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The Mid-Atlantic region, which encompasses the area from New York to Virginia and seaward out to 200 nautical miles, is known for its sandy beaches, rich cultural and historical sites, vibrant coastal communities, and major metropolitan cities. With increasing demand for goods transported by sea, new and expanding uses on the horizon, changing physical and biological conditions, and shifting ocean dynamics due to climate change, the region is likely to experience dramatic changes in the decades to come.

Mid-Atlantic regional ocean planning provides tools, information, and processes that enhance the capacity of Federal, State, and Tribal entities, and the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council to carry out their missions, work together more effectively, and serve the needs of stakeholders in the region.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

There is no single management entity responsible for comprehensive, integrated stewardship of our domestic oceans. Responsibilities for ocean management are distributed among numerous Federal, State, and Tribal entities and include hundreds of laws, regulations, and policies. This distributed system poses challenges to managers striving for efficient, informed, and coordinated decision making. These challenges are increasing as society seeks to accommodate new and expanding ocean uses while simultaneously protecting the health of a rapidly changing natural system.

In recent years, there has been a growing effort to manage human interactions with complex marine systems using a holistic and coordinated approach, which often means shifting toward management on regional ecosystem scales. Because our oceans are managed by so many agencies at various levels of government, and ocean waters, marine life, and ocean users regularly move across jurisdictional boundaries, improved information sharing and coordinated planning at regional scales are particularly important for ensuring the health and sustainable use of our marine ecosystems.

Leaders in the Mid-Atlantic region have recognized the need to better coordinate ocean management across jurisdictions. Effectively doing so requires coordination among the Mid-Atlantic States, along with the many Federal agencies with jurisdiction over key aspects of ocean management, the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC), and the federally recognized Tribes in the region. Acknowledgement of the need to address these opportunities and challenges in the Mid-Atlantic and other regions has resulted in action at the National level. In 2010, President Obama issued Executive Order 13547, which established the Nation’s first national ocean policy. Among other things, this policy establishes ocean planning as a mechanism for better coordination across jurisdictions.

In the Mid-Atlantic region, ocean planning creates a forum for Federal agencies, Mid-Atlantic States, federally recognized Tribes, and the MAFMC to collaborate through the Mid-Atlantic Regional Planning Body (RPB). The purpose of the RPB is to:

- Improve our understanding of how the ocean waters and resources of the Mid-Atlantic region are being used, managed, and conserved;
- Provide a forum for identifying coordinated actions to address regionally-important ocean management challenges and opportunities; and
- Engage stakeholders and regional partners to ensure that the full breadth of perspectives is accounted for in ocean planning.

Starting in 2013, the RPB designed and carried out a regional ocean planning process in collaboration with the general public, stakeholders, and partners such as the Mid-Atlantic Regional Council on the Ocean (MARCO). The main product of this collaborative effort is the Mid-Atlantic Regional Ocean Action Plan (Plan). The Plan is the first of its kind in this region. Throughout the process of developing the Plan, the RPB provided numerous opportunities for public input and engagement. This included a 60 day public comment period on the draft Plan during the summer of 2016, and a series of open house public listening sessions soliciting public input on the draft Plan. In preparing the final Plan, the RPB took this input into account and developed a formal response to public comments.
The Plan and the coordination actions taken by RPB member entities focus on informing decision making under existing regulatory and statutory authorities. The RPB itself does not have any regulatory authority.

CHAPTER ONE
Ocean Planning in the Mid-Atlantic

Chapter One of the Plan includes a description of the special relationship of the Mid-Atlantic region to the ocean waters off of its shores, the history and collaborative process of Mid-Atlantic regional ocean planning, further detail about the RPB and its membership and a summary of certain foundational documents developed with public input. These foundational documents include the Mid-Atlantic Regional Ocean Planning Framework that established eleven overarching principles to guide ocean planning, two goals for the regional ocean planning process, and a series of objectives related to each goal. The goals are:

- **Healthy Ocean Ecosystem.** “Promote ocean ecosystem health, functionality, and integrity through conservation, protection, enhancement, and restoration.”
- **Sustainable Ocean Uses.** “Plan and provide for existing and emerging ocean uses in a sustainable manner that minimizes conflicts, improves effectiveness, increases regulatory predictability, and supports economic growth.”

Other foundational documents include the RPB Charter, which clarifies the purpose, participants, and a preliminary delineation of roles and responsibilities; the Approach to the Mid-Atlantic Ocean Action Plan by which the RPB agreed to identify and implement the collaborative actions described in Chapter Two; and the Regional Ocean Assessment, a product that develops a shared understanding of the current state of the region’s ocean resources.

