

Meeting Summary

Bureau of Ocean Energy Management

Morro Bay Wind Energy Area Scoping for the Development of an Environmental Assessment

Wednesday, December 1, 2021 5:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m. PT

I. Introduction

The Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) conducted public scoping to inform the development of an Environmental Assessment (EA) on the Morro Bay Energy Area (WEA), which is located approximately 20 miles offshore the central California coastline. BOEM is hosting two virtual scoping meetings during the 45-day scoping period to outline its formal environmental review process under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and to solicit public input on issues to be considered. The first virtual scoping meeting took place on December 1, 2021, and the second is scheduled for January 5, 2022. The public scoping period will end on January 11, 2022. The summary covers the meeting on December 1, 2021.

The meeting was held remotely via webinar using the Zoom platform. Participants included representatives from federal and state agencies, tribal nations, and local government. Participating members of the public included representatives from industry, academic and research institutions, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), community-based organizations (CBOs), and the press. Approximately 95 participants attended the meeting. The meeting closed 30 minutes after the last participant provided public comment.

Recordings of the meetings and transcripts are available at the following link: www.boem.gov/MorroBayEA

Meeting objectives included:

- Provide information about the Morro Bay WEA environmental review process.
- Inform comments on the Morro Bay WEA.
- Provide an opportunity for the public to give input on what should be considered in the Morro Bay WEA Environmental Assessment (EA).
- Answer questions related to the scoping process.

This document summarizes key outcomes and next steps from the meeting. It focuses on public comment input received rather than the formal presentations made. It is not intended to be a detailed transcript. Kearns & West (K&W) facilitated the meeting.

This meeting summary is organized into the following sections:

- I. Introduction
- II. Scoping Meeting Highlights
 - A. Welcome and Opening Remarks
 - B. Agenda Review
 - C. Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Wind Energy Leasing in California
 - D. Commercial Wind Lease Issuance and Site Assessment Activities on the Pacific Outer Continental Shelf Offshore Morro Bay
 - E. Clarifying Scoping Process Questions
 - F. Public Comment Opportunity
 - G. Closing and next steps
- III. Appendices
 - A. Agenda
 - B. Public Participant List
 - C. Public Clarifying Questions and Oral Responses
 - D. Comments and Questions from the Public Input Opportunity
 - E. Poll Results

II. Scoping Meeting Highlights

- A. Welcome and Opening Remarks
- 1. Jenna Tourjé, Facilitator with Kearns & West

The meeting opened with a welcome to participants, followed by a summary of scoping meeting objectives and guidance on navigating the Zoom Webinar. The facilitator presented two polls for the participants: the first identified participant affiliations, and the second determined the number of participants planning to provide oral comment later in the meetings. See Appendix E for the polling results.

2. Lisa Gilbane, Environmental Analysis Section Chief, BOEM Pacific Office

Lisa Gilbane presented on BOEM's environmental assessment process. She noted there will be an additional scoping meeting on January 5, 2022. She explained the purpose of the scoping meeting, where it fits in the NEPA process for the Morro Bay Environmental Assessment. Ms. Gilbane explained that participants have the opportunity to make a public comment and explained the process of making a public comment. She welcomed participants and thanked them for their participation.

B. Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Wind Energy Leasing in California

This segment of the meeting communicated BOEM's role in future renewable activities within the State of California, particularly related to BOEM's Offshore Renewable Energy Program (OREP) and leasing process. This session also provided Central Coast status updates and next steps.

Jean Thurston-Keller, CA Intergovernmental Renewable Energy Task Force Coordinator for BOEM's Pacific Office, presented an overview of BOEM's planning approach for offshore wind energy, BOEM's Offshore Wind Energy Authorization Process, the leasing and environmental review processes, and the next steps for offshore wind energy development at Morro Bay. She provided a Central Coast Status update for Morro Bay WEA following modifications to the Morro Bay Call Area and extensions areas that were considered following the call for information and nominations in July 2021.

Ms. Thurston-Keller reiterated the amount of interagency coordination, regulatory processes, and stakeholder engagement and consultation that has and still must occur, noting that there will be another opportunity for a 60-day public comment period after the Proposed Sale Notice that precedes the leasing phase. She explained that there are two areas that BOEM is considering for leasing: the Humboldt WEA and the Morro Bay Wind Energy Area (WEA), both of which will have their own environmental review processes. Following the Proposed Sale Notice, BOEM will publish a Final Sale Notice and conduct a Lease Auction. Ms. Thurston-Keller invited further questions on the BOEM California Outer Continental Shelf Leasing Process to be directed to herself at jean.thurston-keller@boem.gov.

