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MR. MICHAEL HALLER: Good evening, everybody. We are going to begin tonight. My name is Michael Haller, and I'm the tribal and community liaison for the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management of the United States Department of the Interior from our Anchorage and Alaska Region.

And so before I introduce my boss, I'd ask Nancy Leavitt if she would be kind enough to offer a blessing for us tonight.
(Invocation offered by Nancy Leavitt.)
MR. MICHAEL HALLER: Thank you, Nancy. It's my pleasure to introduce to you Dr. James Kendall who is the Regional Director for the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management. Jim.

DR. JAMES KENDALL: I think I don't need this because I'm a very loud person. And I would normally say that $I$ was a little disappointed that we don't have so many people here; however, I understand it's a very special day. Barrow has gotten, what, three whales today?

MR. JOE LEAVITT: Three whales today.
Four so far. That's a blessing.
DR. JAMES KENDALL: That's an absolute blessing. And that's real important, so I don't mind the light turnout, because that's an important thing for

Barrow. And please take congratulations back to the whaling captains. Thank you very much.

As Mike said, I am the Regional Director for BOEM here in Alaska. First of all, the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, or BOEM, is not an oil company. We are not the State of Alaska. We are a federal agency that does regulation and resource management. So we try to be the honest broker as best we can.

Tonight we are going to have a public hearing on a Draft Environmental Impact Statement that we have recently written for a proposal we have gotten from Hilcorp on developing the Liberty area in the Beaufort Sea. You are going to hear more about that a little bit later.

But first I'd like to introduce my team because it's just not me that does the work. There is people that really do a lot of work here.

And first of all, very important, Mary Vavrik. She is our court reporter. Since this is a hearing, everything that's said Mary is going to type up so that we can take it back with us and use that to prepare a better Environmental Impact Statement. So please make sure she can hear you, and state your name beforehand.

Also behind us we have got Lauren Boldrick. Lauren works in my office. And she is the project manager for BOEM. So she follows all this and makes sure it hums.

Mark Storzer. Mark Storzer is our regional supervisor for environment. He oversees our environmental work, including our science.

Fran Mann. Fran Mann is over there. Now, Fran is the supervisor in charge of the people that actually wrote this draft document that's out today. Mike Haller you already met, my tribal and community liaison. He was the first tribal and community liaison for our bureau, so we are very proud of Mike. He does a great job.

Now, there are extra people in the room here. One thing we have heard many times when we came to Barrow is there are too many federal meetings and you people keep coming back, and it's night after night of meetings. Well, what we did because we work with partners is we brought some of our partner agencies with us so that we don't have to bother you all the time with so many meetings.

So from the EPA we've got Hanh Shaw and Erin Seyfried. Where are you hiding? Right over there. They are from EPA. They are helping us do this document. We have got Heather Markway. Heather, she is from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers that's also helping us to write the document.

We have got Kevin Pendergast and Steve Pearson. There is Steve and Kevin. They work for BSEE, the Bureau
of Safety and Environmental Enforcement. They are helping to write the document.

We also have partners such as from the State as well as the North Slope Borough, and we have had input from the Inupiat Communities of the Arctic slope. They have helped with the document. We have representation. Lloyd, you are here from ICAS, which is great. So that's why there are so many people in the room because we have had a lot of help.

This document is just not our document. It's everybody's document. And when we are done with it, that document will go to a decisionmaker who then will make a decision. And so what we're here for is for people to help us make the document as good as we can so the decisionmaker has everything he or she has in front of them to make the decision for everybody. So this is really a team effort, and everybody in this room is a team player.

So with that, I'm going to quit talking, and I'm going to turn it over to Lauren, who has a little presentation for you to bring you up to speed on what this is all about. So Lauren, take it away.

MS. LAUREN BOLDRICK: Hi, everyone, and thank you for joining us today.

The Bureau of Ocean Energy Management has been
working in cooperation with our stakeholders on the Liberty project since late 2015. This slide displays the Covers of Volume 1 and Volume 2 of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. This large overview map shows the proposed Liberty island location, which is about 60 miles from Nuiqsut and about 70 miles from Kaktovik. This more detailed map shows that the proposed Liberty island will be about eight miles from Endicott Satellite Drilling Island.

So this slide shows a summary of Hilcorp's proposed action that we received in their Liberty development and production plan.

Hilcorp is planning to initiate commercial hydrocarbon production of the Liberty reservoir by the winter of 2021 to 2023. As described in the Liberty development and production plan, the Liberty development would be a self-contained Outer Continental Shelf drilling and production facility located on an artificial gravel island with a 5.6 -mile pipeline to shore that will continue 1.5 miles over land to the Badami tie-in point. The Liberty development will include a new onshore gravel mine, an onshore tie-in pad and the construction of onshore and offshore ice roads.

The proposed Liberty development includes five stages: The development of a new gravel mine,
construction of ice roads, construction of a manmade gravel production island, and drilling and hydrocarbon production.

BOEM accepted scoping comments on this draft EIS during the 186-day public scoping period, which lasted from September 2015 through March of 2016. BOEM received several thousand comments, which included concerns over subsistence whaling, impacts to marine mammals and threatened and endangered species, the possibility of oil spills in the Arctic environment, and potential impacts to the unique boulder patch ecosystem. Commenters also suggested alternate locations for gravel sources, for oil and gas processing, and for the island itself.

These comments and concerns were used to develop and inform the analysis of additional action alternatives in the draft EIS.

As shown on this slide, many other federal, state and local governments have been cooperating with BOEM on the development of the draft EIS.

BOEM has analyzed five alternatives in the draft EIS. Hilcorp's proposed action as described earlier, including normal best management practices, operator-committed measures and cooperating agency typical permit allowances as mitigation measures constitutes Alternative 1.

In addition to the proposed action, the National

Environmental Policy Act requires analysis of a no action alternative, meaning that no part of Hilcorp's proposal would occur. This is Alternative 2 in the draft EIS. The remaining three alternatives were developed as a result of scoping comments about alternate island location, alternative gravel mine locations and alternate processing locations.

In response to public comments suggesting an alternative that would relocate the island in order to avoid or reduce impacts to boulder patch communities, BOEM asked Hilcorp to identify possible alternate island locations that would maintain the technical feasibility of its project. In addition, these alternate locations would minimize impacts to the boulder patch from turbidity and sedimentation associated with construction activities and/or move the island and other project components as far from the densest areas of known boulder patch habitat as practical.

Therefore, based on public comment as well as responses from Hilcorp, BOEM developed two subalternatives. Alternative $3 A$ would relocate the island to a site about one mile to the east. This would result in the island being about one mile further from the densest areas of the boulder patch as compared to the proposed action. Alternative $3 B$ would locate the island
about 1.5 miles closer to shore into state of Alaska waters, placing the island about 1.5 miles further from the densest areas of the boulder patch as compared to the proposed action.

Alternative 4A and 4B analyze alternate processing locations. Alternative 4A analyzes processing at the existing Endicott Satellite Drilling Island facility, and Alternative 4B analyzes processing at a new onshore facility. BOEM assumed for the purpose of the draft EIS that this new onshore facility would be where the pipeline is already proposed to come ashore.

Scoping comments suggested BOEM analyze an alternate location for the proposed West Kadleroshilik River mine site No. 1 to minimize impacts to migratory birds, fish and wetlands used for subsistence purposes and other resources. BOEM conducted a thorough review of existing technical and survey information and, based on this review, identified three plausible alternate locations for analysis.

In the draft EIS, BOEM used four types of mitigation measures in its analyses. These included lease stipulations, operator-committed measures, typical requirements from our cooperating agencies, and resulting consultation and project-specific measures.

The draft EIS analyzes the impacts of the various
alternatives with the intent of disclosing those impacts to the public and to the decisionmakers. In the case of the Liberty Draft Environmental Impact Statement, the decisionmaker ultimately is the Secretary of Interior. The draft EIS also considers mitigation measures that could minimize the impacts of the action alternatives. Impacts to the resources in the action area range from negligible to major, depending on the resource and the scope of the analysis. A very large oil spill is not considered part of the proposed action or alternatives and is extremely unlikely. Impacts from a very large oil spill would be major. Additional information is available in the materials provided at this presentation tonight.

