

# Sunrise Wind Farm Project

## Appendix P2 Post-construction Avian and Bat Monitoring Framework

Prepared for:

**Sunrise  
Wind**

Powered by  
Ørsted &  
Eversource

August 19, 2022

**Sunrise Wind Avian and Bat Post-Construction Monitoring Framework**

Submitted by:

M. Wing Goodale, Andrew T. Gilbert, Iain J. Stenhouse  
Biodiversity Research Institute**Introduction**

Sunrise Wind LLC (Sunrise Wind), a 50/50 joint venture between Orsted North America Inc. (Orsted NA) and Eversource Investment LLC (Eversource), proposes to construct and operate the Sunrise Wind Farm (SRWF) and the Sunrise Wind Export Cable (SRWEC), collectively the Sunrise Wind Farm Project (hereinafter referred to as the Project). The wind farm portion of the Project will be in Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) Renewable Energy Lease Area OCS-A 0487 (Lease Area), south of Martha's Vineyard, Massachusetts, and east of Montauk, New York. The Project's generating capacity will range between 924 megawatts (MW) and 1,034 MW with power transmitted to shore on direct current (DC) submarine cables. This *SRWF Avian and Bat Post-Construction Monitoring Framework* (hereafter the "Framework") focuses solely on the offshore footprint of the Project within the Lease Area, and does not apply to the offshore export cable, cable landfall, or onshore portions of the Project.

Sunrise Wind has developed this Framework to outline an approach to post-construction monitoring that supports advancement of the understanding of bird and bat interactions with offshore wind farms. The scope of monitoring is designed to meet federal requirements [30 CFR 585.626(b)(15) and 585.633(b)] and is scaled to the size and risk profile of the Project with a focus on species of conservation concern.

The intent of the Framework is to outline overarching monitoring objectives, monitoring questions, proposed monitoring elements, and reporting requirements. A detailed *Avian and Bat Post-Construction Monitoring Plan* (Monitoring Plan), based on this Framework, will be developed in coordination with BOEM, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and other relevant regulatory agencies prior to beginning monitoring. Where feasible, monitoring conducted at the SRWF will be coordinated with monitoring at neighboring Orsted/Eversource offshore wind projects—South Fork Wind Farm (SFWF) and Revolution Wind Farm (RWF)—to facilitate integrated analyses across a broader geographic area.

Monitoring objectives, questions, and associated methods are summarized in Table 1. Technical approaches were selected based on offshore logistical constraints, their ability to address monitoring objectives, and their effectiveness in the marine environment. Emerging technologies, such as multi-sensor radar/camera collision detection systems, are not proposed under this Framework because they have not yet been broadly deployed offshore or demonstrated to effectively reduce uncertainties related to potential impacts on birds and bats.

**Table 1.** Monitoring objectives, questions, general approaches to be used, and duration.

Taxa	Monitoring Objective	Primary Questions	Approach	Duration
Bats	Monitor occurrence of bats	What times of year and under what environmental conditions are bats detected in the wind farm?	Acoustics	2 years
Birds	Monitor use by ESA listed birds	What times of year and under what environmental conditions are ESA birds present in the wind farm?	Radio-tags	up to 3 years
Birds	Monitor use by nocturnal migratory birds	What are the flux rates and flight heights of nocturnally migrating birds?	Radar	1–2 years
Birds	Monitor movement of marine birds around the turbines	What are the avoidance rates of marine birds?	Radar	1–2 years
Both	Document mortality	What dead or injured species are found incidentally?	Incidental observations	Project lifetime

### Bat Acoustic Monitoring

The presence of bats in the marine environment has been documented in the U.S. (Hatch et al. 2013, Solick and Newman 2021). However, there remains uncertainty regarding the extent to which bats occur offshore, particularly within offshore wind farms. Acoustic detectors are commonly used to study bat movements and migration (Johnson et al. 2011). Following the approach taken at SFWF (Final Environmental Impact Statement Appendix F<sup>1</sup>), Orsted/Eversource would conduct bat acoustic monitoring to assess bat activity at SRWF, targeting key data gaps related to species presence/composition, temporal patterns of activity, and correlation with weather and atmospheric conditions. The primary monitoring questions are: What times of year and under what environmental conditions are bats detected in the wind farm?