C. Commercial Wind Lease Issuance and Site Assessment Activities on the Pacific Outer Continental Shelf Offshore Morro Bay

Lisa Gilbane, Environmental Analysis Section Chief, Office of Environment, BOEM Pacific, presented on the Commercial Wind Lease Issuance and Site Assessment Activities on the Pacific Outer Continental Shelf offshore Morro Bay. Ms. Gilbane highlighted this scoping meeting as the first step in the environmental assessment process, detailed the type of public comment that BOEM hopes to gain in the scoping process, and pointed to some of the intergovernmental agency consultation that will occur during the environmental assessment through the National Historic Preservation Act Section 106, the Coastal Zone Management Act with the California Coastal Commission, the Endangered Species Act, and the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. She provided a timeline of the Environmental Assessment (EA) schedule and the environmental resources and socio-cultural considerations for the EA.

Ms. Gilbane explained the types of data that have been received, including relevant data on impacts to fisheries, fishing communities, viewsheds, noise, environmental, vessel traffic, and potential economic benefits. Ms. Gilbane concluded her presentation by inviting participants to submit comments and to direct further questions to herself at <u>Lisa.Gilbane@boem.gov</u>.

D. Public Clarifying Questions

Participating members of the public asked clarifying questions related to scoping for the environmental assessment on the Morro Bay WEA. BOEM provided oral responses during a designated Public Clarifying Question session that covered such topics as:

- The lease and funds;
- Public comment process; and
- Environmental Assessment and Environmental Impact Statement process.

Appendix C contains additional details on public clarifying questions and responses.

E. Public Input Opportunity and Discussion

Ms. Tourje invited participants to provide oral public comment. A summary of the public comments organized by key themes is provided below.

- <u>Sociological impacts</u> Participants urged BOEM to hire local skilled and trained workforce, noting that hiring local workforce boosts economic development and mitigates greenhouse gases and environmental impacts by reducing workforce travel.
- <u>Whales</u> Participants expressed concern for whales from the turbines and urged BOEM to conduct more in-depth studies.
- <u>Research and impact analysis</u> Participants expressed the importance of conducting thorough and holistic studies that encompass enough baseline data to understand potential impacts to ecosystems and species on shore.
- <u>Fish and Essential Fish Habitat</u> Participants noted much of the Wind Energy Area is within the federally designated Essential Fish Habitat Area and inquired how BOEM plans to assess the effects of anchoring.
- <u>Support for offshore wind energy development</u> Participants expressed their general support for offshore wind energy development in California.
- <u>Environmental Assessment</u> Participants inquired about the Environmental Assessment process, items considered, and suggested additional considerations.

Appendix D contains a more detailed accounting of the public comment provided.

F. Overview of Action Items and Closing Remarks

Lisa Gilbane thanked participants for their time and comments provided. She encouraged participants to continue to submit further comments via mail and email. Ms. Gilbane noted there will be an additional scoping meeting on January 5, 2022. She described the next steps in the Environmental Assessment process and explained that as soon as the draft Environmental Assessment is released in early 2022, the public again will have the opportunity to provide comment.

Lisa Gilbane concluded the meetings by inviting participants to go to boem.gov/MorroBayEA to provide further public comment and to view recordings of the scoping meetings.

III. Appendices

A. Agenda

AGENDA

Morro Bay Wind Energy Area

Scoping for the Development of an Environmental Assessment

Webinar

Webinar Registration

December 1, 2021: 5:00 pm – 8:00 pm PST

Registration Link: <u>https://kearnswest.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_G3Vh1BFzQZCfpS7LsNxCSQ</u>

Meeting Objectives

- Provide information about the Morro Bay WEA environmental review process.
- Inform comments on the Morro Bay WEA.
- Provide an opportunity for the public to give input on what should be considered in the Morro Bay WEA Environmental Assessment (EA).
- Answer questions related to the scoping process.