Comments that suggest additional information for analysis or note issues not considered in the draft EIS are very helpful and considered substantive comments. These will be used to inform the preparation of the final EIS. To make a comment go to www.regulations.gov and search for Document No. BOEM-2015-0068-0164. Click the "comment now" button in the top corner of the page to add your comment. The comment period closes on November 18th. We also have computers available in the back of the room for you to make your comment here tonight.

BOEM would like to thank you for your attendance and participation tonight.

DR. JAMES KENDALL: Okay. Thank you,
Lauren. We do have a sign-in sheet for speakers. So we are going to go through the sign-in sheet for speakers, but we will also just open it up for others that decide that they want to say something after they came in. Yes, Lois.

MS. LOIS EPSTEIN: Is there an opportunity for questions before people speak?

DR. JAMES KENDALL: It's a public hearing. You can ask questions, and we will get them in the record. MS. LOIS EPSTEIN: Questions on the presentation.

DR. JAMES KENDALL: We really didn't plan for that, but we can take a few questions. Whether we have the answers or not, I am not 100 percent sure. What question do you have?

MS. LOIS EPSTEIN: So Lauren, I don't know
if you can pull one of the maps back up.
DR. JAMES KENDALL: Lauren, could you bring the map back up? I see what you are getting at.

MS. LAUREN BOLDRICK: Which one?
MS. LOIS EPSTEIN: The one that shows the distance to --

MS. LAUREN BOLDRICK: Like the large
overview map?

MS. LOIS EPSTEIN: That's fine. No. Actually, the next one. The next one. Okay. So my question is, what is the distance from the tie-in facility to the Liberty island?

DR. JAMES KENDALL: The total distance?
MS. LAUREN BOLDRICK: 7.1 miles, I
believe.
DR. JAMES KENDALL: Uh-huh.
MS. LOIS EPSTEIN: Okay. So when the -the extended reach drilling was looked at -- and I'm getting this from the document. It says that the world record was 40,000 feet.

DR. JAMES KENDALL: I believe that's the case.

MS. LAUREN BOLDRICK: It's 42,300 and --
MS. LOIS EPSTEIN: When it was written it was 40,600 . Where is that?

MS. LAUREN BOLDRICK: In Sakhalin Island in Russia.

MS. LOIS EPSTEIN: And I didn't do the math, so basically that's --

MS. LAUREN BOLDRICK: When we did the -MS. LOIS EPSTEIN: How does that compare to this?

MS. LAUREN BOLDRICK: When we did the
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technical feasibility assessment of this, we worked with BSEE because EPA suggested it's something we review for an alternative. So we analyzed -- and this will be in the records at the -- it's not in the draft EIS because it's a very long review.

But we analyzed drilling the UERD from Endicott SDI and then from another onshore location. Both of those locations are still about a mile beyond what Sakhalin has done. And Sakhalin has had the world record for, I think, nine wells, if I remember. Nine to 13 . So that was one of the factors is that the world record is still about a mile below what Liberty would need to be.

The second part of it was that it would decrease production by our resource evaluation models of about 25 percent. So between those two things, those two big factors of going through line by line of all of the world records for UERD, how much drilling mud there would be and all these other resources, what kind of rig they would need, and looking at how much it would decrease production from that island, we decided that it was technically infeasible.

MS. LOIS EPSTEIN: One final question.
Could that seven miles be reduced by a mile or two to get closer because it's not right at the coast?

MS. LAUREN BOLDRICK: No. We looked at
several different points in the reservoir. So it would decrease production more and more.

MS. LOIS EPSTEIN: No. If you had a shorter distance from the tie-in facility.

MS. LAUREN BOLDRICK: If you got closer -we looked at right on shore when we analyzed it, not where the tie-in facility would be.

MS. LOIS EPSTEIN: Then it could be less than seven miles.

MS. LAUREN BOLDRICK: It would be less, but when we measured it, because we had resource evaluation do all these range models from different points in the reservoir, and everywhere they looked at it wasn't technically feasible. So we have 15 pages written up in the memo.

MS. LOIS EPSTEIN: What I'm hearing is you could tap into some of the reservoir but not all of it through that ultra extended reach-in drilling?

MS. LAUREN BOLDRICK: It would make it completely infeasible. Like you wouldn't get enough production back for the project to continue. That's something you have to look at is you still have to maintain the project in a reasonable manner, and that it was so far beyond what has been done so far, they wouldn't be able to do it.

MS. LOIS EPSTEIN: It's not, because it's in the seven-mile range.

MS. LAUREN BOLDRICK: Lois, I wrote the document a year ago, so I'm going off of memory. I'm sorry.

MS. LOIS EPSTEIN: That clarifies things. MS. LAUREN BOLDRICK: We spent about six months going back and forth about it and really taking a hard look at it. And that will be in the record for the administrative record. When we put together a whole review of how we got to the decision point, that's in that whole --

MS. LOIS EPSTEIN: So we could review
that?
MS. LAUREN BOLDRICK: You could. You are welcome to FOIA it. It's not proprietary.

DR. JAMES KENDALL: We can have more discussion after, but let's stick with the process for now.

Our first testimony comes from Mr. Joe Leavitt. Joe, I think you are the first one up.

MR. JOE LEAVITT: Can you get all this done first, and I'll just make my comments at the end?

DR. JAMES KENDALL: Okay.
MR. JOE LEAVITT: I've got some questions,
but I'd rather go through all the slide shows and -DR. JAMES KENDALL: Well, the presentation is over.

MR. JOE LEAVITT: It's over right now? DR. JAMES KENDALL: Yeah. That was the end of it.

MR. JOE LEAVITT: I thought you had a lot more.

DR. JAMES KENDALL: We have the document, 800 pages, and if we have too many slides we don't -MR. JOE LEAVITT: I'll stand up here. DR. JAMES KENDALL: All right. Thank you.

MR. JOE LEAVITT: Good evening. And my name is Joe Leavitt. I'm an alternate commissioner for AEWC in Barrow, and I'd like to welcome all of you to Barrow. And my name is Joe Mello Leavitt. I am the Deputy Commissioner to the Alaska Whaling Commission from Barrow. I'm making comments on behalf of AEWC.

First I'd like to thank representatives of BOEM and EPA for coming here to listen to our comments and concerns.

As you all know, our subsistence livelihood and our cultural and nutritional security depend very heavily on the resources of the ocean, especially the bowhead whale resources. For more than 30 years the AEWC has worked
with offshore oil and gas companies to coordinate their Beaufort Sea activities with the fall whale migration and subsistence harvest. We do this through the annual Open Water Season Conflict Avoidance Agreement process.

We are very grateful for the financial opportunities that oil and gas development has brought to the North Slope and to our AEWC villages, but these financial opportunities could never replace our critical bowhead whale and other subsistence resources. So we always look carefully at development plans and try to help the developers make sure that their work does not interfere with our bowhead whale harvest or harm our bowhead whale habitat.

As companies have started looking more closely at the Beaufort Sea in recent years, the AEWC has looked at extending this our collaborative work from the CAA to a newer project that is being called the Camden Bay Initiative. The AEWC and the North Slope Borough Department of Wildlife Management first started this project working with Shell from their planned development at Sivulliq.

The AEWC and wildlife management are now working to extend the Camden Bay Initiative beyond Camden Bay to the whole mid Beaufort Sea region. Our goal is to have companies work with hunters and scientists to apply what
we know from hunter experience and from past research on development impacts in the Beaufort Sea. This way we hunters and our scientists can have a seat at the table to make sure the project design and implementations fit with our knowledge of bowhead whale behavior.

I'm telling you these collaborative projects up front to give you an idea of how we have learned to approach development impacts and mitigation.

Now, looking at Hilcorp's proposed Liberty development project, $I$ want to start off with a negative comment so we can get that out of the way. The AEWC and North Slope Borough asked for an extension of the public comment period for BOEM's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. We are very disappointed those requests were denied, and we make another request that our communities be given an extension of time to comment on this project.

Our collaborative approaches to development need a good understanding of technical details of each project and take time for comparing those details with what we know from our existing knowledge. This way we can make the most informed and valuable contributions to the decision on mitigation.

We very much appreciate that Hilcorp has come to our AEWC meetings with information on the project and some project details, but we still need time for technical
review and comment. Your schedule is not allowing us enough opportunity to fully participate in this process in a meaningful way. You have come to Barrow only six weeks after publishing the DEIS and right in the middle of our fall whaling.

