



Construction and Operations Plan

July 26, 2021

Submitted by

Kitty Hawk Wind, LLC
1125 NW Couch Street, Suite 600
Portland, Oregon 97209

Submitted to

Bureau of Ocean Energy Management
45600 Woodland Road
Sterling, Virginia 20166

Prepared by


Tetra Tech, Inc.
10 Post Office Square, 11th Floor
Boston, Massachusetts 02109



**AVANGRID
RENEWABLES**

COP – Executive Summary

Document Reference: KTH-GEN-CON-PLN-AGR-000067 Rev 03

Prepared by:	Checked by:	Approved by:
 TETRA TECH July 26, 2021		

Revision Summary				
Rev	Date	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
01	10 Dec 2020	Tetra Tech, Inc.	Brian Benito Jr.	Megan Higgins
02	28 Jun 2021	Tetra Tech, Inc.	Brian Benito Jr.	Marcus Cross
03	26 Jul 2021	Tetra Tech, Inc.	Brian Benito Jr.	Marcus Cross

Description of Revisions			
Rev	Page	Section	Description
01	All	All	Submitted to BOEM
02	All	All	Updated based on BOEM comments and Project updates
03	All	All	Updated based on BOEM comments and Project updates

Executive Summary

Kitty Hawk Wind, LLC (the Company), a wholly owned subsidiary of Avangrid Renewables, LLC, proposes to construct, own, and operate the Kitty Hawk Offshore Wind Project (hereafter referred to as the Project). The Commercial Lease of Submerged Lands for Renewable Energy Development on the Outer Continental Shelf of Lease Area OCS-A 0508 (the Lease) was awarded to Avangrid Renewables, LLC through the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) competitive renewable energy lease auction of the Wind Energy Area offshore of North Carolina. The Lease was effective on 01 Nov 2017. The Project will be located in the designated Renewable Energy Lease Area OCS-A 0508 (Lease Area). The Lease Area covers 49,536 hectares (ha) and is located approximately 44 kilometers (km) offshore of Corolla, North Carolina.

At this time, the Company proposes to develop approximately 40 percent of the Lease Area, an area located in the northwest corner closest to shore (19,441 ha, referred to as the Wind Development Area). Infrastructure in the Wind Development Area will include wind turbine generators (WTGs), inter-array cables, and an electrical service platform (ESP). The Project will connect from the ESP through offshore export cables (within a designated corridor) and onshore export cables to the new onshore substation in Virginia Beach, Virginia, where the renewable electricity generated will be transmitted to the electric grid.

The goals of the Kitty Hawk Offshore Wind Project are to:

- Deliver sustainable, safe, and healthy domestic energy generation for all Americans through the responsible production of electricity using wind turbine generators.
- Efficiently and responsibly construct and operate an offshore wind energy facility that enhances the quality and long-term productivity of renewable wind resources located on the Outer Continental Shelf.
- Deploy technically and economically feasible technologies that maximize the sustainable electrical generation within Lease Area OCS-A 0508, as described in the Lease and located in a federally designated Wind Energy Area.
- Contribute to the federal goal of delivering 30 gigawatts of offshore wind in the U.S. by 2030¹.
- Contribute to the Commonwealth of Virginia enacted Virginia Clean Economy Act mandated to procure 5.2 gigawatts of offshore wind by 2034.

The Project will meet these goals by delivering domestic renewable energy from up to 69 WTGs to Virginia, where it will be injected into the PJM Interconnection's energy grid and make a substantial contribution to the region's electrical reliability and energy security, in alignment with the clean energy mandates included in the Virginia Clean Economy Act.

In support of these goals, the Company is submitting this Construction and Operations Plan (COP) to BOEM. The purpose and need of the federal agency action in response to the Kitty Hawk Offshore Wind Project COP submittal is to determine whether to approve, approve with modifications, or disapprove the COP to construct, operate, and decommission the Project within Lease Area OCS-A 0508.

¹ <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/03/29/fact-sheet-biden-administration-jumpstarts-offshore-wind-energy-projects-to-create-jobs/>

In accordance with BOEM guidance, the Company has instituted a Project Design Envelope (PDE) approach to present a range of potential design parameters for the Project. The PDE is used to assess the potential impacts on key environmental and human use resources, focusing on the design parameter (within the defined range) that represents the realistic maximum design scenario for each unique resource. For the purpose of characterizing the assessments of the Project activities presented within the COP, the PDE includes the parameters illustrated in Table ES-1 below.

The components that make up the PDE, as well as the siting of onshore components and the offshore export cable corridor, have been selected based on existing site information, site characterization studies completed to date, environmental and engineering analysis, and assumptions regarding advancement of technology, as well as extensive engagement with regulators and stakeholders. Detailed information on the final Project design selected will be included in the Facility Design Report and Fabrication and Installation Report, to be reviewed by the Certified Verification Agent and submitted to BOEM prior to construction.

The Project is expected to operate up to 35 years after construction is complete. Per 30 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 585.235(3) and Addendum B of the Lease, the operations term of the Project is 25 years commencing on the date of COP approval. Two years before the end of operations term, the Company may request renewal of its Lease in accordance with 30 CFR §§ 585.425 through 429.

Table ES-1 Summary of PDE Parameters

Project Parameter Details	
General (Layout and Project Size)	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Up to 70 locations Anticipated to begin construction no earlier than 2024 	
Foundations	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Installation of one or more foundation types: monopile, piled jacket, and up to three suction caisson jacket Installation using hammered pile driving (for monopiles and/or piled jacket foundations) Scour protection may be installed around all foundation types 	
Wind Turbine Generators	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Up to 69 WTGs Rotor diameter up to 285 meters (m) Hub height up to 175 m above mean sea level Tip height up to 317.5 m above mean sea level Lowest blade tip height 27 m above mean sea level 	
Inter-Array Cables	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 66-kilovolt, 3-core cables buried up to 1.5 to 2.5 m beneath the seabed Maximum total cable length 240 km Jet trencher, mechanical trencher, and free-lay and post-lay burial installation Proposed protection if target cable burial depth is not achieved includes rock armor, gabion rockbags, concrete mattresses, and protective half-shells 	
Offshore Export Cables	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Up to two 275-kilovolt export cables buried up to 1.5 to 2.5 m beneath the seabed Minimum separation distance between circuits is 50 m a/ Maximum total corridor length is 80 km Jet trenching, jet plow, mechanical plow, and free-lay and post-lay burial installation, with dredging in some locations to achieve burial depth Proposed protection if target cable burial depth is not achieved includes rock armor, gabion rockbags, concrete mattresses, and protective half-shells 	

Project Parameter Details	
Electrical Service Platform	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One ESP ESP installed atop monopile, piled jacket, or suction caisson jacket foundation 	
Onshore Facilities	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Landfall of export cables will be completed via horizontal directional drilling Construction work area for the onshore substation at Corporate Landing to disturb up to 13.1 ha Onshore transmission and interconnection cables with total maximum cable length of 9.2 km Up to six 275-kilovolt onshore export cables and two fiber optic cables Up to 32.6 ha of disturbed area for the onshore export cable corridors 	
Construction and Operations & Maintenance Facilities Options	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Portsmouth, Virginia Newport News, Virginia Cape Charles, Virginia Chesapeake, Virginia 	
<p>Note: a/ Separation distance between cables is based on site-specific conditions (e.g., water depth and seabed constraints). Circuits will be separated by a minimum of 50 m or four times the water depth, whichever is greater.</p>	

The Company will decommission the Project in accordance with a detailed Project decommissioning plan that will be developed in compliance with Section 13 of the Lease and applicable laws, regulations, and best management practices at that time.