Agenda

| Time (PT) | Agenda Item | Presenter | |
|----------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| 5:00 – 5:05 pm | Webinar Instructions and Guidance | Kearns & West, Facilitator | |
| 5:05 – 5:10 pm | Opening Remarks | Lisa Gilbane, BOEM | |
| 5:10 – 5:20 pm | Outer Continental Shelf Wind Energy Leasing in California | Jean Thurston-Keller, BOEM | |
| 5:20 – 5:30 pm | Commercial Wind Lease Issuance and Site Assessment Activities on the Pacific Outer Continental Shelf offshore Morro Bay County | Lisa Gilbane, BOEM | |
| 5:30 – 6:00 pm | Clarifying Scoping Process Questions | | |

| Time (PT) | Agenda Item | Presenter | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| | Interested individuals will be provided an opportunity to ask clarifying question on the environmental scoping process. | | |
| | Participants will submit their questions via the Zoom Q&A function. Relevant questions will be read aloud by the facilitator. | | |
| 6:00 – 7:50 pm | Public Comment | | |
| (or until public comment ends) | Participants may provide input verbally during the meeting by clicking the "raise hand" icon to enter the queue or dialing *9. Those who wish to provide verbal input will be given a 3-minute time amount. The queue of comments and questions will be moderated by the facilitator. | | |
| 7:50 – 8:00 pm | Closing Remarks/ Adjourn | Lisa Gilbane, BOEM | |

B. Public Participant List

| First Name | Last Name | Organization/Agency | Sector Category |
|-------------|------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Kevin | Homrighausen | Latham & Watkins | Business/Industry |
| Kevin | Wedman | NV5 | Business/Industry |
| Meghan | Cornelison | | Government |
| Grace | Saunders | BHFS | Other |
| Katie | Segal | | Academia |
| Chris | Rein | Environmental Design and Research, DPC | Business/Industry |
| Thalia | Kruger | Principle Power | Business/Industry |
| Richard | Leamon | | Other |
| Nick | Bauer | WSP | Business/Industry |
| Heather | Mann | Midwater Trawlers Cooperative | Business/Industry |
| Jenny | Vick | | Other |
| Simon | Poulter | Padre Associates, Inc. | Business/Industry |
| Alexis | Bahou | Tetra Tech | Business/Industry |
| Brian | Owens | CDFW | Government |
| Tammer | Botros | BP | Business/Industry |
| Eric | Hawkinson | Tetra Tech | Business/Industry |
| Christopher | Potter | California Dept. Of Fish and Wildlife | Government |
| Jeffrey | Nield | EDR | Business/Industry |
| Albert | Brocone | Epsilon Systems | Non-governmental organization |
| Carrie | Pomeroy | UC Santa Cruz | Academia |
| Stephen | Ferry | Santa Barbara Audubon Society | Community-Based Organization |
| Manley | Mcninch | SWRCC | Business/Industry |
| Katy | Wilson | Sempra Infrastructure | Business/Industry |
| Mike | Cullen | | Business/Industry |
| Mike | Okoniewski | West Coast Pelagic Conservation Group | Business/Industry |
| Karen | Grimmer | | Government |
| Julia | Lewis | | Business/Industry |
| Micah | Mitrosky | IBEW | Other |
| Ying | Tian | WSP USA | Business/Industry |
| Ryan | Mccarthy | | Business/Industry |
| Nancy | Kirshner- rodriguez | Business Network for Offshore Wind | Non-governmental organization |
| Doug | Davy | Jacobs | Business/Industry |
| Brian | Lefebvre | Castle Wind | Business/Industry |
| Scott | Morris | SME | Other |