The experts we rely on are already overextended on other issues and deadlines, as they informed you in the letters requesting the extension. The lack of regard for our efforts to work as positive participants in this process is very disappointing to us. Again, we renew our request for a 30-day extension on the DEIS comment period.

Now I will turn to the comments we have been able to pull together for this meeting.

First I want to express the AEWC's appreciation for Hilcorp's participation in the CAA process and for Hilcorp's decision to undertake island construction during the winter months. This reduces the possibility of adverse impacts to our whaling. Thank you for that.

However, since any adverse impacts on the project are borne by our communities, we would like to know why the island is not being located in the state of Alaska waters so we could gain the benefit of support of our North Slope Borough tax base. If the final design leaves the island in federal waters, we request that Hilcorp and BOEM work with us to establish a shared revenue agreement for this
project.
We also appreciate the decision to operate the production project from an island using a disposal well for waste discharges. On this point we have one question and one comment. Will all drilling waste be injected into the disposal well? If not, how will it be handled? We understand the plan calls for an ongoing waste stream from the sea water treatment plant that will include high concentration brine. We would like to see this waste stream injected into the disposal well. Also, the current plan would increase saline concentrations in the discharge area, possibly affecting bowhead whale prey and other resources.

If there is a reason that the brine cannot be injected into the disposal well, then we would need to have baseline studies of salinity levels in the discharge area. We also will need to see ongoing monitoring of salinity levels if the brine is released. And we will need to see a cumulative impact study combining this waste stream with others that already exist. The AEWC requests that the North Slope Borough Department of Wildlife Management be involved in designing these studies.

This area of the Beaufort Sea is rich in marine mammals that help to feed our families. Therefore, every precaution must be taken to make sure that we do not put
things in the water that would cause harm to the whales or other resources or that would cause our whales to deflect away from the usual migration routes. From Liberty, this is especially important for Nuiqsut and Barrow.

Finally, I mentioned the Camden Bay Initiative. Our Barrow, Nuiqsut and Kaktovik hunters have generations of experience with the bowhead whale and the Beaufort Sea ecosystem, and our scientists have volumes of research on development impacts to bowhead whales in this area. We need to bring our hunters and scientists to the table with Hilcorp and the agencies to look at the project design from the perspective of our experiments and the scientific research that exists. The lessons that have been learned at Northstar will be important.

So $I$ will just give a little summary of my points on behalf of AEWC. We appreciate Hilcorp's participation in the CAA and the meetings that they have held with the AEWC so far. We need additional time to fully review the technical material to this project. We submit that request again at this hearing. If it can't be granted, the AEWC would like a letter explaining why.

We appreciate Hilcorp's decision to build during the winter and to produce from an island, but we would like to see the island move to the state waters. If it cannot be moved, we need revenue sharing -- we need a revenue
sharing agreement. We would like to see the wastewater streams including the brine from the seawater treatment plant injected into disposal wells. If this cannot be done, the AEWC wants the North Slope Borough Department of Wildlife to participate in designing monitoring plans funded by Hilcorp.

We strongly encourage Hilcorp to work with AEWC and our affected communities on project details through the Camden Bay Initiative. We hope to have BOEM support for this request.

That completes the AEWC's comments for this hearing, and we will try to prepare written comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement, hopefully with additional time from BOEM. Thank you.

DR. JAMES KENDALL: Thank you very much, Joe. Can we have the copy of the notes? Okay.

Our next speaker is Lloyd -- I'm going to let you, Lloyd, pronounce your last name so I do not mess it up.

MR. LLOYD PANINGONA: Okay. In my Inupiat pronunciation it's Paningona [pronunciation], but I don't expect you to pronounce it. Otherwise it's spelled Paningona.

DR. JAMES KENDALL: Okay. Well, I said it right, then; $I$ wasn't taking chances.

MR. LLOYD PANINGONA: Thank you very much
for coming into town presenting the EIS draft proposal. And first of all, I'd like to thank Joe Leavitt for coming and speaking on behalf of the organization that he is with. And as you all know, today is -- I'm sure you guys heard this several times. Three whales have been harvested.

And I went through the pamphlet, and one of the things that $I$ would like to recommend -- the comment period is going to end November 17. Considering the timing that's going on with this community, $I$ would highly recommend that perhaps you guys do another revisit which would allow more local residents to come and speak and express their concerns and opinions.

That's all I have for now.
Aubie Gregg should be here in just a moment. She did sign up to mention something. She's with ICAS natural resources, as well.

DR. JAMES KENDALL: She was our next speaker. So we will ask if someone else would like to speak. Okay. Until Aubie gets here, anyone else would like to make a public comment? The floor is open. Here is the microphone.

MS. LAUREN BOLDRICK: I just have an edit to my comments to Lois earlier. So when we were talking about it, it's not the surface location; it's the
hypotenuse of that triangle that we are talking about. Does that make sense? Just as a clarification, I thought I would mention that to you.

MS. LOIS EPSTEIN: Okay. Thank you.
DR. JAMES KENDALL: Again, we have an open mic and an open floor. And we had some new folks come in. And they are welcome to make a statement on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Liberty development. If that's the case, until Aubie gets back, we can take a ten-minute break and get goodies and come back. Hopefully she will be back with some more comments. How is that? Ten-minute break starting now.
(A break was taken.)
DR. JAMES KENDALL: Our next speaker,
Aubie, is in the room, but as it turns out, her comments have already been made by Joe. But $I$ was speaking with Joe at the break, and he had some excellent comments that I asked him if he would come back to the microphone and not just tell me, but to tell everybody and have it for the record.

And also I failed to introduce another BOEM person, you know. John Callahan right here, the public affairs officer. I apologize. He's taking pictures.

So with that, Joe, welcome back to the podium.
MR. JOE LEAVITT: Thank you. Thank you.

I was just -- I wanted to speak up on putting the island in the state waters. That way it would be closer to the shore, and also it would -- it would -- there would be less impact on the whale migration because in 1988 in our whaling grounds there was a seismic company doing seismic right on our whaling grounds, and that year we found the whales 40 miles out because whales are deflected by all this industry sound.

And then last year we had Quintillion out there laying down their -- laying down their fiberoptic cable. Last year the whales were taken 50 miles out. And you know, that is impact on the whales and that really -- it's really scary when you are 50 miles out up here in the waters. Very scary in a small boat. And that's -- the whales are highly impacted by the sound the drilling companies make, and even ships will deflect the whale migration.

And if the -- if it's put in the state waters, it's closer to shore, and less impact will probably be for the whales. That would be less impact on the whales' migration.

Okay. That's -- that's all the comment I want to say.

DR. JAMES KENDALL: Thank you, Joe.
MS. HEATHER MARKWAY: How far are they
normally out?
MR. JOE LEAVITT: Today the whales were -first whale I heard about was seven miles. And usually right after the whaling season closes, you will start seeing them right off the beach. They seem to figure out that there is no more whaling. And the people always tell us the whales know the person so, you know, that's a way -- that's the way we look at it, anyway. We have caught them closer than a mile right off the beach right from Barrow and, you know, that's without any -- any noise. And we believe that the whales are impacted a lot by the sound. Okay. Thank you.

DR. JAMES KENDALL: Thank you, Joe.
Appreciate it. Again, we are scheduled to be here till 10:00. We have great stamina. I have a microphone. The floor is open if anyone would like to make official comments for the record. This is a public hearing on the EIS.

Again, as $I$ said at the beginning, this is not just our document. It's everybody's document. It's not a decision document. It's informational for the decisionmaker. So the better document we make, the more information and the clearer it is for the decisionmaker. So anybody else would like to make a comment?