Chapter 1 of this COP provides an Introduction; Chapter 2 details the Project Siting and Design Development; and Chapter 3 provides a Description of Project Activity. Chapters 4 through 7 describe the Physical, Biological, Cultural, and Socioeconomic Resources that exist in the area of the Project, as well as the potential impacts to these resources and proposed measures to avoid, minimize, and, as necessary, mitigate impacts. References are provided by chapter and detailed reports are presented in appendices.

Project Benefits

Construction and operations of the Project are expected to displace significant quantities of carbon dioxide, sulfur dioxide, and nitrogen oxides emissions from existing fossil-fuel generating units each year during its useful lifetime, which will contribute significantly to reducing overall greenhouse emissions in the region. The burning of fossil fuels produces air emissions that degrade air and water quality and contribute to climate change. A representative 800-megawatt project has the potential to displace significant quantities of carbon dioxide, sulfur dioxide, and nitrogen oxide. During each year of operations, our analysis projects that the following pollutants will be displaced as a representative project that generates clean, renewable energy:

- 1,330,032 tons of carbon dioxide,
- 860 tons of sulfur dioxide, and
- 703 tons of nitrogen oxide.

The Project will therefore benefit a wide range of human and natural ecosystems. In addition, the Project would decrease the regional reliance on fossil fuels and enhance the reliability and diversity of the energy sources in Virginia.²

To combat climate change, the Commonwealth of Virginia enacted the Virginia Clean Economy Act in April 2020 to transition Virginia's biggest utility companies from the current electric portfolio to 100 percent carbon-free resources by 2050. The Act sets a target for Dominion Energy Virginia to produce their electricity from 100 percent renewable sources by 2045, with 5.2 gigawatts of offshore wind by 2034. The Project Lease Area is one of two existing BOEM lease areas eligible to meet the offshore wind target. Dominion Energy Virginia included 5.2 gigawatts of offshore wind in its proposed path to meet carbon and legislatively mandated renewable energy goals in its most recent Integrated Resource Plan.³ Energy from the Project will be essential to enabling the Commonwealth of Virginia, which has established the third-largest offshore wind commitment in the United States, and Dominion Energy Virginia to meet the clean energy objective from offshore wind.

The Project will create employment opportunities within Virginia and the region, as well as increase tax revenues for both state and local governments. In conjunction with future projects within the entire Lease Area, these include⁴:

- Nearly \$2 billion dollars in total economic impact is projected to be generated over the next decade in Virginia and northeast North Carolina.
- Construction activities will increase sales by Virginia businesses by \$1.5 billion, of which \$994 million of that total will be in the Hampton Roads region.
- During construction, Lease Area projects will increase total net household earnings by nearly \$390 million in Virginia, of which \$273 million will be in Hampton Roads.
- Between 2021 and 2030, Lease Area projects will generate an additional \$100 million in income and sales tax revenues for the Commonwealth of Virginia and the City of Virginia Beach.
- Construction-related activities will result in an average estimated increase in employment of 799 jobs annually in Virginia. Once construction is complete, Lease Area projects will support over 900 full-time equivalent new jobs in Virginia, of which 830 full-time equivalent jobs will be in the Hampton Roads region.
- It is expected that the Project will attract new offshore wind parts manufacturers and suppliers to Virginia or Hampton Roads, making the economic and fiscal impacts of the Project larger than those currently estimated.

The installation of WTG and ESP foundations in the Wind Development Area may also have environmental benefits, due to the structures creating new surface area in offshore waters. For example, scour protection for foundations would introduce valuable hardbottom habitat. Foundations may be installed in areas of previously low habitat productivity and/or soft sediments with little hard structure; these foundations attract fish and can enhance food availability for local predator species. Established offshore wind facilities have shown an increase in overall ecosystem activity and positive effects on distributions of fish and macroinvertebrates, which are attracted to the hardbottom scour protection around wind turbine

² Appendix N Air Emissions Calculations and Methodology (to be submitted as a supplement to this COP), and PJM 2020, as cited within Section 4.3 Air Quality.

³ VEPC 2020, as cited within Chapter 1 Introduction.

⁴ The Economic Impact of Kitty Hawk Offshore Wind (Appendix EE) was developed for the entire Lease Area. In response to BOEM's request, a subset of this analysis will be provided for the Project.

foundations.⁵ Specifically, these benefits extend to the federally endangered Atlantic sturgeon, a species with a historical range in North Carolina waters; any individual Atlantic sturgeon passing through an operational wind farm area would likely benefit from increased prey associated with the hard armoring around the turbine foundations and offshore export cables.⁶

In addition to benefitting a variety of marine species by creating new habitat within the Wind Development Area, foundations may increase the frequency and method of fishing activities (e.g. spearfishing) by acting as fish aggregators. A study conducted by the University of Rhode Island on the Block Island Wind Farm determined that anglers believe offshore wind developments have improved fishing in the areas very close to the foundations by increasing species richness.⁷

Evidence has shown that the presence of new fixed structures within a wind development area has become a tourist attraction, enticing new marine users to visit such an area. Notably, tourism activities have been observed at the Block Island Wind Farm resulting in increased tourism to the island overall, increase in boat charters and rentals, and the emergence of new businesses to support new tourist demand. The increase in recreation and tourism has brought economic benefits to Block Island. Similarly, a 2008 study of projected offshore wind facilities offshore New Jersey predicted that a wind facility located 32 km offshore would increase tourism sales by up to \$65 million statewide.⁸

Prior to the commercial lease auction, the Lease Area was carefully sited by members of the North Carolina Intergovernmental Renewable Energy Task Force, a joint state and federal task force, in order to avoid and minimize potential user conflicts as well as reduce impacts to biological resources. For example, to minimize impacts to viewshed resources and navigational concerns, the North Carolina Intergovernmental Renewable Energy Task Force reduced the size of the Lease Area from the original "Call Area." The Lease Area location, which avoids sensitive habitat, areas of intensive fishing, and other common marine use areas, is reflective of input from commercial and recreational fisheries.

Building upon the North Carolina Intergovernmental Renewable Energy Task Force's siting practices, within the Wind Development Area, the Project's array layout incorporates historic tow directionality of commercial fisheries and includes a gridded pattern to minimize impacts to fishing and navigation. The offshore export cables and onshore Project components are similarly sited by the Company to avoid impacts to users of the area and sensitive resources.