| Amanda | Preece | Monterey Audubon Society | Non-governmental organization |
|--------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| Steve | Scheiblauer | Alliance Of Communities for Sustainable Fisheries | Community-Based Organization |
| Robert | Dooley | | Business/Industry |
| Varner | Seaman | ACP-California | Business/Industry |
| John | Callahan | BOEM | Government |
| Anthony | Ventura | | Other |
| Nick | Rahaim | | Academia |
| Bernadette | Sullivan | Weideman Group | Non- governmental organizatior |
| J. Lilah | lse | NOAA NMFS West Coast Region | Government |
| Amber | Sparks | | Non-governmental organization |
| Nico | Turpin- invernon | | Business/Industry |
| Carole | Mintzer | Sierra Club | Non-governmental organization |
| Zach | Fuerst | | Business/Industry |
| Maxwell | Cohen | Orsted | Business/Industry |
| Jim | Weigand | Department Of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management | Government |
| Adam | Stern | Offshore Wind California | Business/Industry |
| Tracey | Mangin | | Academia |
| Kelsey | Shebey | | Business/Industry |
| Во | Buchynsky | Diamond Generating Corporation | Business/Industry |
| Steve | Chung | DOD | Government |
| Justin | Evans | Future Energy | Business/Industry |
| Greg | Haas | Congressman Carbajal | Government |
| Carl | Wurtz | Californians For Green Nuclear Power | Non-governmental organization |
| Autumn | Brown | | Business/Industry |
| Hayashi | | Marubeni | Business/Industry |
| , Hayashi | | | |
| Lisa | Gover | | Government |
| Steve | Black | Steve Black Strategies, Inc. | Business/Industry |
| Desray | Reeb | BOEM | Government |
| William | Standley | Bureau Of Land Management, California Coastal Natl. Monument | Government |
| Marco | Palombo | UCSB Bren School | Academia |
| Melinda | Marquis | NREL | Government |
| Mike | Hodgson | Santa Maria Times | Press |
| Kyle | Kowalski | | Business/Industry |

| Steve | Greenleaf | Brookfield Renewable | Business/Industry |
|-------------|---------------|--|-------------------|
| Mike | Conroy | Pacific Coast Federation of | Other |
| | | Fishermen's Associations | |
| Mark | Hanin | Center For Energy Efficiency and | Non-governmental |
| | | Renewable Technologies (CEERT) | organization |
| Kristen | Hislop | Environmental Defense Center | Non-governmental |
| | | | organization |
| Leslie | Purcell | | Community-Based |
| | | | Organization |
| Riley | Morris | Teya Services Llc | Non-governmental |
| | | | organization |
| Steve | Duboyce | US Navy | Government |
| Bob | Otten | | Other |
| Frank | Pendleton | BOEM | Government |
| Carliane | Johnson | Seajay Environmental | Other |
| Jim | Lanard | Magellan Wind | Business/Industry |
| Boldmaa | Jargalsaikhan | JERA Co., Inc. | Business/Industry |
| Mackenzie | Shuman | The Tribune | Press |
| Scott | Mcmullen | Oregon Fishermen's Cable | Non-governmental |
| | | Committee | organization |
| Ryuta | Yokoe | | Business/Industry |
| Amy | Sparks | | Business/Industry |
| Laura | Fiedler | San Luis Obispo County | Government |
| Susan | Chambers | West Coast Seafood Processors | Business/Industry |
| | | Association | |
| Alla | Weinstein | Castle Wind Llc | Business/Industry |
| Necy | Sumait | | Government |
| Ashley | Grainger | Offspring International Ltd | Business/Industry |
| Sean | Oreilly | Jera Americas Inc | Business/Industry |
| James | Haussener | CMANC | Non-governmental |
| | | | organization |
| Matt | Valerio | | Business/Industry |
| Christopher | Lindsey | SLR International | Business/Industry |
| Lydia | Lostan | | Business/Industry |
| Sarah | Xu | | Community-Based |
| | | | , Organization |
| Kerry | Griffin | | Government |
| Silvia | Yanez | WSP USA Inc. | Business/Industry |
| Wayne | Moody | Morro Bay Commercial Fishermen's | Community-Based |
| , - | | Organization | Organization |
| Roger | Hewitt | NOAA - Southwest Fisheries Science Center | Government |
| Ron | Larsen | Sea Risk Solutions Llc | Business/Industry |

