DRAFT BOEM Nationwide Recommendations for Impact Pile Driving Sound Exposure Modeling and Sound Field Measurement for Offshore Wind Construction and Operations Plans

October 2022

U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Ocean Energy Management Headquarters, Sterling, VA



September 20, 2022

Guidance Disclaimer

Except to the extent that the contents of this document derive from requirements established by statute, regulation, lease, contract, or other binding legal authority, the contents of this document do not have the force and effect of law and are not meant to bind the public in any way. This document is intended only to provide clarity to the public regarding legal requirements, related agency policies, and technical issues.

U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Ocean Energy Management Headquarters, Sterling, VA



Contents

1	Intro	oduction	1
2		ommended Sound Exposure Assessment and Information Needs Concerning Pile-Driving	
In	npacts	on Biological Resources	3
	2.1	General Approaches	3
	2.2	Description of Numerical Methods	3
	2.3	Description of the Environment	4
	2.4	Description of the Construction Scenario	4
	2.5	Description of the Biological Elements	6
	2.5.	1 Sound Exposure Modeling for Marine Species	9
	2.6	Presentation of Results	10
	2.6.	1 Presentation of Radial Distances	10
	2.6.	2 Presentation of Exposure Estimates	16
3	Offs	hore Wind Pile Driving Sound Field Measurement Recommendations	17
	3.1	Measurement Instrument	17
	3.2	Field Measurement Methods	18
	3.3	Signal Processing and Data Analysis	19
	3.4	Reporting Recommendations	20
4	For	More Information	22
5	Refe	erences	23

List of Tables

Table 1. Acoustic injury criteria and metrics for marine mammals (NMFS 2018)8
Table 2. SPL thresholds to evaluate potential behavioral effects to marine mammals
Table 3. Acoustic thresholds for potential injury and TTS for fishes
Table 4. Acoustic thresholds for potential injury and TTS for sea turtles
Table 5. Acoustic thresholds to evaluate potential behavioral impacts to fishes and sea turtles9
Table 6a. Auditory injury radial distances for marine mammal exposure to impact pile-driving noise12
Table 6b. Distances at which auditory injury (PTS) could potentially occur from impact pile-driving13
Table 7a. Unweighted SPL levels for use in calculating the distance at which certain behavioral
thresholds would be reached
Table 7b. Behavioral disturbance thresholds 14
Table 8. Number of individuals estimated to be exposed above thresholds during pile driving16
Table 9. Measured sound levels

Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Definitions

BOEM	Bureau of Ocean Energy Management
CMA	Center for Marine Acoustics
СОР	Construction and Operations Plan
dB	decibel
DP	dynamic positioning
ESA	Endangered Species Act
HFC	high-frequency cetaceans
Hz	Hertz
IBM	individual-based-model
kHz	Kilohertz
L _{cum}	cumulative sound exposure level
LE	sound exposure level (same as SEL)
L _{E,cum}	cumulative sound exposure level (same as SEL _{cum})
L _{E,24}	24-hour cumulative sound exposure level (same as SEL _{cum,24})
L _{E,ss}	single strike sound exposure level
LFC	low-frequency cetaceans
L _{p,pk}	peak sound pressure level (same as SPL _{pk})
L _{p,rms}	root-mean-square sound pressure level (same as SPL _{rms})
MMPA	Marine Mammal Protection Act
MFC	mid-frequency cetaceans
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
OW	other marine carnivores (including otariid pinnipeds, sea otter, and polar bear)
PDE	project design envelope
PTS	permanent threshold shift
PW	phocid pinniped
SEL	sound exposure level (same as <i>L</i> _E)
SEL _{ss}	single strike sound exposure level (same as $L_{E,ss}$)
SEL _{cum}	cumulative sound exposure level (same as L _{E,cum})
SEL _{cum,24}	24-hour sound exposure level (same as <i>L</i> _{E,24})
SI	Sirenians
SPL	sound pressure level
SPL _{pk}	peak sound pressure level (same as L _{p,pk})
SPL _{rms}	root-mean-square sound pressure level (same as L _{p,rms})
R _{95%}	The range in which 95% of the modeled points exceeding a given sound level would be within a circle of radius R95% centered on the source. This metric should be computed for uniform range steps and for the maximum-over-depth levels.

DRAFT BOEM Nationwide Recommendations for Impact Pile Driving Sound Exposure Modeling and Sound Field Measurement for Offshore Wind Construction and Operations Plans

 R_{max} maximum range from a source at which a sound level is predicted to occur

SNR signal-to-noise ratio

- TTS temporary threshold shift
- TU sea turtles

1 Introduction

All proposed offshore wind energy projects (described in a Construction and Operations Plan (COP)) that are submitted for review to the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) are required to include assessments of potential environmental impacts resulting from project construction. *See* 30 CFR 585.626. Because underwater sounds generated from impact pile driving for the construction of offshore energy projects can impact marine species near the project area, an important aspect of BOEM's environmental impact assessment is to estimate and validate the ensonified area. Specifically, two processes are typically performed: (1) a noise impact assessment is conducted during the pre-construction phase, via modeling and analyses, and (2) ensonified areas are validated during the construction phase via sound field measurements.

The primary outputs from this modeling are the sound fields associated with the lessee's project design envelope (PDE) and an estimate of "exposures" for each species of interest in a project development area. An "exposure" occurs when a particular individual of a particular species is exposed to sound level above a given threshold. It is important to note that a single "exposure" does not necessarily equate to a "take" under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) or the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA). Take estimates are determined through consultation between BOEM and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) under the ESA and through the lessees' Incidental Take Authorization application with NMFS and any resulting authorizations issued to the lessee under the MMPA. Lessees should consult with NMFS to ensure their modeling methods meet the needs of NMFS to assess take applications under the MMPA. The outputs of the sound modeling and exposure assessment are used by BOEM to inform preparation of the environmental impact assessment prepared under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), biological assessments under ESA, and essential fish habitat assessments under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act.

These recommendations are specific to impact pile driving. However, lessees should evaluate the effects of other noise generating activities (e.g., vibratory pile driving, socket drilling, horizontal direction drilling, trenching, unexploded ordinance disposal, etc.) with detail and sophistication appropriate for the level of effect possible.

Sound field measurements of pile-driving noise facilitate verification of the modeled distances to harassment thresholds and allow lessees, stakeholders, and regulators to determine the adequacy of certain mitigation and monitoring procedures implemented under the authorizations issued by regulators. The marine acoustic environment, by its very nature, is complex and variable. Therefore, the modeling should incorporate enough conservatism, and the verification enough sampling, to ensure that the acoustic environment surrounding construction is within the PDE for the entire spatial and temporal scope of the construction activity.

This document comprises two sections. The first section aims to provide general recommendations to lessees as they develop the acoustic and exposure models of impact pile driving to be included with their COP submittals. BOEM recognizes that models are continually being refined and improved. As such, these recommendations are not meant to be prescriptive, nor restrain lessees to using a specific type of model. Instead, the goals are to ensure that key physical and biological factors are incorporated into the modeling and outputs and that a uniform presentation of modeling results to aid BOEM with its assessments. The second section provides recommendations for sound field measurements to verify anticipated sound fields after COP approval. Such field measurements are typically incorporated into the conditions of COP approval. Because these projects and environments are complex , universal direction for every scenario is impossible to provide. Instead, this document describes the information that BOEM would find helpful in its assessment of environmental impacts. In addition to these BOEM

recommendations, early coordination with both BOEM and NMFS is encouraged to ensure any additional information needs are satisfied.