Impact-Producing Factors

The potential impact-producing factors resulting from the construction, operations, and decommissioning of the Project and the resources potentially affected are presented in Table ES-2. Avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures for addressing the impacts to the potentially affected resources are included in the relevant impact analysis sections.

⁵ Bergstrom et al. 2013, 2014; Krone et al. 2017; Raoux et al. 2017; Rein et al. 2013; Reubens et al. 2011, 2014; Stenberg et al. 2015; and Wilhelmsson et al. 2006, as cited within Section 5.4 Benthic Resources and Finfish, Invertebrates, and Essential Fish Habitat.

⁶ NOAA Fisheries 2015, as cited within Section 5.4 Benthic Resources and Finfish, Invertebrates, and Essential Fish Habitat.

⁷ Prevost and Bidwell 2019 and ten Brink and Dalton 2018, as cited within Section 7.2 Commercial and Recreational Fishing.

⁸ Brookins 2017; Global Insight 2008; and Lilley et al. 2010, as cited within Section 7.1 Recreation and Tourism.

1 Table ES-2 Summary of Evaluation of Impact-Producing Factors associated with Project Components and Affected Resources

Impact-Producing Factor	Physical Resources					Biological Resources					Cultural Resources					Socioeconomic Resources											
	Physical and Oceanographic Conditions	Water Quality	Air Quality	In-Air Acoustic Environment	Underwater Acoustic Environment	Wetlands and Waterbodies	Terrestrial Vegetation and Wildlife	Bat and Avian	Benthic Resources and Finfish, Invertebrates, and Essential Fish Habitat	Marine Mammals	Sea Turtles	Marine Archaeological and Cultural Resources	Terrestrial Archaeological and Cultural Resources	Aboveground Historic Properties	Visual Resources	Recreation and Tourism	Commercial and Recreational Fishing	Marine Transportation and Navigation	Department of Defense and Outer Continental Shelf National Security Maritime Uses	Offshore Renewable Energy, Mineral Exploration, and Infrastructure	Aviation and Radar	Other Coastal and Marine Uses	Population, Economy, Employment, and Housing	Environmental Justice	Land Use and Zoning	Land Transportation and Traffic	Health and Safety and Low Probability Events
Impact Analysis Section Number	4.1.2	4.2.2	4.3.2	4.4.2	4.5.2	5.1.2	5.2.2	5.3.2	5.4.2	5.5.2	5.6.2	6.1.2	6.2.2	6.3.2	6.4.2	7.1.2	7.2.2	7.3.2	7.4.2	7.5.2	7.6.2	7.7.2	7.8.2	7.9.2	7.10.2	7.11.2	7.12.2
Seabed or land disturbance	•	•				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•			•					•		
Habitat alteration						•	•	•	•	•	•						•				•						
Sediment suspension, erosion, and/or deposition	•	•					•		•	•	•	•					•				•						
Noise (in-air or underwater)				•	•		•	•	•	•	•						•										
Electric and magnetic fields									•	•	•						•										•
Accidental discharges and releases, including marine debris		•				•	•	•	•	•	•						•										•
Traffic (terrestrial, vessels, and helicopters)			•					•		•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•
Air emissions			•																								
Presence of abovewater structures on the Outer Continental Shelf								•						•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•			•
Lighting							•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•		•	•		•						•
Jobs, taxes, and Project purchases																•							•				

Key Project Terms

Project Term	Description
cable protection	Measures to protect cables in instances where sufficient burial is not feasible and/or at existing submarine asset crossings.
electrical service platform (ESP)	Offshore structure that connects the inter-array cables to the offshore export cables.
export cable	Export cable route from the ESP in the Lease Area to the onshore substation. This term refers to the linear path (zero width).
export cable corridor	Corridor centered on the export cable from the Lease Area to the landfall (offshore export cable corridor) and from landfall to the Point of Interconnection (onshore export cable corridors). This term refers to the permanent easement/right-of-way.
foundation (offshore)	Structure required to secure the WTG and ESP, vertically. Foundations may be monopile, piled jackets, or suction caisson jackets.
installation corridor	Corridor centered on the export cable from the Lease Area to the landfall (offshore export cable corridor) and from landfall to the onshore substation (onshore export cable corridors). This term refers to the temporary area affected by construction and installation activities.
inter-array cable	Submarine cable interconnecting the WTGs and ESP.
landfall	The location where the export cable transitions from offshore to onshore.
Lease	Commercial Lease of Submerged Lands for Renewable Energy Development on the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS-A 0508).
Lease Area	BOEM-designated Renewable Energy Lease Area OCS-A 0508.
offshore export cables	Cables connecting the ESP to the transition bay at the landfall.
onshore export cables	Cables connecting the transition bay at the landfall to the onshore substation.
onshore substation	The landside substation constructed for the Project that contains transformers and other electrical gear.
Project Area	The combined onshore and offshore area where the Project facilities are physically located.
Project	The Kitty Hawk Offshore Wind Project.
scour protection	Material, typically stone or rocks, placed around/on top of a structure to prevent seabed sediment from being flushed away as a result of water flow.
seabed preparation	The preparation of the seabed prior to offshore installation activities.
the Company	Kitty Hawk Wind, LLC.
Wind Development Area	Approximately 40 percent of the Lease Area in the northwest corner closest to shore (19,441 ha), where the WTGs, ESP, and inter-array cables will be located.
wind turbine generator (WTG)	Wind turbine that will generate electricity.

Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	4
1.1	Project Overview	4
1.1.1	BOEM Renewable Energy Lease OCS-A 0508	4
1.1.2	Company Overview	21
1.1.3	Schedule	21
1.2	Project Design Envelope	22
1.3	Commercial Lease Conditions and Compliance	24
1.4	Purpose and Need	27
1.4.1	Virginia Clean Economy Act and other State initiatives	28
1.5	Regulatory Framework	29
1.5.1	Permits, Approvals, and Consultations	29
1.6	Agency and Public Outreach	31
1.7	Authorized Representative	32
1.8	Certified Verification Agent	32
1.9	Financial Assurance	32
1.10	Design Standards	32
1.11	Supplemental Filings	32
1.12	References	33
2	PROJECT SITING AND DESIGN DEVELOPMENT	
2.1	Project Siting	4
2.1.1	Landfall	4
2.1.2	Offshore Export Cable Routing	13
2.1.3	Onshore Substation	19
2.1.4	Onshore Export Cable Routing	21
2.1.5	Siting Conclusion	27
2.2	Wind Development Area and WTG Layout	27
2.3	Project Components and Technology	29
2.3.1	Foundations	29
2.3.2	Offshore Export Cables	29
2.4	References	31
3	DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTIVITY	
3.1	Project Location	5
3.1.1	Supporting Facilities	5
3.2	Project Design and Installation Activities	8
3.2.1	Onshore Substation Facilities	8
3.2.2	Transmission Facilities	9
3.2.3	WTG and ESP Foundations	13
3.2.4	Electrical Service Platform	18
3.2.5	Inter-Array Cables	19
3.2.6	WTGs	20
3.2.7	Summary of Construction Vessels and Helicopters	23
3.2.8	Oils, Fuels, and Project-Related Waste	23
3.3	Operations and Maintenance	28
3.3.1	Summary of O&M Vessels and Helicopters	29
3.3.2	Lighting and Marking of Offshore Project Components	31
3.4	Decommissioning	32
3.5	References	33