| Emily | Capello | | Other |
|-------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Andy | Johnson | Defenders Of Wildlife | Non-governmental |
| | | | organization |
| John | Flaherty | Central Coast Outdoors | Other |
| Wayne | Winters | SNC Lavalin | Non-governmental |
| | | | organization |
| Mike | Conn | NV5 Geospatial | Other |
| Jordan | Greer | Moffatt Nichol | Business/Industry |
| Isabel | Kaubisch | ENBW North America | Business/Industry |
| Dan | Jaynes | | Business/Industry |
| Laura | Morton | Perkins Coie, Llp | Business/Industry |
| Melodie | Meyer | Yurok Tribe Office of The Tribal | Tribal/Indigenous |
| | | Attorney | |
| Eleanore | Humphries | Monterey Bay Aquarium | Non-governmental |
| | | | organization |
| Edward | Leblanc | Orsted | Business/Industry |
| Ruth | Perry | Shell Renewables and Energy | Business/Industry |
| | | Solutions | |
| Donal | Courtney | Brookfield Renewable | Business/Industry |
| Caroline | Mueller | Avangrid Renewables | Business/Industry |
| Josh | Raper | Southwest Regional Council of | Other |
| | | Carpenters | |
| Oswaldo | Felix | EDF Renewables Inc | Business/Industry |
| Lena | Lee | | Government |
| Alden | Lundy | BOEM | Government |
| Aoife | Mc goven | | Business/Industry |
| Steve | Hunt | | Other |
| Bk | Richard | None | Other |
| David | Weisman | Alliance For Nuclear Responsibility | Community-Based |
| | | | Organization |
| Christopher | Dorman | Mainstream Renewable Power | Business/Industry |
| Laurie | Beale | NOAA | Government |
| Cotton | Rockwood | Point Blue Conservation Science | Non-governmental |
| | | | organization |
| Nathalie | Schils | | Business/Industry |
| Amy | Wolfrum | Monterey Bay Aquarium | Non-governmental |
| | | | organization |
| Rebecca | Trujillo | Chevron | Business/Industry |
| Kori | Cordero | Yurok Tribe | Tribal/Indigenous |
| Jenny | Briot | | Business/Industry |
| Garry | George | National Audubon Society | Non-governmental |
| | | | organization |
| Claudio | Bazzichelli | Tiber International Group | Business/Industry |

| Paul | Michel | NOAA West Coast Sanctuaries | Government |
|-----------|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Tony | Parisi | Parisi Strategies/Castle Wind | Business/Industry |
| Claire | Gonzales | | Academia |
| Karen | Pearson | 0 | Other |
| Daniel | Woodman | ODE (Offshore Design Engineering) | Business/Industry |
| Alison | Rowe | | Non-governmental organization |
| Amanda | Schoen | Vestas | Business/Industry |
| Keith | Wingate | Interested Citizen | Other |
| Nancy | Rader | California Wind Energy Association | Business/Industry |
| Alberto | Tono | Falck Renewables | Business/Industry |
| Harvey | Schwartzman | Other | Other |
| Margarita | Mcinnis | CA State Lands Commission | Government |
| Jenna | Tourje | | Other |
| Theresa | Stevens | USACE Los Angeles District Regulatory Division | Government |
| Michael | Wilks | Jacobs | Business/Industry |
| Julie | Sinton pruniski | Reach | Other |
| Bob | Erickson | CSA Ocean Sciences | Business/Industry |
| Kristen | Gulick | | Business/Industry |

C. Public Clarifying Questions and Oral Responses

Participants asked clarifying questions in the Zoom Q&A box after both presentations. Detailed descriptions of questions and responses, for both sessions, are listed below. These are intended for reference and are not intended to be verbatim.

Public Clarifying Questions and Oral Responses

- *Question* Will the presentation slides be available after the meeting?
 - *Response*: Yes, the presentation will be available at <u>www.boem.gov/MorroBayEA</u>
- *Question*: Who gets the proceeds from the leases?
 - *Response*: It goes to the general fund.
- *Question*: For scoping, will you accept comments on studies BOEM should do and well as providing information BOEM?
 - *Response*: Yes, we have a studies process where we ask publicly for study ideas, which is a different part of BOEM, but also an opportunity on our website to submit study ideas.
- *Question*: When the Morro Bay wind energy area was reduced to 376 miles, is that square nautical miles or square statute miles?
 - *Response*: It's statue miles.
- *Question:* Would the site characteristic surveys/activities evaluated in the EA be limited to within the WEA or inclusive of related necessary connection activities (Cables etc.)?
 - *Response*: Our focus for our environmental assessment is offshore area, and that is what we will focus our document on but especially for consistency determination, we need to work with the State on related easements and things in terms of getting cables to shoreside. So, while our focus of the document is offshore, we welcome if you have comments or information that would be relevant and helpful.
 - In terms of being clear with jurisdiction, BOEM is not approved to authorize anything outside of the outer continental shelf, which is 3 miles offshore, but we have to work with the State.
- *Question:* When is the lease fee paid?
 - *Response*: There's an auction process, which has to be paid immediately after the auction is concluded. There's a lease specific bond that is required at the beginning after the lease is issued, so the auction amount and the lease specific bond must be paid. There are rental fees that are required while the survey work is being done, and then there are operation fees that come in after construction where a portion of energy production is required to be paid to the general fund. Participants who want more specific information can contact Jean Thurston-Keller.
- *Question:* Define the general fund: is it the federal general fund or the US treasury?
 - *Response*: It is US treasury, federal.