Okay. I hate to take these breaks all the time. Why
don't we just reconvene at 8:00, if anybody has anything else to say. I don't want to hold people here longer than they need to be. There is a great thing happened today with the whales. I know people might want to get down there and see that or participate, but we really want to get as much information and public comment as we can. Okay. Then we are going to stop till 8:00. And we will be here until 10:00, if necessary. Thank you.
(A break was taken.)
DR. JAMES KENDALL: Okay. Everybody, I said we would reconvene at 8:00. We are just going to hang out here for the rest of the evening. If anybody has something to say officially for the record, we will make the microphone available. And Aubie, if you know anybody off the street who wants to come, we are not going anywhere.
(A break was taken.)
DR. JAMES KENDALL: Okay. We are now on record, and the time is 8:48 p.m. We are now officially closing the public hearing in Barrow, Alaska.
(Proceedings adjourned at 8:48 p.m.)
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|  | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 24 ; 9: 2,3,6,9,21 \\ & 25 ; 10: 5,6,8 ; 14: 3 \end{aligned}$ | avoid (1) | blessing (3) | $26: 10$ |
| absolute (1) |  | $9: 10$ | $3: 9,22,24$ | Callahan (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { accepted (1) } \\ & 8: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { alternatives (6) } \\ & 8: 15,20 ; 9: 4 ; 11: 1,6, \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Avoidance (1) } \\ 18: 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { boat (1) } \\ 26: 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25: 22 \\ \text { called (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 3,5,25 ; 8: 4,6,18 \\ & 20 ; 9: 10,20 ; 10: 9,12 \\ & 16,20 ; 11: 24 ; 17: 19 \\ & 20: 24 ; 23: 9,14 ; 25: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $18: 17$ cren |
| $9: 1$ | always (2) | $6: 22 ; 22: 3$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { calls (1) } \\ 21: 7 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { action }(\mathbf{1 0 )} \\ & \quad 7: 11 ; 8: 15,21,25 ; \\ & 9: 1,25 ; 10: 4 ; 11: 6,7,10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { analyses (1) } \\ 10: 21 \end{gathered}$ | B |  | Camden (5) |
|  |  |  |  | 18:17,23,23;22:5; |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { activities (2) } \\ 9: 15 ; 18: 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { analysis (5) } \\ & 8: 15 ; 9: 1 ; 10: 19 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { back (13) } \\ 4: 1,20 ; 5: 13 ; 11: 22 ; \end{array}$ | BOEM-2015-0068-0164 (1) <br> 11:19 | $23: 9$ came (2) |
| actually (2) | 11:9,15 | 12:18,20;15:21;16:8; | BOEM's (1) | 5:11;12:5 |
| 5:5;13:2 | analyze (2) | 25:9,11,11,18,24 | 19:13 | $\operatorname{can}(15)$ |
| add (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:5,12 } \\ \text { analyzed (4) } \end{gathered}$ | Badami (1) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Boldrick (17) } \\ 4: 23 ; 6: 23 ; 12: 21,24 ; \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 8,20,22 ; 6: 14 ; \\ & 12: 10,14,18 ; 16: 17,22 ; \end{aligned}$ |
| addition (2) | 8:20;14:3,6;15:6 | Barrow (11) | 13:6,15,18,22,25; | 19:3,11,20;23:16; |
| 8:25;9:13 | analyzes (3) | 3:20;4:1;5:11 | 14:25;15:5,10,19; | 25:10;28:6 |
| additional (5) | 10:6,8,25 | 17:15,16,18;20:3; | 16:3,7,15;24:23 | captains (1) |
| 8:15;11:12,14; | Anchorage (1) | 22:4,6;27:10;28:20 | borne (1) | 4:2 |
| 22:18;23:13 | 3:6 | base (1) | 20:20 | carefully (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { adjourned (1) } \\ & 28: 21 \end{aligned}$ | and/or $9: 16$ | $20: 23$ based (2) | Borough (6) 6:4;18:18;19:12; | $18: 10$ case (3) |
| administrative (1) | annual (1) | 9:19;10:17 | 20:23;21:21;23:4 | 11:2;13:14;25:9 |
| 16:10 | 18:3 | baseline (1) | boss (1) | caught (1) |
| adverse (2) | apologize (1) | 21:16 | $3: 8$ Both (1) | 27:9 |
| 20:18,19 | 25:23 | basically (1) | Both (1) | cause (2) |
| AEWC (15) | apply (1) | 13:21 | 14:7 | 22:1,2 |
| 17:15,18,25;18:7, | 18:25 <br> appreciate (5) | Bay (5) $18: 17,23,23 ; 22: 5$ | bother (1) | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{chances}(1) \\ 23: 24 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15,18,22 ; 19: 11,24 \\ & 21: 20 ; 22: 16,17,21 \end{aligned}$ | $19: 23 ; 21: 2 ; 22: 16,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 1 \\ & 23: 9 \end{aligned}$ | boulder (6) | charge (1) |
| $23: 4,7$ | 22;27:14 | beach (2) | 8:11;9:10,14,17,24; | 5:5 |
| AEWC's (2) | appreciation (1) | 27:5,9 | 10:3 | clarification (1) |
| 20:14;23:11 | 20:14 | Beaufort (7) | bowhead (8) | 25:2 |
| affairs (1) | approach (1) | 4:12;18:2,15,24; | 17:24;18:8,12,12; | clarifies (1) |
| 25:22 | 19:7 <br> approaches | 19:2;21:23;22:7 <br> beforehand (1) | 19:5;21:12;22:7,9 break (6) | 16:6 <br> clearer (1) |
| affected (1) | $19: 17$ | $4: 22$ | break (6) 25:10,12,13,17; | 27:23 |
| affecting | Arctic (2) | begin (1) | 28:9,17 | Click (1) |
| 21:12 | 6:5;8:10 | 3:3 | breaks (1) | 11:19 |
| Again (5) | area (6) | beginning (1) | 27:25 | closely (1) |
| 20:10;22:20;25:5; | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 12 ; 11: 7 ; 21: 12,17, \\ & 23: 22: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 27:19 behalf (3) | brine (4) <br> 21:9,14,18:23.2 | $18: 14$ |
| $27: 14,19$ <br> agencies (3) | areas (3) | behalf (3) 17:18;22:16;24:3 | bring (3) | 10:1;14:24;15:5; |
| 5:15;10:23;22:11 | 9:17,24;10:3 | behavior (1) | 6:21;12:20;22:10 | 26:2,19;27:9 |
| agency (2) | Army (1) | 19:5 behind (1) | broker (1) | closes (2) |
| 4:6;8:23 | 5:22 | behind (1) | 4:8 | 11:21;27:4 |
| ago (1) | artificial (1) | 4:23 | brought (2) $5: 15: 18: 6$ | closing (1) |
| 16:4 Agreement (3) | ashore (1) | below (1) $14: 12$ | 5:15;18:6 BSEE (2) | $\begin{gathered} 28: 20 \\ \text { coast (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Agreement (3) } \\ & 18: 4 ; 20: 25 ; 23: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { asnore (1 } \\ 10: 11 \end{gathered}$ | benefit (1) | $5: 25 ; 14: 2$ | $14: 24$ |
| Alaska (7) | assessment (1) | 20:22 | build (1) | collaborative (3) |
| 3:7;4:4,6;10:1; | 14:1 associated (1) | best (2) $4: 8 ; 8: 22$ | $22: 22$ Bureau (6) | 18:16;19:6,17 combining (1) |
| 17:17;20:21; 28:20 | associated (1) | 4:8;8:22 | Bureau (6) | combining (1) |