4	PHYSICAL RESOURCES	
4.1	Physical and Oceanographic Conditions	7
4.1.1	Affected Environment	8
4.1.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	17
4.2	Water Quality	21
4.2.1	Affected Environment	21
4.2.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	27
4.3	Air Quality	32
4.3.1	Affected Environment	32
4.3.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	35
4.4	In-Air Acoustic Environment	41
4.4.1	Affected Environment	42
4.4.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	42
4.5	Underwater Acoustic Environment	50
4.5.1	Regulatory Context	50
4.5.2	Affected Environment	53
4.5.3	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	55
4.6	References	74
4.6.1	Physical and Oceanographic Conditions	74
4.6.2	Water Quality	75
4.6.3	Air Quality	77
4.6.4	In-Air Acoustic Environment	78
4.6.5	Underwater Acoustic Environment	79
5	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	
5.1	Wetlands and Waterbodies	7
5.1.1	Affected Environment	9
5.1.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	19
5.2	Terrestrial Vegetation and Wildlife	22
5.2.1	Affected Environment	23
5.2.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	29
5.3	Bat and Avian Species	33
5.3.1	Affected Environment	35
5.3.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	48
5.4	Benthic Resources and Finfish, Invertebrates, and Essential Fish Habitat	54
5.4.1	Affected Environment	56
5.4.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	77
5.5	Marine Mammals	90
5.5.1	Affected Environment	91
5.5.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	135
5.6	Sea Turtles	149
5.6.1	Affected Environment	150
5.6.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	162
5.7	References	175
5.7.1	Wetlands and Waterbodies	176
5.7.2	Terrestrial Vegetation and Wildlife	177
5.7.3	Bat and Avian Species	178
5.7.4	Benthic Resources and Finfish, Invertebrates, and Essential Fish Habitat	187
5.7.5	Marine Mammals	208
5.7.6	Sea Turtles	225
6	CULTURAL RESOURCES	
6.1	Marine Archaeological and Cultural Resources	4
6.1.1	Affected Environment	5

6.1.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	7
6.2	Terrestrial Archaeological and Cultural Resources	9
6.2.1	Affected Environment	9
6.2.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	12
6.3	Aboveground Historic Resources	14
6.3.1	Affected Environment	14
6.3.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	18
6.4	Visual Resources	28
6.4.1	Affected Environment	28
6.4.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	34
6.5	References	37
6.5.1	Marine Archaeological and Cultural Resources	37
6.5.2	Terrestrial Archaeological and Cultural Resources	37
6.5.3	Aboveground Historic Resources	38
6.5.4	Visual Resources	38
7	SOCIOECONOMIC RESOURCES	
7.1	Recreation and Tourism	9
7.1.1	Affected Environment	9
7.1.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	11
7.2	Commercial and Recreational Fishing	15
7.2.1	Affected Environment	20
7.2.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	98
7.3	Marine Transportation and Navigation	104
7.3.1	Affected Environment	105
7.3.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	118
7.4	Department of Defense and Outer Continental Shelf National Security Maritime Uses	123
7.4.1	Affected Environment	123
7.4.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	130
7.5	Offshore Renewable Energy, Mineral Exploration, and Infrastructure	133
7.5.1	Affected Environment	133
7.5.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	142
7.6	Aviation and Radar	146
7.6.1	Affected Environment	146
7.6.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	152
7.7	Other Coastal and Marine Uses	157
7.7.1	Affected Environment	157
7.7.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	161
7.8	Population, Economy, Employment, and Housing	165
7.8.1	Affected Environment	165
7.8.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	170
7.9	Environmental Justice	175
7.9.1	Affected Environment	176
7.9.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	180
7.10	Land Use and Zoning	182
7.10.1	Affected Environment	182
7.10.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	185
7.11	Land Transportation and Traffic	188
7.11.1	Affected Environment	188
7.11.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	189
7.12	Health and Safety and Low Probability Events	191
7.12.1	Affected Environment	191
7.12.2	Impacts Analysis for Construction, Operations, and Decommissioning	191
7.13	References	196

7.13.1	Recreation and Tourism	199
7.13.2	Commercial and Recreational Fishing	200
7.13.3	Marine Transportation and Navigation	204
7.13.4	Department of Defense and Outer Continental Shelf National Security Maritime Uses	205
7.13.5	Offshore Renewable Energy, Mineral Exploration, and Infrastructure	206
7.13.6	Aviation and Radar	208
7.13.7	Other Coastal and Marine Uses	208
7.13.8	Population, Economy, Employment, and Housing	209
7.13.9	Environmental Justice	209
7.13.10	Land Use and Zoning	210
7.13.11	Land Transportation and Traffic	211
7.13.12	Health and Safety and Low Probability Events	211

Table of Figures

1	INTRODUCTION	
Figure 1.1-1	Offshore Project Overview	5
Figure 1.1-2	Onshore Project Overview	6
2	PROJECT SITING AND DESIGN DEVELOPMENT	
Figure 2.1-1	Potential Virginia Cable Landfall Locations	5
Figure 2.1-2	Potential North Carolina Cable Landfall Locations	6
Figure 2.1-3	Existing Electrical Transmission in North Carolina – Ventyx Data	11
Figure 2.1-4	Existing Electrical Transmission in North Carolina – HIFLD Data	12
Figure 2.1-5	Offshore Export Cable Routing Options	14
Figure 2.1-6	Offshore Export Cable Routing Major Constraints – Virginia	15
Figure 2.1-7	Offshore Export Cable Routing Major Constraints – North Carolina	18
Figure 2.1-8	Potential Onshore Substations from the Sandbridge Landfall	20
Figure 2.1-9	Potential Onshore Export Cable Routes from the Sandbridge Landfall	22
Figure 2.2-1	Original Optimized WTG Layout	28
3	DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTIVITY	
Figure 3.1-1	Offshore Project Overview	6
Figure 3.1-2	Onshore Project Overview	7
Figure 3.2-1	WTG and ESP Foundation Types	14
Figure 3.2-2	Conceptual Rendering of Maximum WTG Dimensions	21
Figure 3.2-3	WTG Layout	22
4	PHYSICAL RESOURCES	
Figure 4.1-1	NOAA National Buoy Data Center Stations 44006, 44014, and 44019	9
Figure 4.1-2	Monthly Wind Speeds	10
Figure 4.1-3	Monthly Significant Wave Height	10
Figure 4.1-4	Average Wave Direction within the Review Area	11
Figure 4.1-5	Model of Currents within the North Carolina and Atlantic East Coast Region	11
Figure 4.1-6	Monthly Mean Sea Water Temperature Profiles	13
Figure 4.2-1	Sediment Grab Sample Locations	24
Figure 4.2-2	Watershed Boundaries in Relation to Onshore Substation and Onshore Export Cables	26
Figure 4.4-1	NSA Locations Relative to the HDD Operations	45
Figure 4.5-1	Auditory Weighting Functions for Cetaceans (LF, MF, and HF Species) and Pinnipeds (PW Species)	52
Figure 4.5-2	Underwater Received Sound Levels (SPL): Impact Pile Driving (13.5-m Monopile), Unmitigated, Deep Location	60
Figure 4.5-3	Underwater Received Sound Levels (SPL): Impact Pile Driving (4-m Pile Jacket), Unmitigated, Deep Location	61
Figure 4.5-4	Underwater Received Sound Levels (SPL): Impact Pile Driving (13.5-m Monopile), Unmitigated, Shallow Location	66
Figure 4.5-5	Underwater Received Sound Levels (SPL): Impact Pile Driving (4-m Pile Jacket), Unmitigated, Shallow Location	67