This document provides guidance on several key concerns or issues that can typically arise during underwater acoustic modeling and field measurements. However, it is not an exhaustive list. Nothing written in this document is intended to override an author's use of their technical or scientific expertise or experience. In fact, authors should be aware that guidance or references in this document may have been replaced by more current information and should always use the most current information and references.

Submission of Comments

Before finalizing this guidance document, BOEM welcomes input from all stakeholders during a 45-day comment period ending on December 12, 2022. Comments submitted through the regulations.gov web portal: Navigate to https://www.regulations.gov/ and search for BOEM-2022-005. Click on the "Comment" button below the document link. Enter your information and comment, then click "Submit Comment." The final guidance will be posted at https://www.boem.gov/guidance in Q1 of calendar year 2023.

Comments may be submitted in written form by mail, enclosed in an envelope labeled "Recommendations for Impact Pile Driving Modeling" and addressed to Program Manager, Office of Renewable Energy, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, 45600 Woodland Road, Sterling, VA 20166.

For Further Information Contact

Emily Hildreth, BOEM Office of Renewable Energy Programs, 45600 Woodland Road, Sterling, Virginia 20166, (571) 491-7505 or Emily.hildreth@boem.gov.

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement

These guidelines provide clarification, description, or interpretation of requirements contained in 30 CFR part 585, subpart F. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid information collection control number issued by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). OMB has approved the information collection requirements in the 30 CFR part 585, subpart F regulations under OMB Control Number 1010-0176, respectively. These guidelines do not impose additional information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

BOEM Guidance Document Statement

This guidance document sets forth BOEM's general policy to provide the public with additional information regarding the agency's approach to managing its renewable energy program. This guidance does not have the force and effect of law and does not bind the public or BOEM in any way. Lessees are encouraged to contact BOEM with questions or concerns related to the guidance or to site-specific permitting.

2 Recommended Sound Exposure Assessment and Information Needs Concerning Potential Pile-Driving Impacts on Biological Resources

To meet the broad information and regulatory requirements associated with COP submittals (e.g., 30 CFR 585.626), BOEM developed these recommendations for a consistent approach to determine model inputs, assessment, and outputs to be included in a COP submission. Lessees should provide a high-level overview of the modeling results in the main body of the assessment (in plain language and using standard terminology) and include summary tables and figures. A qualitative analysis of the trends observed and any anomalous results should be included in a discussion of the results. Lessees should provide the details of the modeling itself in an appendix for acoustician review. The physical and biological elements described below should be included in the acoustic modeling appendix.

2.1 General Approaches

Acoustic propagation modeling uses inputs related to the source generating the sound and the environment through which the sound will propagate. To ensure validity and reproducibility of the model results, the acoustic modeling report should contain documentation of the model, as well as its inputs and assumptions. Description of the modeling should include the 1) numerical methods, 2) environment, 3) construction scenarios, and 4) species considered.

As long as the following scenarios have been modeled, lessees may use any consistent identification system to clearly differentiate between each scenario presented in their sound exposure content within the COP and relevant appendices.¹

2.2 Description of Numerical Methods

Various sound source and propagation models have been developed to approximate the solution to the linear wave equation. Not all models are appropriate for a given source and environment. Lessees should specify which model or models are used by the class of model (e.g., finite element model for source characteristics; ray trace, parabolic equation, or normal mode for sound propagation). Inclusion of any model classes in this guidance should not be considered endorsements of the applicability of a model. If a commonly known or documented version of a model is implemented, the lessee should provide the generic name. If multiple models are used, a description should be provided on how the different models (e.g., frequency bands, range limits) were used to derive the results in specific acoustic metrics (e.g., sound exposure level (SEL) or sound pressure level (SPL)). Refer to "Section 2.5 Description of the Biological Element" and "Section 2.6 Presentation of Results" for specific acoustic metrics that should be used for different species within the COP.

If any of the values used in the modeling are based on prior empirical measurements, they should be derived from peer-reviewed publications or technical reports that have been reviewed by BOEM subject matter experts.

¹ See BOEM's Information Guidelines for a Renewable Energy Construction and Operations Plan (COP) available at https://www.boem.gov/sites/default/files/documents/about-boem/COP%20Guidelines.pdf. Page 43 states the COP should provide information for Threatened and Endangered Species, in accordance with 30 CFR 585.627(a)(4) "In lieu of direct observations, modeling of impact-producing factors and their potential effects on threatened and endangered species may include, but are not limited to, the following: 1) Sound dispersion models."

The COP should detail the spatial dependence and resolution of the modeled results, such as plots showing modeled power spectral densities of the source at various modeled distances, or a table of decidecade band levels.

2.3 Description of the Environment

Acoustic propagation models may rely on parameterization of the environment. Bathymetry, sound velocity profile, and sediment properties and characteristics can influence the propagation of sounds and, thus, the modeling results. Some models incorporate range-dependent environments. Report the sources and methods of estimating the environmental parameters for the model, as well as the spatial and temporal extents over which the environmental parameters are derived. In the COP, provide justification for the seasonal representation of the environment. The COP also should include a map showing rastered bathymetry and a plot showing sound velocity profiles for different months or seasons (specify the timeframes used). In addition, the COP should consider the temporal extent of the activities due to potential delays. The goal should be a balance between covering all likely scenarios and not overextending the period of actual construction to the detriment of producing a reasonable estimate of impact.

Lessees should summarize the environmental parameters used for the modeling, including:

- Geotechnical information (use best scientific judgement to decide the number of appropriate and representative locations for modeling)
- Bathymetry data source, resolution, vertical datum
- Substrate layering and methods for approximating acoustic parameters from available data
 - Porosity, grain size, qualitative description, depth
 - Data source (e.g., geotechnical surveys, Navy Surficial Sediment model)
 - Sound velocity profiles for relevant times of year
 - o Temporal and spatial representation of sound velocity profiles
 - Range dependence
 - Data source (e.g., Global Digital Elevation Model, World Ocean Atlas)

2.4 Description of the Construction Scenario

The lessee's information on construction scenarios used for modeling should include the following:

- Sound sources (e.g., type of pile driving) that will be used. For an example of the most recent state-of-the-art information on approaches to predicting pile driving noise during the planning phase of projects, see Lippert et al. (2016 and 2018) used a variety of finite element modeling methods
- For pile-driving propagation include
 - Water depths for each pile type selected at each location
 - Foundation type (e.g., monopile or jacket)
 - For jacket foundations pre- or post-piled
 - Vertical or raked (at an angle)
 - Pile geometry, diameter, length, taper, and wall thickness (if available)
 - Pile penetration (given that different energy may be used throughout duration of the pile installation, this information may need to be presented incrementally)

- Number of strikes per pile
- Hammer energy
- Penetration depth
- Strike rate
- Time and duration for each pile to be driven
- Hammer types and energy outputs in kilojoules
 - Hammer-based noise reduction included (e.g., Menck MNRU or IHC Pulse)
- Expected number of piles driven over 24 hours or total number of piles driven over 24hour periods, and the total duration of daily pile driving
 - Distance between foundations modeled within one 24-hour period
 - Total number of foundations and total number of days pile driving may occur
 - Description of any simultaneous pile driving that may occur

Modeling results that are used to derive impact assessments should include the following information:

- Modeling results should include plots showing power spectral density source levels (i.e., at 1-meter (m) from an imaginary point source) or at a certain reasonable distance where measurement can be made (e.g., 750 m; see section 2.6) for each pile diameter under a range of potential power levels (not only the maximum).
 - Modeled source power spectral density should cover frequencies from 10 hertz (Hz) to at least 20 kilohertz (kHz).
 - Horizontal distances at which the nominal sound levels are encountered is based on modeling the following:
 - The absolute maximum range at which a given sound level is encountered in the modeled sound field (R_{max})
 - The 95-percentile of the range at which a given sound level is encountered in the modeled sound field ($R_{95\%}$)
 - The predicted area that is computed using R_{95%} of the modeled range that would be exposed to sounds at a given level
 - \circ Include a description of how R_{max} and R_{95%} values have been calculated through the modeling and transformed into ranges. The R_{95%} range for each threshold should be used to establish threshold distances.
- If known, describe any attenuation methods that are proposed and why particular attenuation levels (e.g., 6 decibels (dB), 10 dB, 12 dB) were incorporated into the modeling. If frequency-dependent attenuation is derived, describe in detail how this is modeled. If frequency-dependent attenuation is not included, describe assumptions made on how noise level reduction is considered for different hearing groups. Provide relevant citations explaining the probability that various attenuation levels can be achieved at the project site. If the attenuation method is not known, discuss with BOEM and include a summary of published field measurements, the rationale for sound reductions included, and proposed sound reduction targets that will be monitored during pile installation.
- Propagation loss approximations derived from the modeled results. Approximations should be best fit estimates of the maximum over depth, single strike received SEL for the first 10 km along each modeled radial based on the transmission loss equation:

$$TL = F \cdot \log_{10}(R) + \alpha \frac{R}{1000} \qquad \text{dB}$$

where TL is transmission loss in dB, F is the spreading loss coefficient in dB/decade of range, α is absorption coefficient in dB/km, and R is distance in m. The best fit parameters, along with the azimuthal direction from which it was calculated, should be reported for the direction with the greatest propagation (lowest F parameter).

 $(1)^2$

2.5 Description of the Biological Elements

Biological modeling methods and information should include the following:

- A list of species that could potentially be present during the construction period
- Seasonality and changes in density and abundance of protected species over the construction period, including a description of any seasonal restrictions based on species' occurrences and an explanation why only certain months were modeled
- Acoustic criteria applied for species in the project area in a table format; recommended thresholds currently include:
 - Marine Mammal Auditory Injury (table 1): National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) exposure guidance (NMFS 2018) for onset of permanent threshold shift (PTS) in marine mammal hearing groups reports both peak sound pressure level (Lpk) and 24-hour SEL thresholds for impulsive sounds (impact pile driving) and 24-hour SEL for non-impulsive sound (vibratory pile driving)
 - *Marine Mammal Behavioral Step Response* (table 2): Gradual increase in the probability of a response with increasing weighted sound levels (Wood et al. 2012)
 - Marine Mammal Single Behavioral Threshold (table 2): NMFS interim criteria of 160 dB re 1 μPa SPL for behavioral response to impulsive/intermittent sounds and 120 dB re 1 μPa SPL for behavioral response to continuous sound (NMFS 2018)
 - *Fish Injury* (table 3): Threshold standards developed for injury to fish. The American National Standards Institute-accredited thresholds (Popper et al. 2014) should be the standard for reporting. NMFS lists separate "interim guidance" of peak onset of injury or mortality from impact pile driving regardless of fish size or hearing type, and an SEL onset of injury or mortality for fish 2 g or larger (Fisheries Hydroacoustic Working Group 2008) and for fish smaller than 2 g. These criteria apply to impact pile driving, BOEM has asked for COPs to include both the ANSI-accredited acoustic thresholds for fish, as well as the 2008 interim criteria.
 - *Fish Behavioral Response* (table 5): NMFS interim guidance for the onset of behavioral response: 150 dB re 1 μPa SPL (Andersson et al. 2007; Mueller-Blenke et al. 2010; Purser and Radford 2011; Wysocki et al. 2007). Currently, there are no ANSI-accredited or other recommended behavioral threshold for fish available.
 - Sea Turtle Injury and TTS (table 4): Onset of injury and temporary threshold shift (TTS) for impulsive and non-impulsive sound (Department of the Navy 2017)

² The right-hand numbers in this document denote equations. (1) is in Sec. 2.4. Equations (2) to (8) are in Sec. 3.3.

Sea Turtle Behavioral Response (table 5): Behavioral avoidance responses at 175 dB re 1 μPa SPL (Department of the Navy 2017; McCauley et al. 2000a; McCauley et al. 2000b; O'Hara and Wilcox 1990)

	Impulsiv	Non-impulsive Signals	
Faunal Group	SEL* (weighted)	Lpk* (unweighted)	SEL* (weighted)
Low-frequency cetaceans (LFC)	183	219	199
Mid-frequency cetaceans (MFC)	185	230	198
High-frequency cetaceans (HFC)	155	202	173
Phocid pinnipeds in water (PW)	185	218	201
Other marine carnivores (OW)	203	232	219
Sirenians (SI)	190	226	206

Table 1. Acoustic injury criteria and metrics for marine mammals (NMFS 2018)

*Threshold units: SEL in dB re 1 μ Pa²·s; Lpk in dB re 1 μ Pa

Table 2. SPL thresholds to evaluate potential behavioral effects to marine mammals

Marine Mammal Group		Thre	babilistic esholds ^{a,b} eighted) ^c	Non-Probabilistic Thresholds ^{b,d} (Unweighted)		
	120	140	160	180	120 (continuous) / 160 (intermittent)	
Porpoises/beaked whales	50%	90%			100%	
Migrating mysticete whales	10%	50%	90%		100%	
All other species/behaviors		10%	50%	90%	100%	

^a Probabilistic thresholds by Wood et al. (2012) (required by BOEM for NEPA; note that Wood et al. [2012] was developed to assess noise impacts from seismic airguns)

 $^{\rm b}$ Threshold units: SPL in dB re 1 μPa

^c M-weighting functions from Southall et al. (2007)

^d NMFS interim recommended non-probabilistic threshold for exposure to sounds (interim thresholds as of October 2020 [Scholik-Schlomer 2015])

Table 3. Acoustic thresholds for potential injury and TTS for fishes

		Impulsive Signals		Non-imp	ulsive Signals
Fish Group	Inji	ury	TTS (temporary, recoverable hearing effects)	Injury	TTS (temporary, recoverable hearing effects)
	SEL ^a	Lpk ^a	SEL ^a	Lpk ^a	Lpk ^a
	(unweighted)	(unweighted)	(unweighted)	(unweighted)	(unweighted)
Fish without swim bladder ^b	> 216	> 213	≫ 186		
Fish with swim bladder not involved in hearing ^b	203	> 207	>186		
Fish with swim bladder involved in hearing ^b	203	> 207	186	170 (for 48 h)	158 (for 12 h)
All Fish mass $\geq 2 \text{ g}^{c}$	187	206			
All Fish mass < 2 g ^c	183	206			

^a Threshold units: SEL in dB re 1 μPa²·s; Lpk in dB re 1 μPa

^b Popper et al. (2014)

^c NMFS recommended criteria adopted from the Fisheries Hydroacoustic Working Group (2008)

		Non-impulsive Signals				
	Inju	ıry	TTS (temporary, re effe	Injury	TTS (temporary, recoverable hearing effects)	
	SEL ^a (weighted)	Lpk ^a (unweighted)	SEL ^a (weighted)	Lpk ^a (unweighted)		SEL ^a (weighted)
Sea turtles (TU)	204	232	189	226	220	200

