Errata for the Atlantic Shores Offshore Wind South Final Environmental Impact Statement

June 25, 2024

Bureau of Ocean Energy Management Office of Renewable Energy Programs

## **Errata Overview**

The following errata to the Atlantic Shores Offshore Wind South Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) represent corrections related to technical errors and clarifications.

## 1. FEIS, Chapter 2, Page 2.27 Footnote 7; Chapter 3, Section 3.6.6.11 Page 3.6.6-34 Footnote 2

Subsequent to publication of the FEIS, the lessee submitted proposed micrositing to relocate 20 WTGs outside of the 1,000-foot (305-meter) buffer of the ridge and swale features within both AOC1 and AOC 2. BOEM and the U.S. Coast Guard reviewed the proposed micrositing and 12 of the 20 WTGs were deemed acceptable to microsite. Footnotes in the FEIS stated that no microsited permanent structures would be placed in a way that narrows any linear rows and columns to fewer than 0.6 nautical mile (nm) by 1.0 nm. This footnote has been corrected to specify the locations for which the micrositing of WTGs would reduce the spacing between permanent structures to less than 0.6 nm or less than 1.0 nm.

Micrositing <u>would should</u> not materially change the grid layout. No microsited permanent structures <u>would shall</u> be placed in a way that narrows any linear rows and columns to fewer than 0.6 nautical mile (1.1 kilometers) by 1.0 nautical mile (1.9 kilometers), <u>with the exception of WTGs AX01, AZ08, BA09, BC07, BE10, BE12, BE14, BE15, BE16, BF14, BF15, BG13 as shown in Figure 2.1-10-C4, or in a layout that eliminates two distinct lines or orientation in a grid pattern.</u>



Figure 2.1-10 Alternative C4

FEIS Executive Summary, Page ES-10, Page ES-12, Chapter 2, Page 2-3, Page 2-5, Page 2-27, 2-46; Chapter 3, Section 3.5.6.11, Page 3.5.6-97 and Page 3.5.6-98, Section 3.6.1.19
Page 3.6.1-88; Section 3.6.2.6 Page 3.6.2-32; Section 3.6.2.12 page 3.6.2-43; Section 3.6.6.11 Page 3.6.6-34, Section 3.6.7.10 Page 3.6.7-35; Section 3.6.9.10 Page 3.6.9-57

The description of the Preferred Alternative did not specify that "up to" 29 WTGs would be microsited.

... microsite <u>up to 29</u> WTGs...

## 3. FEIS, Appendix G, Page G-1

There was a typographic error in the citation of 30 CFR 5285.634(b). The correct citation is 30 CFR 585.634(b), and the typo was corrected.

Atlantic Shores will be required to certify compliance with these terms and conditions under 30 CFR 285.633(a). Furthermore, pursuant to 30 CFR 5285.634(b), BOEM will periodically review the activities conducted under the approved COP with the frequency and extent of the review based on the significance of any changes in available information and on onshore or offshore conditions affecting, or affected by, the activities conducted under the COP.

## 4. FEIS, Appendix G, Page G-36, Table G-2

The following Essential Fish Habitat Conservation Recommendation that BOEM is partially adopting was not included in Appendix G.

#	Proposed Project Phase	Mitigation and Monitoring Measures	Description of Mitigation and Monitoring Measures Resulting from Consultations	Resource Area Mitigated	BOEM's Identification of the Anticipated Enforcing Agency
EFH Conservation Recommendations <sup>1</sup> BOEM Intends to Adopt or Partially Adopt					
EFH CR 27	<u>C</u>	HVDC Closed Loop Cooling System	Under the HVDC option, the station should use a closed loop cooling system, as described in the project documents (EFH Assessment, COP, and EIS) that does not require water withdrawals.	<u>Finfish,</u> <u>Invertebrat</u> <u>es, and</u> <u>Essential</u> <u>Fish</u> <u>Habitat</u>	<u>BSEE</u>

The corrected Table **G-2** with redlines now reads:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> NMFS EFH Consultation letter dated October 16, 2023, provided EFH Conservation Recommendations for activities under BOEM's jurisdiction and activities under USACE's jurisdiction.