#### PAGES: 1-49

# BUREAU OF OCEAN ENERGY MANAGEMENT OFFSHORE WIND ENERGY PUBLIC MEETING

FACILITATOR:

Meg Perry, SWCA

PRESENTERS:

Jess Stromberg, BOEM Trevis Olivier, BOEM

Tammy Turley, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Tuesday, October 4, 2022 Aquinnah Old Town Hall 955 State Road Aquinnah, MA 02535

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 MS. PERRY: We're going to get started 3 here with the presentation in just a moment. 4 You could start to make your way to a spot where 5 you can see the screen. 6 (Audience Chatter) 7 Good evening. I would say welcome, 8 but I'm really the guest here tonight. We are 9 10 the guests. So I'll say thank you so much for 11 having us here and thank you all for coming out 12 in the wet evening to be with us. 13 My name is Meg Perry. I'm with 14 SWCA Environmental Consultants. And I'll be 15 facilitating our meeting this evening. 16 I'll turn it over in just a moment to 17 the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management. But, first of all, just a few orienting comments. 18 19 As many of you may be familiar, the 20 restrooms are just out here to the right, under 21 that exit light. And we do have Comment Forms on these back tables. And we will have a chance 22 23 after this presentation for anyone who'd like to share a verbal comment for the record. 24

1 So with that, we will go ahead and kick off this Revolution Wind Offshore Wind 2 Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement 3 Public Hearing. 4 5 And so, we're going to start with -whoops, let's see if our slides are working. 6 7 There we go. We're going to start with a brief 8 presentation, both from the Bureau of Ocean 9 10 Energy Management and from the U.S. Army Corps 11 of Engineers. 12 And then, we will turn it to the listening session. So we have some folks who 13 14 signed up in advance to share a comment. So we will hear from those people first. And then, 15 16 anyone else who would like to share a comment is 17 welcome to do so, as well. So, with that, I'll turn it to 18 19 Jess Stromberg from Bureau of Ocean Energy 20 Management to welcome you all. 21 CHIEF STROMBERG: Yeah, so any 22 Media Representatives who have not indicated 23 that they are can contact Lissa Eng. And 24 Lissa, can you raise your hand? Great. Thanks.

1This is a holdover slide. All right.2Thank you, everyone. Good evening. Thank you,3Meg.

My name is Jess Stromberg and I am the Acting Branch Chief of the Environment Branch for Renewable Energy in the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management's Office of Renewable Energy Programs. I am continuing to go through these new slides. Here we go, sorry. All right.

And I want to welcome you all this evening to BOEM's second Public Hearing. And this is to discuss the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Revolution Wind Project, which is offshore Rhode Island and Massachusetts.

In addition to this in-person Public Meeting, we will be hosting two other in-person Public Meetings October 5th and 6th in Rhode Island and Massachusetts. And we also have one more virtual Public Hearing which is on October 11th.

For those of you who aren't familiar with BOEM, we are a Federal Bureau within the Department of the Interior that oversees the

development of our energy and mineral resources 1 on the nation's Outer Continental Shelf, or OCS. 2 And the OCS extends in most areas 3 offshore from about 3 miles to the full extent 4 of the exclusive economic zone, which is about 5 200 miles offshore. 6 BOEM is responsible for the 7 expeditious and orderly development of the 8 energy resources on the OCS, including 9 renewables like offshore wind. 10 11 And it's our job to ensure that this 12 development is done in an environmentally and 13 economically responsible way. And that process 14 starts with public input, data gathering, 15 analysis, and leasing. 16 After many years, we now have 28 active Commercial Leases for offshore wind in 17 the Atlantic. And as we've mentioned, we are 18 here today to discuss the Draft Environmental 19 20 Impact Statement for the Revolution Wind 21 Project, which is on one of those 28 Leases. So your participation and your 22 23 feedback are essential today to BOEM's renewable

24 energy program, and play a vital role in our

ADVANCED COURT REPORTING, LLC 781-383-1188 5

environmental analysis of the proposed project, 1 as well as compliance with the National 2 Environmental Policy Act or NEPA. 3 BOEM would also like to note that, on 4 behalf of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, that 5 these meetings will serve as the public meetings 6 for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 10 7 and Section 404, Permit Review. 8 And Tammy Turley, who is the Chief of 9 the Regulatory Division in the New England 10 District for the Army Corps of Engineers will be 11 12 presenting a few slides about their permitting 13 process after Trevis. 14 So, I want to, again, thank you for joining today. And as Meg mentioned, we have 15 16 some folks who did preregister to give public 17 comments. And we will go into details about how they can do so after the presentations. 18 19 We also have, as you can see, posters 20 around the room. And we have 21 BOEM Subject-Matter Experts that are 22 participating and can also help provide 23 information, if you have any specific questions. 24 So we all look forward to hearing your

#### ADVANCED COURT REPORTING, LLC 781-383-1188

6

public comments. And now, I'm going to turn it over to Trevis, who will walk through a brief presentation about the NEPA process and the project.

5 MR. OLIVIER: All right, hello.
6 Thank you, Jess. All right, Lissa. All right.
7 So, just hello.

8 My name is Trevis Olivier. I'm one of 9 the BOEM Environmental Coordinators for the 10 Revolution Wind Project. And today, I'll be 11 presenting an overview of the Draft 12 Environmental Impact Statement, or DEIS, as you 13 may hear me call it.