Table 4. Acoustic thresholds for potential injury and TTS for sea turtles

^a Threshold units: SEL in dB re 1 μ Pa²·s; Lpk in dB re 1 μ Pa

^b Department of the Navy 2017

Table 5. Acoustic thresholds to evaluate potential behavioral impacts to fishes and sea turtles

Species Group	Behavior (impulsive and non-impulsive) SPL ^a (unweighted)
Fishes (mass < 2 g)	150 ^b
Fishes (mass ≥ 2 g)	150 ^b
Sea turtles	175°

^a Threshold units: SPL in dB re 1 µPa

^b NMFS recommended criteria adopted from Andersson et al. 2007; Mueller-Blenke et al. 2010; Purser and Radford 2011; Wysocki et al. 2007

^c McCauley et al. (2000b), O'Hara and Wilcox (1990), Department of the Navy (2017)

2.5.1 Sound Exposure Modeling for Marine Species

An individual-based model (IBM; also called agent-based or animal movement models) is any animal sound exposure model that incorporates movements of animals. IBMs can simulate the actions of autonomous agents in a virtual environment. When it comes to predicting exposure of individual marine organisms to underwater sound, it is generally accepted that IBMs provide the most accurate assessment methods currently available (Stöber and Thomsen 2019). Results are most accurate when the individual elements (sometimes called "animats") are parameterized with reliable data (as measured from field observations). For example, it is essential to have realistic swimming speeds and reasonable estimates of species density for a given area. Therefore, it is recommended that only reliable behavioral data (supported by citations and justification) for species or taxa be applied to individual-based modeling. When these data are not available, alternative methods for estimating exposures should be used (e.g., using stochastic or random movement model and assuming uniform distribution).

The modeling assessment should describe the basic functionality of the IBM, how it integrates animal densities, and methods for calculating exposures using the following guidance:

- Include a table with density estimates for each species with relevant citations and justifications for given density datasets (include breakdown by month or season if relevant).
- Describe the basic functionality of the IBM, how it integrates animal densities, and methods for calculating exposures by modeling without aversion. Describe the animal movement model that was used and how the animal exposures are calculated along with specific parameters (e.g., dive behavior, swimming speed), which can be included in a separate appendix.

Aversion modeling may be considered by BOEM as an addition to assess how species-specific behaviors may impact the exposure and behavioral response of individuals. Some IBMs may include biases or aversions (e.g., through a biased correlated random walk framework). It may be desirable to simulate aversion behavior in this way, e.g., a simulated animal can avoid a sound source rather than swimming entirely at random. This component of the model should be considered an extra add-on for discussion with BOEM, without compromising all the basic modeling information described above. If aversion is included, characterize the pertinent factors and thresholds used, including references for any sources that specify the probability of aversion at given SPLs, distance from the source, duration of exposure, behavioral context for the species, change in course, and duration of the aversion event. Aversion should only be modeled for species for which aversion is demonstrated to occur and for which data is available and applicable to the location and time of year of the project and the sound source. Aversion should be based upon project parameters (e.g., location, time of year of construction) and context of exposure (e.g., migratory, nursing, or feeding animals).

2.6 Presentation of Results

2.6.1 Presentation of Radial Distances

The ranges to the underwater exposure thresholds from the proposed PDE are essential for an analysis of impacts and for BOEM to communicate the impacts to stakeholders.

The raw modeling results can be presented in tables in the appendix, but the main text should include summary tables that show the radial thresholds for each faunal group for each sound source, foundation type, hammer type, and hammer energy modeled in different seasons (if applicable), with the attenuation options (if applicable) that are important to the description of activities, analysis, or proposed mitigation. BOEM recommends the same lettering and numbering scheme be used throughout the report to keep track of the scenarios that were modeled. The numbers in the summary tables should depict the maximum values for the various sites and power settings and hammer energies that were modeled for the maximum impact scenario. However, for large PDEs, the range of impacts from both the lower and upper PDE should be represented when there are notable differences in the level of impacts or number of animals that may be impacted.

The results for ensonification thresholds for different species and different impact levels should include the following information:

- Distances of all modeled Lpk, SEL, and SPL from the pile for the respective thresholds for fish, sea turtles, and marine mammal hearing groups. For additional information on these sound metrics, see the NMFS sound exposure guidance (NMFS 2018).
- Tables including the following information:
 - Raw data and summary tables for distances to the Lpk and SEL auditory injury threshold for each faunal group (tables 6a and 6b)
 - $\circ~$ Raw data table for distances to SPL unweighted levels of 120, 140, 150, 160, 175, and 180 dB re 1 μPa (table 7a)
 - $\circ~$ Summary table for distances to the behavioral SPL threshold for each faunal group and distances to the fixed, unweighted behavioral threshold of 160 dB re 1 μPa SPL (table 7b)
- Report radial distances as R_{95%} levels to determine the threshold distances. The hammer type, foundation type, and season (if multiple seasons are included) should be reported as applicable.

Within each raw data table, results from the two modeled sites and the various power settings or hammer energies could be included as distinct columns. Depict various levels of attenuation.

For the purposes of sound field verification, data are needed to represent the variability predicted. In the COP, lessees should provide plots of sound levels versus horizontal range for all data points for each scenario (foundation type, location, penetration, season, and mitigation). For each plot, lessees should include the 25-, 50- (median), 75-, 95-percentile, and minimum and maximum sound levels within the water column. These data (sound levels vs. range) should be available for comparison with the values measured through sound field verification.

Table 6a is an example table of raw data for inclusion in the appendix for a COP. Numbers represent the distance (R95% in meters) at which the threshold for auditory injury would be reached. For $L_{E,24}$ measurements, a single number is shown for all hammer energies because it considers the total acoustic energy over 24 hours—and the fact that different parts of each pile may require different hammer energies; the strike rate and energy required for different penetration depths should be considered in these calculations. Add rows for fish and sea turtles. The same table format could be repeated for each of the different scenarios (e.g., foundation type, pile size, hammer type, attenuation level).

	Found			R _{95%} at Site 1 (m)				R _{95%} at Site 2 (m)				
Source	Faunal	Metric	Threshold*		Hamme	r Energy		Hammer Energy				
	Group			500 J	1,000 J	1,500 J	2,000 J	500 J	1,000 J	1,500 J	2,000 J	
NMFS (2018)	LFC	Lpk	219	20	25	30	35	21	26	31	36	
NMFS (2018)	LFC	SEL	183	5,000	5,000	5 <i>,</i> 000	5 <i>,</i> 000	5,100	5,100	5,100	5,100	
NMFS (2018)	MFC	Lpk	230	6	8	10	12	7	9	11	13	
NMFS (2018)	MFC	SEL	185	55	55	55	55	65	65	65	65	
NMFS (2018)	HFC	Lpk	202	120	180	190	220	130	190	200	210	
NMFS (2018)	HFC	SEL	155	150	150	150	150	110	110	110	110	
NMFS (2018)	PW	Lpk	218	25	35	45	55	30	40	50	60	
NMFS (2018)	PW	SEL	185	400	400	400	400	500	500	500	500	

Table 6a. Auditory injury radial distances for marine mammal exposure to impact pile-driving noise

*Threshold units: SEL in dB re 1 μ Pa²·s; Lpk in dB re 1 μ Pa

Table 6b is an example summary table to be presented in the executive summary or main body of the document. Numbers represent the distance (R95% in meters) at which the various thresholds would be reached. To populate the cells, take the maximum (across two sites) of the two largest radial distances from the raw data table. *The same table format may be repeated for the different scenarios (e.g., foundation type and number of piles/day)*.