- *Question:* Based on my understanding, Diablo Canyon, Del Norte, and Cape Mendocino have not been designated under any formal regulatory framework toward considered in a BOEM lease auction. Is there a chance those areas will be evaluated for lease in the future?
 - *Response*: Diablo Canyon was designated a call area and has not moved forward in terms of these sites becoming wind energy areas. We are not evaluating them now. This is a process where the State of CA and BOEM CA could issue new calls and new processes in the future, but our focus is currently on the Humboldt and Morro Bay processes.
- *Question:* The scoping at this point is designed to assess environmental consequences associated with issuing commercial wind leases. What about all the consequences which remain unknown or not understood?
 - *Response*: Under the NEPA for environmental assessments we look at available data and do our best job at gathering and using data that we can find that is relevant to our decision – that is what we do going forward. It's a little bit about this continuous process. We acknowledge that as we can fill gaps and find more information – that will be very helpful in using the new information when we move forward.
- *Question:* Your reference is to Environmental Assessment (EA) which generally is not as expansive as an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). EIS is a much more intensive process, is an EIS anticipated?
 - *Response*: There is a lot of difference between an EA and an EIS. Most of the regulations that are in place from the Council on Environmental Quality originally apply to an EIS.
 For an EA, we evaluate if there are significant impacts to the environment. At the end of an EA, we determine if there are significant impacts, an EIS is done. If no significant impacts are found, we write a finding of no significant impact.
- *Question:* Does it make sense to not scope out connection to shore at the same time as offshore?
 - *Response*: For scoping out, an EA or any kind of document can decide there is no significant need to investigate and discuss further. This [question] is talking about the offshore vs. the connection to shore and the focus of our document is the offshore wind energy area as that is what we have authority to decision maker to approve or not approve leases, so that has to be the focus for our decision maker. We are working with the State, so this has to be done in a way that complies with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and other laws.
- Question: Will our comments go into the record and into the EA?
 - *Response*: All comments will be made available to see at <u>https://www.regulations.gov/</u>.
 A summary will be drafted to put comments into perspective and made available on our website. In our EA document, we try to reply to trends we see from comments and that is written into the NEPA regulations, so that is a part of our process.

D. Comments and Questions from the Public Input Opportunity

The following comments were received during the August 24 meeting at the 9:00 a.m. and 5 p.m. session. These are intended for reference and are not intended to be verbatim.

- 1. *Comment:* The table alignment should be considered as part of the EA because it is an extremely important part of the project, and if there is no good alignment or way to get an alignment to shore, what is the point of offering a lease for an area?
- 2. Comment: I believe I and people in my community will be environmentally impacted. BOEM should require offshore wind projects in Morro Bay should be built using local skilled and trained workforce. BOEM should provide good paying jobs for people in the local area as this will also greatly impact the local economy. Investing in growing, diversifying, and upskilling the local workforce can positively affect returns on climate mitigation efforts. The use of local state certified apprenticeship programs or trained and skilled workforce locally hired can result in air pollution reduction. With upcoming closing and decommissioning of Diablo Canyon, there is a great workforce to pool from in the area that are skilled and trained. Provide good paying jobs and keep local workforce working so they do not have to relocate.
- 3. Comment: I want to thank BOEM and CA State agencies and local and tribal leaders who have been instrumental in opening the Central Coast of offshore wind development. The recent joint agency SB100 report concluded that the state would need to develop a diverse renewable energy portfolio including at least 10 gigawatts of offshore wind to achieve its 100% renewable and zero carbon goals cost effectively by 2045. Ten gigawatts of offshore wind would supply almost 15% of the state's current electricity needs providing clean reliable energy that complements the states solar and other renewable resources. This is why my organization and member company supported the extensions to the Morro Bay call area proposed in July. Though BOEM has removed the east extension closer to shore, the final Morro Bay wind energy area designates 376 sq miles for development which expands capacity from original area and help drive economies of scale. Industry experts believe 1 gigawatt is the minimum size for an economically viable project. The Morro Bay wind energy area, as currently configured, is crucial if the state is going to reach 3 gigawatts by 2030 and build 10 gigawatts or more by 2040 and beyond. To realize the promise of offshore wind and reach these goals, we must move forwards with the leasing process to sail in 2022, complete the environmental reviews, upgrade transmission and infrastructure, and streamline federal state permitting while protecting wildlife, fishing, and cultural resources. Offshore wind is crucial to states clean energy future – participants organization is committed to working with BOEM and state agencies to provide the best available industry data and science to inform the environmental assessment and leasing process as it advances in Morro Bay.
- 4. *Comment*: Someone earlier mentioned the transmission from the proposed offshore wind to shore and how that could be sited. Inter-agency collaboration between California State Lands Commission, California Coastal Commission, and BOEM could be a consideration. My main concern is whales and marine mammals. Whales getting hit by ships going through the Santa Barbara channels and LA and ports. I'm curious how migrating and feeding patterns be assessed and how serious impacts can be avoided in terms of construction of site and the actual siting of the wind turbines. BOEM should work with marine biologists. Pollution is also