| 21:19 | 11:5 | 26:7 | 10,11,12,14;11:19; | 5:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| coming (4) | constitutes (1) | DEIS (2) | 13:11;16:4;17:9; | enough (3) |
| 5:13;17:20;24:1,2 | 8:24 | 20:4,11 | 27:20,20,21,22 | 3:9;15:20;20:2 |
| comment (17) | construction (5) | denied (1) | done (5) | environment (2) |
| 9:19;11:18,20,21, | 7:22;8:1,1;9:15; | 19:15 | 6:11;14:9;15:24; | 5:2;8:10 |
| 21,23;19:11,13,16; | 20:16 | densest (3) | 16:23;23:4 | Environmental (10) |
| 20:1,11;21:5;24:8,21; | consultation (1) | 9:17,24;10:3 | down (3) | 4:10,21;5:2;6:1; |
| 26:22;27:24;28:6 | 10:24 | Department (4) | 26:10,10;28:4 | 7:3;9:1;11:3;19:13; |
| Commenters (1) | Continental (1) | 3:6;18:19;21:21; | Dr (25) | 23:13;25:8 |
| 8:11 | 7:17 | 23:4 | 3:13,16,23;12:1,9, | EPA (4) |
| comments (19) | continue (2) | depend (1) | 13,19;13:5,8,13; | 5:18,20;14:2;17:20 |
| 8:4,7,14;9:5,8; | 7:20;15:21 | 17:23 | 16:17,24;17:2,5,9,12; | EPSTEIN (17) |
| 10:12;11:14,16; | contributions (1) | depending (1) | 23:15,23;24:18;25:5, | 12:7,11,17,22;13:1, |
| 16:23;17:18,20; | 19:21 | 11:8 | 14;26:24;27:13; | 9,16,20,23;14:22; |
| 20:12;23:11,12; | cooperating (3) | Deputy (1) | 28:10,18 | 15:3,8,16;16:1,6,13; |
| 24:24;25:11,15,17; | 8:18,23;10:23 | 17:17 | Draft (19) | 25:4 |
| 27:17 | cooperation (1) | described (2) | 4:10;5:6;7:3;8:4,16, | Erin (1) |
| commercial (1) | 7:1 | 7:15;8:21 | 19,20;9:3;10:9,20,25; | 5:18 |
| 7:13 | coordinate (1) | design (3) | 11:3,5,15;14:4;19:13; | especially (2) |
| Commission (1) | 18:1 | 19:4;20:23;22:11 | 23:12;24:1;25:7 | 17:24;22:4 |
| 17:17 | copy (1) | designing (2) | Drilling (10) | establish (1) |
| commissioner (2) | 23:16 | 21:22;23:5 | 7:8,17;8:2;10:7; | 20:25 |
| 17:14,17 | corner (1) | detailed (1) | 13:10;14:6,17;15:18; | evaluation (2) |
| Communities (5) | 11:20 | 7:7 | 21:5;26:15 | 14:14;15:12 |
| 6:5;9:10;19:15; | Corps (1) | details (4) | during (3) | even (1) |
| 20:20;23:8 | 5:22 | 19:18,19,25;23:8 | 8:5;20:16;22:22 | 26:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { community (4) } \\ & 3: 4 ; 5: 7,8 ; 24: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { court (1) } \\ 4: 18 \end{array}$ | $\underset{8: 14}{\text { develop (1) }}$ | E | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { evening (3) } \\ 3: 2 ; 17: 13 ; 28: 12 \end{array}$ |
| companies (4) | covers (1) | developed (2) | L | everybody (5) |
| 18:1,14,25;26:16 | 7:3 | 9:4,20 | earlier (2) | 3:3;6:16,17;25:19; |
| company (2) | critical (1) | developers (1) | 8:21;24:24 | 28:10 |
| $4: 5 ; 26: 5$ | 18:8 | 18:11 | east (1) | everybody's (2) |
| compare (1) | cultural (1) | developing (1) | 9:22 | 6:11;27:20 |
| 13:23 | 17:23 | 4:12 | ecosystem (2) | everyone (1) |
| compared (2) | cumulative (1) | development (16) | 8:11;22:8 | 6:23 |
| 9:24;10:3 | 21:19 | 7:11,16,16,21,24, | edit (1) | everywhere (1) |
| comparing (1) | current (1) | 25;8:19;18:6,10,20; | 24:23 | 15:13 |
| 19:19 | 21:10 | 19:2,8,10,17;22:9; | effort (1) | excellent (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { completely (1) } \\ \text { 15:20 } \end{gathered}$ | D | $\begin{gathered} \text { 25:9 } \\ \text { different } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6: 17 \\ \text { efforts } \end{array}$ | $25: 17$ exist (1) |
| completes (1) |  | 15:1,12 | 20:9 | 21:20 |
| 23:11 | day (1) | Director (2) | eight (1) | existing (3) |
| components (1) | 3:20 | 3:14;4:3 | 7:8 | 10:7,16;19:20 |
| 9:16 | deadlines (1) | disappointed (2) | EIS (14) | exists (1) |
| computers (1) | 20:7 | 3:18;19:14 | 8:4,16,19,20;9:3; | 22:13 |
| 11:22 | decide (1) | disappointing (1) | 10:9,20,25;11:5,15, | expect (1) |
| concentration (1) | 12:4 | 20:10 | 18;14:4;24:1;27:18 | 23:21 |
| 21:9 | decided (1) | discharge (2) | else (4) | experience (2) |
| concentrations (1) | 14:20 | $21: 11,16$ | 24:19,20;27:24; | 19:1;22:7 |
| 21:11 | decision (8) | discharges (1) | 28:2 | experiments (1) |
| concerns (4) | 6:13,16;16:11; | 21:4 | encourage (1) | 22:12 |
| 8:7,14;17:21;24:13 | 19:22;20:16;21:2; | disclosing (1) | 23:7 | experts (1) |
| conducted (1) | 22:22;27:21 | 11:1 | end (3) | 20:6 |
| 10:16 | decisionmaker (5) | discussion (1) | 16:23;17:6;24:9 | explaining (1) |
| Conflict (1) | 6:12,15;11:4;27:22, | 16:18 | endangered (1) | $22: 21$ |
| 18:4 | 23 . | displays (1) | 8:9 | express (2) |
| congratulations (1) | decisionmakers (1) | 7:2 | Endicott (3) | 20:14;24:13 |
| 4:1 | 11:2 | disposal (5) | 7:8;10:7;14:6 | extend (1) |
| considered (3) | decrease (3) | 21:3,6,10,15;23:3 | Energy (4) | 18:23 |
| 11:10,15,16 | 14:13,19;15:2 | distance (4) | 3:5,14;4:4;6:25 | extended (2) |
| Considering (1) | deflect (2) | 12:23;13:3,5;15:4 | Enforcement (1) | 13:10;15:18 |
| 24:9 | 22:2;26:16 | document (18) | 6:1 | extending (1) |
| considers (1) | deflected (1) | 5:6,20,23;6:2,6,10, | Engineers (1) | 18:16 |