Source	Faunal Group	Metric	Threshold*	0 dB	6 dB	12 dB	18 dB
NMFS (2018)	LFC	Lpk	219	36	n	n	n
NMFS (2018)	LFC	SEL	183	5,100	n	n	n
NMFS (2018)	MFC	Lpk	230	13	n	n	n
NMFS (2018)	MFC	SEL	185	65	n	n	n
NMFS (2018)	HFC	Lpk	202	220	n	n	n
NMFS (2018)	HFC	SEL	155	150	n	n	n
NMFS (2018)	PW	Lpk	218	60	n	n	n
NMFS (2018)	PW	SEL	185	500	n	n	n
Department of the Navy (2017)	sea turtle	Lpk	232	n	n	n	n
Department of the Navy (2017)	sea turtle	SEL	204	n	n	n	n
Popper et al. (2014)	fish with swim bladder involved in hearing	Lpk	207	n	n	n	n
Popper et al. (2014)	fish with swim bladder involved in hearing	SEL	207	n	n	n	n
Popper et al. (2014)	fish without swim bladder	Lpk	213	n	n	n	n
Popper et al. (2014)	fish without swim bladder	SEL	219	n	n	n	n
Popper et al. (2014)	fish with swim bladder not involved in hearing	Lpk	207	n	n	n	n
Popper et al. (2014)	fish with swim bladder not involved in hearing	SEL	210	n	n	n	n
NMFS recommended criteria adopted from the Fisheries Hydroacoustic Working Group (2008)	large fish	Lpk	206	n	n	n	n

Table 6b. Distances at which auditory injury (PTS) could potentially occur from impact pile-driving	Table 6b. Distances at which auditory injury	(PTS) could potentiall	y occur from impact pile-driving
---	--	------------------------	----------------------------------

*Threshold units: SEL in dB re 1 μ Pa²·s; Lpk in dB re 1 μ Pa

Table 7a is an example table of raw data for the appendix. Numbers represent the distance ($R_{95\%}$ in meters) at which the various unweighted SPL thresholds would be reached. The same table format could be repeated for each of the different scenarios (e.g., foundation type/size, hammer type, attenuation level, etc.).

		R _{95%} at S	ite 1 (m)			R _{95%} at \$	Site 2 (m)		
Threshold*		Hammer	Energy (J)		Hammer Energy (J)				
	500	1,000	1,500	2,000	500	1,000	1,500	2,000	
120	34,000	36,000	40,000	45,000	34,100	36,100	40,100	45,100	
140	11,000	13,000	14,000	15,000	11,100	13,100	14,100	15,100	
150	6,500	8,000	9,000	1,000	6,600	8,100	9,100	1,100	
160	3,000	4,000	5,000	6,000	3,100	4,100	5,100	6,100	
166	2,600	3,600	4,600	5,600	2,700	3,700	4,700	5,700	
175	2,000	3,000	4,000	5,000	2,100	3,100	4,100	5,100	
180	400	8,00	1,200	1,300	410	810	1,210	1,310	

Table 7a. Unweighted SPL levels for use in calculating	g the distance at which certain behavioral thresholds would be reached
Table 74: Onweighted of Elevels for use in calculating	g the distance at which certain behavioral thresholds would be reached

* Threshold units: SPL in dB re 1 µPa

Table 7b is an example summary table for the executive summary or the main body of the COP. Numbers represent the distance ($R_{95\%}$ in meters) at which the various thresholds would be reached. To populate the cells, take the maximum (across two sites) of the two largest radial distance from the raw data table on behavioral disturbance for the corresponding SPL level (from **table 6a**). The same table format could be repeated for the different scenarios (e.g., foundation type and number of piles per day).

Table 7b. Behavioral disturbance thresholds

Source	Faunal Group	Weighting	Response Level	SPL Threshold*	0 dB	6 dB	12 dB	18 dB
NMFS (2018)	all species/behaviors	Unweighted	100%	160	6,100	n	n	n
Wood et al. (2012)	beaked whales and other harbor porpoises	Weighted	50%	120	45,100	n	n	n
Wood et al. (2012)	migrating mysticete whales	Weighted	50%	140	15,100	n	n	n
Wood et al. (2012)	all other species/behaviors	Weighted	50%	160	6,100	n	n	n
McCauley et al. (2000b)	sea turtle	Unweighted	100%	166	5,100	n	n	n
McCauley et al. (2000b)	sea turtle	Unweighted	100%	175	6,600	n	n	n

DRAFT BOEM Nationwide Recommendations for Impact Pile Driving Sound Exposure Modeling and Sound Field Measurement for Offshore Wind Construction and Operations Plans

*Threshold units: SPL in dB re 1 μ Pa

2.6.2 Presentation of Exposure Estimates

Exposure modeling is important for evaluating the types of impacts (behavioral or auditory), number of animals exposed, and level of impacts that may result (e.g., duration or consequence of exposure). Exposure modeling is essential for BOEM to assess impacts under NEPA. However, additional considerations may influence the amount of take requested in an incidental take permit application submitted to NMFS pursuant to MMPA. Lessees should independently coordinate with NMFS on the information requirements under the MMPA.

Pile-driving activity is typically expressed as the number of piles driven per day. The output of the agentbased exposure modeling should produce the results of the daily exposure history for the relevant thresholds of interest for each moving individual animal over a 24-hour period for marine mammals and sea turtles.

The density or number of individuals used in the model is usually not the same as real-world density. So after the model is run, the numbers need to be scaled appropriately to reflect the actual number of predicted exposures based on the best available density information for the project area.

Lessees should include the following exposure estimate information:

- Raw data tables from an agent-based exposure model may present the number of *agents* exposed to sound levels above threshold, but summary tables (see table 8) should present number of animals (scaled to real-world densities).
- If aversion is included in the model, provide additional exposure tables in the appendix. Summary table may also include the lower numbers.
- Report the percent of population or stock exposed at the different levels of exposure, with the
 reference to the species abundance estimate.

Table 8 is an example summary table to be included in the executive summary or main text of the COP. The same table format could be repeated for the different scenarios (e.g., foundation type and number of piles per day).

	No Attenuation			6 dB Attenuation			12 dB Attenuation		
Species	Injury (Lpk)	lnjury (SEL)	Behavior Max SPL	Injury (Lpk)	lnjury (SEL)	Behavior Max SPL	Injury (Lpk)	Injury (SEL)	Behavior Max SPL
Fin whale	0.5	15	45	0.15	3.5	35	0.05	0.025	20
Humpback whale	0.1	15	30	0.05	5	20	0.01	0.5	12
Minke whale	0.1	2	15	0.05	0.1	10	0	0.03	5
Harbor porpoise	12	0.25	300	5	0.2	180	2	0	110

Table 8. Number of individuals	s estimated to be exposed	d above thresholds during pile driving
	, commerces to se empreses	

Graphical representation of the modeling results showing the various threshold distances for species groups are encouraged as an effective means to visually communicate the results to regulators and the public. Please ensure that axis labels are readable and color coding is clearly explained.