an issue in terms of construction and operating is a concern in terms of dredging. Need to be careful of quality of water.

- 5. *Comment*: I believe I will be impacted by the environmental impacts of this project. Hiring local skilled workforce could boost economic development and mitigate transportation and greenhouse gas impacts by reducing travel. Other cities have not hesitated to use a skilled local workforce which in turn returns benefits to the local community. I strongly believe BOEM should implement local and skilled trained workforce requirements.
- 6. Comment: My concern is for whales. The proposed area in Morro Bay is home to migrating humpback California grey and blue whales. At Block Island windfarm that had 5 turbines, within 15 months after opening, NOAA found 46 dead whales washed on shore and classified as a usual mortality event. A NOAA Spokesman explained such an event demanded immediate response, but no response was taken. The only study BOEM has conducted so far is an animation of a whale and calf swimming around and avoiding cables and platforms, which evolution has not prepared whales for. Such a study is insufficient, and whales could swim into these. BOEM or developer should construct 2-5 turbines to record whale activity before committing to a facility with hundreds of turbines which could seriously impact an already stressed population of whales.
- 7. *Comment:* I have shared concern over environmental impacts but there is a need to focus on local communities. My career is important to me, and I am willing to travel for my career. The inability to work locally is a barrier to employment. BOEM should recognize the positive impacts on the local community from incorporating a local hire and skilled and trained workforce component to construction contracts. These components need to be addressed early on.
- 8. *Comment:* Considering that a lot of the wind energy area is within the federally designated groundfish essential fish habitat area, how will you assess the effects of the anchoring system for these devices if you do not know what they are going to be? The purpose of EA is to figure out what area should be leased. That could be the whole WEA, could be more than one lease that encompasses the whole WEA, or could be considerably less than the WEA. It may not be the whole area and could be areas that are set aside. Presumably, the information received on environmental effects and concerns or problems, or conflicts with other stakeholders that need to be avoided, or safety issues. Those will all be the types of effects the EA will assess coming up with the final lease areas.
- 9. Comment: I agree with previous comments made on scoping and the connection between offshore to shore. By the time focus is on the connection between shore to offshore, so much momentum and money would have been poured into the process and could be an afterthought. That connection point could be problematic to current ocean users or the environment. Spending money on local communities and jobs is great, but the commercial fishing industry spends millions of dollars now in coastal economies. We cannot trade commercial fishing jobs for offshore energy development jobs, especially for companies that are not American companies. The focus should be on growing jobs, not replacing jobs. Replacing fishing jobs with offshore construction jobs that are limited in duration is not feasible long-term. Consideration of these impacts is important, but consideration is just that. How will comments be used to inform the process, rather than comments being documented, and considered?

10. Comment: My question is, in the EA process – a screening process to assess the best possible, and as early possible, what possible changes might occur by implementing a project. What degree of economic and general social community evaluation will take place at the EA level? Could you expand on that more?

E. Poll Results

Participant Affiliation



Participants Planning to Provide Oral Comment