CHAPTER TWO
Actions to Promote Interjurisdictional Coordination in Support of Regional Ocean Planning Goals

A key purpose of regional ocean planning in the Mid-Atlantic is to provide tools, information, and processes that enhance the capacity of Federal, State, and Tribal entities, and MAFMC to carry out their missions, work together more effectively, and serve the needs of stakeholders in the region. Interjurisdictional coordination is the process of collaboratively developing and implementing those tools, information, and processes, and is a core component of the Plan. This chapter describes several key Plan components.
that are intended to work together and across levels of government, authorities, jurisdictions, and sectors to help achieve the region’s ocean planning goals and objectives. These include best practices and a series of actions to address the Healthy Ocean Ecosystem and Sustainable Ocean Uses goals. These actions are listed in Table 1 on pages 8–10.

**BEST PRACTICES**

The best practices in the Plan inform coordination and the use of data and information under existing authorities. They draw on existing agency practices, lessons learned from agency and stakeholder experience with recent projects in the Mid-Atlantic, and the opportunity provided by the Plan to use regional information and coordination to enhance the decision making process. The best practices will enhance:

- The use of data and information in agency coordination
- Participation in agency coordination
- Coordination with stakeholders
- Coordination among Federal, State, Tribal, and MAFMC partners

Best practices are a cornerstone of the Plan and directly support specific interjurisdictional coordination actions addressed in subsequent sections of this chapter. All RPB entities are strongly encouraged to use these best practices, which are voluntary for States and Tribes. Consistent with Executive Order 13547 Federal agencies will implement these best practices, to the extent practicable, appropriate, and consistent with existing authorities, agency practice, and support, to inform agency coordination and the use of data and information.

**CONTINUATION OF THE REGIONAL PLANNING BODY**

RPB member entities commit to continuing the RPB past the development of this initial Plan in order to build on the regional collaboration fostered through the ocean planning process.

**ACTIONS TO PROMOTE A HEALTHY OCEAN ECOSYSTEM**

In order to specifically address the Healthy Ocean Ecosystem goal, the RPB describes six interjurisdictional coordination actions that address three objectives. The three objectives are:

- Discovering, understanding, protecting, and restoring the ocean ecosystem.
- Accounting for ocean ecosystem changes and increased risks.
- Valuing Traditional Knowledge.

The six actions to address those objectives are:

- Identify ecologically rich areas of the ocean in the Mid-Atlantic region and increase understanding of those areas to foster more informed decision making.
- Map shifts in ocean species and habitats.
- Develop a Mid-Atlantic Ocean Acidification Monitoring Network.
- Develop a regionally appropriate strategy for marine debris reduction.
- Develop, monitor, and assess indicators of the health of the Mid-Atlantic regional ocean ecosystem.
• Incorporate Traditional Knowledge of Tribes regarding ocean health in regional ocean planning in the Mid-Atlantic.

**ACTIONS TO FOSTER SUSTAINABLE OCEAN USES**

The Plan also describes nine objectives and 33 interjurisdictional coordination actions that address the Sustainable Ocean Uses goal. These objectives address:

• **National security.** Account for national security interests in the Mid-Atlantic through enhanced coordination, increased transparency, and sharing of information across agencies.

• **Ocean energy.** Facilitate greater collaboration around ocean energy issues in the Mid-Atlantic.

• **Commercial and recreational fishing.** Foster greater understanding of the needs of Mid-Atlantic fishers and fishing communities in the context of the full range of ocean uses and conservation efforts.

• **Ocean aquaculture.** Inform ocean aquaculture siting and permitting in the Mid-Atlantic through greater coordination among stakeholders and management authorities to address compatibility issues.

• **Maritime commerce and navigation.** Enhance institutional awareness of the impact maritime commerce exerts on the national and Mid-Atlantic economies, and ensure that new and updated maritime commerce and navigational information is available at the local and regional levels for integration into regional ocean planning.

• **Offshore sand management.** Facilitate enhanced coordination among coastal jurisdictions, Federal and State regulatory agencies, and Tribal entities on the use of sand and gravel resources in the Mid-Atlantic in the context of coastal adaptation and resilience planning and implementation.

• **Non-consumptive recreation.** Account for the importance of nearshore and offshore non-consumptive recreational uses, and their local and regional economic contributions in the Mid-Atlantic; and in the management of other ocean uses and resources, consider impacts on non-consumptive recreational activities (e.g., surfing, boating, whale watching, birding, diving).

• **Tribal uses.** Recognize and respect the right of Tribal Nations to free, prior, and informed consent while taking into account important Tribal uses and submerged cultural resources in the planning process.

• **Critical undersea infrastructure.** Facilitate greater understanding of the current and potential future location of submerged infrastructure, such as submarine cables (e.g., for communication and electricity) and pipelines.