| keep (1) | 3:4;5:7,8 | 17:18 | met (1) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:12 | Liberty (16) | mammals (2) | 5:7 | N |
| Kendall (25) | 4:12;7:2,5,7,11,14, | 8:8;21:24 | mic (1) |  |
| 3:13,16,23;12:1,9, | 15,16,21,24;11:3; | Management (9) | 25:6 | name (5) |
| 13,19;13:5,8,13; | 13:4;14:12;19:9;22:3; | 3:5,15;4:5,7;6:25; | MICHAEL (3) | 3:3;4:22;17:14,16; |
| 16:17,24;17:2,5,9,12; | 25:8 | 8:22;18:19,22;21:22 | 3:2,4,12 | 23:18 |
| 23:15,23;24:18;25:5, | light (1) | manager (1) | microphone (4) | Nancy (3) |
| 14;26:24;27:13; | 3:25 | 4:24 | 24:22;25:18;27:15; | 3:8,11,12 |
| 28:10,18 | line (2) | manmade (1) | 28:14 | National (1) |
| Kevin (2) | 14:16,16 | 8:1 | mid (1) | 8:25 |
| 5:24,25 | listen (1) | Mann (2) | 18:24 | natural (1) |
| kind (2) | 17:20 | 5:4,4 | middle (1) | 24:16 |
| 3:9;14:18 | little (4) | manner (1) | 20:4 | necessary (1) |
| knowledge (2) | 3:18;4:13;6:20; | 15:23 | might (1) | 28:8 |
| 19:5,20 | 22:15 | many (7) | 28:4 | need (13) |
| known (1) | livelihood (1) | 3:19;5:11,12,16; | migration (5) | 3:16;14:12,19; |
| $9: 17$ | 17:22 | $6: 8 ; 8: 17 ; 17: 10$ | 18:2;22:3;26:4,17, | 19:17,25;21:15,17,19; |
| L | Lloyd (5) | $\boldsymbol{m a p}(4)$ | 21 | 22:10,18,25,25;28:3 |
|  | local (2) | maps (1) | 10:14 | negative (1) <br> 19:10 |
| lack (1) | 8:18;24:12 | 12:18 | Mike (3) | negligible (1) |
| 20:8 | locate (1) | March (1) | 4:3;5:6,9 | 11:8 |
| land (1) | 9:25 | 8:6 | mile (6) | new (5) |
| 7:20 | located (2) | marine (2) | 9:22,23;14:8,12,23; | 7:21,25;10:8,10; |
| large (4) | 7:18;20:21 | 8:8;21:23 | 27:9 | 25:6 |
| 7:4;11:9,11;12:24 | location (5) | Mark (2) | miles (13) | newer (1) |
| last (3) | 7:5;9:6;10:13;14:7; | 5:1,1 | 7:5,6,8,20;10:1,2; | 18:17 |
| 23:18;26:9,11 | 24:25 | Markway (2) | 13:6;14:23;15:9;26:7, | next (5) |
| lasted (1) | locations (8) | 5:21;26:25 | 11,13;27:3 | 13:2,2;23:17;24:18; |
| 8:5 | 8:12;9:6,7,12,13; | Mary (2) | $\operatorname{mind}(1)$ | $25: 14$ |
| late (1) | 10:6,18;14:8 | 4:17,19 | 3:24 | night (2) |
| 7:2 | Lois (20) | material (1) | mine (4) | $5: 13,13$ |
| later (1) | 12:6,7,11,17,22; | $22: 19$ materials (1) | 7:22,25;9:6;10:13 | nine (2) |
| 4:13 Lauren (23) | 13:1,9,16,20,23; | materials (1) | minimize (3) | 14:10,10 |
| Lauren (23) 4:23,23;6:20,22,23; | 14:22;15:3,8,16;16:1, | $11: 13$ | 9:14;10:14;11:6 | noise (1) |
| 4:23,23;6:20,22,23; 12:2,17,19,21,24; | 3,6,13;24:24;25:4 long (1) | math (1) | mitigation (5) | $27: 11$ |
| 12:2,17,19,21,24; | $\begin{array}{\|c} \operatorname{long}(14: 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $13: 21$ <br> meaning | 8:24;10:20;11: <br> 19•8,22 | normal (1) |
| 14:25;15:5,10,19; | longer (1) | 9:2 | models (2) | normally (2) |
| 16:3,7,15;24:23 | 28:2 | meaningful (1) | 14:14;15:12 | $3: 17 ; 27: 1$ |
| laying (2) | look (5) | 20:3 | moment (1) | North (7) |
| 26:10,10 | 15:22;16:9;18:9; | measured (1) | $24: 15$ | $6: 4 ; 18: 6,18 ; 19: 12$ |
| learned (2) | 22:11;27:8 | $15: 11$ measures (6) | monitoring (2) | $20: 22 ; 21: 21 ; 23: 4$ |
| 19:7;22:13 lease (1) | looked (5) | measures (6) | 21:17;23:5 | Northstar (1) |
| lease (1) $10: 21$ | 13:10;14:25;15:6, $13 \cdot 18 \cdot 15$ | 8:23,24; 10:21,22, | months (2) | 22:14 |
| 1eaves | 13,18 | \% | 16:8;20:17 | note (1) |
| 20:23 | 14:19;18:14;19:9 | 20:13 | 4:13;7:6;15:2,2; | 11:15 |
| Leavitt (15) | $\boldsymbol{l o t}(4)$ | meetings (5) | 16:17;17:8,25;18:14; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1otes (1) } \\ & 23: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3:9,11,21;16:20,22, | 4:16;6:8;17:7; | 5:12,13,17;19:24; | 24:12;25:11;27:6,22 | November (2) |
| 25;17:4,7,11,13,14, | 27:11 | 22:17 | most (1) | $11: 21 ; 24: 9$ |
| 16;24:2;25:25;27:2 | loud (1) | Mello (1) | 19:21 | Nuiqsut (3) |
| less (5) | 3:17 | 17:16 | move (2) | $7: 6 ; 22: 4,6$ |
| 15:8,10;26:4,19,20 lessons (1) | M | memo (1) | 9:16;22:24 moved (1) | nutritional (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { lessons (1) } \\ 22: 13 \end{gathered}$ | M | 15:15 (1) | $22: 25$ | 17:23 |
| letter (1) | maintain (2) | 16:4 | much (7) | 0 |
| 22:21 | 9:12;15:23 | mention (2) | 4:2;14:17,19;19:23; |  |
| letters (1) | major (2) | 24:16;25:3 | 23:15,25;28:6 | occur (1) |
| 20:8 | 11:8,12 | mentioned (1) | mud (1) | 9:3 |
| levels (2) | makes (1) | 22:5 | 14:17 | Ocean (5) |
| 21:16,18 | 4:25 | mess (1) | must (1) | 3:5,14;4:4;6:25; |
| liaison (3) | making (1) | 23:18 | 21:25 | 3.5,14, 17:24 |