14 So, first, just wanted to point 15 everyone to some additional resources that we do 16 have available on BOEM's virtual meeting room 17 page for the project.

18 The web address is provided here on 19 the slide. And if you haven't already, we 20 encourage you to go check out the project 21 webpage, as well, for some additional content 22 that's available there.

23 So this EIS is being developed under 24 the National Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA,

which requires Federal Agencies to assess the
 environmental effects of major Federal actions
 that could significantly affect the quality of
 the human environment.

5 The EIS must also provide an analysis 6 of reasonable Alternatives and the direct, 7 indirect, and cumulative effects of the project. 8 The NEPA process also includes public scoping 9 and a minimum 45-day public comment period on 10 the Draft EIS, which is what we're currently in 11 for this project.

12 The NEPA process is intended, and the 13 goal here is to collect relevant information for 14 the decisionmaker to make an informed decision 15 on whether to approve, approve with conditions, 16 or disapprove the Revolution Wind proposed 17 Construction and Operations Plan.

So, the Notice of Intent, or NOI, to
prepare this EIS was published back on
April 30th of 2021, which began a 30-day comment
period. And that comment period was open
through June 11th of 2021.

And during that time, BOEM also held
three virtual scoping meetings, on May 13th,

1 18th, and 20th. And there is a Scoping Summary 2 Report produced at the scoping, which summarizes 3 the comments and significant issues that were 4 raised during scoping. And that is also 5 available on BOEM's Revolution Wind Project 6 webpage.

7 The Draft EIS Notice of Availability, 8 or NOA, was published in the Federal Register on 9 September 2nd. Two virtual Public Hearings are 10 scheduled.

The first was held last week on
September 29th. And the second virtual hearing
will be on Tuesday, October 11th.

In addition to today's in-person Public Hearing, BOEM is also holding in-person meetings tomorrow and Thursday at the locations and the times that you see here. And the 45-day public comment period on the Draft EIS is open through October 17th.

20 So, a brief overview of the Revolution 21 Wind Project, as proposed in the COP, it is 22 being proposed on BOEM Lease 0486, which was 23 issued back on October 1st of 2013.

24 The proposed Wind Farm is located

roughly 12 to 14 miles south/southwest of
 varying points along the Rhode Island and
 Massachusetts coastlines; about 15 miles east of
 Block Island; and about 12.1 miles southwest of
 Martha's Vineyard.

6 And using the Project Design Envelope 7 approach, or PDE approach you may sometimes 8 hear, the Construction and Operations Plan 9 proposes a range of parameters which BOEM is 10 analyzing under the proposed action.

11 So, offshore, the project is proposing 12 up to 100 wind turbine generators, ranging in 8 to 12 MW capacity each, and spaced at least 13 14 1 nautical mile apart; two offshore substations 15 and up to 155 miles of inter-array cables 16 connecting the turbines to the offshore 17 substations; and two export cables roughly 42 miles long: one from each of the offshore 18 19 substation, traveling up through Narragansett 20 Bay and connecting to the onshore components at 21 Quonset Point, Rhode Island.

The turbines would have a maximum blade tip height of up to 873 feet, a maximum hub height of up to 512 feet, and a maximum

1 rotor diameter of up to 722 feet. And the 2 offshore substations could be up to 262 ft tall. There are also several types of scour 3 protection being considered in the COP, which 4 include rock placement, mattress protection, 5 sandbags, and stone bags. 6 And the Project Design Envelope also 7 conservatively assumes that up to 10 percent of 8 cables in Federal waters and up to 15 percent of 9

10 the cables in State waters could require 11 secondary cable protection.

For the onshore components, the export cables would make landfall within the Quonset Business Park in North Kingstown, Rhode Island, and would be installed using horizontal directional drilling below the shoreline to minimize surface disturbance.

18The two underground transmission19cables would travel approximately 1 mile from20the landfall location up to a new onshore21substation and interconnection facility that22would be constructed next to the existing23Davisville Substation.

So, BOEM has been in ongoing

24

1 consultation with federally-recognized Tribal Nations throughout development of the 2 Draft EIS. This slide presents a summary of 3 some, but not all, of the 4 Government-to-Government consultation and 5 coordination efforts that have occurred since 6 publication of the Notice of Intent to prepare 7 this Draft EIS. 8

And BOEM is using NEPA substitution to 9 10 fulfill its Section 106 obligations under the 11 National Historic Preservation Act. There are 12 currently 48 Consulting Parties on the Rev Wind 13 Project under Section 106. And this includes 14 Tribal Nations, Federal Agencies, State and 15 Local Governments, as well as non-governmental 16 organizations.

And BOEM has conducted three Consulting Party Meetings under 106 to-date for the project, and anticipates having additional consultation meetings as needed throughout 2022 and early 2023.