5	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	
Figure 5.1-1	Back Bay NWR Adjacent to the Review Area	10
Figure 5.1-2	NWI-Mapped Wetlands Within and Adjacent to the Review Area	13
Figure 5.1-3	NHD-Mapped Waterbodies Within and Adjacent to the Review Area	15
Figure 5.1-4	FEMA-Mapped Flood Zones Within and Adjacent to the Review Area	18
Figure 5.2-1	Vegetation Cover in the Onshore Review Area	24
Figure 5.3-1	Federally Listed Bat Species Habitat in the Review Area	37
Figure 5.3-2	Overall Avian Abundance in the Review Area	40
Figure 5.4-1	Representative Plan View Bottom Images in Review Area	58
Figure 5.4-2	Shipwrecks and Artificial Reefs in Project Vicinity	59
Figure 5.4-3	Bathymetry in the Review Area	61
Figure 5.4-4	Locations of NEFSC Seasonal Trawls from 2003 to 2016 (from Guida et al. 2017)	67
Figure 5.4-5	FGDC (2012) CMECS Biotic Group Classifications	71
Figure 5.4-6	Locations of Beam Trawls and Benthic Grabs in the Lease Area (from Guida et al. 2017)	72
Figure 5.5-1	OBIS Seasonal Cetacean Sightings in the Review Area	98
Figure 5.5-2	OBIS Seasonal Seal Sightings in the Review Area	99
Figure 5.5-3	Seasonal Distribution of the North Atlantic Right Whale in the Review Area	103
Figure 5.5-4	North Atlantic Right Whale SMA and Biological Important Area	104
Figure 5.5-5	Seasonal Distribution of the Fin Whale in the Review Area	106
Figure 5.5-6	Seasonal Distribution of the Sei Whale in the Review Area	109
Figure 5.5-7	Seasonal Distribution of the Sperm Whale in the Review Area	112
Figure 5.5-8	Seasonal Distribution of the Humpback Whale in the Review Area	114
Figure 5.5-9	Seasonal Distribution of the Minke Whale in the Review Area	117
Figure 5.5-10	Seasonal Distribution of the Atlantic Spotted Dolphin in the Review Area	119
Figure 5.5-11	Seasonal Distribution of the Bottlenose Dolphin in the Review Area	122
Figure 5.5-12	Seasonal Distribution of the Common Dolphin in the Review Area	125
Figure 5.5-13	Annual Distribution of the Long-finned and Short-finned Pilot Whale in the Review Area	127
Figure 5.5-14	Seasonal Distribution of the Risso's Dolphin in the Review Area	130
Figure 5.5-15	Seasonal Distribution of the Harbor Porpoise in the Review Area	132
Figure 5.5-16	Seasonal Distribution of the Seals in the Review Area	134
Figure 5.6-1	OBIS Seasonal Sea Turtle Sightings in the Review Area	152
Figure 5.6-2	OBIS Seasonal Kemp's Ridley Turtle Abundance in the Review Area	158
Figure 5.6-3	OBIS Seasonal Leatherback Turtle Abundance in the Review Area	160
Figure 5.6-4	OBIS Seasonal Loggerhead Turtle Abundance in the Review Area	163
6	CULTURAL RESOURCES	
Figure 6.1-1	Illustration of Scour Protection for Monopile Foundation	6
Figure 6.3-1	Offshore Viewshed APE	16
Figure 6.3-2	Onshore Viewshed APE	17
Figure 6.3-3	Historic Properties within Offshore Viewshed APE	21
Figure 6.3-4	Historic Properties within Each Onshore Viewshed APE	22
Figure 6.4-1	Visual Study Area for the WTG Layout	29
Figure 6.4-2	Key Observation Points	31

7 SOCIOECONOMIC RESOURCES

Figure 7.2-1	FMC Management Areas	17
Figure 7.2-2	VMS Data of Herring (<i>Clupea harengus</i>) Fishing Intensity (<4 knots) 2015-2016	23
Figure 7.2-3	VMS of Monkfish (<i>Lophius americanus</i>) Fishing Intensity (<4 knots) 2015-2016	24
Figure 7.2-4	VMS of Vessels with Multispecies Permits Fishing Intensity (< 4 knots) 2015-2016	25
Figure 7.2-5	VMS of Vessels with Pelagic Permits Fishing Intensity (< 4 knots) 2015-2016	26
Figure 7.2-6	VMS of Scallop (<i>Pectinidae</i>) Permit-holding vessels (< 5 knots) 2015-2016	27
Figure 7.2-7	VMS of Squid (<i>Doryteuthis</i> and <i>Illex</i>) Fishing Intensity (< 4 knots) 2015-2016	28
Figure 7.2-8	VMS of Ocean Quahog (<i>Arctica islandica</i>) and Surfclam (<i>Spisula solidissima</i>) Fishing Intensity (< 4 knots) 2015-2016	29
Figure 7.2-9	AIS Data of Fishing Vessel Transit Counts from 2011	30
Figure 7.2-10	AIS Data of Fishing Vessel Transit Counts from 2013	31
Figure 7.2-11	AIS Data of Fishing Vessel Transit Counts from 2015	32
Figure 7.2-12	AIS Data of Fishing Vessel Transit Counts from 2016	33
Figure 7.2-13	AIS Data of Fishing Vessel Transit Counts from 2017	34
Figure 7.2-14	AIS Data of Fishing Vessel Transit Counts from 2018	35
Figure 7.2-15	AIS Data of Fishing Vessel Transit Counts from 2019	36
Figure 7.2-16	All Tows Conducted in the Fall Northeast Bottom Trawl Survey from 1963 to 2019 Within the Review Area	38
Figure 7.2-17	Trawl Stations in the Review Area	39
Figure 7.2-18	Decadal Sums of Atlantic Croaker Caught by Trawl Survey in the Review Area	39
Figure 7.2-19	Bottom Trawl Survey Catches of Atlantic Croaker (1963–2019)	40
Figure 7.2-20	Decadal Sums of Summer Flounder Caught by Trawl Survey in the Review Area	41
Figure 7.2-21	Decadal Sums of Cutlassfish Caught by Trawl Survey in the Review Area	41
Figure 7.2-22	Bottom Trawl Survey Catches of Summer Flounder (1963–2019)	42
Figure 7.2-23	Bottom Trawl Survey Catches of Cutlassfish (1963–2019)	43
Figure 7.2-24	Decadal Sums of Bluefish Caught by Trawl Survey in the Review Area	44
Figure 7.2-25	Decadal Sums of Smooth Dogfish Caught by Trawl Survey in the Review Area	44
Figure 7.2-26	Bottom Trawl Survey Catches of Bluefish (1963–2019)	45
Figure 7.2-27	Bottom Trawl Survey Catches of Smooth Dogfish (1963–2019)	46
Figure 7.2-28	Decadal Sums of Spiny Dogfish Caught by Trawl Survey in the Review Area	47
Figure 7.2-29	Decadal Sums of Northern Kingfish Caught by Trawl Survey in the Review Area	47
Figure 7.2-30	Bottom Trawl Survey Catches of Spiny Dogfish (1963–2019)	48
Figure 7.2-31	Bottom Trawl Survey Catches of Northern Kingfish (1963–2019)	49
Figure 7.2-32	Decadal Sums of Spot Caught by Trawl Survey in the Review Area	50
Figure 7.2-33	Decadal Sums of Spanish Mackerel Caught by Trawl Survey in the Review Area	50
Figure 7.2-34	Bottom Trawl Survey Catches of Spot (1963–2019)	51
Figure 7.2-35	Bottom Trawl Survey Catches of Spanish Mackerel (1963–2019)	52
Figure 7.2-36	Decadal Sums of Cobia Caught by Trawl Survey in the Review Area	53
Figure 7.2-37	Decadal Sums of Red Drum Caught by Trawl Survey in the Review Area	53
Figure 7.2-38	Bottom Trawl Survey Catches of Cobia (1963–2019)	54
Figure 7.2-39	Bottom Trawl Survey Catches of Red Drum (1963–2019)	55
Figure 7.2-40	VIMS Shark Survey Stations Overlay	58
Figure 7.2-41	NEAMAP Regions Adjacent to the Offshore Project Area	60