Acoustic monitoring of bat presence would be conducted for two years post-construction. A detector would first be tested onsite to determine if there is any sound interference. Contingent on a successful test, ultrasonic bat detector stations would be installed on the offshore convertor station, wind turbine platforms, and/or buoys. The specific number and location of detector stations would be selected to optimize study design goals, and would be determined in cooperation with BOEM, USFWS, and other relevant regulatory agencies. While specific timing would be dictated by logistics, detectors would likely be deployed in the early spring or late winter (March), and removed in the late fall or early winter (December) after migration, or the most appropriate period as determined in cooperation with BOEM, USFWS, and other relevant regulatory agencies. The detectors would record calls of both cave-hibernating bats, including the northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*), and migratory tree bats; the resulting information can be used to identify bats to species. All acoustic data recorded would be

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.boem.gov/renewable-energy/state-activities/south-fork>

---

processed with approved software to filter out poor quality data and identify the presence of bat calls. Where information is insufficient to make a species identification, calls would be classified to one of two phonic groups: low frequency bats (LoF), or high frequency bats (HiF). The HiF group includes both migratory tree bats and cave hibernating bats. Since HiFi include the ESA-listed northern long-eared bat, they would then be manually vetted by an experienced acoustician to the highest resolution possible (e.g., species or genus).

All bat calls detected and identified would be analyzed to understand relationships with time of day, season, and weather/atmospheric conditions. The results would provide information on bat presence offshore and the conditions under which they may occur near offshore wind turbines.

### **Motus Tracking Network and ESA Use Study**

Tracking studies indicate that at least some individual ESA-listed Piping Plovers (*Charadrius melodus*), Red Knots (*Calidris canutus rufa*), and Roseate Terns, may pass through the Rhode Island and Massachusetts lease areas (Loring et al. 2018, 2019). However, due to limited coverage of onshore automated telemetry receiving stations and low probability of detecting tags (hereafter, Motus receivers and tags) in the offshore environment (Loring et al. 2019), there remains uncertainty related to offshore movements of ESA-listed birds in New England. Sunrise Wind would install offshore Motus receiver stations and contribute funding to radio-tagging efforts to address this data gap. The exact species being studied would be determined in consultation with federal agencies and would be dependent on existing, ongoing field efforts. The Motus receivers would also provide opportunistic presence/absence data on other species carrying Motus tags, such as migratory songbirds and bats. The primary monitoring questions are: What times of year and under what environmental conditions are ESA birds present in the wind farm?

Movements of radio-tagged ESA-listed birds in the vicinity of the SRWF would be monitored for up to three years post-construction, during the spring, summer, and fall. Motus receivers would be installed within the wind farm to determine the presence/absence of ESA-listed species. The specific number and location of offshore receiver stations would be selected to optimize study design goals, and would be determined using a design tool currently being developed through a New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA) funded project<sup>2</sup>. If there is a need identified by USFWS and in coordination with efforts at SFWF and RWF, existing Motus receiver stations at up to two onshore locations near the SRWF would be refurbished or maintained to confirm the presence and movements of radio-tagged ESA-species in areas adjacent to SRWF. Funding for up to 150 Motus tags per year would be provided to researchers working with ESA-listed birds for up to three consecutive years.

ESA-listed bird presence/absence in the wind farm would be analyzed by comparing detections within the wind farm to coastal receiver towers. All detections would be analyzed to understand relationships with time of day, season, and weather.

---

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.briloon.org/renewable/automatedvhfguidance>

---

**Radar Monitoring: Nocturnal Migrants Flux and Flight Heights**

Nocturnal migrants, including songbirds and shorebirds, are documented to fly offshore (Adams et al. 2015, Loring et al. 2020). Since nocturnal migration events are episodic and cannot be detected during daytime surveys, there is uncertainty on the timing and intensity of migration offshore. Radar, oriented vertically, has been used at offshore wind farms in Europe to study nocturnal migration events (Hill et al. 2014). Orsted/Eversource is considering conducting a one-to-two-year radar study across SRWF, SFWF, and RWF to record the passage rates (flux) of migrants and flight heights. The primary monitoring questions are: What are the flux rates and flight heights of nocturnally migrating birds?

Since radar approaches to monitoring birds are actively evolving and feasibility would need to be determined, a specific system and methods would be identified closer to when the projects begin operating. The results would be related to time of year and weather conditions, to increase the understanding on when nocturnal migrants may have higher collision risk.

**Radar Monitoring: Marine Bird Avoidance**

Marine birds, particularly loons, sea ducks, auks, and the Northern Gannet (*Morus bassanus*), have been documented to avoid offshore wind farms, potentially leading to displacement from habitat (Goodale and Milman 2016). However, there remains uncertainty on how birds would respond to Orsted/Eversource's large turbines that would be spaced one nautical mile apart. Based on methods used by Desholm and Kahlert (2005), Skov et al. (2018), and others, Orsted/Eversource is considering conducting a one-to-two-year cross-project (SRWF, SFWF, and RWF) radar study to collect data on macro (and potentially meso—i.e., flying between turbines) avoidance rates. These data on avoidance would support understanding of both displacement and collision vulnerability. The primary monitoring questions is: What are the avoidance rates of marine birds?