3 Offshore Wind Pile Driving Sound Field Measurement Recommendations

The purpose of this section is to provide recommendations to lessees for conducting sound field measurements of in-water impact pile driving during the installation of OCS facilities. Various stipulations may be required to meet environmental compliance for offshore wind farm construction activities. One stipulation may require the lessee to validate the predicted impact distances from underwater noise levels using empirical measurements. Noise levels measured at various distances from the pile-driving activities are used to understand the sound field characteristics (intensity, frequency, duty cycle, etc.) and propagation features of the noise, as well as to validate the modeled impact analysis for marine mammals, sea turtles, and fishes. A draft, high-level sound field measurement plan should be included in the COP submission prior to the issuance of the Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement. BOEM understands that the details will change throughout the NEPA process with the final verification plan review detailed in the Record of Decision.

Many of the recommendations described in this document are based on the International Organization for Standardization's Underwater Acoustics - Measurement of Radiated Underwater Sound from Percussive Pile Driving (ISO 2017) and NMFS's Guidance Document: Data Collection Methods to Characterize Impact and Vibratory Pile Driving Source Levels Relevant to Marine Mammals (NMFS 2012).

Activities approved in the COP process assume that, within reason, the acoustic and exposure modeling accurately describe the sound fields generated by in situ impact pile driving. To ensure that regulatory decisions and mitigation practices are reasonable, BOEM requires through conditions on approved COPs that lessees submit sound field verification measurements to compare with model predictions.

To properly validate acoustic modeling results, multiple measurements should be collected. The exact number of measurements cannot be provided, as different situations may require different measurements. For a given pile-driving activity, lessees should employ stationary acoustic recording systems at multiple ranges along multiple azimuths extending from the activity. In addition, mobile recording systems (e.g., vessel or autonomous underwater vehicle) may be employed to inform the propagation effects with higher spatial resolution. However, as the sound generated from pile driving varies over the course of a single pile installation, collection of simultaneous data from multiple ranges is necessary for validation. As part of the submissions detailing the sound field verification efforts, lessees should provide justification (e.g., regulatory or statistical) for choosing the number of foundations monitored and the ranges from the foundations at which measurements are collected. This justification may be used to demonstrate that the measurements will be sufficient to adequately capture the variability of the sound fields that will be produced over the course of project construction.

Special consideration should be given to concurrent pile driving operations, if proposed. Verification design characteristics should be specified to address contributions from multiple sources (pile installations).

3.1 Measurement Instrument

The measurement system should include one or more hydrophones, an amplifier and signal conditioning device, and a digital signal recorder. A variety of commercially available self-contained systems and individual components are available and sufficient to address these needs. To ensure that the data collected are sufficient to verify the sound fields, the instrument performance, calibration, and recording and data format should meet the criteria provided below.

Instrument Performance: The measurement systems should have a sensitivity appropriate for the expected sound levels from pile driving received at the nominal ranges throughout the installation of the pile. The frequency range of the systems should cover the range of at least 20 Hz to 20 kHz, including any high pass and anti-aliasing filters. The system should be designed to have omnidirectional sensitivity, so that sounds arriving from any direction experience gain differences of no more than 2 dB, notwithstanding the hydrophone cable direction. The system should be designed so that the predicted broadband received level of all impact pile-driving strikes exceed the system noise floor by at least 10 dB. The amplitude resolution of the analog-to-digital converter should be at least 12 bits. The dynamic range of the system (hydrophone, amplifier, digitizer) should be sufficient such that at each location, pile-driving signals are not clipped and are not masked by noise floor, which may require multiple measurement channels per location with different hydrophones, gain settings, or both.

Calibration: For the collected data to be useful, the system needs to be calibrated. Conduct end-to-end calibration with a pistonphone immediately prior to deployment and following retrieval of the system. Conduct broadband system calibration at least every 5 years and before the field measurements to ensure that, within the frequency range (minimum 20 Hz–20 kHz), the sensitivity is "flat" to less than ± 3 dB.

3.2 Field Measurement Methods Recommendations

To ensure adequate collection of acoustic data, lessees should deploy recording systems with the following considerations: platform (e.g., bottom mounted, buoy attached, vessel deployed, free drifting), hydrophone depth, distance from pile, measurement duration, and other environmental conditions. Field measurement methodology should be appropriate for sufficient data collection and in accordance with safety and environmental requirements of the specific situation. The recommendations provided below should not override health, safety, and environmental controls.

Measurement Platform: For sound field verification, stationary platforms—either bottom mounted or buoy moored systems—can be employed. Mobile platforms, either vessel, autonomous vehicle, or drifting, may be used to supplement the fixed recorders. Regardless of platform, the location of the system should be determined using GPS. For mobile systems, GPS coordinates should be recorded at least once per second or once every 5 m, whichever provides greater resolution.

Hydrophone Depth: At any location, received levels may vary throughout the depth of the water. Therefore, BOEM recommends that hydrophones are deployed at a depth that will most likely produce the greatest received levels. Position hydrophones in the lower half of the water column between a height 2 m above the sea floor and one half the full depth of the water.

Hydrophone Distance to Pile: To adequately quantify the propagation loss in a given direction, the received level should be obtained at multiple ranges from the source. Furthermore, although impact pile driving (of vertical piles) is effectively an omnidirectional source, propagation in different directions may be disparately affected by the bathymetry, sediment, current, and other environmental characteristics. The lessee should deploy acoustic recorders along multiple directions representing different propagation conditions (if such conditions exist). For vertical piles, select the directions based on the bathymetry of the region. If multiple radials are sampled, one radial should extend away from the pile towards deeper water, and another radial should be in a relatively constant depth direction. For raked piles, the measurement directions should include the direction the pile is angled from and at least one direction orthogonal to that. BOEM understands that the environment may not have consistent or orthogonal gradients; determine deployment locations based on applicable experience and knowledge. At minimum, lessees should collect two recorder locations in each direction for each monitored pile. Lessees should place recorders at approximately 750 m (close range) and 5 km (far range) from the pile along each radial sampled. The location of the close-range hydrophone should be no more than 1 km. If a third hydrophone

per radial is available, BOEM recommends that it be placed mid-range, between the close- and far-range hydrophones.

Measurement Duration: The recordings should be continuous throughout the duration of all impact hammering of each pile monitored. The number of piles to be monitored should be determined by regulators in the conditions of COP approval.

Area with High Current: When deployed in high current, care should be taken to reduce flow noise. Consider including measurements during slack currents, limiting exposed cabling, or using acoustically transparent, gas permeable flow shields.

Areas with Other Significant Sources: If the location of the recording has additional sources (e.g., when pile driving is performed on a barge that is operating dynamic positioning [DP] systems), recording the sound from these significant sources without piling noise is encouraged.

Recording and Data Format: Record data in a lossless digital format with a sampling rate of a minimum of 48 kHz and amplitude resolution of at least 12 bits. Any metadata that is used for interpreting the measurements should be recorded along with the measurement data.

3.3 Signal Processing and Data Analysis

For validating model results used in regulatory applications, the entire duration of recording for driving of a given pile should be analyzed. Quality control should include aural review of portions of the recording as well as visual inspection of temporal and spectral representations. Lessees should perform comparison of calibration signals from pre- and post-deployment activity performed to ensure that the equipment sensitivity did not change over the course of the deployment. Visual and algorithmic checking of the signal should determine whether the system was saturated and the resulting data clipped.

Acoustic Metrics: Lessees should establish a manual or automatic protocol to identify all pulses in the collected pile-driving data sets (e.g., SNR).

Appropriate acoustic metrics used in this document are defined in the "Abbreviations, Acronyms and **Definitions**" section on page ii. The following provides an instruction of how these metrics should be computed.

 $L_{p,pk}$ is calculated for each acoustic pulse from the time domain (time series) waveform. $L_{p,pk}$ is given by the equation below:

$$L_{p,pk} = 10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{p_{pk}}{p_0}\right)^2 (\text{dB re 1 } \mu\text{Pa})$$
(2)

where p_{pk} is the peak sound pressure and is defined as the maximum absolute sound pressure of a single pulse waveform (time domain), i.e.,

$$p_{pk} = max|p(t_i)| \tag{3}$$

and p_0 is the referenced sound pressure that is equal to 1 μ Pa.

 $L_{p,\text{rms}}$ using 90% of the acoustic energy is calculated for each acoustic pulse, based on Madsen (2005). $L_{p,\text{rms}}$ is given by the equation below:

$$L_{p,rms} = 10 \log_{10} \left[\frac{1}{T_{90}} \int_{T_{90}} \left(\frac{p(t)}{p_0} \right)^2 dt \right] (dB \text{ re } 1 \ \mu Pa)$$
(4)

where T_{90} is the time window that comprises 90% of acoustic energy of the pulse in seconds.

 $L_{\text{E,ss}}$ is calculated for each acoustic pulse for the entire pulse duration (i.e., the duration from the beginning of the strike to just before the beginning of the next strike). $L_{\text{E,ss}}$ is given by the equation below:

$$L_{E,ss} = 10 \log_{10} \left[\int_{T} \left(\frac{p(t)}{p_0} \right)^2 dt \right] (dB \text{ re } 1 \ \mu Pa^2 \text{ s})$$
(5)

where T denotes the pulse duration in seconds.

 $L_{\text{E,cum}}$ is the SEL accumulated for the entire duration of the installation of one pile (i.e., beginning of first strike to end of last strike, but not including the time period in which equipment is being placed in position). $L_{\text{E,cum}}$ is given by the equation below:

$$L_{E,cum} = 10 \log_{10} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{T} \left(\frac{p(t)}{p_0} \right)^2 dt \right] (dB \text{ re } 1 \ \mu Pa^2 \text{ s})$$
(6)

where n is the *n*th strike during the installation. We recommend that measurements be recorded during the entire duration of the installation of a pile to obtain the cumulative SEL from direct measurements.

However, in the event that recording equipment fails or other unforeseen circumstances arise, it is possible to *approximate* $L_{E,cum}$ using the following equation:

$$L_{E,cum} = L_{E,ss} + 10\log_{10}N$$

where N is the number of strikes to install a single pile. This approach is not encouraged because $L_{E,ss}$ from each strike is often different, so results will not be as accurate. It may only be used as a backup if all else fails.

Temporal Metrics: The pulse duration (T_{90}) is calculated as the time window comprising 90% of the pulse energy. The pulse repetition rate, which is the number of hammer strikes per second, should also be noted.

Impact Distance Delineation: Various acoustic impacts zones should be derived based on measurements collected at different distances using curve fitting. An example of the function is the following:

$$TL = F \cdot \log_{10}(R) + \alpha \frac{R}{1000} dB \tag{8}$$

where TL is propagation loss in dB, F is the transmission loss coefficient, α is absorption coefficient in dB/km, and R is distance in m.

3.4 Reporting Recommendations

Sound field measurement reports should include (1) environmental and metadata for the measurement, (2) actual pile and hammer characteristics, (3) sensor deployment configuration, and (4) measurement results. The lists below specify the data for each of these categories. The report should also note any deviations of the above parameters from the approved COP.

Environmental and Metadata Measurements

- Date and times of recordings
- Water temperature and salinity at measurement positions
- GPS locations of sources, hydrophones, and recording systems, with a diagram or map showing relative locations of piles (and other sources if applicable), noise abatement system, and sensors

(7)

- Substrate type at the pile and measurement locations
- Water depth at the pile and measurement locations
- Tidal variations during pile driving
- Operating conditions of vessels onsite

Pile and Hammer Characteristics

- Pile types and material (steel, concrete, compost, etc.)
- Pile dimensions: Pile diameter, length, and wall thickness (for hollow pipe piles)
- Hammer model and system
- Hammer energy output per blow, including soft ramp-up period
- Description of any noise attenuation system used, including any additional information which
 might have an influence on noise reduction (e.g., air flow in the case of a bubble curtain, direction
 of prevailing current, location of the noise abatement system relative to the pile and hydrophones)
- Foundation type (e.g., monopile or jacket)
- Substrate penetration depth (either as a depth history per blow or as a final depth)

Sensor Deployment Configuration

- Measurement system description (including acquisition system type, bandwidth, dynamic range, sampling rate, filtering used, etc.)
- Suspension system description and diagram, and platform description (vessel, surface buoy, bottom mounting, etc.)
- Hydrophone type, model, directionality, and nominal sensitivity
- Hydrophone depths
- Calibration details (including field calibration methods and results if available)

Measurement Results (include both close and far distances)

- Median, mean, maximum, and minimum of SEL_{ss} as broadband values (20–20,000 Hz)
- Median, mean, maximum, and minimum of SEL_{ss} for each frequency weighting of relevant marine organisms, if applicable
- Median, mean, maximum, and minimum of Lpk as broadband values (20–20,000 Hz)
- Median, mean, maximum, and minimum of SPL that comprises 90 percent of pulse energy as broadband values (20–20,000 Hz)
- Median, mean, maximum, and minimum values of pulse duration (*T*₉₀, the time window comprising 90 percent acoustic energy)
- SEL from the installation of a single pile
- Decidecade levels of the median and mean values for sound pressure peak levels, root-meansquare sound pressure levels, single strike sound exposure levels, and cumulative sound exposure levels
- Power spectrum density plots of the median values for sound pressure peak levels, root-meansquare sound pressure levels, single strike sound exposure levels, and cumulative sound exposure levels
- SEL,ss versus time at each recorder with different auditory weightings

• Weighted SEL versus range, with curve fitting in the forms of $F \cdot log_{10}(R)$ or $F \cdot log_{10}(R) + \alpha \frac{R}{1000}$, where F is the transmission loss coefficient, R is the range in m, and α is the absorption in dB/km

Although raw acoustic recording data are not typically required to be attached to the report, they should be made available upon request by BOEM or NMFS.

Sound level measurements at each direction and range from each pile can be reported using the following table template (**table 9**). When using this table, also complete the highlighted areas in the notes below the table to provide the data about the recording. This list is not exhaustive, lessees should report all metrics and variations used in the underwater acoustic modeling submitted with the COP.

Metric*	Median	Mean	Maximum	Minimum
SEL,ss				
SEL,ss,LFC				
SEL,ss,MFC				
SEL,ss,HFC				
SEL,ss,SI				
SEL,ss,OW				
SEL,ss,PW				
SEL,ss,TU				
SPL				
Lpk				
<i>T</i> ₉₀ (ms)				

Table 9. Measured sound levels

*Measured units: SEL in dB re 1 μ Pa²·s; SPL in dB re 1 μ Pa; Lpk in dB re 1 μ Pa

Information about the recording: Date/time of recording = xxx; pile ID = xxx; distance from pile where recording was made = xxx; water depth = xxx; and hydrophone depth = xxx.

4 For More Information

For COP plan-related questions, please contact BOEM project coordinator. For underwater acoustics and acoustic modeling related questions, please contact BOEM Center for Marine Acoustics (CMA) at <u>boemacoustics@boem.gov</u>.

5 References

Andersson, M.H., M. Gullstrom, M.E. Asplund, and M.C. Ohman. 2007. Swimming Behavior of Roach (Rutilus rutilus) and Three-spined Stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus) in Response to Wind Power Noise and Single-tone Frequencies. AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment 36: 636-638.

Department of the Navy. 2017. Criteria and thresholds for U.S. Navy acoustic and explosive effects analysis (phase III). U.S. Navy SSC Pacific. [accessed 2021 Sep 24]. https://www.goaeis.com/portals/goaeis/files/eis/draft_seis_2020/supporting_technical/Criteria_and_Thresholds_for_U.S._Navy_Acoustic_and_Explosive_Effects_Analysis_June2017.pdf. 194 p.

Fisheries Hydroacoustic Working Group. 2008. Agreement in principle for interim criteria for injury to fish from pile driving activities (memorandum of agreement). Vancouver (WA): Fisheries Hydroacoustic Working Group. [accessed 2021 Sep 24]. https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/environmental-analysis/documents/ser/bio-fhwg-criteria-agree-al1y.pdf. 4 p.

ISO. 2017. ISO 18406-2017: Underwater acoustics – measurement of radiated underwater sound from percussive pile driving. Geneva (Switzerland): International Organization for Standardization.

Lippert S, Nijhof M, Lippert T, von Estorff O. 2018. COMPILE II – A benchmark of pile driving noise models against offshore measurements. In: INTER-NOISE and NOISE-CON Congress and Conference Proceedings. InterNoise 18; Chicago, IL. Institute of Noise Control Engineering. pp. 3082-3091(10).

Lippert S, Nijhof M, Lippert T, Wilkes D, Gavrilov A, Heitmann K, Ruhnau M, von Estorff O, Schäfke A, Schäfer I. 2016. COMPILE—a generic benchmark case for predictions of marine pile-driving noise. IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering. 41(4):1061–1071.

Madsen PT. 2005. Marine mammals and noise: problems with root mean square sound pressure levels for transients. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 117(6):3952–3957.

McCauley RD, Fewtrell J, Duncan AJ, Jenner C, Jenner M-N, Penrose JD, Prince RIT, Adhitya A, Murdoch J, McCabe K. 2000a. Marine seismic surveys – a study of environmental implications. The Appea Journal. 40:692–708.

McCauley RD, Fewtrell J, Duncan AJ, Jenner C, Jenner M-N, Penrose JD, Prince RIT, Adhitya A, Murdoch J, McCabe K. 2000b. Marine seismic surveys: analysis and propagation of air-gun signals; and effects of air-gun exposure on humpback whales, sea turtles, fishes and squid. Western Australia: Curtin University of Technology, Centre for Marine Science and Technology. [accessed 2021 Sep 24]. https://cmst.curtin.edu.au/wp-content/uploads/sites/4/2016/05/McCauley-et-al-Seismic-effects-2000.pdf. Report No.: R99-15. 203 p.

Mueller-Blenkle, C., P.K. McGregor, A.B. Gill, M.H. Andersson, J. Metcalfe, V. Bendall, P. Sigray, D.T. Wood, and F. Thomsen. 2010. Effects of Pile-driving Noise on the Behaviour of Marine Fish. COWRIE Ref: Fish 06-08, Technical Report. March 31, 2010.

NMFS. 2018. 2018 revision to: technical guidance for assessing the effects of anthropogenic sound on marine mammal hearing (version 2.0): underwater thresholds for onset of permanent and temporary threshold shifts. Silver Spring (MD): U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service. [accessed 2021 Sep 42].

https://media.fisheries.noaa.gov/dam-

migration/tech_memo_acoustic_guidance_%2820%29_%28pdf%29_508.pdf. 178 p.

NMFS. 2012. Guidance document: data collection methods to characterize impact and vibratory pile driving source levels relevant to marine mammals. Seattle (WA): U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service. [accessed 2021 Sep 24]. https://media.fisheries.noaa.gov/dam-

migration/characterize_pile_driving_source_levels_guidance_memo.pdf. 7 p.

NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office. 2018. Section 7: consultation technical guidance in the Greater Atlantic Region. Washington (DC): National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, NOAA Fisheries. [updated 2018 Jul 9; accessed 2021 Sep 29]. www.fisheries.noaa.gov/new-england-mid-atlantic/consultations/section-7-consultation-technical-guidance-greater-atlantic.

O'Hara J, Wilcox JR. 1990. Avoidance responses of loggerhead turtles, *Caretta caretta*, to low frequency sound. Copeia. 1990(2):564–567.

Popper AN, Hawkins AD, Fay RR, Mann DA, Bartol S, Carlson TJ, Coombs S, Ellison WT, Gentry RL, Halvorsen MB, Løkkeborg S, Rogers PH, Southall BL, Zeddies DG, Tavolga WN. 2014. Sound exposure guidelines. In: ASA S3/SC1.4 TR-2014 sound exposure guidelines for fishes and sea turtles: a technical report prepared by ANSI-accredited standards committee S3/SC1 and registered with ANSI. Switzerland: Springer Nature. p. 33–51.

Purser J, Radford AN. 2011. Acoustic Noise Induces Attention Shifts and Reduces Foraging Performance in Three-Spined Sticklebacks (Gasterosteus aculeatus). PLoS ONE 6(2): e17478. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0017478

Scholik-Schlomer AR. 2015. Where the decibels hit the water: perspectives on the application of science to real-world underwater noise and marine protected species issues. Acoustics Today. 11:36–44.

Southall BL, Bowles AE, Ellison WT, Finneran JJ, Gentry RL, Greene Jr CR, Kastak D, Ketten DR, Miller JH, Nachtigall PE, Richardson WJ, Thomas JA, Tyack PL. 2007. Marine mammal noise exposure criteria: initial scientific recommendations. Aquatic Mammals. 33:411–521.

Stöber U, Thomsen F. 2019. Effect of impact pile driving noise on marine mammals: a comparison of different noise exposure criteria. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 145:3252–3259.

Wood J, Southall BL, Tollit D J. 2012. PG&E offshore 3-D seismic survey project EIR – marine mammal technical draft report. SMRU Ltd. [accessed 2021 Sep 24]. https://www.coastal.ca.gov/energy/seismic/mmtechnical-report-EIR.pdf. Report No.: SMRUL- NA0611ERM. 121 p.

Wysocki, L.E., J.W. Davidson, III, M.E. Smith, A.S. Frankel, W.T. Ellison, P.M. Mazik, A.N. Popper, and J. Bebak. 2007. Effects of aquaculture production noise on hearing, growth, and disease resistance of rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss. Aquaculture 272: 687-697.



U.S. Department of the Interior (DOI)

The DOI protects and manages the Nation's natural resources and cultural heritage; provides scientific and other information about those resources; and honors the Nation's trust responsibilities or special commitments to American Indians, Alaska Natives, and affiliated island communities.



Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM)

BOEM's mission is to manage development of U.S. Outer Continental Shelf energy and mineral resources in an environmentally and economically responsible way.

BOEM Environmental Studies Program

The mission of the Environmental Studies Program is to provide the information needed to predict, assess, and manage impacts from offshore energy and marine mineral exploration, development, and production activities on human, marine, and coastal environments. The proposal, selection, research, review, collaboration, production, and dissemination of each of BOEM's Environmental Studies follows the DOI Code of Scientific and Scholarly Conduct, in support of a culture of scientific and professional integrity, as set out in the DOI Departmental Manual (305 DM 3).