Figure 7.2-42 Fishing VTR Data for Recreational Party and Charter Boats 2011-2015	68
Figure 7.2-43 VTR landings, by species, from Kitty Hawk Lease Area and Offshore Export Cable Corridor	72
Figure 7.2-44 Total VTR landings from Kitty Hawk Lease Area and Export Cable Corridor	73
Figure 7.2-45 Modeled Value (dollars) of landings in the Wind Development Area and Offshore Export Cable Corridor from 2008-2018	74
Figure 7.2-46 Modeled Landings (lbs) in the Wind Development Area and Offshore Export Cable Corridor from 2008-2018	74
Figure 7.2-47 Average Percentage of Total Port Dollars/Landings caught in the Offshore Project Area 2008-2018	75
Figure 7.2-48 Total Observed 10-year Sum of Catch in the Offshore Export Cable Corridor	79
Figure 7.2-49 Total Observed Catch in the Lease Area	80
Figure 7.2-50 Example of Shrimp Beam Trawl Net	83
Figure 7.2-51 Commercial Spiny Dogfish Landings in Virginia	84
Figure 7.2-52 Example of Spiny Dogfish Anchored Gillnet	85
Figure 7.2-53 Example of a Conch Dredge	86
Figure 7.2-54 Example of Conch Pot Gear	87
Figure 7.2-55 Example of Black Sea Bass Pot	88
Figure 7.2-56 North Carolina Commercial Landings of Atlantic Croaker	89
Figure 7.2-57 North Carolina Commercial Landings of Bluefish	91
Figure 7.2-58 Reported Catch Depths for Sampled North Carolina Bluefish Trips (>100 lbs) for Water Bodies East and Northeast of Oregon Inlet	91
Figure 7.2-59 North Carolina Commercial Landings of Atlantic Cutlassfish	92
Figure 7.2-60 Example of Floating Drop Gillnet	93
Figure 7.2-61 Example of Bottom Drop Gillnet	94
Figure 7.2-62 Sampled Summer Flounder Catches >1,000 lbs from Water Bodies East or Northeast of Oregon Inlet	95
Figure 7.2-63 Example of Typical Otter Trawl Net Diagram	96
Figure 7.2-64 North Carolina Commercial Monkfish Landings	97
Figure 7.3-1 Existing TSS Lanes and ACPARS Proposed Fairways	107
Figure 7.3-2 AIS Cargo Vessel Density 2019	109
Figure 7.3-3 AIS Tug and Tow Density 2019	110
Figure 7.3-4 AIS Tanker Vessel Density 2019	112
Figure 7.3-5 AIS Passenger Vessel Density in 2019	113
Figure 7.3-6 Military Vessel Tracks within Wind Development Area Study Area (12 months January to December 2019)	114
Figure 7.3-7 Recreational Boating in the Review Area	116
Figure 7.3-8 USCG Stations in the Vicinity of the Review Area	117
Figure 7.4-1 VACAPES Range Complex Environmental Impact Statement Study Area	125
Figure 7.4-2 Danger Zones and Restricted Areas	126
Figure 7.4-3 Special Use Airspace	128
Figure 7.4-4 U.S. Navy Air Combat Maneuvering Range Towers	129
Figure 7.5-1 BOEM Lease Areas	134
Figure 7.5-2 BOEM Call Areas	136
Figure 7.5-3 Oil and Gas Areas	137

Figure 7.5-4	Offshore Cables	139
Figure 7.5-5	BOEM Sand Borrow Areas	140
Figure 7.5-6	Ocean Disposal Sites	141
Figure 7.5-7	Offshore Renewable Energy, Mineral Exploration, and Infrastructure Constraints	143
Figure 7.6-1	FAA Jurisdictional Boundary	147
Figure 7.6-2	Airports, Heliports, and Seaplane Bases within 46 km of the Wind Development Area	148
Figure 7.6-3	First Flight (FAA) Obstacle Departure Procedure Assessment	150
Figure 7.6-4	VFR Traffic Pattern Airspace in Proximity to the Wind Development Area	151
Figure 7.6-5	Military Airspace Near the Offshore Project Area	153
Figure 7.6-6	Norfolk (ORF) Terminal Radar Approach Control Facilities FUSION 5 MVA Sectors	155
Figure 7.7-1	AIS Pleasure Craft/Sailing Vessel Density - 2017	158
Figure 7.7-2	Dive Sites, Artificial Reefs, and Wrecks	160
Figure 7.8-1	Potential Project Port Locations	166
Table 7.8-4	Estimated Annual Housing Value and Rental Rates	169
Figure 7.8-2	Estimated Employment Supported by Construction-Related Expenditures in Virginia and the Hampton Roads MSA	171
Figure 7.9-1	Potential Environmental Justice Communities Near the Onshore Project Components	178
Figure 7.9-2	Potential Environmental Justice Communities with Potential Visibility of the Offshore Project Components	179
Figure 7.10-1	Land Use in the Review Area	183
Figure 7.10-2	Zoning in the Review Area	186

