/0</td>
<td>0.96/0</td>
<td>0.90/0</td>
<td>0.97/0</td>
<td>0.99/0</td>
<td>0.99/0</td>
<td>0.96/0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Station wind speed (SPD in rids) for 6-hr data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BarterN</th>
<th>BarterF</th>
<th>ResoluG</th>
<th>ResoluF</th>
<th>LonelyG</th>
<th>LonelyF</th>
<th>BarrowN</th>
<th>BarrowF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BarterN</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>0.35/2</td>
<td>0.52/1</td>
<td>0.28/1</td>
<td>0.25/1</td>
<td>0.27/1</td>
<td>0.42/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BarterF</td>
<td>0.26/0</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>0.33/0</td>
<td>0.93/0</td>
<td>0.23/0</td>
<td>0.77/0</td>
<td>0.33/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResoluG</td>
<td>0.45/0</td>
<td>0.35/1</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>0.3 1/1</td>
<td>0.41/4</td>
<td>0.30/1</td>
<td>0.40/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResoluF</td>
<td>0.23/0</td>
<td>0.93/0</td>
<td>0.3 1/0</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>0.15/0</td>
<td>0.92/0</td>
<td>0.35/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LonelyG</td>
<td>0.21/0</td>
<td>0.23/1</td>
<td>0.35/0</td>
<td>0.15/0</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>0.14/0</td>
<td>0.36/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LonelyF</td>
<td>0.22/0</td>
<td>0.77/0</td>
<td>0.30/0</td>
<td>0.92/0</td>
<td>0.14/0</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>0.39/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BarrowN</td>
<td>0.42/0</td>
<td>0.43/2</td>
<td>0.44/1</td>
<td>0.43/2</td>
<td>0.44/1</td>
<td>0.47/2</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BarrowF</td>
<td>0.24/0</td>
<td>0.67/0</td>
<td>0.28/0</td>
<td>0.81/0</td>
<td>0.13/0</td>
<td>0.97/0</td>
<td>0.41/0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Surface air temperature (SAT in C) for 12-hr data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ResoluG</th>
<th>ResoluF</th>
<th>LonelyG</th>
<th>LonelyF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ResoluG</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>0.97/2</td>
<td>0.96/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResoluF</td>
<td>0.37/0</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>0.35/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LonelyG</td>
<td>0.96/0</td>
<td>0.95/0</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LonelyF</td>
<td>0.36/0</td>
<td>1.00/0</td>
<td>0.36/0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

157
Table 7. Correlation between pressure measured at two of the ARGOS buoys and pressure from the FNOC fields at the position of the buoys. The analysis was carried out for the lifetime of the buoy: from 1 March to 12 June 1987 for buoy 7422 and from 7 March to 11 April, 1987 for buoy 7423.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7422A</th>
<th>7422M</th>
<th>7423A</th>
<th>7423M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7422A</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>.99(0)</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7422M</td>
<td>.99(0)</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0(0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

temperature fields), we subsampled the hourly station data at the appropriate intervals. In general, temperatures and pressures were well modeled by the FNOC analyses with one caveat: the FNOC analyses were lagged six to twelve hours from the stations data, although they were in phase with the ARGOS data. For example, both Barter and Barrow pressure and wind speed led the FNOC data by 12 hours.

5. SUMMARY

During the period from October 1986 to April 1987, near-surface currents over the Beaufort Sea continental shelf and margin were westward, although the vector mean magnitude at many moorings was smaller than the RMS error. The depth at which the mean flow became easterly, i.e., the depth at which the Beaufort Undercurrent began, was between 60-90 m. This depth varied spatially and also appears to change from year to year. Flow reversals in the undercurrent to westerly motion occurred frequently, and these reversals may have driven upwelling of warm, saline water onto the shelf. Vertical excursions were in the range of 100-150 m. Such upwelling events were evident both in the time-series records and in the hydrographic sections.

An important point is evident from the October occupation of section W, viz. that a major source of water for the Beaufort Undercurrent must lie farther west than had previously been considered. Most likely, this other injection occurs in the vicinity of Herald Canyon.

Many low-frequency flow events were coherent vertically and over huge horizontal distances. Maximum horizontal correlations over the outer shelf were measured at depths of 90-100 m. Variance at the western moorings led that at the eastern ones, and the phase difference suggests an eastward propagation rate of about $\text{s}^{-1}$.

The hydrographic data provide extensive coverage of both fall and winter conditions. A possibly important “feature in the data is the longshore variability in the hydrography of the Beaufort Sea shelf, including possible major hydrographic differences between the western and eastern Beaufort. The latter transition appears to occur in the vicinity of Prudhoe Bay.

With respect to the meteorology, both the autumns of 1986 and 1987 were abnormally warm, and an unusual number of low-pressure centers passed through the Beaufort Sea. The
autumn ice extents were well below average. The late winter and spring of 1987 were more climatically normal.

Pressure and air temperature measured at the GOES stations were generally better spatially correlated than was wind speed, because of topographic and other effects. Pressure and temperature fields were well modeled by the FNOC analyses, which can therefore be used to fill in missing data. Correlation coefficients and lags suggest that warm low-pressure systems propagate up the Chukchi Sea coast and then eastward along the Beaufort Sea coast, while cold high-pressure systems originate farther east and propagate towards the west.

The total data set is extraordinary in the temporal and spatial extent of its synoptic coverage, and in the variety of its constituent measurements. The data set is also extremely large, and its full reduction and analysis will provide an exceptional opportunity for improving our understanding of the shelf circulation and its forcing, as well as conditions important to the marine ecology of the area.

At the same time, the size of the data set provides a genuine challenge in processing and analysis. Major processing tasks remain. For example, the numerous long current and pressure records for April 1987-April 1988, and just now recovered, have not yet been processed and reduced. Likewise, the ARGOS data from 1988 have not been analyzed, and in fact some of the ARGOS buoys are still transmitting. As the various meteorological and oceanographic components of the composite data set become available in a fully processed form, their synthesis promises new insights into the processes governing conditions over the Beaufort Sea shelf.
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