Finally, the RPB expresses interest in further exploring two options that would enable certain decisions related to the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA) to be more efficient, streamlined, and coordinated. The options relate to (1) providing earlier Federal notice to States and Tribes than current regulations require, where appropriate and practicable, and (2) improving States’ abilities to execute the Federal Consistency provisions of the CZMA in the offshore space.
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CHAPTER THREE
Science, Data, and Tools to Support Decision Making

In close partnership with MARCO and with support from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM), and other Federal partners, the RPB has developed and continues to enhance a significant body of spatial data and other information that serves to frame and inform the interjurisdictional coordination actions the RPB has developed to achieve the region’s ocean planning goals and objectives.

One source of information on the Data Portal was developed by the Marine-life Data and Analysis Team (MDAT), which is composed of researchers from Duke University, NOAA, and Loyola University. This team developed base layer predictive model products for 29 marine mammal species or species guilds and 40 avian species, and distribution and abundance maps for 82 fish species. The MDAT project has produced over 3,000 publicly available spatial data layers, as well as several summary aggregate map products. Summary aggregate products are comprised of more than one species, and were created to allow quick access to maps of groups of species by biology, management, or sensitivity to certain stressors. All of the new marine life data products fill critical gaps and can be queried to help answer questions about the distribution and abundance of specific species in areas of interest to planners and ocean resource managers.

Human Use Data Synthesis (HUDS) products, developed as part of the planning process, are also on the Data Portal. The HUDS products depict the number of uses in a given area, based on available data, and allow users to spatially identify the intensity of human uses across the different activity types. The products depict different social and economic uses, highlight locations where multiple uses occur, and provide a rough measure of use intensity for maritime transportation and commercial fishing.

The Mid-Atlantic Ocean Data Portal provides a central, publicly accessible location for interactive ocean mapping and information focused on the Mid-Atlantic region. http://portal.midatlanticocean.org
CHAPTER FOUR
Plan Implementation

The RPB recognizes the need for effective Plan implementation and administration going forward. To successfully carry out the actions identified in the Plan, the RPB will continue its work to ensure that:

• Progress is made in implementing the actions articulated in the Plan.

• Stakeholders are engaged in implementation of the Plan and any future updates or amendments to the Plan.

• Ongoing coordination continues among RPB entities, with partners, and with planning processes in adjacent regions.

• Expertise and support from within and outside of governmental entities are being leveraged.

• New information and changing circumstances are accounted for through future Plan updates and amendments.

• Detailed work plans for Plan implementation are developed and updated over time to reflect new information and evolving context.

Additionally, the RPB will develop a performance monitoring and evaluation plan that will provide the RPB, stakeholders, and the public with tools to determine whether and how effectively the Plan actions implemented by the RPB are achieving the Framework goals and objectives.
## Summary of RPB Actions