| off (5) | oversees (1) | 11 | processing (5) | rather (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:4;19:10;27:5,9; | 5:2 | planned (1) | 8:13;9:7;10:5,6,8 | 17:1 |
| 28:15 | overview (2) | 18:20 | produce (1) | reach (1) |
| offer (1) | 7:4;12:25 | planning (1) | 22:23 | 13:10 |
| 3:9 offered |  | 7:13 | production (11) | reach-in (1) |
| offered (1) | $\mathbf{P}$ | plans (2) | 7:12,14,16,18;8:2, | 15:18 |
| $3: 11$ office (1) |  | 18:10;23: | 3;14:14,19;15:2,21 | real (1) |
| office (1) $4: 24$ | $\operatorname{pad}(1)$ $7: 22$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { plant (2) } \\ 21: 8 ; 23 \end{array}$ | 21:3 | 3:24 |
| officer (1) | page (1) | plausible (1) | 4:24;7:2;9:13,16 | 4:16;6:17;12:13; |
| 25:23 | 11:20 | 10:18 | 15:21,23;18:17,20; | 16:8;26:12,13;28:5 |
| official (1) | pages (2) | player (1) | 19:4,10,16,18,24,25; | reason (1) |
| 27:16 | 15:14;17:10 | 6:18 | 20:19;21:1,3;22:11, | 21:14 |
| officially (2) | pamphlet (1) | please (2) | 19;23:8 | reasonable (1) |
| 28:13,19 | 24:7 | 4:1,21 | projects (1) | 15:23 |
| offshore (2) | PANINGONA (4) | pleasure (1) | 19:6 | received (2) |
| 7:23;18:1 | 23:19,20,22,25 | 3:13 | project-specific (1) | 7:11;8:6 |
| oil (7) | part (3) | pm (2) | 10:24 | recent (1) |
| 4:5;8:9,12;11:9,11; | 9:2;11:10;14:13 | 28:19,2 | pronounce (2) | 18:15 |
| 18:1,6 | participants (1) | podium (1) | 23:18,21 | recently (1) |
| One (14) | 20:9 | 25:24 | pronunciation (1) | 4:10 |
| 5:10;9:22,23;12:18, | participate (3) | point (3) | 23:20 | recommend (2) |
| 21,22;13:2,2;14:10, | 20:2;23:5;28:5 | 7:20;16:11;21:4 | proposal (3) | 24:8,11 |
| 22;16:21;21:4,5;24:7 | participation (3) | points (3) | 4:11;9:2;24:1 | reconvene (2) |
| ongoing (2) | 11:25;20:15;22:16 | 15:1,12;22:15 | proposed (13) | 28:1,11 |
| 21:7,17 | partner (1) | Policy (1) | 7:5,7,10,24;8:21, | record (10) |
| only (1) | 5:15 | 9:1 | 25;9:25;10:4,11,13; | 12:10;13:12;14:9, |
| 20:3 | partners (2) | positive (1) | 11:10;19:9;25:8 | $11 ; 16: 9,10 ; 25: 20$ |
| onshore (6) | 5:14;6:3 | 20:9 | proprietary (1) | $27: 17 ; 28: 13,19$ |
| 7:21,22,23;10:8,10; | past (1) | possibility (2) | 16:16 | records (2) |
| 14:7 | 19:1 | 8:9;20:17 | proud (1) | 14:4,17 |
| open (6) | patch (6) | possible (1) | 5:9 | reduce (1) |
| $12: 4 ; 18: 3 ; 24: 21 ;$ | $8: 11 ; 9: 10,14,17,24$ | $9: 11$ | provided (1) | $9: 10$ |
| $25: 5,6 ; 27: 16$ | $10: 3$ | possibly (1) | 11:13 | reduced (1) |
| operate (1) | Pearson (1) | 21:12 | public (12) | 14:23 |
| 21:2 | 5:24 | potential (1) | 4:9;8:5;9:8,19; | reduces (1) |
| operator-committed (2) | Pendergast (1) | 8:10 | 11:2;12:9;19:12; | 20:17 |
| 8:22;10:22 | 5:24 | practical (1) | 24:21;25:22;27:17; | regard (1) |
| opinions (1) | people (11) | 9:18 | 28:6,20 | 20:8 |
| 24:13 | 3:19;4:15;5:5,10, | practices (1) | publishing (1) | Region (2) |
| opportunities (2) | 12;6:8,13;12:8;27:6; | 8:22 | 20:4 | 3:7;18:24 |
| $18: 5,8$ | $28: 2,4$ | precaution (1) | pull (2) | Regional (3) |
| opportunity (2) | percent (2) | 21:25 | 12:18;20:13 | $3: 14 ; 4: 3 ; 5: 1$ |
| $12: 7 ; 20: 2$ | 12:15;14:15 | preparation (1) | purpose (1) | regulation (1) |
| order (1) | perhaps (1) | 11:17 | 10:9 | 4:7 |
| 9:9 | 24:11 | prepare (2) | purposes (1) | released (1) |
| organization (1) | period (5) | 4:20;23:12 | 10:15 | 21:18 |
| 24:3 | 8:5;11:21;19:13; | presentation (4) | put (3) | relocate (2) |
| others (2) | 20:11;24:9 | 6:21;11:13;12:12; | $16: 10 ; 21: 25 ; 26: 18$ | 9:9,21 |
| 12:4;21:20 | permit (1) | 17:2 | putting (1) | rely (1) |
| Otherwise (1) | 8:23 | presenting (1) | 26:1 | 20:6 |
| 23:21 | person (3) | $24: 1$ |  | remaining (1) |
| out (10) | 3:17;25:21;27:7 | prey (1) | Q | $9: 4$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:6;19:11;25:15; } \\ & \text { 26:7,9,11,13;27:1,5; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { perspective (1) } \\ & 22: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21: 12 \\ \text { probably (1) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { remember (1) } \\ 14: 10 \end{array}$ |
| 28:12 | pictures (1) | 26:19 | 26:9 | renew (1) |
| Outer (1) | 25:23 | Proceedings (1) | quit (1) | 20:10 |
| 7:17 | pipeline (2) | 28:21 | 6:19 | replace (1) |
| over (7) | 7:19;10:10 | P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S (1) |  | $18: 8$ |
| 5:4,19;6:20;7:20; | placing (1) | $3: 1$ | $\mathbf{R}$ | reporter (1) |
| 8:7;17:3,4 | 10:2 | process (5) |  | 4:18 |
| overextended (1) $20: 6$ | plan (5) ${ }^{\text {(5)12 }}$ (16.12.13.21:7 | 16:18;18:4;20:2,10, | range (3) | representation (1) |
| 20:6 | 7:12,16;12:13;21:7, |  | $11: 7 ; 15: 12 ; 16: 2$ | 6:6 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { representatives (1) } \\ & 17: 19 \end{aligned}$ |  | 14:23;15:9;27:3 | 12:2,3 | study (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S | seven-mile (1) | speaking (2) | 21:19 |
| request (5) | S | 16:2 | 24:3;25:16 | subalternatives (1) |
| 19:15;20:11,24; | Safety (1) | several (3) | special (1) | $9: 21$ |
| $22: 20 ; 23: 10$ | $6: 1$ | 8:7;15:1;24:5 | 3:20 | submit (1) |
| requesting (1) | Sakhalin (3) | Seyfried (1) | species (1) | 22:19 |
| $20: 8$ | 13:18;14:8,9 | $5: 19$ | 8:9 | subsistence (5) |
| requests (2) | saline (1) | shared (1) | speed (1) | $8: 8 ; 10: 15 ; 17: 22$ |
| 19:14;21:20 | $21: 11$ | 20:25 | $6: 21$ | 18:3,9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { requirements (1) } \\ & \text { 10:23 } \end{aligned}$ | salinity (2) | $\underset{\text { 22:25:23:1 }}{\operatorname{sharing}(\mathbf{2})}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { spelled (1) } \\ 23: 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { substantive (1) } \\ 11: 16 \end{array}$ |
| requires (1) | $\begin{array}{\|c} 21: 16,18 \\ \text { Satellite (2) } \end{array}$ | Shaw (1) | 23.21 spent (1) | suggest (1) |
| 9:1 research (3) | $7: 8 ; 10: 7$ | $5: 18$ | 16:7 | 11:14 |
| research (3) $19 \cdot 1 ; 22: 8,13$ | scary (2) | sheet (2) | spill (2) | suggested (3) |
| 19:1;22:8,13 reservoir (4) | $26: 13,14$ | $12: 2,3$ <br> Shelf (1) | $11: 9,12$ spills (1) | $8: 12 ; 10: 12 ; 14: 2$ |
| 7:14;15:1,13,17 | schedule (1) 20:1 | 7:17 | spils (1) | $9: 8$ |
| residents (1) | scheduled (1) | Shell (1) | stages (1) | summary (2) |
| 24:12 | $27: 14$ | 18:20 | 7:25 | 7:10;22:15 |
| resource (4) | science (1) | ships (1) | stakeholders (1) | supervisor (2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4: 7 ; 11: 8 ; 14: 14 \\ & 15: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $5: 3$ | $26: 16$ | $7: 1$ | $5: 1,5$ |
| $15: 11$ resources (9) | scientific (1) | shore (5) | stamina (1) | support (2) |
| resources (9) | $\begin{gathered} 22: 12 \\ \text { scientists (4) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 19 ; 10: 1 ; 15: 6 \\ & 26: 3,19 \end{aligned}$ | $27: 15$ stand (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:22;23:9 } \\ & \text { sure (7) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 17: 24,25 ; 18: 9 ; 21: 13 ; \\ & 22: 2 ; 24: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 18:25;19:3;22:8,10 | shorter (1) $15: 4$ | 17:11 | $4: 21,25 ; 12: 15$ <br> 18.11•19:4:21:25. |
| response (1)9:8 | scope (1) 11:9 | shown (1) | start 19:10; 27:4 | $24: 4$ |
|  | scoping (4) | 8:17 | started (2) | surface (1) |
| responses (1)$9: 20$ | 8:4,5;9:5;10:12 | shows (5) | 18:14,19 | 24:25 |
|  | SDI (1) | 7:4,7,10;12:22;17:1 | starting (1) | survey (1) |
| rest (1)$28: 12$ | 14:6 | sign (1) | 25:12 | 10:17 |
|  | Sea (8) | 24:16 <br> sign-in | State (9) $4: 6,22 \cdot 6: 3 \cdot 8: 17$ 10, | T |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { result (2) } \\ 9: 5,22 \end{gathered}$ | 4:12,18:2,15,24; | sign-in (2) | 4:6,22;6:3;8:17; | I |
| resulting (1) | search (1) | site (2) | 26:2,18 | table (2) |
| 10:23 | search (1) | 9:22;10:14 | Statement (8) | 19:3;22:10 |
| revenue (3) |  | Sivulliq (1) |  | talking (3) |
| 20:25;22:25,25 | 18:4;27:4 | $18: 21$ | $19: 14 ; 23: 13 ; 25: 7,8$ | $6: 19 ; 24: 24 ; 25: 1$ |
| review (8) | seat (1) | $\operatorname{six}(2)$ | States (1) | $\operatorname{tap}(1)$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10: 16,18 ; 14: 2,5 ; \\ & 16: 11,13 ; 20: 1 ; 22: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 19:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 7 ; 20: 3 \\ & \text { slide (4) } \end{aligned}$ | 3:6 <br> Steve (2) | $\begin{gathered} 15: 17 \\ \operatorname{tax}(1) \end{gathered}$ |
| revisit (1) | seawater (1) $23: 2$ | slide (4) $7: 2,10 ; 8: 17 ; 17: 1$ | Steve (2) 5:24,25 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a x }}(\mathbf{1}) \\ 20: 23 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 24:11 | second (1) | slides (1) | stick (1) | team (3) |
| rich (1) | 14:13 | 17:10 | 16:18 | 4:14;6:17,17 |
| 21:23 | Secretary (1) | Slope (8) | still (4) | technical (6) |
| $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{rig}(\mathbf{1}) \\ 14: 18 \end{gathered}$ | 11:4 | 6:4,5;18:7,18; $19 \cdot 12 \cdot 20 \cdot 22 \cdot 21 \cdot 21$. | 14:8,11;15:22; | 9:12;10:17;14:1; $19 \cdot 18,25 \cdot 22.19$ |
| 14:18 Right (13) | security (1) | 19:12;20:22;21:21; $23: 4$ | 19:25 <br> stipulations | 19:18,25;22:19 <br> technically (2) |
| 5:19;14:24;15:6; | sedimentation (1) | small (1) | 10:22 | 14:20;15:14 |
| 17:4,12;20:4;23:24; | $9: 15$ | 26:14 | stop (1) | telling (1) |
| 25:22;26:6;27:4,5,9,9 | seeing (1) | someone (1) | 28:7 | 19:6 |
| River (1) | 27:5 | 24:19 | Storzer (2) | ten-minute (2) |
| 10:13 | seem (1) | sorry (1) | $5: 1,1$ | $25: 10,12$ |
| roads (2) | $27: 5$ | $16: 5$ | stream (3) | testimony (1) |
| 7:23;8:1 $\operatorname{room}(\mathbf{5})$ | seismic (2) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { sound (3) } \\ 26: 8,15 ; 27: 12 \end{array}$ | $21: 7,10,20$ | 16:20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{rom}(5) \\ & 5: 10 ; 6: 8,17 ; 11: 22 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:5,5 } \\ & \text { self-contained } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:8,15;27:12 } \\ & \text { sources (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { streams (1) } \\ 23: 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Therefore (2) } \\ 9: 19 ; 21: 24 \end{array}$ |
| 25:15 | $7: 17$ | 8:12 | street (1) | thorough (1) |
| routes (1) | sense (1) | speak (4) | 28:15 | 10:16 |
| 22:3 | 25:2 | 12:8;24:12,20;26:1 | strongly (1) | thought (2) |
| Russia (1) | September (1) | speaker (3) | $23: 7$ | 17:7;25:2 |
| 13:19 | $\begin{gathered} 8: 6 \\ \text { seven (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:17;24:19;25:14 } \\ & \text { speakers (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline \text { studies (2) } \\ 21: 16,22 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { thousand (1) } \\ & \text { 8:7 } \end{aligned}$ |