Table of Tables

1	INTRODUCTION	
Table 1.1-1	BOEM's Regulatory Framework for Renewable Energy Facilities on the OCS	8
Table 1.1-2	Schedule	22
Table 1.2-1	Summary of PDE Parameters	23
Table 1.3-1	Commercial Lease Conditions and Compliance	24
Table 1.5-1	Required Approvals and Consultations	30
Table 1.7-1	Contact Information	32
Table 1.11-1	Supplemental Filings	32
Table 1.12-1	Data Sources	33
2	PROJECT SITING AND DESIGN DEVELOPMENT	
Table 2.4-1	Data Sources	31
3	DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTIVITY	
Table 3.2-1	Onshore Export Cable Parameters	10
Table 3.2-2	Offshore Export Cable Parameters	11
Table 3.2-3	Offshore Installation Corridor Maximum Design Scenario	12
Table 3.2-4	Summary of WTG and ESP Foundation Parameters	15
Table 3.2-5	WTG and ESP Foundation Installation Maximum Design Scenarios	16
Table 3.2-6	Electrical Service Platform Parameters	18
Table 3.2-7	Inter-Array Cable Parameters	19
Table 3.2-8	Inter-Array Cable Maximum Design Scenario	20
Table 3.2-9	Summary of WTG PDE Parameters	21
Table 3.2-10	Preliminary Summary of Offshore Vessels for Construction	24
Table 3.2-11	Wastes Expected to be Generated During Project Construction and Operations	26
Table 3.2-12	Preliminary Summary of Oils, Fuels, and Greases for Construction and Operations	27
Table 3.3-1	Preliminary Summary of Offshore Vessels for O&M	30
Table 3.5-1	Data Sources	33
4	PHYSICAL RESOURCES	
Table 4.1-1	Monthly Mean Water Temperatures at Sea Surface	12
Table 4.1-2	Monthly Mean Air Temperature at NOAA Data Buoy 44014 (°C)	14
Table 4.1-3	Mean Sea Levels Along the U.S. East Coast	15
Table 4.1-4	Delivery of Data to BOEM for Completed 2020 Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Campaigns	15
Table 4.3-1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards	33
Table 4.3-2	General Conformity Thresholds	34
Table 4.3-3	Construction Emissions for Calendar Year 2024 (tons)	37
Table 4.3-4	Construction Emissions for Calendar Year 2025 (tons)	37
Table 4.3-5	Construction Emissions for Calendar Year 2026 (tons)	37
Table 4.3-6	Operations and Maintenance Emissions for Calendar Year 2027 Onward (tons)	38
Table 4.3-7	PJM Marginal and System Average Emission Rates for 2019	39
Table 4.3-8	Potential Displaced Annual Emissions from Regional Fossil-Fueled Electric Generators	40

Table 4.4-1	HDD Equipment Sound Levels	43
Table 4.4-2	Sound Levels (dBA) during HDD Construction	44
Table 4.4-3	General Construction Noise Levels (dBA)	46
Table 4.4-4	Substation Predicted Nighttime Noise Levels at the Closest Noise Sensitive Areas	48
Table 4.5-1	Acoustic Threshold Levels for Marine Mammals	52
Table 4.5-2	Acoustic Threshold Levels for Fish and Sea Turtles, Injury and Behavior	53
Table 4.5-3	Acoustic Threshold Levels for Fish and Sea Turtles, Impulsive and Non-Impulsive	54
Table 4.5-4	Underwater Acoustic Modeling Scenarios	55
Table 4.5-5	Marine Mammal PTS Onset Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Impact Pile Driving – Deep Location	57
Table 4.5-6	Sea Turtles and Fish Onset of Injury Threshold Distances (meters) for Impact Pile Driving – Deep Location	57
Table 4.5-7	Fish Acoustic Injury Threshold Distances (meters) for Impact Pile Driving – Deep Location	58
Table 4.5-8	Sea Turtles Behavioral and Acoustic Injury Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Impact Pile Driving – Deep Location	58
Table 4.5-9	Marine Mammals and Fish Behavioral Response Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Impact Pile Driving – Deep Location	59
Table 4.5-10	Marine Mammal PTS Onset Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Impact Pile Driving – Shallow Location	63
Table 4.5-11	Sea Turtles and Fish Onset of Injury Threshold Distances (meters) for Impact Pile Driving – Shallow Location	63
Table 4.5-12	Fish Acoustic Injury Threshold Distances (meters) for Impact Pile Driving – Shallow Location	64
Table 4.5-13	Sea Turtles Behavioral and Acoustic Injury Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Impact Pile Driving – Shallow Location	64
Table 4.5-14	Marine Mammals and Fish Behavioral Response Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Impact Pile Driving – Shallow Location	65
Table 4.5-15	Marine Mammal PTS Onset Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Drilling – Deep Location	68
Table 4.5-16	Sea Turtles and Fish Onset of Injury Threshold Distances (meters) for Drilling – Deep Location	68
Table 4.5-17	Fish Acoustic Injury Threshold Distances (meters) for Drilling – Deep Location	69
Table 4.5-18	Sea Turtles Behavioral and Acoustic Injury Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Drilling – Deep Location	69
Table 4.5-19	Marine Mammals and Fish Behavioral Response Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Drilling – Deep Location	69
Table 4.5-20	Marine Mammal PTS Onset Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Drilling – Shallow Location	70
Table 4.5-21	Sea Turtles and Fish Onset of Injury Threshold Distances (meters) for Drilling – Shallow Location	70
Table 4.5-22	Fish Acoustic Injury Threshold Distances (meters) for Drilling – Shallow Location	70
Table 4.5-23	Sea Turtles Behavioral and Acoustic Injury Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Drilling – Shallow Location	71
Table 4.5-24	Marine Mammals and Fish Behavioral Response Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Drilling – Shallow Location	71
Table 4.6-1	Data Sources	74
5	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	
Table 5.1-1	NWI Wetlands Within the Onshore Review Area	11

