



SEMI-ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT

November 1, 2024 – May 31, 2025

Lease Area OCS-A 0537

Bluepoint Wind, LLC

BOEM Company No. 15096

June 2, 2025

3 Center Plaza Suite 205, Boston, MA 02108

www.bluepointwind.com

CONTENTS

Executive Summary.....	v
1 Purpose and Background.....	1
1.1 Purpose	1
1.2 Lessee Information and Description	1
2 Tribal Engagement	4
2.1 Overall Engagement Progress Since Last Report.....	4
2.2 Identification of Potential Project Effects Related to Tribal Interests	5
2.3 Tribal Feedback on Transmission Planning	6
2.4 Anticipated or Scheduled Tribal Engagement Activities	6
3 Engagement with Potentially Affected Parties	7
3.1 Overall Engagement Progress Since Last Report.....	7
3.1.1 Agencies	7
3.1.2 Fisheries	7
3.1.3 Stakeholders	9
3.2 Identification of Potential Project Effects Related to Parties.....	14
3.2.1 Agencies	14
3.2.2 Fisheries	14
3.2.3 Stakeholders	15
3.3 Feedback from Parties on Transmission Planning	18
3.4 Anticipated or Scheduled Engagement Activities.....	18
3.4.1 Agencies	18
3.4.2 Fisheries	18
3.4.3 Direct Interaction with Fishers	19
3.4.4 Fisheries Management, Research, and Industry Group Meetings.....	19
3.4.5 Fisheries Communications	19
3.4.6 Stakeholders	19
3.4.7 Stakeholder Meetings	19
3.4.8 Informal Discussions.....	20
3.4.9 Local Events	20
3.4.10 Conference Attendance	20
4 Survey Plan Activities.....	21
Appendix A: Tribes and Other Parties Relevant to the Project.....	1
Appendix B: Lessee and Liaison Contact Information.....	4
Appendix C: Communications Plans.....	5

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Bluepoint Wind Lease Area (OCS-A 0537)	3
---	---

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1 Tribal Engagement During Reporting Period	5
Table 2-2 Potential Effects and Tribal Input on Project Design or Implementation	5
Table 2-3 Anticipated Tribal Engagement Activities for the Next Reporting Period	6
Table 3-1 Engagement with Parties During Reporting Period	9
Table 3-2 Potential Effects and Input from Parties on Project Design or Implementation....	15
Table 3-4 Anticipated Engagement with Parties for the Next Reporting Period	20
Table 4-1 Anticipated or Modified Survey Plan Activities	22

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
ACP	American Clean Power
AMMM	avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures
Bluepoint Wind	Bluepoint Wind, LLC
BOEM	Bureau of Ocean Energy Management
BSEE	Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement
CBP	U.S. Customs and Border Protection
CBRA	cable burial risk assessment
CFCRI	Commercial Fisheries Center of Rhode Island
COP	Construction and Operations Plan
DoD	Department of Defense
DOI	Department of the Interior
EDPR	EDP Renewables
EMF	electric and magnetic fields
eNGO	environmental non-governmental organizations
EPA	Environment Protection Agency
eTWG	Environmental Technical Working Group
Joint NATCP	New York Bight Joint Native American Tribal Communication Plan
FCP	Fisheries Communication Plan
FR	Fisheries Representative
ftTWG	Fisheries Technical Working Group
ft	feet
HRVEA	Historic Resources Visual Effects Assessment
IHA	Incidental Harassment Authorization
km	kilometers
Lease Area	Lease Area OCS-A 0537
MAFMC	Mid-Atlantic Fisheries Management Council
MARIPARS	Massachusetts and Rhode Island Port Access Route Study
m	meters
MLA	MA Lobstermen's Association
mTWG	Maritime Technical Working Group

NBPA	New Bedford Port Authority
NEFMC	New England Fisheries Management Council
NEFSC	Northeast Fisheries Science Center
NJBPU	New Jersey Board of Public Utilities
NJDEP	New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection
nm	nautical miles
NPS	National Park Service
NYC PPTN	New York City Public Policy Transmission Need
NYSDEC	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
NYISO	New York Independent System Operator
NYSDOS	New York State Department of State
NYSOGS	New York State Office of General Services
NYSERDA	New York State Energy Research and Development Authority
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOAA Fisheries	National Marine Fisheries Service
OSW	offshore wind
OW	Ocean Winds
the Project	Bluepoint Wind
PDE	Project Design Envelope
Permitting Council	Federal Permitting Improvement Steering Council
ROSA	Responsible Offshore Science Alliance
RWSC	Regional Wildlife Science Collaborative
SME	subject matter expert
TARA	Terrestrial Archaeological Resource Assessment
USACE	United States Army Corps. Of Engineers
USCG	United States Coast Guard
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

During the current reporting period between November 1, 2024, and May 31, 2025 (Reporting Period), Bluepoint Wind, LLC (Bluepoint Wind) has continued to make advances in outreach and thoughtful conversations with local stakeholders, mariners, Tribes, and federal and state agencies on Bluepoint Wind (the Project). The Project has continued to stay committed to open lines of communication and transparency to provide interested parties insight into project development timelines, proposed plans, and overall industry updates.

Bluepoint Wind hosted meetings with federally recognized Tribes to gain feedback on the preliminary Terrestrial Archaeological Resource Assessment (TARA) Phase 1A (desktop research and pedestrian survey) results. Two identical meetings were held to provide flexibility to Tribes and accommodate constrained schedules as much as possible. The Project has continued to follow the New York Bight Joint Native American Tribal Communication Plan (Joint NATCP) as drafted with feedback from Tribes, and BOEM's guidance regarding best practices for Tribal outreach.

Bluepoint Wind has continued to host conversations with local stakeholders including environmental non-governmental organizations (eNGOs), universities, development organizations, and non-profits to serve as a resource in the offshore wind industry. The goal of these conversations is to provide up to date information and updates regarding the Project's progress and the overall state of the industry. In addition to informal conversations and virtual meetings, the Project's team has continued to attend local conferences and events, while serving as speakers on panels, in educational settings, and at industry supply chain events.

For local commercial and recreational fisheries, our Fisheries Liaison has continued to attend relevant meetings with councils, working groups, and other relevant parties through port visits, industry events, academic seminars, and other meeting channels. Through the reporting period, the Project has provided information to local mariners and ocean users upon request to continue building long term relationships.

The Project team has also continued to participate in relevant working groups, local associations, and meetings with environmental groups to be a good faith representative of the industry. The Project aims to continually serve as a resource for these groups to support their efforts and missions.

Outreach to local municipalities that may be impacted by the Project has continued with quarterly updates to provide insight on the Project's ongoing work as well as the current state of the industry. These conversations have been productive and continue to evolve as relationships with local officials and community members grow.

1 PURPOSE AND BACKGROUND

1.1 Purpose

This Progress Report is prepared with reference to Section 3.1 of the Lease OCS-A 0537, which requires that Bluepoint Wind, LLC (Bluepoint Wind) submit a semi-annual progress report (Progress Report) to the U.S. Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) every six months from the date of the lease effective date (May 1, 2022), through the end of the Preliminary Period (submission of a Construction and Operations Plan [COP]). Although Bluepoint Wind is no longer within the preliminary period of the Lease and, therefore, Section 3.1 of the Lease no longer applies, Bluepoint Wind is voluntarily submitting this Progress Report as requested by BOEM¹. This report covers the period from November 1, 2024, to May 31, 2025 (Reporting Period). The purpose of this Progress Report is to document Bluepoint Wind's overall progress and engagement activities undertaken with Tribes, mariners, parties, and stakeholders over the prior 7-month period. A list of Tribes, mariners, parties, and stakeholders that may be potentially affected by the Project activities are provided in Appendix A: Tribes and Other Parties Relevant to the Project. Relevant contact information is provided in Appendix B: Lessee and Liaison Contact Information, and Bluepoint Wind's Communication Plans can be found in Appendix C: Communications Plans.

Each Progress Report will be updated with Bluepoint Wind's progress and engagement activities completed during the Reporting Period and plans for continued engagement.

1.2 Lessee Information and Description

Bluepoint Wind is a partnership between Ocean Winds (OW), an international offshore wind energy company created by EDP Renewables and ENGIE (50:50), and New York-based Global Infrastructure Partners.

Bluepoint Wind was awarded offshore lease area OCS-A 0537, herein referred to as the Lease Area, in February 2022 by BOEM in the New York Bight area (see Figure 1). Bluepoint Wind intends to develop a state-of-the-art offshore wind power project within the Lease Area, associated export cable route and onshore facilities, herein referred to as the Project. The Lease Area is located 38 nautical miles (nm) (70 kilometers [km]) off the coast of New York and 53 nm (107 km) off the coast of New Jersey and has an average water depth of 180 feet (ft) (55 meters [m]). Bluepoint Wind's lease area is not close in proximity to other New York Bight lease areas; it is also the New York Bight lease area that is furthest from the

¹ On March 27, 2025, Bluepoint Wind was advised in a meeting by our BOEM Coordinator that the lease wording was correct and semi-annual reporting was no longer required. Only on May 8, 2025, during the Bluepoint Wind bi-weekly meeting with BOEM, was the request made to resume submitting Progress Reports. Under the original schedule this report would have been due on May 1, 2025, but is being submitted on June 2, 2025, as preparation of the report began after the May 8, 2025 communication requesting it. Future reports will resume the six-month schedule. As such, Bluepoint Wind will file the next expected Progress Report on November 1, 2025.

shores of New Jersey and New York as seen in Figure 1. More information about the Bluepoint Wind project can be found here: <https://bluepointwind.com/>.



Figure 1 Bluepoint Wind Lease Area (OCS-A 0537)

2 TRIBAL ENGAGEMENT

Bluepoint Wind has and will continue to engage with Tribes as sovereign governments recognized by the federal government. The Tribes listed in Appendix A have been identified by BOEM in the lease stipulations as having cultural and/or historical ties to the Lease Area. Bluepoint Wind invites other interested Tribes to contact us directly should they wish to engage Bluepoint Wind on the Project.

Bluepoint Wind has heard Tribal feedback concerning capacity constraints and scheduling conflicts; as such, Bluepoint Wind consistently offers two or more meeting times to share identical information to provide Tribes scheduling flexibility and enhance attendance. This provides more opportunities for Tribes to participate and to provide feedback on the Project. Bluepoint Wind has received positive feedback on this meeting approach. Bluepoint Wind anticipates future relationship building-focused conversations with Tribes either individually or collectively with the NY Bight Lessees.

2.1 Overall Engagement Progress Since Last Report

BOEM facilitated a joint agency meeting in January 2025 to discuss Bluepoint Wind's overall permitting schedule and COP development. In addition to cooperating agencies, the Tribes listed in Appendix A were invited to attend and provide feedback. The Tribal Representatives listed in Table 2-1 attended this interagency meeting, additional information from this meeting is available in Table 3-1.

Tribes listed in Appendix A were also invited to Bluepoint Wind's terrestrial archaeological resource assessment (TARA) Phase 1A preliminary results sharing meeting held on May 8 and 14, 2025 to solicit Tribal feedback on culturally significant areas that could be within the onshore preliminary area of potential effect (PAPE). The TARA Phase 1A survey consisted of a desktop analysis and a pedestrian survey of the PAPE; no ground disturbing activities (e.g., shovel tests) were conducted. Tribal members listed in Table 2-1 were in attendance.

Table 2-1 Tribal Engagement During Reporting Period

Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Tribe(s) Represented	Follow-up Actions, Activities, Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with Other Lessees
COP progress, Project Design Envelope (PDE), and permitting schedule	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stockbridge-Munsee Community Band of Mohican Indians Wampanoag of Gay Head (Aquinnah) Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe Mashantucket Pequot Tribe Delaware Tribe 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continue engaging with Tribes on project development and shared transmission approaches 	N/A
TARA Phase 1A preliminary results sharing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Delaware Nation Delaware Tribe of Indians Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe Shinnecock Indian Nation Stockbridge Munsee Community Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head (Aquinnah) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Tribal member requested more information regarding the number of pre-contact and historic resources in each Project Area. Bluepoint Wind is currently responding to this request No feedback was provided regarding culturally sensitive areas intersection the onshore PAPE 	N/A

2.2 Identification of Potential Project Effects Related to Tribal Interests

During Tribal meetings held during this Reporting Period, Tribes expressed concerns detailed in Table 2-2.

Table 2-2 Potential Effects and Tribal Input on Project Design or Implementation

Potential Effect	Affected Tribe	Efforts to Address Potential Effect and/or How the Project Design or Implementation Has Been Informed
Concern regarding how onshore transmission planning and individual	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tribes listed in Appendix A 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bluepoint Wind is tracking who would be responsible for each permitting duty,

Potential Effect	Affected Tribe	Efforts to Address Potential Effect and/or How the Project Design or Implementation Has Been Informed
Project effects would be analyzed separately or collectively with proposed shared transmission projects		but these decisions on analyzing Project effects would ultimately be determined in coordination with the relevant agencies

2.3 Tribal Feedback on Transmission Planning

To continue an open dialogue on transmission planning, Bluepoint Wind hosted two identical TARA Phase IA preliminary results sharing meetings with Tribal representatives to share information and methodology around areas of possible impact and future plans. During the two TARA Phase IA preliminary results sharing meetings, Tribal members did not identify any new culturally sensitive areas that would intersect the Project’s onshore PAPE.

Bluepoint Wind also notes that the states of New York and New Jersey are increasingly taking ownership of offshore and onshore transmission planning, and that the specific transmission routing for the Bluepoint Wind project, and the utilization of any shared corridors, may be decided by the states and not Bluepoint Wind. Bluepoint Wind updated Tribes on these developments in recent conversations and will continue to do so as regional transmission planning activities move forward.

2.4 Anticipated or Scheduled Tribal Engagement Activities

Bluepoint Wind will continue to meaningfully engage with Tribes as described in the NY Bight Joint Native American Tribal Communication Plan (Joint NATCP).

Near-term Project engagement with Tribes includes coordination while planning for the TARA Phase IB which includes drafting and requesting feedback on a survey protocol that will include shovel testing, as listed in Table 2-3.

Table 2-3 Anticipated Tribal Engagement Activities for the Next Reporting Period

Future Anticipated Discussion/Engagement	Tribe(s)	Anticipated Timeframe	Anticipated Coordinated Engagement with Other Lessees
TARA Phase 1B Protocol Development	Tribes listed in Appendix A	Q4 2025, 2026	N/A

3 ENGAGEMENT WITH POTENTIALLY AFFECTED PARTIES

3.1 Overall Engagement Progress Since Last Report

Bluepoint Wind has participated in and coordinated various party meetings and engagement activities during the Reporting Period and a summary of engagement with agencies, fisheries, and stakeholders is presented below. Engagement with Tribes during the Reporting Period is covered above in Section 2.

3.1.1 Agencies

During the Reporting Period, Bluepoint Wind has continued to participate in agency meetings and engagement activities. These include regularly scheduled bi-weekly meetings with BOEM coordinators assigned to Bluepoint Wind, as well as agency meetings to discuss specific Project authorizations, preliminary results of assessments, and provide updates regarding Bluepoint Wind's PDE and COP. Agency engagement for this Reporting Period included the following:

- Regularly scheduled bi-weekly coordination meetings
- Impact assessment discussions
- Project design, schedule, and siting related discussions
- Early coordination meetings for federal permits
- Discussions with agency subject matter experts (SMEs) related to BOEM's review of the COP

Bluepoint Wind attended multi-agency meetings, as listed in Table 3-1, with BOEM and other coordinating State and Federal agencies to reduce consultation burden and ensure streamlined feedback from agencies.

3.1.2 Fisheries

During the current Reporting Period, Bluepoint Wind continued building upon fisheries engagements from prior periods. Given that commercial fisheries from both the Mid-Atlantic and New England fish within the Bluepoint Wind Lease Area, the Fisheries Liaison team made a consistent and significant effort to engage with fisheries from major ports across the region, including New Bedford, MA, Point Judith, RI, and Montauk, NY. This included continued outreach by our Fisheries Liaison to commercial and recreational fishers, and attendance at port hours, management Council meetings, working groups, academic seminars, fishing expos, and trade/industry events. Fisheries engagements during the Reporting Period continued to show strong outreach efforts consistent with previous Reporting Periods.

Strategies used by Bluepoint Wind to execute our fisheries engagement activities during the Reporting Period included the following:

- Bluepoint Wind’s Fisheries Liaisons conducted 13 port visits covering fishing ports from New Bedford, MA to Montauk, NY. These port visits resulted in over approximately 75 in person interactions with commercial fisheries stakeholders. These visits included Joint Offshore Wind Developer Port Hours in New Bedford, MA, and Point Judith, RI, and Montauk, NY (in coordination with MA/RI OSW leaseholders).
- Bluepoint Wind continues to work to expand its relationship with Fisheries Representatives (FR), including several FR such as the New Bedford Port Authority, Commercial Fisheries Center of Rhode Island, and MA Lobstermen’s Association (MLA). Bluepoint Wind will continue working to develop relationships with other Fisheries Representatives in the Mid-Atlantic region through the next reporting period.
- Other fisheries stakeholders were contacted by email, phone calls and text messages to create awareness of the Bluepoint Wind Project.
- Attended three (3) regional Fisheries Management Council meetings. Attendance included both in person and remote participation as well as in person attendance at Council meetings and convenings of Advisory Panels, Committees, and Plan Development Teams. Through Council meeting attendance, Bluepoint Wind Fisheries Liaisons had the opportunity to connect with various fisheries stakeholders such as the executive director and staff of the New England Fishery Management Council, Council members, staff from the NOAA Fisheries, the Fisheries Survival Fund, the Sustainable Scallop Fund, Atlantic Capes Fisheries, scallop researchers from Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, among various other individuals representing fisheries in the region. Bluepoint Wind maintained communications with the Fisheries Management Councils to ensure that all relevant fisheries Project information was readily available to council staff and updated on their offshore wind webpages.
- Bluepoint Wind engaged in multiple one-on-one discussions with fishery stakeholders on how they utilize the project area while fishing (e.g., tow directionality, areas of avoidance, seasonality, duration of fishing trips) to better inform project design, survey timing, and other aspects of project development.
- Bluepoint Wind attended offshore wind and fisheries-related academic seminars, a MOCEAN workshop (National Science Foundation), a dedicated offshore wind (OSW) informational and engagement session at the January 2025 New England Fisheries Management Council (NEFMC) meeting, and the 2025 Northeast Cooperative Research Summit hosted by Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC).
- Bluepoint Wind participated in a Surfclam Stock Enhancement Workshop (with a focus on resource enhancement as a form of OSW fisheries mitigation) hosted by the Special Initiative on Offshore Wind, Responsible Offshore Science Alliance (ROSA), Rutgers, and several representatives of the surfclam/ocean quahog fishery in November 2024 in Philadelphia.

Bluepoint Wind’s fisheries deconfliction activities were limited during this time period because no surveys or other on-water activities were underway. Typical deconfliction efforts include the following:

- Pre-survey fisheries training for all survey vessels prior to deployment and at crew changes;
- Pre-survey port visits to provide in person updates to members of the commercial fishing industry;
- Maintenance of real time group communication between survey vessels, Bluepoint Wind’s survey managers, and the Fisheries Liaison;
- Involvement in shared communication channels with Fisheries Liaisons affiliated with projects in the New York Bight to distribute information on fixed gear locations provided by fishers;
- Regular communication with fishers both in advance of and during surveys who may be impacted by survey activities and using their information to deconflict survey operations; and
- Providing notice to fishing industry members via email when survey activity had concluded.

3.1.3 Stakeholders

Bluepoint Wind stakeholder engagement has continued since the last progress report through continued stakeholder meetings, attendance at local events, and local speaking engagements. Bluepoint Wind aims to serve as a resource for local organizations and individuals for any questions, and to provide updates on our progress throughout the lifespan of the Project. Stakeholder meetings have had an increased focus on project design, project timelines, and industry updates. Bluepoint Wind continues to attend a number of community events (See Table 3-1). These stakeholder engagement activities included the following:

- Information sharing, Q&A, open discussion, project updates meetings
- Attended and sponsored local community events
- Spoke and exhibited at local events

Table 3-1 Engagement with Parties During Reporting Period

Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Party(ies)	Follow up Actions / Activities / Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with other NY Bight and Other Lessees
Agencies			
Bi-weekly Project updates	BOEM	Various	N/A
Underwater Acoustic Modeling	BOEM, NOAA Fisheries	Establish recurring meetings with NOAA Fisheries team later in process	N/A
Marine Site Investigation Report walkthrough	BOEM	N/A	N/A
COP progress, PDE, and permitting schedule	BOEM, NOAA, NOAA Fisheries, EPA, USACE, USCG, USFWS,	Continue coordination as COP development progresses. Keep	N/A

Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Party(ies)	Follow up Actions / Activities / Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with other NY Bight and Other Lessees
	DoD, DOI, BSEE, NPS, Permitting Council, ACHP, NJDEP, NJBPU, NYSDOS	agencies informed of timeline	
Discussion of comments related to agency review of the COP	BOEM	Update COP documents based on BOEM SME feedback	N/A
Navigation Safety Risk Assessment (NSRA) comments from agency review	USCG, BOEM	Update NSRA based on USCG and BOEM feedback	N/A
COP feedback and PDE	USCG	Update NSRA based on USCG feedback	N/A
Quarterly meeting focused on COP PDE, Project updates, and schedule	NYSDOS	Provided updated PDE overview and permitting timeline, and areas of interest to NYSDOS	N/A
Federal Agency Support and Coordination	Northeast OSW Developers Quarterly Meeting with USCG and CBP	Discussion of challenges projects are facing in construction and operations, concerns from USCG, CBP and other port partners with regard to resource demands from OSW	NY Bight and Northeast OSW developers join these quarterly meetings
Fisheries			
Meeting attendance	New Bedford Port Authority (NBPA)	Regular check-in meetings, engagement during regular port hours in MA. Communications on survey activities, updates on permitting progress, etc.	Check-in meetings are direct between Bluepoint Wind and NBPA. Joint developer port hours are hosted at the New Bedford Port Authority during winter months. Developers maintain a close relationship with executive staff.
Meeting attendance	Commercial Fisheries Center of Rhode Island (CFCRI)	Regular check-in meetings with executive directors of the CFCRI of Rhode Island, engagement during regular port hours in RI. Communications on survey activities, permitting progress, etc.	Check-in meetings are direct between Bluepoint Wind and CFCRI. CFCRI regularly attends joint developer port hours in Point Judith, RI.

Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Party(ies)	Follow up Actions / Activities / Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with other NY Bight and Other Lessees
Meeting attendance	MLA	Regular check-in Meetings, communications on survey activities, updates on permitting progress, etc.	Check-in meetings are direct between Bluepoint Wind and MLA
Meeting attendance	NEFMC	Scallop fishery update, offshore wind discussions	Multiple OSW developers are involved and active and the fisheries liaisons interact
Meeting attendance (regular standing call)	American Clean Power (ACP) Fisheries Subcommittee	Knowledge sharing and outreach coordination for fisheries topics	Multiple OSW developers are involved including the NY Bight Fisheries Working Group
Meeting attendance	ACP New York Bight Fisheries Working Group	Knowledge sharing and coordination for NY Bight specific efforts	Regularly scheduled interactions with other Fisheries Liaisons affiliated with NY Bight leases
Meeting attendance	ACP Recreational Fisheries Working Group	Knowledge sharing and coordination on recreational fishing efforts	Regularly scheduled interactions with other Fisheries Liaisons affiliated with NY Bight leases and other regions
Meeting attendance	Mid-Atlantic Fisheries Management Council	Collected information and attended meeting sessions on surf clam/ocean quahog fishery updates	Fisheries Liaisons from multiple OSW developers maintain communication with Council staff and attend meetings jointly
Meeting attendance	NYSERDA FTWG	Engagement during regularly scheduled meetings of working group	Involves state agencies, OSW developers, commercial fishers, and fishing industry groups
Meeting attendance (multiple meetings)	ROSA	Discussion of ROSA priorities including research and development of Regional Monitoring Plans, research repository development	Involved regulators, fishing industry, OSW developers, and scientists
Meeting attendance	International Council for the Exploration of the Sea Working Group on Offshore Wind Development and Fisheries	Working group discussion to develop guidance issues related to the overlap of fisheries and OSW development	International working group that included multiple US OSW developers (Ocean Winds [Southcoast, Bluepoint], Vineyard Wind, Avangrid, Orsted), regulators (BOEM/NOAA Fisheries), and international scientists and policy experts.
Meeting attendance	Surfclam Stock Enhancement Workshop	Engagement with scientists, OSW developers, and surfclam/ocean quahog fishery members to develop	Multiple NYB OSW developers involved along with regulators, scientists, fishery reps.

Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Party(ies)	Follow up Actions / Activities / Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with other NY Bight and Other Lessees
		concept of stock enhancement as form of mitigation	
Meeting attendance	MA Fisheries Working Group on Offshore Wind	Engagement with fishing industry members, regulators, and other OSW developers on OSW related issues.	Participation by MA/RI OSW developers in addition to some NYB lease holders
Meeting attendance	Northeast Cooperative Research Summit	Engagement with scientists, OSW developers, and fishers involved in cooperative research efforts	Participation by most leaseholders on east coast
Meeting Attendance	New Jersey Regional Monitoring Initiative	Engagement with scientists, state officials, OSW developers and suppliers on regional monitoring needs and how these could be incorporated into OSW projects.	Participation by NY Bight OSW developers.
Stakeholders			
Meeting attendance (regular standing call)	ACP Environmental Subcommittee	Knowledge sharing and coordination on industry-wide permitting topics	Multiple OSW developers are involved including NY Bight developers
NY State/Regional Science Collaboration	NYSERDA eTWG	Stakeholder discussions on measures to avoid, minimize, and mitigate anticipated impacts on wildlife during OSW activities	Participation involves collaboration with research scientists, eNGOs, wildlife agencies, and other OSW developers for best science practices, data sharing & management, study design, new technology, and the identification of research priorities
NY/NJ ports and maritime related topics	Port Authority of NY and NJ Harbor Operations Committee	Discussions focused on navigation issues in the port of NY/NJ	Meetings are facilitated by the Port Authority of NY/NJ and attendance includes local, state, and federal government and a host of maritime stakeholders from the region including NY Bight OSW developers
NY State/Regional Maritime Collaboration	NYERDA mTWG	Stakeholder discussions on advancing current and future offshore wind projects while minimizing impacts to other maritime users	All NY Bight OSW developers have a seat on this working group along with NY State/federal agency representatives and other maritime users

Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Party(ies)	Follow up Actions / Activities / Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with other NY Bight and Other Lessees
Regional Science Collaboration	Regional Wildlife Science Collaborative (RWSC)	Knowledge sharing and participation in subcommittees: Avian & Bat, Marine Mammal, Habitats & Ecosystems, Protected Fish, and Sea Turtles	Collaborative working group with regional interest stakeholders
Local Non-Profit Meetings	Local Workforce Development, Wraparound Services, and various specialized organizations	Follow up meetings on programming support and updates. Continued meetings around programming, industry outlook, and project expectations/timelines	Various support mechanisms for local events in the short term, and long-term partnership opportunities
Local Governmental meetings	Local municipalities, county offices, state representatives	Provide project and industry updates and gain feedback on areas of focus for community outreach	N/A
Higher Education Meetings	State Colleges, Universities, and University Affiliated Research Labs	Serve as an ongoing resource to provide updates and insight into the industry and relevant projects. Share information on ongoing training programs, workforce development opportunities and upcoming misc. programs	Sponsor upcoming events and serve as guest speaker to introduce the Project and industry
Local Event Attendance	Various local events, networking sessions, and knowledge transfer events	Continue to listen to local feedback, present project updates, and gain insight into areas where project outreach could be helpful in the community	Conduct follow-up meetings with local groups and universities to give project updates and answer industry-related questions

Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Party(ies)	Follow up Actions / Activities / Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with other NY Bight and Other Lessees
Local Conference Attendance	Conferences/symposiums run by local universities, organizations, and interest groups	Continue to be a resource in gathering spaces whether with a formal tabling presence, or informal attendance; share ideas on programs, initiatives, etc. that could progress community engagement	Sponsorship and speaking engagements with local groups

3.2 Identification of Potential Project Effects Related to Parties

Potential Project effects identified through engagement and how the Project plans to address these potential effects are listed in Table 3-2. Potential effects to Tribes from the Project are addressed in Section 2.2 and Table 2-2.

3.2.1 Agencies

Bluepoint Wind engaged with Federal, State, and local agencies in New York State and New Jersey during the Reporting Period to discuss COP assessment approaches, PDE, and COP schedule. These agencies have provided valuable feedback on cable siting, permitting process and timing, and other useful information.

3.2.2 Fisheries

Bluepoint Wind's Fisheries Liaisons engaged with commercial and recreational fisheries often during the Reporting Period through monthly port hours, port visits, attendance at fisheries management council meetings, government and industry-related working groups, recreational fishing expos, and industry events (e.g., NEFSC Cooperative Research Summit, MOCEAN), and direct outreach (e.g., one-on-one meetings, email, phone calls). Outreach focused on providing information on Bluepoint Wind's survey activities, gathering feedback from the industry on navigation safety, and socializing project design with fishers. Through these engagement activities, Bluepoint Wind identified fisheries concerns and implemented procedures, communications, and vessel trainings to address potential effects during surveys as well as help inform potential Project avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures (AMMMs). As the industry has matured and projects continue to evolve, local fisheries, among other parties, have expressed concerns over possible effects of potential electric and magnetic fields (EMFs) associated with export cables and onshore cabling. The Project has utilized fact sheets and resources generated by BOEM, NOAA, and other industry groups to share related information to these stakeholders. Additionally, Fisheries Liaisons from multiple developers have encouraged industry groups, such as ACP, to develop

informational materials related to fisheries concerns for distribution during outreach to fishers.

3.2.3 Stakeholders

Bluepoint Wind has continued to engage with numerous local groups focused on environmental advocacy, workforce development, supply chain, and overall community interests, as well as state universities and colleges, research centers, and business associations. During these engagements, Bluepoint Wind discussed opportunities to support local programming and events to raise awareness about the Project, the offshore wind industry, and opportunities for local businesses to participate in the offshore wind supply chain. Follow-up meetings have been focused on more in-depth project plans, timelines, and expectations in regards to the industry as a whole.

Table 3-2 Potential Effects and Input from Parties on Project Design or Implementation

Potential Effect	Affected Party(ies)	Efforts to Address Potential Effect and/or How the Project Design or Implementation Has Been Informed
Agencies		
Project updates are not shared with agencies in an early fashion	Federal and State Agencies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attended inter-agency meetings in Q1 2025 to present PDE and COP overview, and discussed the permitting schedule Regular meetings with BOEM to advise on permitting processes and to discuss PDE, COP, and schedule Discussed assessment approaches and survey plans to identify potential effects in advance of activities initiating
Cable burial depth in state waters is not deep enough	NYSDOS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bluepoint Wind has developed a target burial depth. A cable burial risk assessment (CBRA) will inform final cable burial depth
PDE refinement relative to permitting milestones	NOAA, USACE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bluepoint Wind will provide agencies updates as the PDE evolves
WTG spacing is not aligned with the Massachusetts and Rhode Island Port Access Route Study (MARIPARS) recommendations	BOEM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bluepoint Wind has discussed layout with USCG and no initial concerns have been raised. Additionally, Bluepoint Wind met with BOEM's Navigation team and USCG to discuss turbine spacing and WDA layout design process Bluepoint Wind's spacing is aligned with previously approved spacing in the NY Bight Bluepoint Wind's Lease Area is not sited directly adjacent to any other Lease Area as are the Lease Areas referred to in the MARIPARS

Potential Effect	Affected Party(ies)	Efforts to Address Potential Effect and/or How the Project Design or Implementation Has Been Informed
Uncertainty around pre-build infrastructure permitting responsibilities and timing	USACE, USFWS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bluepoint Wind is continuing to monitor the outcome of proposed regional transmission solicitations
Concern about damage to WTG blades during operations	BOEM, BSEE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bluepoint Wind is incorporating information regarding non-routine events into the COP
Uncertainty in port utilization	BOEM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bluepoint Wind is considering various ports for construction and O&M and will use suitable vessels depending on port(s) selected
Foundation installation in potential glauconitic soils	BOEM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Foundation installation and risks associated with the presence of glauconitic soils is being constantly evaluated through ongoing foundation engineering
Fisheries		
Fishing gear loss	Commercial fishers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pre-survey fisheries training for vessel crew Fisheries liaison port visits and stakeholder engagement Pre-survey reconnaissance Gear Loss Compensation procedure Discussion and review of fisher's questions about possible gear loss related to surveys Presentation created for fishers about technical aspects of OSW survey Participation in shared communication channels between Fisheries Liaisons of NY Bight projects to distribute fixed gear locations with permission from fishers
Temporary displacement during surveys	Commercial and recreation fishers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear communication in advance and following the completion of survey activity Proactive dissemination of survey information, time of operation, equipment types Utilize fishing area closures to complete activities when fishers are absent, to extent feasible
Presence of Structures	Commercial and recreation fishers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developed fisheries specific project design presentation to present on during meetings with fishing organizations. Discussed predominant tow direction with Fisheries Representative and a retired multi-fishery captain who informed staff grid direction aligns with predominant tow direction for mobile gear.

Potential Effect	Affected Party(ies)	Efforts to Address Potential Effect and/or How the Project Design or Implementation Has Been Informed
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collected feedback on scour protection and preferred materials from members of the recreational fishing community.
EMFs	Commercial and recreational fishers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide information available from scientific and reputable bodies Collect feedback and concerns surrounding export cable routing and mitigation measures
Presence of Cables	Commercial and recreational fishers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collected information regarding cable burial preferences (6 foot minimum) Received feedback on potential methods to minimize amount of seabed cabled (ex: possibility for shared inter-array networks)
Cooling Stations	Commercial and recreational fishers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collecting feedback on potential impacts and mitigation measures Continue collecting feedback and determining best methods to convey materials and address concerns.
Stakeholders		
Economic development and job opportunities	Local Workforce Development Organizations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Follow up meetings to discuss workforce development programs and training as the project progresses and gathers more community feedback Continued discussions around wraparound services and employment support mechanisms at a local level as the industry matures Continued dialogue around existing workforce development groups and plans that can support the upskilling of community members once local projects progress to a level of hiring
Knowledge sharing and transparency	Local community organizations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Open lines of communication for project and industry updates Frequent meetings for questions and concerns around project design, in both closed door and public forums Frequent tabling opportunities to share project plans and industry updates with local community members

3.3 Feedback from Parties on Transmission Planning

Bluepoint Wind engaged with Federal, State and local agencies during the Reporting Period to discuss PDE and Project development, as noted above, and has incorporated this feedback to the extent practicable. There was focus on Bluepoint Wind's inclusion of shared transmission in permitting documents. Bluepoint Wind's PDE includes shared transmission proposals currently known and will continue to closely monitor the outcome of future regional transmission planning solicitations. Bluepoint Wind has continued to engage with New Jersey Board of Public Utilities (NJBP), New York Independent System Operator (NYISO), and NYSEDA on regional transmission planning as well as met with bidders participating in the New York City Public Policy Transmission Need (NYC PPTN) solicitation process. Bluepoint Wind has also discussed siting with Tribes (see Section 2.3) and the Maritime Industry. Additionally, Bluepoint Wind continue to discuss cable routes and PDE developments with members of the fishing industry. In addition, Bluepoint Wind met with, and solicited feedback from, environmental justice and advocacy groups in New York and New Jersey to gain feedback on our PDE and potential impacts to local communities and ecosystems. Bluepoint Wind will continue to work with these Parties and others, as the Project PDE evolves. Bluepoint Wind will be able to provide more information and seek input about its export cable routing from other stakeholders as it moves beyond the early pre-development stage.

3.4 Anticipated or Scheduled Engagement Activities

Table 3-4 describes anticipated engagement activities Bluepoint Wind plans to initiate/attend during the next reporting period (November 1, 2024 – April 30, 2025). Anticipated or scheduled engagement with Tribes during the next reporting period are covered in Section 2.4.

3.4.1 Agencies

Bluepoint Wind will continue to meet both individually and jointly with agencies to provide updates on Project development, discuss Project design and assessment approaches, and understand potential concerns and critical points of engagement as Bluepoint Wind advances its COP development. See Table 3-4 for a list of anticipated engagement activities with Agencies during the next reporting period.

3.4.2 Fisheries

Bluepoint Wind will continue to maintain the current level of fisheries engagement and communication, as well as seek out additional opportunities to share information regarding activities and further develop trusting relations with fishers. Bluepoint Wind will also continue to collaborate with other developers within the NY Bight and nearby wind energy areas to coordinate activities and provide fisheries-related updates in a manner beneficial to fishers.

3.4.3 Direct Interaction with Fishers

Bluepoint Wind's Fisheries Liaison will continue conducting individual port visits and joint developer Port Hours and dialogue with fishers at New York and New Jersey ports as well as other relevant ports in the region (New Bedford, MA and Port Judith, RI).

3.4.4 Fisheries Management, Research, and Industry Group Meetings

Bluepoint Wind's Fisheries Liaison and other representatives will continue to attend the MAFMC and NEFMC meetings, fisheries and environmental monitoring related workshops hosted by academic institutions (ex: Rutgers University, Kean University, etc.), fisheries research-related seminars sponsored by regulatory agencies (BOEM, NOAA Fisheries, New Jersey Economic Development Authority, NYSERDA), and fisheries working group meetings such as the NYSERDA F-TWG, ROSA, ACP Fisheries Subcommittee, MA Fisheries Working Group and ACP New York Bight Fisheries Working Group. Attendance and participation in these activities will keep Bluepoint Wind up to date on important regulatory changes, new research and emerging technologies, and fisheries stakeholder comments and concerns.

3.4.5 Fisheries Communications

Bluepoint Wind's Fisheries Liaison and other staff will continue communications with fishers through website updates, e-mail, phone, and text messages regarding important Project updates. Bluepoint Wind will engage in additional efforts as new activities are planned or as new needs or concerns associated with fisheries are identified.

3.4.6 Stakeholders

Bluepoint Wind continues to emphasize the importance of engaging with its stakeholders and values their input in the development of our offshore wind project. We continue to connect with stakeholders to provide updates on the Project timeline, PDE, and industry progress. We strive to maintain open lines of dialogue to promote collaboration and transparency in gathering stakeholder input on Project design. As the project progresses, we plan to continue holding consistent meetings and attend local public meetings to understand evolving concerns, address new questions, and keep the communities informed of project updates.

3.4.7 Stakeholder Meetings

Bluepoint Wind continues to hold meetings with the goal of offering Project information and updates to local community members and groups. We encourage all participants to ask questions about our Project and the industry as well as raise concerns they may have about Project designs and the impact of the offshore wind industry on workforce development. As the industry continues to evolve at all levels, we plan on continuing to serve as a resource on-demand for any questions or concerns that may arise, as faithful community partners.

3.4.8 Informal Discussions

While at local gatherings and events, Bluepoint Wind continues to hold informal discussions with community members and local groups to address questions and concerns about the Project and the industry as a whole. We plan to continue these efforts through both event attendance and maintaining a local presence in the community.

3.4.9 Local Events

Bluepoint Wind continues to have a presence at local events, through either attendance or hosting a table and share information and updates about the Project, while building relationships with local groups. These events serve as spaces for community members to directly engage with our team and often serve as introductions for discussions that continue over time.

3.4.10 Conference Attendance

Local conferences have served, and continue to serve, as a key part of our engagement with the local community, as we are able to share information and answer questions in a space with a specific target audience. Supporting local conferences put on by community groups, universities, and municipalities through tabling or attendance will continue to be a strategy in engagement and information sharing across the board.

Table 3-3 Anticipated Engagement with Parties for the Next Reporting Period

Anticipated Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Party(ies)	Anticipated Coordinated Engagement
Agencies		
Bi-weekly meeting with BOEM to discuss project updates	BOEM	• N/A
Underwater noise modelling	NOAA Fisheries, BOEM	• N/A
Permit application coordination	EPA	• N/A
Project updates and permit application coordination	USACE	• N/A
Project updates and PDE discussions	USCG	• N/A
Project updates	NYSDOS	• N/A
Project updates	NJDEP	• N/A

Anticipated Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Party(ies)	Anticipated Coordinated Engagement
Fisheries		
Survey coordination for projected for 2025 survey scope	Commercial and recreational fishers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Utilize ACP's NY Bight Fisheries Working group to continue developing coordinated engagement strategies Joint developer port hours Joint developer information sessions at fisheries council meetings
Multiple fisheries concerns with offshore wind (e.g., monitoring needs, federal survey mitigation, regional compensation fund approach)	Commercial and recreational fishers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attendance at regional science groups and academic institutions focusing on fisheries issues (NYSERDA F-TWG, ROSA)
PDE Input	Commercial and recreational fishers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continue scheduling meetings regarding project design and collecting feedback from fishing industry members.
Stakeholders		
Project Introductions	Local Non-Profits, State Colleges, Universities, governmental bodies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meetings to introduce the Project, renewable energy, and the current status of offshore wind projects
Project Updates	Local Non-Profits, State Colleges, Universities, governmental bodies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussions to serve as a continued resource on Bluepoint Wind and the industry as a whole
Local Event Sponsorship	Local community groups, business partnership groups	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continued event attendance and sponsorship to raise awareness of our project, local programs and initiatives
Local Conference Attendance and Presentations	Universities, local government, research centers and community groups	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Serve as a resource for attendees and provide information via formal and informal conversations around project initiatives and industry milestones

4 SURVEY PLAN ACTIVITIES

Bluepoint Wind did not have any active geophysical or geotechnical survey campaigns during the Reporting Period. Bluepoint Wind requested an amendment to the Geophysical Survey Plan and Geotechnical Survey Plan (Survey Plans) extending the survey timeframe through December 31, 2027. BOEM confirmed the Survey Plans were satisfactory on

December 11, 2024. Though no survey efforts are planned within the next Reporting Period, Bluepoint Wind anticipates conducting survey activities within the approved survey timeframe, as needed.

Table 4-1 includes survey activities that are anticipated or modified survey plan activities. Survey activities will be conducted in accordance with the approved plans/permit received.

Table 4-1 Anticipated or Modified Survey Plan Activities

Type of Survey	Anticipated Date	Purpose (i.e., what it will inform)	Location
Survey Plan Amendments	BOEM found Survey Plans satisfactory on December 11, 2024	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extended survey timeframes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lease Area and within potential export cable corridors
Geotechnical Investigations	Anticipated through 2027	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Siting and engineering design 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lease Area and within potential export cable corridors
Geophysical Surveys	Anticipated through 2027	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Siting and engineering design 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lease Area and within potential export cable corridors

APPENDIX A: TRIBES AND OTHER PARTIES RELEVANT TO THE PROJECT

In accordance with the lease stipulation 3.1.1, Bluepoint Wind has identified Tribes/Tribal Nations and other parties in which are relevant to the Project, see Table A-1 and Table A-2. Tribes/Tribal Nations and other parties include, but are not limited to:

- Coastal Communities
- Commercial and Recreational Fishing Industries
- Educational and Research Institutions
- Environmental and Public Interest Non-Governmental Organizations
- Federal Agencies
- State Agencies
- Local Agencies
- Federally recognized Tribes (see 5.3.3)
- Mariners and the Maritime Industry
- Ocean Users
- Submarine Cable Operators
- Underserved Communities, as defined in Section 2 of Executive Order 13985

A-1. Federally Recognized Tribes Relevant to the Project

Name of Tribe/Tribal Nations
• Absentee-Shawnee Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma
• Delaware Tribe of Indians
• Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma
• Mashantucket Pequot Tribal Nation
• Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe
• Mohegan Tribe of Connecticut
• Shawnee Tribe
• Stockbridge-Munsee Community Band of Mohican Indians
• The Delaware Nation
• The Narragansett Indian Tribe
• The Shinnecock Indian Nation
• Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head (Aquinnah)

Table A-2 Parties Relevant to the Project

Name of Party	Party Type
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Advisory Council on Historic Preservation • Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement • National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service • National Park Service • United States Army Corps of Engineers • United States Coast Guard • United States Department of Defense • United States Department of Transportation • United States Environmental Protection Agency • United States Fish and Wildlife Services 	Federal Agencies
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New Jersey Board of Public Utilities • New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection • New York State Department of Environmental Conservation • New York State Department of State • New York State Department of Transportation • New York State Energy Research and Development Authority • New York State Office of General Services • New York State Office of Parks, Recreation, and Historic Preservation • New York State Public Service Commission 	State Agencies
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • County governments • Municipal governments 	Local Agencies and Coastal Communities
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Universities • Non-Profit Education Organizations • State Universities and Colleges • University Research Labs 	Educational and Research Institutions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chambers of Commerce • Non-Profit Workforce Development Organizations 	Economic Development Organizations
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Non-Profit Organizations • Wraparound Service Groups 	Underserved Communities
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-Profit Environmental Organizations • Regional Wildlife Science Collaborative • Responsible Offshore Science Alliance • New York State Energy Research and Development Authority Environmental Technical Working Group (e-TWG) 	Environmental Organizations and Working Groups

Name of Party	Party Type
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fishing vessel operators, owners, and crews • Commercial fishing organizations, associations, and NGOs • Port Managers and Port Authorities • Fishermen's Cooperatives • Seafood Processors • Regional Fisheries Management Councils • NOAA Port Agents • NOAA Law Enforcement Liaisons • Regional Fisheries offices e.g., Northeast Fisheries Science Center, NOAA 	Commercial Fishing Industry
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vessel owners and captains • For hire charter and "party fishing boats" • Marinas and similar facilities • Recreational fishing associations • Fishing Expo and trade show organizers 	Recreational Fishing Industry
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Port Managers and Port Authorities • Marine Pilots • Maritime Associations • Tug and barge operators • Cargo and Tanker operators 	Maritime Industry/Mariners
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submarine cable operators through Lease Area • Submarine cable operators crossing potential ECR in New York Bight area 	Submarine Cable Operators
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research Institutions • Aquariums • Marine tour agencies (bird and whale watching tours) • Recreational boaters • Other Offshore Wind developers 	Ocean Users

APPENDIX B: LESSEE AND LIAISON CONTACT INFORMATION

Name	Role	Contact Information
Lia Howard Bluepoint Wind, LLC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permitting Senior Manager • Agency Liaison • Tribal Liaison 	Lia.Howard@oceanwinds.com (650) 313-0367
Sam Asci Bluepoint Wind, LLC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fisheries Liaison 	Samuel.Asci@oceanwinds.com (857) 260-4116
Katherine Mears Bluepoint Wind, LLC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permitting Manager • Agency Liaison 	Katherine.Mears@oceanwinds.com (857) 278-5890

APPENDIX C: COMMUNICATIONS PLANS

Please find the following Communications Plans status/location listed below.

1. Native American Tribes Communication Plan is located [here](#).
2. Fisheries Communication Plan is located [here](#).
3. Agency Communication Plan is located [here](#).