| threatened (1) | 8:11 | 10:15 | 14:10 | 7:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $8: 9$ three (5) | United (1) $3: 5$ | whale (11) 17:24; $18: 2,9,12,12 ;$ | 15 (1) $15: 14$ | 7 |
| 3:20,21;9:4;10:18; | unlikely (1) | 19:5;21:12;22:7;26:4, | 17 (1) |  |
| 24:5 | 11:11 | 16;27:3 | 24:9 | 7.1 (1) |
| tie-in (5) | up (13) | whales (16) | 186-day (1) | 13:6 |
| 7:20,22;13:3;15:4,7 | 4:19;6:21;12:4,18, | 3:20,21;22:1,2,9; | 8:5 | 70 (1) |
| till (2) | 20;15:14;16:21; | 24:5;26:7,7,11,12,15, | 18th (1) | 7:6 |
| 27:14;28:7 | 17:11;19:6;23:18; | 20;27:2,7,11;28:4 | $11: 21$ |  |
| times (2) | 24:16;26:1,13 | whales' (1) | $1988 \text { (1) }$ | 8 |
| 5:11;24:5 | use (1) | 26:20 |  |  |
| timing (1) | 4:20 | whaling (9) |  |  |
| $24: 10$ today (7) | used (4) | 4:2;8:8;17:17;20:5, | 2 | $28: 1,7,11$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { today (7) } \\ & 3: 20,21 ; 5: 6 ; 6: 24 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 14 ; 10: 15,20 ; \\ & 11: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 18;26:5,6;27:4,6 <br> whole (3) | 2 (2) | 8:48(2) 28:19,21 |
| 24:4;27:2;28:3 | using (1) | 16:10,12;18:24 | 7:3;9:3 | 800 (1) |
| together (2) | 21:3 | Wildlife (4) | 2015 (2) | 17:10 |
| 16:10;20:13 | usual (1) | 18:19,22;21:21; | 7:2;8:6 |  |
| tonight (6) | 22:3 | 23:5 | 2016 (1) |  |
| 3:3,10;4:9;11:13, | usually (1) | winter (3) | 8:6 |  |
| 23,25 | 27:3 | 7:15;20:17;22:23 | 2021 (1) |  |
| top (1) |  | without (1) | 7:15 |  |
| 11:20 | V | 27:10 | 2023 (1) |  |
| total (1) |  | work (11) | 7:15 |  |
| 13:5 | valuable (1) | 4:15,16;5:2,14,25; | 25 (1) |  |
| town (1) | 19:21 | 18:11,16,25;20:9,24; | 14:14 |  |
| $24: 1$ | $\underset{10.25}{\text { various (1) }}$ | $23: 7$ worked (2) | 3 |  |
| $21: 8 ; 23: 2$ | Vavrik (1) | $14: 1 ; 17: 25$ |  |  |
| triangle (1) | 4:17 | working (3) | 30 (1) |  |
| 25:1 | villages (1) | 7:1;18:20,22 | 17:25 |  |
| tribal (3) | 18:7 | works (1) | 30-day (1) |  |
| 3:4;5:7,8 | Volume (2) | 4:24 | 20:11 |  |
| $\operatorname{try}(3)$ | $7: 3,3$ | world (4) | 3A (1) |  |
| 4:7;18:10;23:12 | volumes (1) | 13:11;14:9,11,16 | 9:21 |  |
| turbidity (1) | 22:8 | write (2) | 3B (1) |  |
| 9:14 |  | 5:22;6:2 | 9:25 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { turn (2) } \\ & 6: 20 ; 20: 12 \end{aligned}$ | W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { written (4) } \\ & 4: 11 ; 13: 16 ; 15: 14 ; \end{aligned}$ | 4 |  |
| turnout (1) | wants (2) | 23:12 |  |  |
| 3:25 | 23:4;28:15 | wrote (2) | 40 (1) |  |
| turns (1) | waste (5) | 5:5;16:3 | 26:7 |  |
| 25:15 | 21:4,5,7,9,19 | wwwregulationsgov (1) | 40,000 (1) |  |
| two (4) | wastewater (1) | 11:18 | 13:12 |  |
| $9: 20 ; 14: 15,15,23$ | $23: 1$ <br> Water (3) | Y | 40,600 (1) |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ype (1) } \\ 4: 19 \end{gathered}$ | $18: 4 ; 21: 8 ; 22: 1$ | Y | $\begin{gathered} 13: 17 \\ \mathbf{4 2 , 3 0 0}(\mathbf{1}) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| types (1) | waters (7) | year (4) | 13:15 |  |
| 10:20 | 10:2;20:21,24; | 16:4;26:6,9,11 | 4A (2) |  |
| typical (2) | 22:24;26:2,14,18 | years (2) | 10:5,6 |  |
| 8:23;10:22 | way (7) | 17:25;18:15 | 4B (2) |  |
| $\mathbf{U}$ | 19:2,11,20;20:3; |  | 10:5,8 |  |
| U | weeks (1) | 1 | 5 |  |
| UERD (2) | 20:3 | 1 (3) |  |  |
| 14:6,17 | welcome (4) | 7:3;8:24;10:14 | 5.6-mile (1) |  |
| ultimately (1) | 16:16;17:15;25:7, | $1.5(3)$ | $7: 19$ |  |
| 11:4 | 24 | 7:20;10:1,2 | $50(2)$ |  |
| ultra (1) | wells (2) | 10:00 (2) | 26:11,13 |  |
| $15: 18$ | $14: 10 ; 23: 3$ | 27:15;28:8 100 (1) |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { undertake (1) } \\ & \text { 20:16 } \end{aligned}$ | West (1) $10: 13$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 100(\mathbf{1}) \\ 12: 15 \end{array}$ | 6 |  |
| unique (1) | wetlands (1) | 13 (1) | 60 (1) |  |