**Documentation of Dead and Injured Birds and Bats**

Sunrise Wind, or its designated operator, would implement a reporting system to document dead or injured birds or bats found incidentally on vessels and project structures during construction, operation, and decommissioning. The location would be marked using GPS, an Incident Reporting Form would be filled out, and digital photographs taken. Any animals detected that could be ESA-listed, would have their identity confirmed by consulting biologists, and a report would be submitted to the designated staff at Sunrise Wind who would then report it to BOEM, USFWS, and other relevant regulatory agencies. Carcasses with federal or research bands or tags would be reported to the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Bird Band Laboratory, BOEM, and USFWS.

**Adaptive Monitoring**

Adaptive monitoring is an important principle underlying Sunrise Wind's post-construction monitoring Framework. Over the course of monitoring, Sunrise Wind would work with BOEM, USFWS, and other relevant regulatory agencies, to determine the need for adjustments to monitoring approaches, consideration of new monitoring technologies, and/or additional periods of monitoring, based on an ongoing assessment of monitoring results. Potential triggers for adaptive

---

monitoring may include, but not be limited to, equipment failure, an unexpected impact to birds or bats identified through monitoring, or new opportunities to collaborate with other projects in the region. The Monitoring Plan would include a series of potential adaptive monitoring actions, developed in coordination with BOEM, USFWS, and other relevant regulatory agencies, to be considered as appropriate.

**Reporting**

Sunrise Wind would submit an annual report to BOEM and USFWS summarizing post-construction monitoring activities, preliminary results as available, and any proposed changes in the monitoring program. Sunrise Wind would participate in an annual meeting with BOEM and USFWS to discuss the report.

---

## References

- Adams, E., P. Chilson, and K. Williams (2015). Chapter 27: Using WSR-88 weather radar to identify patterns of nocturnal avian migration in the offshore environment. [Online.] Available at <https://www.briloon.org/uploads/Library/item/450/file/MABS Project Chapter 27 - Adams et al 2015.pdf>.
- Desholm, M., and J. Kahlert (2005). Avian collision risk at an offshore wind farm. *Biology Letters* 1:296–298.
- Goodale, M. W., and A. Milman (2016). Cumulative adverse effects of offshore wind energy development on wildlife. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management* 59:1–21. doi: 10.1080/09640568.2014.973483
- Hatch, S. K., E. E. Connelly, T. J. Divoll, I. J. Stenhouse, and K. A. Williams (2013). Offshore observations of eastern red bats (*Lasiurus borealis*) in the Mid-Atlantic United States using multiple survey methods. *PLoS ONE* 8:e83803. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0083803
- Hill, R., K. Hill, R. Aumuller, A. Schulz, T. Dittmann, C. Kulemeyer, and T. Coppack (2014). Of birds, blades, and barriers: Detecting and analysing mass migration events at alpha ventus. In *Ecological Research at the Offshore Windfarm alpha ventus* (Federal Maritime and Hydrographic Agency and Federal Ministry of the Environment Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety, Editors). Springer Spektrum, Berlin, Germany, pp. 111–132. doi: 10.1007/978-3-658-02462-8
- Loring, P. H., J. D. McLaren, P. A. Smith, L. J. Niles, S. L. Koch, H. F. Goyert, and H. Bai (2018). Tracking Movements of Threatened Migratory rufa Red Knots in U.S. Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf Waters. OCS Study BOEM 2018-046. US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Sterling (VA) 145 pp.
- Loring, P. H., P. W. C. Paton, J. D. McLaren, H. Bai, R. Janaswamy, H. F. Goyert, C. R. Griffin, and P. R. Sievert (2019). Tracking offshore occurrence of Common Terns, endangered Roseate Terns, and threatened Piping Plovers with VHF arrays. [Online.] Available at [https://espis.boem.gov/final-reports/BOEM\\_2019-017.pdf](https://espis.boem.gov/final-reports/BOEM_2019-017.pdf).
- Loring, P., A. Lenske, J. McLaren, M. Aikens, A. Anderson, Y. Aubrey, E. Dalton, A. Dey, C. Friis, D. Hamilton, B. Holberton, et al. (2020). Tracking Movements of Migratory Shorebirds in the US Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf Region. Sterling (VA): US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management. OCS Study BOEM 2021-008. 104 p.
- Skov, H., S. Heinanen, T. Norman, R. M. Ward, S. Mendez-Roldan, and I. Ellis (2018). ORJIP Bird Collision and Avoidance Study. Final Report - April 2018.
- Solick, D., and C. Newman (2021). Oceanic records of North American bats and implications for offshore wind energy development in the United States. *Ecology and Evolution*:1–15. doi: 10.1002/ece3.8175