22 So, to highlight some notable sections 23 of the Draft EIS, Chapters 1, 2 and 3 of the 24 main body contain the Purpose and Need,

Alternatives, including those eliminated from 1 detailed analysis and why, and an assessment of 2 the environmental consequences for each 3 Alternative. 4 The PDE parameters and the 5 maximum-case scenario are described in detail in 6 Appendix D. The cumulative impact scenario, 7 also referred to as the Planned Activities 8 Scenario in the Draft EIS, is provided in 9 10 Appendix E. 11 And Appendix E also includes the 12 assessment of resources that were determined to 13 have minor or lower impacts. Appendix F 14 contains the Lessee's mitigation measures as 15 proposed in the COP, as well as other potential 16 mitigation and reporting requirements that were identified by BOEM for consideration in the 17 Draft EIS. 18 Appendix J contains the Section 106 19 20 Finding of Adverse Effect and the Draft Section 21 106 Memorandum of Agreement. And Appendix K provides additional background on the 22 23 Alternatives development and BOEM's Alternative

24

# ADVANCED COURT REPORTING, LLC 781-383-1188

screening criteria that were used in developing

the Draft EIS.

1

2 So, the Draft EIS analyzes the proposed action, which is the project as 3 proposed in the COP, which we summarized a 4 minute ago, and then four other action 5 Alternatives. 6 It also evaluates a no action 7 Alternative, which would be disapproval of the 8 proposed Construction and Operations Plan. 9 And 10 in the next flew slides, I'll highlight a little more on each of the four other action 11 12 Alternatives with a little more detail. So, Alternative C would exclude the 13 14 following positions that you see shaded here in the red from development to reduce impacts 15 16 to complex benthic habitats vulnerable to 17 permanent and long-term impacts from the proposed project. 18 BOEM worked with the National Marine 19 20 Fisheries Service to identify various habitat 21 priority areas. And those were used to 22 delineate the two options that were ultimately 23 evaluated under Alternative C. 24 Alternative C1, Option C1 here,

minimizes bottom disturbance in large areas of
 contiguous complex benthic habitat as identified
 in those priority areas.

And C2, while it looks similar to C1, it does shift the exclusion of three of those turbine positions from the southeastern corner further north in the exclusion area there.

8 And the goal there is to reduce 9 development in or adjacent to known Atlantic cod 10 spawning areas. The slight shift in those 11 positions, however, would result in slightly 12 less contiguous complex benthic habitat being 13 avoided under C2, when compared to 14 Alternative C1.

So, Alternative D aims to reduce space-use conflicts with commercial and fishing vessels within the outer perimeters of the project.

And as seen here, there are seven possible combinations that could be selected under this Alternative. The selection of all three sub-options under Alternative D would eliminate a total of 22 turbine locations from consideration.

And elimination of D1 and D2 would eliminate 15 positions, and most of which were also included within those habitat priority areas that were used in developing Alternative C that we discussed in the previous slide.

6 Alternative E would eliminate certain 7 turbine positions to reduce visual impacts to 8 culturally important resources, both on 9 Martha's Vineyard, but as well as on the 10 Rhode Island and Massachusetts coastlines.

11 And when viewed from these areas, 12 Option El aims to reduce the visual clutter and 13 overall curtain effect from the overlapping of 14 turbines' towers and blades.

And Option E2 shifts elimination of 15 16 positions to the north/northwest side of the 17 project. And that is aimed at reducing the number of turbines that would occupy the sunset 18 viewshed from the Aquinnah Cliffs Overlook and 19 20 from other viewsheds on or near 21 Martha's Vineyard, while still meeting the purpose and need. 22

And under Alternative F, BOEM could
 select the implementation of a higher capacity

- 1 turbine than what is proposed in the
- 2 Construction and Operations Plan.

And using a higher capacity turbine could potentially reduce the number of foundations that would be needed to meet the purpose and need and thereby could potentially further reduce impacts, if combined with any of the other action Alternatives.

9 So, Alternative F provides a primarily 10 a qualitative range of potential impact 11 reductions in combination with the other action 12 Alternatives. And it does not propose any 13 specific layout.

14 So, an analysis of each Alternative by 15 the following resource categories is found in 16 Chapter 3 of the Draft EIS. And consistent with Section 1502.15 of the Council on Environmental 17 Quality NEPA-implementing Regulations, 18 19 Impact-Producing Factors that were either not applicable to the resource area, or were 20 21 determined to have minor to negligible effects, were excluded from the body of the EIS and were 22 23 retained in Appendix E.

24 And as you can see from the example

provided here on this slide, the EIS uses a
 four-level classification scheme and the
 following duration and incremental impact terms
 to characterize impacts. And these impact
 classifications are defined for both adverse and
 beneficial impacts when applicable.

So, the following resource categories
were concluded to experience minor, or less,
impacts from the proposed action and were
retained in Appendix E.

And again, this is intended to focus the analysis in Chapter 3 on the resource areas that are most likely to experience effects from the proposed action.

So, Environmental Justice, Navigation
and Vessel Traffic, Marine Mammals, Benthic
Habitat and Invertebrates, Finfish, and
Essential Fish Habitat could all experience up
to moderate impacts from the proposed action.

20 And when evaluated in the context of 21 cumulative activities, these impact levels were 22 the same both with or without the proposed 23 action taking place.

24 And each resource analysis in

1 Chapter 3 also includes a discussion of

2 potential mitigation measures that could be 3 applied to reduce these effects.

4 So, Commercial Fisheries and For-Hire 5 Recreational Fishing, Cultural Resources, 6 Scientific Research and Surveys, and Visual 7 Resources were concluded to experience 8 potentially up to major impacts from the 9 proposed action, when combined with cumulative 10 activities.

Visual resources, however, would reduce to moderate impacts if the no action were to be selected. And again, the Draft EIS includes a discussion of potential mitigation measures that could be applied to each of these resource areas to reduce the potential effects.

The proposed action can also result in potential beneficial effects. Air Quality and Environmental Justice could potentially experience beneficial impacts through reduced net greenhouse gas emissions in the area of analysis over the long term.

Benthic Habitats, Birds, Finfish,
Recreational Fishing, Marine Mammals, and Sea

Turtles could experience potentially beneficial
 impacts through artificial reef effects from the
 project.

Economics, employment, and coastal infrastructure could also benefit through job creation and supporting designated uses at ports.

8 And in addition, the estimated 9 capacity range for this project is anywhere from 10 704 to 880 megawatts of renewable energy, which 11 could power more than 300,000 homes per year.

Each Alternative has been analyzed both alone, and when combined with other reasonably foreseeable planned actions, which would be the cumulative activities.

And Chapter 2 provides a summary comparison of the Alternatives in table format. And on the slide is a sample from Visual Resources.

20 And a more detailed narrative 21 comparison of the Alternatives is provided at 22 the conclusion of each resource section in 23 Chapter 3.

24

So, overall, Alternative C would

reduce the overall extent of impacts to complex
 benthic habitats when compared to the Proposed
 Action, in particular around Cox Ledge and in
 areas of known or of higher likelihood to have
 Atlantic cod spawning.

6 Alternative D reduces navigation risks 7 and space-use conflicts with fishing and 8 commercial vessels by removing the outermost 9 turbines adjacent to the Buzzard's Bay Traffic 10 Separation Scheme Inbound Lane and the areas of 11 Cox Ledge.

12 Alternative E reduces impacts to 13 culturally important resources on and near 14 Martha's Vineyard and likely to National 15 Historic Landmarks in Rhode Island and 16 Massachusetts, as well.

And Alternative F can possibly reduce 17 18 impacts if combined with any of the other action 19 Alternatives. However, the EIS analysis did not 20 reach any conclusions that, when combining F 21 with one of the action Alternatives, that it would reduce impacts enough to drop an impact 22 level to when it was with that Alternative, 23 24 alone.

As far as next steps, comments received today and during the comment period will be assessed and considered by BOEM and the Cooperating Agencies during preparation of the Final EIS.

6 Necessary changes to the document will 7 be made in response to comments and new 8 information. And the responses will be provided 9 in an Appendix to the Final EIS. And the Final 10 EIS will also identify a preferred Alternative.

11 So, the 45-day comment period for the 12 Draft EIS again ends October 17th. And the next 13 steps in the EIS schedule would be to publish 14 that Final EIS, which is targeted in June of 15 2023. And then, following that would be the 16 issuance of a Record of Decision, which is 17 targeted for July of 2023.

So, you can provide comments on the Draft EIS by using regulations.gov, providing verbal or written comments during any of the Public Hearings, or by mailing written comments to the Office of Renewable Energy Programs at the address provided on the slide. The comments must be postmarked no later than October 17th,

2022.

1

2 And If you prefer to submit your comments electronically, please visit 3 regulations.gov at HTTP://www.regulations.gov 4 and search for Docket Number BOEM-2022-0045. 5 And then click on the Comment button. 6 And I think we also may have a laptop 7 available here today, if folks want to do that 8 while at this meeting. If you want to submit 9 electronically on regs.gov, you can do it here, 10 11 as well. And we can help you with that. 12 As a reminder, BOEM does not consider 13 anonymous comments. So please include your name 14 and address as part of your submittal. And all 15 comments will be made part of the public record. 16 And finally, to be most helpful, the 17 comments should be as specific as possible. For example, a useful substantive comment would 18 19 discuss the accuracy of the information 20 presented in the Draft EIS; would suggest 21 alternate methodologies and the reasons why they should be used; provide new information relevant 22 23 to the analysis; identify a different source of credible research, which, if it were used, could 24

1

2

result in different effects; or would provide clarification that are needed in the document.

3 So this concludes the BOEM portion of 4 today's presentation. I thank you all for your 5 time.

6 One other thing I would like to point 7 out -- I'll see if I can pull it up -- we wanted 8 to make folks aware of. Let me see if I can get 9 it over here. That didn't work. Bear with me. 10 Get over there.

I wanted to make sure everyone was also aware that if you want to receive information from BOEM on renewable energy or any other things that BOEM's managing or doing, whether it's studies or any other programming areas, that you can sign up to receive information from BOEM.

18 If you see on our website here this 19 little envelope, you can click on this. And you 20 can sign up to be on BOEM's mailing list. And 21 that'll give you information on not only this 22 project but other projects, as well.

Now, I got to see if I can get it off
the screen. There. All right. So, again,

1 thank you, all, for your time.

I'm going to hand it over to Tammy now. And she's going to give a presentation of the Corps' permitting processes related to this project.

6 CHIEF TURLEY: Thank you, Trevis.
7 Good evening. Again, my name is Tammy Turley.
8 I'm the Regulatory Division Chief for the New
9 England District U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

10 Thank you, again, for coming tonight. 11 Your input is critical to a thorough 12 environmental review. And we really appreciate 13 the hospitality.

As a reminder, the mission of the Corps of Engineers' Regulatory Program is to protect the nation's aquatic resources and navigable capacity while allowing economic development through fair and balanced decisions.

The National Environmental Policy Act that you've heard about this evening is required for proposals that have a Federal component. So, for this project, BOEM is the lead Federal Agency for the NEPA review.

24

And the Corps is a Cooperating Agency

in this environmental review, and intends to use
 the BOEM EIS and adopt that as the
 Corps of Engineers' Environmental Impact
 Statement.

On the next slide, I'll introduce the 5 Corps of Engineers regulatory authorities that 6 are applicable to this project. The 7 Corps of Engineers' Regulatory Program is 8 primarily administered under two authorities: 9 10 Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act; and 11 Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. This 12 diagram shows the limits of Corps of Engineers' 13 authority in tidal waters and fresh waters.

14 Under Section 10 of the Rivers and 15 Harbors Act, the Corps regulates structures and 16 work in navigable waters. For this project, the 17 shoreward limit of navigable waters is the mean 18 high waterline of Narragansett Bay. And the 19 seaward limit is 3 nautical miles measured from 20 the baseline of the territorial seas.

The proposed work regulated within navigable waters are outlined in red on this figure and includes the two export cables, the dredging and fill for the installation of the

cables, the horizontal directional drilling work
 and exit pits, and any hard armoring for cable
 protection.

4 Section 10 also regulates structures 5 on the Outer Continental Shelf. For this 6 project, regulated structures associated with 7 the proposed project are outlined in red on the 8 figure.

9 And these structures include the 10 turbines, offshore substations, all associated 11 cables, and any required hard armoring for cable 12 protection.

Under Section 404 of the Clean Water
Act, the Corps of Engineers regulates the
discharge of dredged or fill material into
Waters of the United States.

For this project, the shoreward limit of Waters of the U.S. is the high tide line of Narragansett Bay. And the seaward limit of WOTUS extends 3 nautical miles as measured from the baseline of the territorial seas.

The Applicant has not proposed impacts to non-tidal waters. And those would include wetlands, mudflats, eelgrass, or any of the

1 other special aquatic sites.

Proposed activities subject to 2 Section 404 of the Clean Water Act are outlined 3 in red on the figure. And these proposed 404 4 discharges include the backfilling of the trench 5 during cable laying and the redeposition of 6 dredged material within the two horizontal 7 directional drill exit pits. Discharges also 8 include the placement of any hard armoring as 9 10 needed for cable protection. 11 The USACE published our Public Notice 12 on September 2nd with a 45-day comment period. And this Public Notice initiates the public 13 14 interest review for the Corps of Engineers. 15 You can access the Public Notice by 16 going to the website located here, and then 17 looking under Regulatory/Permitting Public Notices. 18 I will now speak more specifically to 19 20 the impacts proposed under each authority. So 21 the proposed temporary impacts are primarily related to installation activities. 22 23 And then, the permanent activities are 24 related to the structures on the Outer

Continental Shelves, and then any hard armoring
 placed over the cables for protection.

The figure on the left depicts the wind turbines while the figure on the right depicts the two offshore substations. And any of this information you'd like to have in reference, please let us know. And we'd be happy to provide that to you.

9 I mentioned the Public Notice 10 initiates the Corps public interest review. And 11 that includes the Evaluation of the probable 12 impacts of the proposed activity on the public 13 interest.

14 The benefits that may reasonably 15 accrue from the proposal must be balanced 16 against any reasonably foreseeable detriments. 17 And then, any decision will reflect the national 18 concern for both the protection and utilization 19 of important resources.

20 And the Corps of Engineers can only 21 issue a Permit if the proposal is found to be 22 not contrary to the public interest. And the 23 public interest review factors are listed on the 24 screen.

I mentioned our Section 404 of the
 Clean Water Act authority. We have a set of
 Guidelines we refer to as the
 404(b)(1) Guidelines. And those apply to work
 within the Section 404 jurisdiction.

6 So, specific to this project, that 7 would include the export cable route within the 8 404 jurisdiction in Narragansett Bay, as shown 9 on the picture.

10 To reach compliance with these 11 Guidelines, the Corps is unable to authorize a 12 proposed discharge if there's a practicable 13 alternative to the proposed discharge that would 14 have less adverse effect on the aquatic 15 ecosystem, so long as such alternative does not 16 have other significant adverse environmental 17 consequences.

And a practicable alternative is available and capable of being done in light of cost, technology, and logistics. And the Corps of Engineers must identify the Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative, and may only permit that Alternative.

And lastly, when making a Permit 1 decision, the Corps must ensure compliance with 2 many other Federal Regulations and Statutes, 3 very similar to BOEM. 4 And those include Section 106 of the 5 National Historic Preservation Act, Section 7 of 6 the Endangered Species Act, and the 7 Magnuson-Stevens Fisheries Management Act. 8 The Corps must also ensure that a 9 10 appropriate Tribal consultation has occurred and 11 that we have met our important Tribal trust 12 responsibilities. 13 In addition, on the State level, a 14 401 Water Quality Certification and a Coastal 15 Zone Management Consistency Determination are 16 required prior to the issuance of a Corps 17 Permit. 18 And that includes the Corps overview. 19 I appreciate your time. And again, please reach 20 out to us if you'd like any additional 21 information. Thank you. 22 MS. PERRY: All right. Thanks to our 23 Presenters. We're going to open it now to 24 public comments.

And I know we just threw a lot of 1 2 information at you right here at the end of the day. So, just to recap, we're at that stage in 3 the process where the Draft Environmental Impact 4 Statement is out there. It's available online 5 for folks to review. 6 7 And the two Agencies are here tonight to listen and hear if there is feedback on that 8 draft document that they should take into 9 10 consideration. So I'm going to start. Let's see. 11 12 We've got two folks with microphones: Christy and --13 14 SUE: Sue. 15 MS. PERRY: -- Sue, my Colleagues, who 16 are going to be walking around to give our 17 Commentors a chance to speak. And as I mentioned, we have a list of folks who signed up 18 in advance. 19 20 So we will walk through those first. 21 And then, assuming we have time and we're --22 we've got plenty of time here in the space 23 tonight -- we will go through as many other 24 people as we can.

1 So just a few reminders before we move 2 into that, as Trevis mentioned, this is not your 3 only way to submit comments. We do have a 4 Court Reporter with us tonight -- thank you very 5 much -- taking down everything that's shared. 6 So it will be part of the official record.

But if you'd rather not share your 7 comment verbally, or if you'd like to share a 8 written comment in addition to your comments 9 tonight, you can do that in any of these ways: 10 11 in writing; in a Comment Sheet that you can put 12 in one of the boxes at the back; on the website, 13 as Trevis showed you; or by mailing in a comment 14 to the address on the screen. So those are all 15 options between now and October 17th.

All right. And we will -- we don't have a huge crowd tonight. But we want to make sure we get time for everybody who wants to comment to share.

20 So we will just have a five-minute 21 timer up on-screen for you, and ask that if your 22 comments are longer than five minutes, that you 23 get back in line at the end to share anything 24 additional.

So with that, I'm just going to read off the first four names that I have on the list. And we will go through one-by-one, but just so you know that your name's coming up next. So the first four I have registered

are -- and apologies if I mispronounce any names
here -- Laura Hastings, Jed Thorp, Fred Mattera,
and Antonio Alvernaz.

10 So we're going to go to Laura Hastings 11 first, if you're here with us tonight. Maybe 12 not.

All right. How about Jed Thorp?
Okay. Fred Mattera? And Antonio Alvernaz?
Okay. Well, hopefully they're cozy at home
submitting their comments in writing.

Okay. Our next four are Joseph
Lopes, Amanda Barker, Priscilla De La Cruz, and
Berta Welch.

20 So, do we have Joseph Lopes with us 21 here? How about Amanda Barker? And 22 Priscilla De La Cruz? Berta Welch? Yea. 23 MS. WELCH: I will say that the 24 registration was a little confusing.

1 MS. PERRY: And if you can speak into the mic there? 2 MS. WELCH: Okay. 3 MS. PERRY: Thank you. 4 5 MS. WELCH: I will say that the registration was a little confusing. I thought 6 7 I was just registering to be here. So -- but I guess my comments or 8 questions would be, you know, how does this 9 10 project really benefit the residents of 11 Martha's Vineyard? 12 And I also want to say welcome to our indigenous homeland of Aquinnah, where we've 13 14 been here for quite some time. So, I guess 15 that's it. That's my question. 16 MS. PERRY: Thank you. 17 MS. WELCH: Um-hmm. 18 MS. PERRY: All right. And just to be clear, I don't -- we're not doing responses to 19 20 questions right now. 21 MS. WELCH: Okay. 22 MS. PERRY: So that question is on the 23 record. And if there's time after and you want 24 to speak to any of the BOEM Staff about it,

1 happy to do that.

MS. WELCH: So, can I ask, will there 2 be a response to the questions, either in 3 writing or at another time? 4 MS. PERRY: So the comments -- and I'm 5 looking at Christy to confirm this. I believe 6 the comments will be summarized. 7 And then, a response will be provided 8 to each of the types of comments. So your 9 10 individual comment will be grouped with others 11 along similar topics. And each topic will have 12 a response in the Final EIS. Did I get that 13 right? 14 CHRISTY: That's correct. 15 MS. PERRY: Okay, great. Okay. Let's 16 see. Beverly Wright, are you with us? 17 MS. WRIGHT: Yes. 18 MS. PERRY: Great, right here. 19 MS. WRIGHT: Can you hear me? 20 MS. PERRY: Yeah. 21 MS. WRIGHT: Oh, thank you for being 22 here. And I don't mean this as a reproach. But 23 when you come into Tribal lands, you all must do 24 a Land Acknowledgement. And this was not done

by BOEM.

1

| 2  | My question is: what happens with a             |
|----|---|
| 3  | disaster? And what happens? How many years      |
| 4  | before you decommission these towers?           |
| 5  | And my third question, when I was               |
| 6  | looking back there, it says potential that we   |
| 7  | will not see any towers from our cliffs. I      |
| 8  | didn't like the word "potential".               |
| 9  | MS. PERRY: Thank you. All right.                |
| 10 | Roxane Ackerman, are you here? Right there; see |
| 11 | if I can get my timer to work.                  |
| 12 | MS. ACKERMAN: Thank you for being               |
| 13 | here. I have been on School Committee and I'm   |
| 14 | an Official Man.                                |
| 15 | I'm looking for resources for island            |
| 16 | children. And if we have something that we can  |
| 17 | aim at them to participate in looking for       |
| 18 | employment, looking for opportunities,          |
| 19 | curriculum frameworks. Okay. That's good.       |
| 20 | Thank you.                                      |
| 21 | MS. PERRY: Thank you. Okay. Great;              |
| 22 | and our BOEM just so everyone's aware of        |
| 23 | what's going on out front our BOEM and          |
| 24 | Corps of Engineers folks are here as the        |
|    |   |

37

1 listeners. And they're all taking notes, as you 2 all are talking. And it's officially on the record. So, thank you. Julianne Vanderhoop, 3 did you want to share comments? 4 5 MS. VANDERHOOP: Not today. MS. PERRY: Okay, thank you. All 6 7 right. That's all our preregistered Commentors. But we will open it up for anyone else who wants 8 to share a comment. 9 10 So if you could just put your hand up, and then we will have Christy, I think, this 11 12 person here, and then back to Beverly. And 13 please make sure to give us your name, so we 14 have that on record. MR. MADISON: Okay. Jeffrey Madison, 15 16 I'm the Town Administrator for the Town of 17 Aquinnah. Not looking for a lot of answers, but 18 19 just to comment on the meeting this evening. 20 The Town of Aquinnah was never provided notice 21 of this, despite the -- of the meeting --22 despite the fact that, you know, we have been 23 carrying -- a number of town residents have been carrying on discussions with Revolution Wind for 24

the past -- I don't know -- five, six months,
 and noticed that the Town of Aquinnah was not
 listed as a Consulting Party.

As the Owners of the Gay Head Lighthouse, the Edwin Vanderhoop Homestead, the land on which the shops are located at the Aquinnah Cliffs, we insist on being included as a Consulting Party. And we've been treated as such by Revolution -- Representatives of Revolution Wind, if not BOEM.

So, I've entered these comments into the record. I will be contacting BOEM to include the consideration for mitigation to the impacted properties that I've mentioned. Thank you very much.

16MS. PERRY: Thank you. Go ahead.17MS. WRIGHT: Last --

18MS. PERRY: And if you could just19state your name once more --

20 MS. WRIGHT: Oh.
21 MS. PERRY: -- so we make sure we know
22 who it's coming from.

MS. WRIGHT: Beverly Wright, a Memberof the Wampanoag Tribe.

1

MS. PERRY: Thank you.

2 MS. WRIGHT: And last winter, or maybe it was the winter before, the towers in Texas 3 did not operate because the weather was so cold. 4 And there were thousands of people without 5 power. How would they affect us, when we're --6 I'm assuming that we're colder than in Texas? 7 MS. PERRY: Great, thank you. All 8 right. Other comments? Going to give you a 9 10 minute to think, because I know we've had a lot 11 flying around here. 12 CHRISTY: Just say your name again. MS. ACKERMAN: Roxane Ackerman; what 13 14 are the opportunities for Martha's Vineyard to 15 have a benefit? And all the electricity doesn't 16 go past us, that it comes directly to us. It 17 seems to me, if you go through Rhode Island, and then is that -- are we talking about the 18 (inaudible)? 19 20 And then, we've offered our locale. 21 Is there any benefit? Thank you. 22 23 MS. PERRY: Yeah, thank you. 24 MR. LEFEBER: Can I just follow up on

that, please? Hi, Anthony Lefeber. I live here
 in Aquinnah, as well.

This is a really good point, seeing as 3 Martha's Vineyard doesn't get all the visual 4 impact of this. Who is going to assure that 5 benefits come back to the island, both in terms 6 of education, employment, environmental 7 protections, and so forth? 8 I think that's an excellent point and 9 really important to the island. Thank you. 10 11 MS. PERRY: Thank you. 12 MS. WRIGHT: I have another question. 13 Might get approximately one question. How long 14 -- oh, Beverly Wright again. 15 With the towers, how long before 16 they're decommissioned? I mean, what's their 17 lifespan? MS. PERRY: Good questions; and 18 unfortunately we're in a comment format. So I 19 20 can't give you an answer right now. 21 Some of these questions are answered

in the Environmental Impact Statement. And the
folks here tonight can either give you the
answer or can tell you where there are more

ADVANCED COURT REPORTING, LLC 781-383-1188 41

1 details in that document.

So, really good questions, noted for 2 the record. And depending on how long we run, 3 hopefully you'll have a chance to talk to some 4 of the Staff here who can provide some answers. 5 6 (Cellphone ringing) 7 All right. One more right here. MS. WASHINGTON: Bettina Washington; 8 for some of you folks, you all know that I'm the 9 Tribal Historic Preservation Officer for the 10 11 Wampanoag Tribe at Gay Head, Aquinnah. 12 This particular wind project, out of 13 all of them that are planned for south of our 14 island, I believe, from what I have seen, will

16 viewshed.

15

Tribally speaking, this is off our
sacred place. It affects Nomans. It affects
Moshup's Rock. It affects the Aquinnah Cliffs,
Moshup's Bridge, the Elizabeth Islands, the
Vineyard Sound.

have the most detrimental effect on our

That's the cultural viewshed for us. There's nothing more important in terms of our oral history. That is the place. We have been

1

24

here for time immemorial.

It was interesting when I heard the 2 It says harm to humans. Speaking as a 3 NEPA. Tribal person, we are part of the chain, that 4 whole circle. We cannot separate ourselves from 5 our relatives that live in the ocean. 6 And I have stated more than once how 7 important the Right Whale is to our culture. 8 There are 340 of those whales in the world, in 9 10 the world. I am concerned with the boat traffic. 11 12 How many of these boats are going to be running 13 back-and-forth? Where they're coming from, 14 where are they going to be in the harbor? There are a lot of unknowns. These 15 16 cables will be running through and they will be 17 running into ancient submerged archaeological 18 sites. 19 Once these are uncovered, they are 20 destroyed. How are we going to gather that 21 information without destroying them? We can't. They're gone. We don't have the technology yet. 22 And once we are -- we don't know about 23

43

ADVANCED COURT REPORTING, LLC 781-383-1188

the siltation of when these turbines are placed

into the ocean. Where is that going? How is
 that going to affect the fish?

I know there are closed periods. However, we still need to be careful. Right now, we have the bass derby going on. Is that going to affect that, when -- if that is, indeed, when the construction time happens?

8 What about our herring run in the 9 spring? Well, that's the spring and the fall. 10 That leaves the summer and that's when the 11 whales are here.

I don't -- I have not received the complete picture of the effects on the marine environment, which, in turn, affects the economy of this island that relies so heavily.

Not the fishing in and of itself, but
also the economic part of it. People come to
the island to fish.

People come here for tourism. And I'm not so sure that's what they want to see, especially at sunset, because they will be backlit.

So those are just some of the issues,
because, as some of you may understand,

Section 106, BOEM has been consulting with us
 for quite some time.

And there are a number of issues. And once you go on one issue, you end up down a rabbit hole.

6 But I'd like to thank you, all, for 7 coming here. Thank you for putting that comment 8 notice in the paper, because I had asked for 9 that.

I tell people not everybody reads the
Federal Register. So I do appreciate that.
Thank you.

MS. PERRY: Thank you. Any other
comments? All right. Well, I'll just say thank
you very much to everyone again. Oh, one more?
MS. WELCH: Berta Welch again.
MS. PERRY: Well, let me make sure you
get the mic, so our Court Reporter can hear you.

MS. WELCH: How is it that the island -- if this is the only meeting on the island -public meeting, how is it there's only

22 12 Islanders here?

I'm just wondering how this was
advertised. It -- that doesn't seem correct.

1 MS. PERRY: Thank you. Anyone else? All right. If not, I'm going to turn it back to 2 Jess Stromberg to wrap things up for us. 3 And then, the Staff will be here. I 4 heard some really good questions in a lot of 5 those comments. 6 7 So if you'd like to speak to any of them, I'm happy to help try to point you to the 8 right person, as can Christy and Sue in the 9 10 back. So, if you're able to stay and you'd 11 12 like to talk about other things, ask questions, you're welcome to. But with that, I'll turn it 13 14 to Jess to close us out. 15 CHIEF STROMBERG: Thank you. Thank 16 you, Meg. I want to say thank you to all of you 17 for coming here tonight. And I want to also thank my Colleague 18 19 from -- and other Colleagues from the Army Corps 20 of Engineers, SWCA, who has helped facilitate 21 this meeting, as well as all of the BOEM Staff. And I think as Meg mentioned, we are 22 23 available to answer questions, that we do have Staff at each of the posters. I think some 24

folks are going to be catching the ferry, so
 some Staff will have to leave a little after
 8:00 to catch that last ferry.

And we do have to be out of this meeting room at 9:00. But we will be here for as long as you guys need, up until those points, to answer questions.

8 And I just wanted to say, thank you 9 for coming here. It's really great to see 10 everyone in-person.

I want to thank everyone for their thoughtful comments, the questions that you submitted. All of that information is going to be assessed and considered by BOEM when we prepared the Final Environmental Impact Statement.

17 So, I hope that this was a good forum and continues to be a good forum for sharing 18 19 your comments on aspects of the projects that 20 are a concern to you, and also that you found, 21 or that you will find, answers to your questions that we can provide to be useful and responsive. 22 23 And your input is really essential to 24 ensure that the best informed decision is made

| 1  | on this proposed project. And so, just want to |
|----|--|
| 2  | thank you again and wrap it up. So, thanks.    |
| 3  | (Whereupon, the Public Information Meeting     |
| 4  | concluded at 7:40 p.m.)                        |
| 5  |  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  |  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
|    |  |

| 1  | CERTIFICATE  |
|----|--|
| 2  | COMMONWEALTH OF Massachusetts                            |
| 3  | COUNTY OF PLYMOUTH, SS                                   |
| 4  | I, Darcy Schramn, a Professional Court Reporter and      |
| 5  | Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of             |
| 6  | Massachusetts, do hereby certify that the foregoing BOEM |
| 7  | Public Information Meeting was taken under my direction  |
| 8  | October 4, 2022.   |
| 9  | The said testimony was taken audiographically by         |
| 10 | Anne-Marie Maillet and then transcribed under my         |
| 11 | direction.   |
| 12 | To the best of my knowledge, the within transcript is a  |
| 13 | complete, true and accurate record of said               |
| 14 | Meeting.   |
| 15 | I am not connected by blood or marriage with any of      |
| 16 | the said parties, nor interested directly or indirectly  |
| 17 | in the matter in controversy.                            |
| 18 | In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and      |
| 19 | Notary Seal this 10th day of October, 2022.              |
| 20 | SDTCA  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 | Darcy Sel-   |
| 23 | Darcy Schramn, Notary Public<br>My Commission Expires:   |
| 24 | April 24, 2025   |