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GOAL: Healthy Ocean Ecosystem</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objectives:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Discovering, understanding, protecting, and restoring the ocean ecosystem</td>
<td>Action 1 Identify ecologically rich areas of the ocean in the Mid-Atlantic region and increase understanding of those areas to foster more informed decision making. Action 2 Map shifts in ocean species and habitats. Action 3 Develop a Mid-Atlantic Ocean Acidification Monitoring Network. Action 4 Develop a regionally appropriate strategy for marine debris reduction. Action 5 Develop, monitor, and assess indicators of the health of the Mid-Atlantic regional ocean ecosystem. Action 6 Incorporate Traditional Knowledge of Tribes regarding ocean health in regional ocean planning in the Mid-Atlantic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Accounting for ocean ecosystem changes and increased risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Valuing Traditional Knowledge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GOAL: Sustainable Ocean Uses</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objectives related to:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. National Security</td>
<td>Action 1 Use the Plan and Data Portal to guide and inform Department of Defense programs, initiatives, and planning documents. Action 2 Identify Department of Defense points of contact for the range of national security data layers in the Data Portal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Ocean Energy</td>
<td>Action 1 Identify key intersections of relevant Federal programs and authorities that affect wind energy development. Action 2 Develop internal Bureau of Ocean Energy Management guidance on integrating the Plan-developed best practices for using the Data Portal in management, environmental, and regulatory reviews. Action 3 Partner in ongoing and planned studies, identify knowledge gaps, and increase access to research planning cycles related to ocean energy. Action 4 Use the Data Portal to enhance access to data, environmental reports, and proposed offshore wind development activities. Action 5 Improve consultations and communication with Tribes in the region. Action 6 Enhance Bureau of Ocean Energy Management engagement of fishing industries through improved data and specific interactions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTIONS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Commercial and Recreational Fishing</strong></td>
<td><strong>Action 1</strong> Improve the sharing of information and ideas between States, Tribes, Federal agencies, and Fishery Management Councils on fisheries science and management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 2</strong> Continue to actively engage stakeholders in fisheries science and management, and seek ways to make fishermen’s knowledge available for planning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 3</strong> Improve collaboration for the conservation of essential fish habitat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. Ocean Aquaculture</strong></td>
<td><strong>Action 1</strong> Use data and information in the Data Portal and other information sources developed as a result of this Plan to support aquaculture siting and permitting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 2</strong> Improve collaboration on ocean aquaculture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5. Maritime Commerce and Navigation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Action 1</strong> Monitor maritime commerce trends and traffic patterns to identify and address emerging commerce and navigation needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 2</strong> Maintain reliable ocean use data sets relevant to navigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 3</strong> Catalogue intersections between entities whose authorities influence maritime commerce and navigation and identify opportunities for improved coordination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 4</strong> Identify impacts to navigation and port infrastructure stemming from the Panama Canal expansion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6. Sand Management</strong></td>
<td><strong>Action 1</strong> Promote strategic stakeholder engagement and regional partnering initiatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 2</strong> For planning purposes, develop a comprehensive inventory of sand resources to support planned and possible future restoration and resilience projects, provide availability for emergency use, and manage competing use challenges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 3</strong> Conduct studies to support sustainable management of offshore sand resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 4</strong> Identify and improve existing Federal-State interactions and cooperative agreements in the Mid-Atlantic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 5</strong> Engage fishing communities in planning and environmental review of proposed activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 6</strong> Engage Tribes in planning and environmental review of proposed activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7. Non-Consumptive Recreation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Action 1</strong> Identify, characterize, and share information about measures to maintain the recreational value of important non-consumptive recreational areas and the activities they sustain.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ACTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8. Tribal Interests and Uses</th>
<th><strong>Action 1</strong></th>
<th>Identify, review and, if appropriate, recommend updates to Tribal consultation policies as they pertain to ocean planning.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 2</strong></td>
<td>Develop Tribal and agency ocean planning contact directories.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 3</strong></td>
<td>Work with Tribes to develop a Tribal Ocean Planning Network to facilitate coordination between Mid-Atlantic and Northeast Tribes in the ocean planning process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 4</strong></td>
<td>Enhance understanding of Tribal rights.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 5</strong></td>
<td>Federal and State governments meet with Tribes to discuss issues related to Tribal participation in regional ocean planning and management, including policy and technical matters and grant opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 6</strong></td>
<td>Account for Tribal historic resources under the National Historic Preservation Act.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 7</strong></td>
<td>Identify and address data gaps pertaining to Tribal use of the ocean.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Critical Undersea Infrastructure</td>
<td><strong>Action 1</strong></td>
<td>Engage the submerged pipelines and submarine cables industries to understand their current and projected needs for ocean space, and conduct an inventory of obsolete structures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 2</strong></td>
<td>Ensure early consultation with relevant undersea infrastructure interests in the regulatory review of marine development projects.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Additional actions that support the goals and objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Continued Regional Collaboration through the RPB</th>
<th><strong>Action 1</strong></th>
<th>Continue regional collaboration through the Mid-Atlantic Regional Planning Body.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Atlantic Ocean Data Portal</td>
<td><strong>Action 1</strong></td>
<td>Develop and implement a plan to sustain Data Portal operations and maintenance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 2</strong></td>
<td>Maintain operational components including web services, data development, and integration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action 3</strong></td>
<td>Continue to engage in agency outreach and public engagement to enhance data and Data Portal functionality to effectively support decisions related to ocean management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science and Research</td>
<td><strong>Action 1</strong></td>
<td>Identify priority applied science and research needs for the Mid-Atlantic region.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Monitoring and Evaluation</td>
<td><strong>Action 1</strong></td>
<td>Develop Plan performance monitoring and evaluation plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ENDNOTES

1 This is an administrative description of the planning area for this effort; from the shoreline out to 200 nautical miles represents the Exclusive Economic Zone of the United States.

2 The RPB website can be found at: http://www.boem.gov/mid-atlantic-regional-planning-body/

3 Established in 2009 by the Governors of the five coastal Mid-Atlantic states to enhance the vitality of the region’s ocean ecosystem and economy, the Mid-Atlantic Regional Council on the Ocean is a partnership of Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, and Virginia.

4 A report on the RPB’s stakeholder engagement activities can be found here: boem.gov/Ocean-Action-Plan/

5 The RPB’s Response to Public Comments can be found here: boem.gov/Ocean-Action-Plan/

6 The membership roster of the RPB can be found here: http://www.boem.gov/Mid-Atlantic-RPB-Roster/

7 The Framework can be found here: http://www.boem.gov/Mid-Atlantic-Regional-Ocean-Planning-Framework/

8 The Charter can be found here: http://www.boem.gov/MidA-RPB-Charter/

9 The Approach can be found here: http://www.boem.gov/Approach-to-Mid-Atlantic-Regional-Ocean-Action-Plan/

10 The Regional Ocean Assessment can be found here: http://roa.midatlanticocean.org/
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