Table 5.1-2	FEMA-Mapped Flood Zones Within the Onshore Review Area	16
Table 5.2-1	Summary of Potential Rare Species Within the Review Area a/	27
Table 5.2-2	Summary of Potential Threatened and Endangered Species Within the Review Area a/	28
Table 5.3-1	Summary of Available Avian Surveys and Assessments in the Project Area	33
Table 5.3-2	Bat Species Present in North Carolina and Virginia and Their Conservation Status	36
Table 5.3-3	Avian Species Recorded Offshore of North Carolina	41
Table 5.3-4	Shorebirds of Conservation Concern Occurring in North Carolina and Virginia	44
Table 5.3-5	Terns of Conservation Concern Occurring in North Carolina and Virginia	45
Table 5.3-6	Avian Species Potentially Nesting Within the Onshore Components of the Project	46
Table 5.4-1	Summary of Fisheries Management in the Review Area	64
Table 5.4-2	Managed Species or Species Groups in the Review Area	65
Table 5.4-3	Summary of WTG and ESP Foundation PDE Parameters	78
Table 5.4-4	Summary of Offshore Export and Inter-Array Cable PDE Parameters	79
Table 5.5-1	PSO Vessel Sighting Data	91
Table 5.5-2	Marine Mammals Known to Occur in the Marine Waters of Coastal and Offshore Virginia and North Carolina	93
Table 5.5-3	Functional Hearing Range for Marine Mammals	97
Table 5.5-4	Underwater Acoustic Modeling Scenarios	139
Table 5.5-5	Marine Mammal Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Impact Pile Driving – Deep Location	140
Table 5.5-6	Marine Mammal Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Impact Pile Driving – Shallow Location	140
Table 5.5-7	Marine Mammal Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Drilling – Deep Location	141
Table 5.5-8	Marine Mammal Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Drilling – Shallow Location	141
Table 5.5-9	Estimated Unique Vessel Transits During Project Construction	142
Table 5.5-10	Unique Vessel Transits During Project Operations	147
Table 5.6-1	2019 PSO Vessel Sighting Data	149
Table 5.6-2	Sea Turtles Known to Occur in the Marine Waters of Offshore North Carolina and Coastal Virginia	151
Table 5.6-3	Reported Sea Turtle Strandings 2016-2020	153
Table 5.6-4	Underwater Acoustic Modeling Scenarios	167
Table 5.6-5	Sea Turtle Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Impact Pile Driving – Deep Location	169
Table 5.6-6	Sea Turtle Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Impact Pile Driving – Shallow Location	169
Table 5.6-7	Sea Turtle Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Drilling – Deep Location	170
Table 5.6-8	Sea Turtle Criteria Threshold Distances (meters) for Drilling – Shallow Location	170
Table 5.7-1	Data Sources	175
6	CULTURAL RESOURCES	
Table 6.1-1	Offshore APE	5
Table 6.2-1	Terrestrial Archaeological APE	10
Table 6.3-1	Historic Property Data within the Offshore Viewshed APE	19
Table 6.3-2	Historic Property Data within the Onshore Viewshed APE	20
Table 6.3-3	Summary of Historic Property Visibility within the Offshore Viewshed APE	26
Table 6.3-4	Summary of Historic Property Visibility within the Onshore Viewshed APE	27
Table 6.4-1	Key Observation Points	32

Table 6.5-1	Data Sources	37
7	SOCIOECONOMIC RESOURCES	
Table 7.2-1	Fisheries Outreach Conducted Through October 2020	19
Table 7.2-2	Vessel Monitoring Systems Overview	20
Table 7.2-3	Species Identified in the Offshore Export Cable Corridor from 1974 to 2019	56
Table 7.2-4	Top 15 Species Caught in Regions 13 and 14 by the NEAMAP Survey	59
Table 7.2-5	Summary of Recreational Fishing Tournaments Occurring in the Wind Development Area	62
Table 7.2-6	Recreational Saltwater Fishing Trips in North Carolina and Virginia (2010-2019)	63
Table 7.2-7	Socioeconomic Impacts to Recreational Fishing in North Carolina Offshore Wind Call Areas (2007-2012)	63
Table 7.2-8	Large Pelagics Intercept Survey Data of Total Catch (kept, released, and dead) from 2015-2019 in Virginia	64
Table 7.2-9	Species Fished Recreationally in Review Area	65
Table 7.2-10	Top Regional Ports for Commercial Fishing in 2018	70
Table 7.2-11	Lease Area VTR Landings (by weight) 2007-2019	71
Table 7.2-12	Offshore Export Cable Corridor VTR Landings	72
Table 7.2-13	Top Commercial Fishing Species in North Carolina and Virginia in 2019, Ranked by Weight and Value	76
Table 7.2-14	Top Ten Reported Landed Species in the Offshore Export Cable Corridor and Lease Area from 2007-2019 NOAA Fisheries VTR Data	77
Table 7.2-15	Top Ten Landed Species in the Offshore Export Cable Corridor and Lease Area from 2008-2018 Provided by NOAA Fisheries GARFO Modeled Landings	77
Table 7.2-16	Reported Offshore Project Area VTR Landings 2007-2019	78
Table 7.2-17	Modeled Offshore Project Area Landings 2008-2018	78
Table 7.2-18	Most Common Observed Catch in the Offshore Export Cable Corridor 1992-2020	80
Table 7.2-19	Most Common Observed Catch in the Lease Area 1992-2020	81
Table 7.2-20	Atlantic Croaker Decade Landings in North Carolina and Virginia	90
Table 7.4-1	Other Nearby Military Facilities	130
Table 7.8-1	Geographic Areas to be Affected by Project Infrastructure and/or Activities	167
Table 7.8-2	Existing Economic Conditions in the Review Area	168
Table 7.8-3	Estimated Annual Housing Units and Vacancy Rates	169
Table 7.9-1	Income and Minority Population Levels	177
Table 7.11-1	2020 Average Annual Daily Traffic for Roads Along the Onshore Export Cable Corridors	189
Table 7.13-1	Data Sources	196

List of Appendices

Appendix A	Coastal Zone Management Act Consistency Certifications
Appendix B	Summary of Agency and Stakeholder Engagement
Appendix C	Certified Verification Agent Nomination
Appendix D	Preliminary Hierarchy of Standards
Appendix E	Foundation Structure Concept Screening
Appendix F	Safety Management System
Appendix G	Conceptual Project Design Drawings
Appendix H	Sandbridge Export Cable Landfall Conceptual Design Study
Appendix I	Oil Spill Response Plan
Appendix J	Preliminary Cable Burial Risk Assessment
Appendix K	Marine Site Investigation Report
Appendix L	Climatic Conditions Report
Appendix M	Sediment Transport Modeling Report
Appendix N	Air Emissions Calculations and Methodology
Appendix O	In-Air Acoustic Assessment
Appendix P	Underwater Acoustic Assessment
Appendix Q	Radar Line of Sight Analysis
Appendix R	Federal and State-Listed Species Mapping Tools
Appendix S	Ornithological and Marine Fauna Aerial Survey Results
Appendix T	Offshore Bat Acoustic Survey Report
Appendix U	Assessment of the Potential Effects of the Kitty Hawk Offshore Wind Project on Bats and Birds
Appendix V	Benthic Resource Characterization Reports
Appendix W	Essential Fish Habitat Assessment
Appendix X	Marine Archaeological Resources Assessment
Appendix Y	Phase IA and IB Archaeological Survey Reports
Appendix Z	Visual Effects on Historic Properties
Appendix AA	Visual Impact Assessment
Appendix BB	Navigation Safety Risk Assessment
Appendix CC	Obstruction Evaluation and Airspace Analysis
Appendix DD	Air Traffic Flow Analysis
Appendix EE	Economic Impact of Kitty Hawk Offshore Wind
Appendix FF	Summary of Applicant-Proposed Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures